Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

| Court | UI | | | | | <u> </u> |
|-------|-----|-------|---|-------|--------------|----------|
| ` | • 7 | | | | 1.2 | 100 |
| | | 1010 | - | • | | |
| se No | | 18118 | | /2020 | - | |

| S.No. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge |
|----------------|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| · - | | |
| | 75/44/2020 | The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate |
| 1- | 25/11/2020 | may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Membe |
| - | | for proper order please. |
| - ' | | |
| | | REGISTRAR |
| | | This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu |
| 2- | 08-02-21 | |
| | | up there on /- > 1 |
| | | |
| | | 4/ |
| | · | MEMBER(J) |
| | | |
| • | | |
| _ | | |
| 01.0 | 03.2021 | The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khai |
| 01.0 | | The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khareave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for |
| 01.0 | on I | |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01. | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01. | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |
| 01.0 | on I | eave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for before S.B on 26.07.2021. |

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

| APPEAL NO. | /2020 |
|------------|-------|
|------------|-------|

AMIN GUL

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

| S.NO. | DOCUMENTS | ANNEXURE | PAGE |
|-------|---------------------------|-----------|-------|
| 1. | Memo of appeal | | 1- 3. |
| 2. | Notification | - A | 4. |
| 3. | Pay slips | B & C | 5-6. |
| 4. | Service Tribunal judgment | D | 7-8. |
| 5. | Departmental Appeal | E | 9. |
| 6. | Vakalat nama | ********* | |

APPELLANT

THROUGH: (

UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND ADVOCATE

Flat No. 4, 2nd Floor, Jumma Khan Plaza, Warsak Road, Peshawar 0313-8901647

Note:

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 15/18 /2020

Myher Pakhtukhwi Service Tribunal
Diary Neh 5642
Dared 25/11/242

Mr. Amin Gul, CT (BPS-16), GMS, Rawal kor, District Mohmand.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may akindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as CT (BPS-16) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from

- 5- That appellant preferred departmental appeal before the respondents under the rule of consistency against the unlawful action of deducting the convince allowance, but no response has been given by the respondent department till the expiry of statutory period of ninety days. Copy of the departmental appeal is attached as annexure....E.
- 6- That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of mala fide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, and therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

Amin Gul

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND

ADVOCATES







GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NÖ, FD/SC(SR-II)78-52/2012 Datod Pashawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govi, of Knyton Bachtunkhwa. Finance Department.

Penhawar.

To:

.

All Administrative Secretaries to Gove of Kingler Pakitteriumina.

The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Knyber Pakhtus Brea.

43. The Secretary to Governor Knigher Pakite interval

The Bestebry to Chief Missier, Khyber Pakhumbaya,

5. The Secretary, Francisc Assembly, Khyper Pokolurkhwa.

- 5. All Hends of Altaches Departments in Knyher Pakhunkhwa

All District Coordination Officereus Xivyter Pakhtenkinka.

As Polisical Agents District & Somions Judges in Klyber Paklistakhwa

· The Registral Peshavar High-Court Reshavar

The Cherman Petils Sense Correspond Khater Pakhtunkawa.

The Chairman, Benness Tabettel, Khyper Pakhtunkhwa.

Stinject

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT SPS 1-19

Dear Sir

The Government of Khyller Politherannia has been pleased to enhance / revise the rete of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinced Civil Servants, Govern Mayber Pathonishwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 15 September, 2012 of the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in SPS-15 to BPS-19 will remain. Urchanged.

| S.NO BPS | EXISTING RATE (PM) REVISED RATE (PM) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. 1-4 | Rs.1,700/- |
| . <u>2.</u> 5-10 | Rs.1,500/- Rs.1,840/- |
| 3, 11-15 | Rs.2,720/- |
| 4. 16-19 | Rs.5,000/- Rs.5,000/- |

Conveyance Allowance at the opone rates per manth shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctoped afficial vehicles.

Yours Faidfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Alimad) Secretary Firence

Endst: NO. PDISO(\$R-11):8-52/2012

Dated Lemawar the 200 December, 2007

A Copy is forwarded for information to thet-

Apostmáni General Kanber Paklitzaklina, Pyslialvári.
 Secrosolles lo Gofernment el Panjob, Során à Saboreren. Foraliste Department.

Au Autoromous / Send Autonorosus Socies in Khyster Pakhturiktinia

(MTIAZ AYUB) Additional Socratory (Rea

ATTESTED

BETTER COPY PAGE-5



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNICHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.: D/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Date4 Peshawar the: 20.12:2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- - 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa!
 - 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyter Pakhtunkhwa
 - 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Knyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhturkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Doar Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Governor Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

| S.No. | BPS. | Existing Rate (PM) | Revised Rate (PNI) |
|-------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | 1-4 | Rs. 1,500/- | Rs: 1,700/- |
| 2 | .5-10 | Rs. 1,500/- | Rs. 1,840/- |
| 3. | 11-15 | Rs. 2,000/- | Rs. 2,720/- |
| ·4. | 16-19 | Rs. 5,000/- | Rs. 5;000/- |

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December; 2012

ATTESTED

| 00102604 AMIN GUL | | 0609131189 De | esig: SENIOR CERTIFIED | TEA(80950743) Ĝrad | de: 16 NTN: | Buckle No. | : Gazette |
|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| PAYMENTS A | MOUNT DE | DUCTIONS | AMOUNT | LOAN/FUND | PRINCIPAL | REPAID | BALANCE |
| 0001 Basic Pay 1000 House Rent Allowand 1210 Convey Allowance 2 1300 Medical Allowance 1528 Unattractive Area A 2148 15% Adhoc Relief All 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201 2264 Adhoc Relief All 201 | 2,727.00 0 5,000.00 1,500.00 1,500.00 1,100.00 737.00 | 5 GPF Subscription 6505 GPF Loan P 3501 Benevolent 3990 Emp.Edu. Fur 4004 R. Benefits & 3609 Income Tax | rincipal In 3,000. Fund 800.00 nd KPK 150.00 | 00- IN D- GPF Temp. Advan D- | 319/CSS COME TAX 18,2 ce 0368 | : | |
| PAYMENTS | 80,431.00 | DEDUCTIONS | 9,462.00- | NET PAY | 70,969.00 | 01.07.2020 3 | 1.07.2020 |

WARSAK ROAD

UNITED BANK LIMITED



Branch Code:211609

WARSAK ROAD

MITESTE

Accnt.No: 202238342

Desig: SENIOR CERTIFIED TEA(80950743) Grade: 16 NTN: Buckle No.: Gazetted/Non-Gazetted: G CNIC: 2140609131189 00102604 AMIN GUL LOAN/FUND AMOUNT PRINCIPAL REPAID **BALANCE** PAYMENTS AMOUNT DEDUCTIONS 47,790.00 3016 GPF Subscription 3,340.00-GPF#: 3319/CSS 946,421.00 0001 Basic Pay 1,547.00 2,727.00 3501 Benevolent Fund 800.00-* INCOME TAX 14,073.00 12,526.40 1000 House Rent Allowance 150.00-1,500.00 3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK 1300 Medical Allowance 1528 Unattractive Area A 1,500.00 4004 R. Benefits & Death C 1,089.00-1,100.00 3609 Income Tax 1,253.00-2148 15% Adhoc Relief All 737.00 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 3,764.00 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 4,779.00 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201 4,779.00 2264 Adhoc Relief All 201 4,779.00 **DEDUCTIONS NET PAY** 66,823.00 01.08.2019 31.08.2019 PAYMENTS: 73,455.00 6,632.00-

WARSAK ROAD

UNITED BANK LIMITED



Branch Code:211609

WARSAK ROAD



Accnt.No: 202238342

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

EXAMINED

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE WINTER & SUMMER OF THE APPELLANT DURING VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted FREGED-Zaypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

3-14 1.18.1 1.6

- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

MITESTED

E9

To,

The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self department and is serving as CT (BPS-16) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 9.07.2020

Your Obediently

Amin Gul

8

11.11.2019

Affect No. 145 -1 2011
Marbad Hayat vs Gort 122 (F)

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10:2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in assence, was dilated upon by the inderail Service Tribunal and, one particularly, by the indonourable residual. High Court in the case of appellating stated diagrams the respondents are required to execute the junificant. States of the respondents are required to execute the junificant. States of the respondents are required to execute the junificant.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

reasonable time:

File be consigned to the regord.

ATT/ES/ED

Poshrwag ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

Kb.

1. #3

Chairman

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

| | OF 2020 |
|---|---|
| Amin Gul | (APPELLANT)(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER) |
| <u>v</u> | ERSUS |
| Education Department | (RESPONDENT)(DEFENDANT) |
| Advocate, Peshawar to appear refer to arbitration for me/us as noted matter, without any liability engage/appoint any other Advoate to de | itute UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND, , plead, act, compromise, withdraw or my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above for his default and with the authority to cate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we eposit, withdraw and receive on my/our able or deposited on my/our account in |
| Dated/2020 | CLIENT |
| | ACCEPTED UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND & |

ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No. 4, 2nd Floor, Jumma Khan Plaza, Warsak Road, Peshawar 0313-8901674