# . Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

	·		<del></del>	 			
	٠,					2.11	
			^	 	•	30 C	
	•	• •	てし			to promove in	
Case No -	•	1 2	$\mathcal{O}$	 2021			
		<b>{</b> ····································		 			

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
		<u>-</u> .
1-	19/01/2021	The appeal presented today by Mr. Adnan Aman Advocate may
		be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for
		proper order please.
		REGISTRAR
		RECISTRAR
•		
2-	08-02-21	This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put
		up there on 01 - 03 - 21
	7	
		MEMBER(J)
		(instrument)
01:0		e learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan
01.0		e learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
01.0	on le	
01:0	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
01:0	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
01.0	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
01:0	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
<b>U1:U</b>	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
U1:0	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
<b>U1:U</b>	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
U1:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
OT:O	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the
UT:U	on le	ave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL	LNC	), <sup>'</sup>		/2021

**BADSHAH MUL HAQ** 

VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

### INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	******	1-3
2.	Notification	A	4
3.	Pay slips	В & С	5- 6
4.	Departmental appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat nama		10

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

ADNAN AMAN
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT (S)

CELL NO 0321-9853530

Note:

Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1256 /2021

Khyber Palchtukhw

Mr. BADSHAH MUL HAQ, SPST (BPS-14) GPS, MAYAR SAMARBAGH, LOWER DIR

Personnel Number: 00259813

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

......RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS WHO VIDE THE SAME ARE ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST IN ACTION OF THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEALLATE AUTHORITY WHO VIDE THE SAME DID NOT PASS ANY APPROPRIATE ORDER OVER THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPEALLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

#### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be ordered/directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the da Sayment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other Egistrar remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

# R/SHEWETH:

#### ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as SENIOR PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHER (SPST) BPS-14 quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS- 16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not

enhancing their conveyance allowance. Copy of the Notification dated 20.12.2012 are attached as annexure...... A.

- 3- That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowances as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. Copies of the Salary Slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are attached as annexure.

  B & C.

- 6- That the appellant also prayed to be treated alike through the principles of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgment Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7- That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant 'service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

### **GROUNDS:**

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant has not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and Rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents have violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the impugned action of the respondents is without any legal & lawful authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
  - D-That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
  - F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any lawful authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
  - G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the appellant from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
  - H- That according to Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
  - I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
  - J- That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.


It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT

BADSHAH MUL HAQ

THROUGH:

ADNAN AMAN \_ADVOCATE HIGH COURT(S)





### GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FE/SO(SR-II)78-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

The Secretary to Govt, of Knytter Pashtunknya, Finance Department.

Perhayear.

All Administrative Secretaries to Gov. of Kington Pakintoniuma.

The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhius Pres.

The Secretary to Governor Knyber Pakhtichkawa

The Secretary to Chief Minster, Khyber Pakhishtima.

The Societary, Provincial Avocably, Khyber Pakhlerkhina

All Heads of Altaches Departments in Knyther Pakhturk/1995

At Cishic Coordination Officerous Khyser Pakitenkines. All Political Agents / District & Semiors Judges in Khyper Poktelakhwa

The Registra, निक्रांत्रकार सङ्गादिक्या Peshamir The Charman Public Senice Cremesish, Khyber Pokhtuntinas.

The Chairman, Service Though Kryper Pakhtunkhwa.

Sabeth

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khylie: Pokhturáthyra has bega pleased to enhance / rusisc the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants, Gove: of Newber Pakhtunkhera (Working to BPS-1 to BPS-15) wielf from 1° September, 2012 of the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS+15 to 6PS-19 भूगी रहतिस्थान 🕝 प्रस्कृतिकार्युक्षेत्रे.

-		EXISTING RATE (PH)	REVISED RATE (PM)
S.NO	BP5	3e : 500/-	Rs.1.700/=
	1-4	3 55.2,2991 2 3 3	Rs.1,840/-
<u> 2.                                    </u>	5-10	Ps.1.500/-	Rs.2,720/-
3	11-15	PS.2,000/	
14.	16-19	Rs.5,000/-	Rs.5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endate NO. FDISONSTI-TER-52/2012

Dated Pessiawar the 20th December, 2017

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

Accountant General Render Pakhteriums, Perimone

Secretaries to Goettamæri of Puniati, किंग्डन के Saltigration, Fangist Dupstituten

All Autoromous / Seed Autonomous Socies in Kingder Pakit Larkhad

(INTIAZ AYUB)

Additional Sommon (Ro

### BETTER COPY PAGE-5

A-H

### GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Sccretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- S. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject:

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWAND CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

. I DDC	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (FM)
S.No. BPS	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
7 5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
2. 3-10	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
3. 11-13	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-
4. 16-19	103. 5,000.	<del></del>

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saced Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December; 2012

### Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Dir at Timargar Monthly Salary Statement (July-2017)





Personal Information of Mr BADSHAH MUL HAQ d/w/s of LALI

Personnel Number: 00259813

. CNIC: 10878071747

Date of Birth: 15.03.1978

Entry into Govt: Service: 01.04,2004

NTN:

Length of Service: 13 Years 04 Months 001 Days

Employment Category: Vocational Temporary

Designation: SENIOR PRIMARY SCHOOL TEA

80001409-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE

DDO Code: DA6140-GOVT. PRIMARY SCHOOLS (M) SAMARBAGH

Payroll Section: 001

GPF Section: 001

Cash Center: 09

GPF A/C No: 259813

Interest Applied: Yes

**GPF** Balance:

165,955.00

Vendor Number: -

Pay and Allowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type: Civil

Pay Stage: 8

	Wage type	Amount		Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay -	24,540.00	1000	House Rent Allowance	1,476.00
1300	Medical Allowance	1,500.00		UAA-OTHER 20%(1-15)	1,000.00
2148	15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	583.00		Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	397.00
2211	Adhoc Relief-All 2016 10%	2,056.00		Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	2,454.00

#### Deductions - General

ļ	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	Amount
3014	GPF Subscription - Rs2194	-2,194.00	3501 Benevolent Fund	-600.00
3609	Income Tax	-9.00	3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	-125.00
4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-600.00		0.00

#### **Deductions - Loans and Advances**

Loan	Description	Principal amount	Deduction	Balance
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

**Deductions - Income Tax** 

Payable:

161.42

Recovered till July-2017:

9.00

Exempted: 63.65

Recoverable:

88.77

Gross Pay (Rs.): 34,006.00

Deductions: (Rs.):

-3,528.00

Net Pay: (Rs.):

30,478.00

Payee Name: BADSHAH MUL HAQ

Account Number: 0109000231740089

Bank Details: UNITED BANK LIMITED, 211157 UBL MAYAR UBL MAYAR, MARDAN

Leaves:

Opening Balance:

Availed:

Earned:

Balance:

Permanent Address: VILL.JONI KALAY MAYAR

City: DIR LOWER

Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Housing Status: No Official

Temp. Address:

City:

Email: badshahmulhaq153@gmail.com

GHSS Mayar No: 03018960153 Ťο

2-0

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SPST (BPS-14) quite efficiency and up to the satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the convence allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Derpartment.Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 24.09.2020

Your Obediently

BADSHAH MUL HAQ



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019 Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar...

## VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. .....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DURING DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

### PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted Fredto-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

### 7-14/180/1.9 R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

Khybe:

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for

Appleal No. 1452/2019
Markhad Hayat vs Gort 122 (5)

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10:2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3152-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

াক্ট reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

AI

Chairmán

Permara

CATORIO

## <u>VÄKALATNAMA</u>

	_ OF 2021
	(APPELLANT)
BADSHAH MUL HAQ	
	(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
VERSUS	
Education Department	(RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)
<b>Peshawar</b> to appear, plead, act, compromise for the lus as my/our Counsel/Advocate in	
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or oted matter.
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	nority to engage/appoint any other corize the said Advocate to deposit, I sums and amounts payable or oted matter.
any liability for his default and with the auth Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we auth withdraw and receive on my/our behalf al deposited on my/our account in the above no	client