# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

# Service Appeal No. 1411/2023

# Muhmamad Shoaib Khan

#### VERSUS

.....

# Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department & Others

## <u>INDEX</u>

S.No	Description of documents	Annexures	Pages
1	Parawise comments		
2	Inquiry report		01-03
3	Notification dated 24.06.2020	D A	04-27
4	Comprehensive Audit report	D	28
5	Affidavit	C	29-33
		U	34

Joday Next-16-04-24

(ISRAR AHMAD) SECTION OFFICER (LIT-II) GOVT: OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HEALTH DEPARTMENT CNIC # 17301-1378727-7

Appellant

-

Respondents

# BEFORE THE' HONORABLE KHYBER PAKFITUNKHWA SERV ICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1411/2023

Khyber Pakniukhine Service Tribunal	
9857	
Diary No.	
12-12-200	73
Dated	

Muhammad Shoaib Khan.....Appellant

Versus

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others......Respondents

## PARAW1SE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

### **RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH**

#### **PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-**

- 1. That the Appellant has got no cause of action or locus standi to file the instant Appeal.
- 2. That the Appellant has filed the instant appeal just to pressurize the respondents.
- 3. That the instant Appeal is against the prevailing Law and Rules.
- 4. That the Appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 5. That the Appellant has filed the instant Appeal with mala-fide intention hence liable to be dismissed.
- 6. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- 7. That the Appeal is badly time barred.
- 8. That the instant appeal is bad for mis-joinder of unnecessary and nonjoinder of necessary parties.

# ON FACTS:

- 1. Incorrect. The whole career of the appellant is full of complaints and had poor performance in regards to the discharge of his official duties as a drug inspector. On the basis of complaint on the allegations of corruption and issuance of fake Drug Sale License, the provincial Inspection Team conducted a detail inquiry against the appellant and recommended disciplinary action against the appellant along with referral of his case to the anti-corruption establishment Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for legal action. Furthermore, the respondent No. 03 (Secretary Health) constituted a committee for comprehensive audit of District Mardan, Kohat, Dir Lower and Peshawar regarding performance of Drug Inspectors posted as per their job and to find out discrepancies / male practices wherein some illegalities/ irregularities were proved and the committee recommended the Khyber proceedings under disciplinary for appellant Pakhtunkhwa (Efficiency & Disciplinary) Rules 2011. (Copies of the PIT inquiry report, Notification dated 24.06.2020 and report of the committee are Annexures- A, B & C)
  - 2. Incorrect. Already replied in para 01 above.
  - 3. Correct to the extent of issuing a show cause notice which was duly replied by the appellant however, the reply of the appellant was not satisfactory.
  - 4. Already replied in para 03 above.
  - 5. Incorrect. Proper opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the appellant which was availed by the appellant hence denied. Thereafter, after providing opportunities of defense and fulfilling all the codal formalities as per law the appellant was awarded major penalty of removal from service vide Notification dated 02.02.2023 already annexed as annexure-F with the appeal.
  - 6. Pertains to record.
  - 7. Incorrect. No vested right of the appellant has been violated by the replying respondents however, reply on the grounds is as under:

#### Grounds:

- A. Incorrect. The replying respondents acted as per law, Rules and Principles of natural justice.
- B. Incorrect. As per para A above.

- C. Incorrect. All the allegations mentioned in the charge sheet along with statement of allegations have been proved during the inquiry proceedings beyond any shadow of doubt therefore, after fulfilling of all the codal formalities removal Notification dated 02.02.2023 was issued by the Competent Authority.
- D. Incorrect. As per para A above.
- E. Pertains to Court record. However, regular inquiry was conducted through an impartial inquiry committee against the appellant before issuing the impugned notification dated 02.02.2023.
- F. Incorrect. Already replied in para A above.
- G. The replying respondents also seek permission of this Honorable Tribunal to adduce other grounds during final hearing of the case.

## PRAYER

It is therefore requested that the Appeal of the Appellant may very graciously be dismissed with cost.

Director General Drug Control & Pharmacy Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Respondent No. 04

20

Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department Respondent No-02&03





# PROVINCIAL INSPECTION TEAM, KHYBER PAKHTUNKIIWA

# INQUIRY REPORT

#### Subject: INQUIRY INTO COMPLAINT AGAINST MR. AMIN-UL-HAQ SENIOR DRUG INSPECTOR, MARDAN.

#### 1-Order of Inquiry

Orders of the Competent Authority to conduct an inquiry into the captioned case were communicated vide Chief Minister's compliant and Redressal Cell letter No. DS/C&RC)/CMS/KP/1-65/Vol-1/Noor Islam/app-3288/2449 dated 07.09.2020 (Annex: A).

#### 2-Complaint

Kilyber

llex

the complainant, Dr. Noor Islam in his complaint dated 25.7.2020(Annex: B) stated that he was a refired 9 Chiller Medical Officer from Health Department Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and had a clean, er indicated and honest service history at his credit Section Office ection Perturner He further stated that he had a private clinic in Takhit Bhai, Mr. Amin-ul-Haq Senior Drug Inspector Mardan tion Company Sioner Takht Bahi for inspection on 17.03.2020 Health Perkhtung isited pharmacy of his clinic along with Assistant

further alleged that the said store was also used for issuing illegal Drug Licences.

The complainant alleged that on the subsequent day i.e., 18.03.2020, Mr. Amin-ul-Haq sent him a Drug Licence prepared and signed by him for a period of two years. He added that he was insulted in front of his patients, AC and other staff just for the sake of illegal money. The complainant requested for an impartial probe into the matter to punish/transfer such a mentally unfit/drug addict from district Mardan and to replace him by an honest and dutiful drug inspector.

# Inquiry Proceedings.

a. After receiving the case, the complainant, Dr. Noor Islam was requested to attend office of the PIT for hearing and recording statement vide letter dated 11.09.2020 (Annex: C). In response, he attended and recorded his statement on 16.09.2020 (Annex: D).

Allesteil PIT b. The further requested the Assistant Commissioner, Takht Bhai, District Mardan to Section Officer (Lit. würnish comments in connection with allegations Health Depart anu verakhtu)) evelled in the instant complaint vide letter dated 25.09.2020 (Annex: E). The requisite comments furnished by the concerned Assistant Khiv82

Page 3 of 24

Commissioner vide letter dated 28.09.2020 (Annex: F).

© 29

c. The Secretary Health Department was requested to serve the Questionnaire of the PIT upon the accused Drug Inspector and direct him to attend office of the PIT for hearing and recording statement vide letter dated 09.10.2020(Annex: G). In response, brother of the accused Drug Inspector attended who requested that he(Mr.Amin ul Haq Sr. Drug Inspector) would attend the PIT on the next day (Annex: H). The accused himself attended along with reply to the questionnaire on 20.10.2020 (Annex: I). His statement was also recorded wherein he requested that he would submit the deficient/pointed out record within a week time (Annex: J).

The PIT further requested the Secretary Health department to direct the accused officer Mr. Amin ul Flaq to provide the deficient record as per the international commitment of the accused vide letter dated 28.10.2020 and reminders dated 10.11.2020 and 18.11.2020(Annex: K). However, the accused Drug Inspector failed to provide the requisite record. The incumbent Provincial Drug Inspector Mardan namely Abdul Rauf provided some of the record through Health Department vide letter dated 18.11.2020(Annex: L)

S

e. The Director General Drug Control Khyber Pakhtunkhwa was requested to provide an attested/authentic copy of the Drug Control Act 1976 and bye Laws of the Drug control vide letter dated 11.11.2020 and reminder dated 18.11.2020(Annex: M). The requisite laws were provided vide letter dated 17.11.2020(Annex: N).

The PIT served a questionnaire containing two questions upon the then Assistant Commissioner Takht Bhai Mardan vide letter dated 25.11.2020(Annex: N-I). Reply of the officer was received vide letter dated 26.11.2020(Annex: N-II).

g. The PIT visited District Mardan and conducted and inspection of the clinic of Dr. Noor Islam on 10.12.2020. The record of Fake Drug Licences was M. Arrianso collected from the incumbent Drug Inspector rection pepartment Mardan (Mr. Abdur Rauf) during the visit (Annex: Norther Islam O). In light of the fake Drug Licences, statements of the following owners of concerned Drug shaps/pharmacies were also recorded;

No	Name	Pharmacy	Annexure
***			*
	Joint-statement of	Takht Bhai	P
	Muhammad Ikram	Veterinary <sup>.</sup>	· · ·
	S/O Shakar Khan		
	and Muhammad	· · ·	
	Ibrar S/O	• ·	
•••	Muhammad Ikram	· , , · · .	-
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	Yousaf Shah S/O	Sufyan Medicine	
	Niqab Shah	, company Manga, Mardan	· · ·
		Marcian	
<u>,</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	Jamshed Khan	Shahab/Khyber	R
	S/O.Sarfaraz	Medicose Takht	
• .	Khan	Bhai	
	Rasheed Khan	Rasheed	S
ľ	S/O Ghulam	Medicose Takht	
	Sarwar	Bhai	

S

1

2

MACEUR

Prestin Departi Section OF

KnyberP

h. Further PIT visited the medicine shop at Jhandi, Mardan reportedly own by the Rasheed Khan. indicated as middleman of the accused Drug Inspector. However, his shop was found closed. A summon dated 10.12.2020 was handed over to the nearby neigbour of his shop to attend PIT on 11.12.2020 for hearing. In response he attended accordingly and recorded statement (Annex-S). Akhtun

Page 6 of 24

i. The PIT further served a questionnaire upon the Ex-Drug Inspectors Mardan(Mr. Amin-ul-Haq, Tayyab and Muhammad Shoaib) to clarify the status of twenty-nine doubtful Drug Licences issued by them which have no official record vide the PIT letter dated 16.12.2020(Annex-T). In response, only Mr. Shoaib submitted record unofficially (Annex-U).

32

# 4- Observations/Analysis.

After scrutiny of the available record/documents, detailed discussions, written statements and replies of the concerned, observations/findings of the PIT are as under:-

The Complainant, Dr. Noor Islam stated in his written statement dated 16-09-2020(Annex: D) that allest all his complaint was based on facts and that he would be responsible if anything was found baseless. The PIT asked him whether he had Drug Licence on the day when his pharmacy was Tentante an inspected by the Drug Inspector on 17.03.2020. He replied that at the time of inspection and sealing his pharmacy he had no Drug License but the pharmacy was inside his clinic having only. Section Office Juli emergency items for his clinic's patients. The PIT Kivber Pakyrunkir mentunkhand urther asked him to furnish proof regarding the payment of Rs.1,10,000/- as bribe to the concerned

During the inspection he requested the aforementioned Drug Inspector that the pharmacy was only for his clinic's patients and was totally under his supervision. The complainant further added that he informed the Drug Inspector that his clinic was already registered with Health Regulatory Authority.

The complainant contended that the medicine area was inside his clinic where he kept medicines for the consumption of his patients only and did not sell medicines to the general public. The complainant asserted that he requested the said Drug Inspector to intimate his objections (if any) in writing and that he (complainant) would also manage Drug Sale License if required under the law.

The complainant alleged that Mr. Amin-ul-Haq refused to entertain lus request and scaled the pharmacy. He added that he sent his nephew named Mr. Mohammad Younas to the office of the above Drug Inspector where an amount of Rs. 1,40,000/- was demanded from him the truther de-scaling of the pharmacy. The complainant alleged that his nephew paid the Drug Inspector an amount of Rs.1,10,000/- in Rasheed Medical Store situated in Jhanday Bazar Takht Bhai. The complainant truther claimed that Mr. Rasheed, owner of the Rasheed Midical store was middleman of the aforementioned they Inspector for extorting illegal money from all Midical. Stores situated in District Mardan. It was

hricer.

Inspector. He replied that two of Drug his relatives were his witnesses. The PIT asked him that whether he had applied for issuance of Drug license. He replied that he had not applied for any Drug license. He claimed that the accused officer Mr. Amin-ul-Haq issued him fake Drug License which had no official record. The complainant provided the said Drug Licence to the PIT having the following particulars with condition to keep it inquiry the during confidential proceedings(Annex: W);

34

DSL NO	Medical Store	Address	Qualifie	From	To
	Name		d Name		
171/Rs	Islam Medical	Mazdoor	Abdur	18-3-20	17-3-22
š.	Store	Abad Takht	Rehman S/O	(18-3-	(17-3-
a (171/Rs- N)		Bhai	Abdul Jabbar	20)	20)

allestre,

The complainant was further asked that why he paid an amount of Rupees 1,10,000/- as a bribe which was a crime under the law. He contended that it was the only way to expose corruption of the said Drug Inspector.

b. The 'Assistant Commissioner in her comments et-initment dated 28.09.2020 (Annex: F) stated that office of the Assistant Commissioner provides only administrative support to the other departments

and fulfillment of legal formalities was the responsibility of the concerned departments. In the instant case office of the AC Takht Bhai provided administrative support to the concerned Drug Inspector who fulfilled the legal formalities. The PIT asked her as to why she failed to ensure a fair/lawful post sealing action as she accompanied Mr Amin-ul-Haq Sr Drug Inspector Mardan during sealing of the pharmacy of Dr.Noor Islam on 17:03:2020 which was de-sealed on the basis of a fake Drug Licence on 18.03.2020(Annex: N-I). She reiterated that she only provided assistance to the Drug Inspector as provided under section 18(1)(d) of the Drug Act 1976. She added that neither she issued the sealing nor the de-sealing order. She further added that powers of sealing lies with the Drug Inspector and the powers of desealing rested with Drug Court or Provincial Quality control Board(Annex: N-II).

Mr. Shams-ul-Haq Pharmacy Technician of Teshil Hospital Tangi(brother of Amin-ul-Haq Sr. Drug nInspector) in his statement dated 19.10.2020(Annex: H) stated that he attended the ection Officer Whis brother had fallen from stairs and had got ection Department Winjuries on his hand due to which he was unable to Health Department attend the proceedings. He prod PIT on behalf of his brother. He further stated that certificate issued by a private orthopedic surgeon.

allester

'an

He requested that his brother would attend the PIT on next day.

d. Mr. Amin-ul-Haq Senior Drug Inspector Mardan in his reply to the questionnaire of the PIT (Annex: I) stated that he was posted in District Mardan on 30.10.2019. He added that his routine inspections schedule was started from 9.00am to 5:00pm at random basis. He further added that on the day of sealing medical store of the Dr.Noor Islam, he inspected the following three other pharmacies along with Assistant Commissioner Takht Bhai.

a. Shahab Medical Store

b. Sehat medicos' main bazaar Takht Bhai district Mardan

Khyber Medicos

Nostr. According to his statement he inspected medical store of Dr.Noor Islam on 17.03 2020 along with C Takht Bhai and then issued de-sealing order of withe medical store after issuance of License for which all the requisite documents were furnished 9 Shid Doctor ran his medical store without a license When he sealed Section Officient historie for which he provided all the relevant documents and details to Drug Contact for communication of the commun Noor Islam under section 23 (1)(c),vii, vi & (i) of the Drug Act 1976. Unregistered Expired, Misbranded and Indian drugs were taken on form-6. He further informed that the said -seized drug Sample record was available along with attestation and biometric proofs with Mr. Imran Ullah store keeper of Dr. Noor Islam medical store. Regarding provision of detail of the Drug Licinces issued by him (Sr.Drug Inspector Mardan), he informed that during the month of March, 2020, record of his office was mixed due to non availability of storage facility and would take time in its recovery. Which seems a lame excuse and leads to the impression that no record was available of the Drug Licence in question.

statement dated 20.10.2020(Annex; I) the accused officer stated that he visited the clinic of complainant, Dr. Noor Islam for inspection along with Assistant Commissioner Takht Bhai, Mardan at around 9.00 am on 17.03.2020. He added that a harmacy shop was there with clinic of the above Adoctor. During inspection, the said Doctor had no Licence, In addition, unregistered and Drug mples were also found in his pharmacy. Hence, his pharmacy was sealed. He added that the next day (18.03.2020), when the said Doctor produced the Drug Licence, de-sealing order was issued. The PIT asked him that as per his claim, if the said Doctor had been running the pharmacy without

allostick

OFFICI

Khyber Pakhtut

Licence for years then how many times he issued him show Cause Notices. He replied that he did not issue any show cause notice before the sealing and expressed ignorance if any other Drug Inspector had issued him any show cause notice before his posting. The PIT asked him to explain that whether issuance of show cause notice is required before sealing of any pharmacy. He replied that Dr. Noor Islam was issued a show cause notice on the same day i.e., on 17.03.2020. The PIT asked him to produce copy of application of the said Doctor for issuance of Licence. He replied that he had no copy of the said application at the time. However, he promised that he would provide the same within a week time. The PIT asked him to explain the procedure of issuance of Drug Licence. He replied that the same was mentioned in the Drug Act-1976, which he would provide after confirmation from the said Act. The PIT asked him to produce copy of the Drug Licence which he issued to the concerned Doctor on the basis of which he issued the order of desealing. He promised that he would provide the copy within a week time. The PIT asked him whether he got the seal/signatures of the concerned Doctor on the confiscated drugs. He replied that Form-6 was attested by the concerned pharmacy store keeper. The PIT asked him that

yber

why he did not turnish detail of the Drug Licences issued by him during the month of march 2020. He promised that he would produce the said record within a weak time. However, he failed to produce record of the Drug Licence issued to Dr. Noor Islam despite repeated requests. 34

 The Health Department vide its letter dated 18.11.2020(Annex: L) informed that Mr.Amin ul-Haq Sr. Drug Inspector Mardan issued the following three Drug Licences during the month of March 2020;

			· ·	· · ·	· · · · ·	
	DSL NO	Medical Store Name	Address	Qualified Name	From	То
allestra	29/Rs	Musa Khel		Sahib ul	22-2-20	21-3-22
allestrue of dense in	1444) 1444) 14779	Medical Store	Road Mardan	Hay S/O M. Kamil	Issued on 16.3.20	
	117/Rs	Shameén Médicos	do	M. Nadeem S/O Hikmat Shah	09.3.20	08.3.22
ection Ornerment	633/Rs	Mirza Medicos	Saro Shah Takht Bhai	Mirza Khan S/O Ashraf Khan	26.3.20	25.3.22

g. Mr. Abdur Rauf Drug Inspector Mardan in his statement dated 10.12.2020(Annex: O) stated that he was posted in District Mardan on 07.10.2020. The PIT asked him that whether he had conducted any inspection/scrutiny for verification of the Drug Licences issued in District Mardan. He replied that he had conducted a field inspection during which the following Drug Licences issued by the ex-Drug Inspectors (Mr. Amin ul Haq, Shoaib and Tayyab) were found fake, without official record, Bank challan, and qualified person and in contravention of the Drug Rules 2017. He added that he had also shared the said record with Health Department;

	J.J.		
	the	ŞÝ	Ŋ
	• •	1 1	$\mathcal{Q}$
1/4	in	X	-1

CLUM Uticer (Lit 4

Offic

Detail of Drug Licences having no official record.

S.No	Name of Medicose	Drug license Number	Address
1.	Takht Bhai veferinary	102/Rs	Malakand road takht Bhai
		Dated 20.05.2020	
·2.	Shahab:medicose	202/RS	Main bazar takht bhair, Mardan.
		Dated 07.05.2020	
33.	Mohmand medical	547/RS	Saleem staff charsadda road mardan.
at, ∖	center	Dated 01.04.2020	Ů
1.	Said medicose	766/NS <sup>1</sup>	Opp Rhc manga charsadda road
		Dated 30.12.2019	mardan.
5.	Health care pharmacy	135/Rs	Main bazaar lund khwar, takht bhai
<b>a</b> 1		Dated 30.04.2019	mardān.
. 6.	Sanan veterinary	352/Rs	Chel road main chowk lund khwar
	medicine store	Dated 17.02.2020	bazaar
7 7.1	Rehman medical center	434/WS	Mc plaza shop 14, bank road mardan
$f = \int$		Dated 08.06.2020	
Ś.	Afaq traders	378/WS	Mc plaza bank road mardan.
12.		Dated 13.12.2018	
9	City medicose	361/RS	Swabi road kas korona college morh
		Dated 14.05.2019	Mardan
$\sim$	Sulyan medicen	704/RS	Charsadda road manga, District
•	company	Dated 06.08.2020	Mardan.
	Ayaan medical store	87/RS	Malakan road jalala takht bhai ,
1	Ay man meetical broce	Dated 19.06.2020	Mardan
		571/RS	New plaza sher garh takht Bhai
14	United medicose	,	
		Dated	Mardan

Page 14 of 24

			· •
13	Anas medical store	100 / 150	
. ·		409/RS	Nawaz plaza shergarh mardan
14	Ibrahim medical	Dated 05.10.2020	****
ļ	company *	697/RS	Abbas market manga mardan
15	Gul medical store	Dated 24.07.2020	
	Gui medical store	88/RS	Hatian road sher garh takht bhai
		Dated 01.04.2019	Mardan
10	Mussa khel medicose	725/RS	Hari chand road sher garh takht bhai
•		Dated 18.09.2018	
17	Waris medical store	519/RS	Pir sado takht bhai
		Dated 29.07.2019	
18	Kareem medical store	611/RS/N	Doran abad swabi road mardan
		Dated 09,09.2020	
10	Saeed medical store	· 720/RS	Nawa kali rustam Mardan
• .	- succer memerican store	Dated 04.09.2020	
 ר	Anwar medicose	479/RS	Opposite civil hospital rustan
2	a Anavar medicose	Dated 05.10.2020	mardan
		518/RS	Main bazaar shankar katlang road
	1 Amjid medical store	Dated 25.02.2020	Mardan
· · · · ·		324/RS	Jamal plaza prc chowk police line
-2	2 Mardan surgical service	Dated 22.06.2020	road
		326/RS	Katlang road shankar mardan.
	23 Zaman medicose		Kanang road an
		Dated 16.10.2020	Chato chowk shamsi road mardan
,	24 M-jehångir pharmacy	498/RS	Chato chown signific
		Dated : Nil	Swabi road sohaib plaza, kes
	25 Ikrain medicose	703/RS	koroona
		Dated 20.07.2020	Mian bazar gujarat mardan
	26 Saddam veterinary store	470	Ivnan bazar Bujarar mar ant
••		Dated 23.12.2019	Katlang road shankar
	27 Rehman medicial store	822/RS	Katiang road Sharika
		. Dated 26.02.2019	a till southel system mardan
	28 Talha medicose	272/WS	Opp; civil hospital rustam mardan.
		Dated 14:05:2019	liber moul
- :	29 Ihsan medical store .	617/RS	Manga stop, waseem khan mark
	29 Ihsan medical store	Dated 15.07.2020	shop no. 1, tehsil mardan di
		1. ·	mardan.

The PIT served a questionnaire upon the then Drug Inspectors Mardan (Mr. Amin-ul-Haq, Tayyab and Muhammad Shoaib) to clarify the position of the above Licences. However, Mr. Minin-ul-Haq and Tayyab did not submit any reply while Mr. Muhammad Shoaib unofficially submitted record of Drug Licences issued by him which is doubtful due to no official record in backup/support. (Annex-U).

Page 15 of 24

47 h. In another case of fake Drug Licence, Mr. Muhammad Ikram S/Ó Shakar Khan and Muhammad Ibrar S/O Muhammad Ikram owners of Takht Bhai Veterinary in their joint statement dated 10.12.2020 (Annex-P) stated that they paid about Rs.1,00,000/- to Mr. Amin Ul Haq Drug Inspector through Mr. Jamshed owner of Khyber Medical store and they were provided Licence No.102/Rs, dated 20.05.2020. They added that before issuance of Licence, Mr. Amin Ul Haq and Mr. Rashid owner of Rashid Medicos had visited their store and issued the warning that he(the Drug Inspector) would seal their store. At the time of visit the said Drug Inspector received Rs.15,000/- from them and gave them two days time. They further informed that an amount of Rs.85,000/- was further paid to Mr. Amin ul Haq which was received by Mr. Rashid owner of Rahsid Medicos. Thus, a total of Rs. 1,00,000/- was paid to the aforementioned Drug Inspector and they were issued the above Drug Licence without any further information regarding its authenticity. They requested to return them the amount extorted by the above Drug Inspector. In this connection, Mr. Jamshed Khan S/O Sarfaz Khan owner of Shahab/Khyber Medicos in his statement dated 11.12.2020 (Annex-R) stated that

thyder Pakht

Mr. Amin Ul Haq Drug Inspector had raided the Drug shop of Muhammad Ikram (Takht Bhai veterinary) during April/May 2020 and issued him a show-cause notice. He added that the son of Muhammad Ikram came to him and then they talked to Amin-ul-Haq Drug Inspector. The said inspector told them that he would arrange qualified person for them and then he received about Rs. 80000/- to Rs 85000/-. He further stated that he was a guarantor in the said amount. Afterwards, the aforementioned Drug Inspector provided them a Drug Licence through Mr. Rasheed owner of Rashid Medicos Jhandi Bazar, Takht Bhai, Mardan. The PIT further asked him to explain that the Drug Licence No.202/Rs dated 07.05.2020 issued to his brother owner of Shahab Medicose had no official record and was fake. He replied that he himself provided all the requisite documents along with Rs.10,000/- for issuance of Drug Licence to Amin ul Haq Drug Inspector during April 2020 who issued a Drug Licence within fifteen days. He added that his brother Mr. Shahab was himself a qualified person. He further added that he did not know whether his Drug Licence was legal or illegal.

interior neingitis

Arrent Martin

tion of

Knyber Patter

lestre

Health Department Khyper Pakhtunkhwa

Another owner of medicine shop, Mr.Yousaf Shah Niqab Shah owner of Sufyan Medicine company, Manga Mardan in his statement dated

Page 17 of 24

running the said medicine shop since August 2020 and his qualification was F.A. He added that he deposited an amount of Rs.8,000/- in Bank for the Drug. Licence and Rs.60,000/- was paid to Mr. Amin Ul Haq who provided the Drug Licence to his brother. The PIT asked him whether he knew his qualified person (Mr.Altaf Hussain S/O Abdul Ghafar). He replied that he had heard that the said person was resident of Takht Bhai and did not have any further information. The PIT asked him whether he knew that his Licence was fake. He replied that he did not know regarding the said fact.

accused Drug of the middleman k. The prime Inspector, Mr. Rasheed Khan S/O Ghulam Sarwar owner of Rasheed Medical Store jhandi Bazar Mardan in his statement dated 11.12.2020(Annex-S) stated that he had been running the said shop since 2002. He further stated that he neither received any amount from Dr. Noor Islam nor he provided Drug Licence to him. He added that he ad met the aforementioned Doctor after three A days of sealing of his medical store. He further added that a Jirga was held between Mr. Amin ul Athin him. During the size Hag and Dr. Noor Islam at his residence which him. During the jirga the Drug Inspector offered Kion Officer (Lit-II)

erection off

Page 18 of 24

his apology to Dr.Noor Islam who accepted the apology saying that he was a pakhtun and that the Drug Inspector had come to his residence. However, he demanded that the amount of Rs.1,10,000/- received by the Drug Inspector would be returned to him. In response, Mr. Amin Ul Haq said that he would call the qualified person and if he agreed to cancel his Licence he would return the amount.

The above statement reveals that Mr. Amin ul Haq had received an amount of Rs. 1,10,000/from Dr. Noor Islam. Which is a concrete evidence of acceptance of bribe by the accused Drug Inspector.

1. It was further observed that on one hand the accused Drug Inspector, Mr. Amin ul Haq Sr. Drug Inspector Mardan admitted that pharmacy Dr. Noor Islam was de-sealed after issuance of of Drug Licence by him on 18:03.2019. But on the other hand he failed to produce the application of Dr. Noor Islam for issuance of the Drug Licence and copy of the Drug Licence issued to aforementioned Doctor despite the repeated requests of the PIT. Furthermore, the above Drug Inspector, as per the data provided by Health Partment not include the Dear Department, revealed that he had issued only pharmacy of Dr. Noor Islam(the complainant). It

Page 19 of 24

confirmed the claim of Dr. Noor Islam that he was issued a fake Drug Licence by Mr. Amin ul Haq Drug Inspector just 'for extorting illegal money from him. Furthermore, it was found that the pharmacy was sealed on 17.03.2020 and desealed on 18.03.2020 after issuance of Drug how the Licence which casts doubts as to formalities of moving application by Dr. Noor " lslam for Drug Licence, arrangement of qualified Drug Licence, processing of person for application and issuance of Drug Licence were fulfilled in just one day. Similarly, statement of Mr. Rasheed Khan S/O Ghulam Sarwar owner of Rasheed Medical Store, jhandi Bazar, Mardan revealed that the said Drug Inspector had received an amount of Rs.1,10,000/- from Dr. Noor Islam.

Similarly, Statements of Mr. Muhammad Ikram S/O Shakar Khan & Muhammad Ibrar S/O Bhai Takht owners of Ikram Muhammad Veterinary, Takht bhai, Mr. Jamshed Khan S/O Shahab/Khyber owner of Khan Sarfaz Medicos, Takht bhai and Mr. Yousaf Shah S/O () Niqab Shah owner of Sufyan Medicine company Manga Mardan were sufficient concrete evidence of eye witness and proved without any shadow of Fice the in aforementioned cases which tantamount to state that the Prating III to section of the that the

officerAl

Inspector does not enjoy reputation of an honort officer and is involved in taking illegal money from the Drug Sellers.

# 5. Conclusion/Findings

Based on the observation/analysis of this report the conclusion/findings of the PIT are as under;

# Fake Licence;

a. As mentioned in para-4(1), it was proved that the accused Drug inspector (Mr.Amin ul Haq) issued the complainant a fake Drug Licence(Licence particulars mentioned in para-4-a) in a private Medical Store having no official record in contravention with Rule-14 of the Drug Rules 1982 as amended in 2017. In addition, as informed by Health Department, only three Drug Licences were issued by the accused Drug Inspector during the concerned. month (March 2020) which does not include the Licence issued to the pharmacy of 'Dr. Noor Islam. It further validated the claim of the complainant that he was issued a fake Licence for illegal money which has no official record.

# Bribe/illegal Money

- 555 (201)

Pak

Khybet

The allegation that an amount of Rs. 1,10,000/was illegally extorted by the above Drug Inspector from the complainant was also proved

Page 21 of 24

by the circumstances that the accused issued a fake and forged Drug Licence upon which he had de-sealed the pharmacy which shows undue favour to the complainant. Similarly, as per the statement of Mr. Rasheed Khan S/O Ghulam Sarwar owner of Rasheed Medical Store Jhandi Bazar Mardan Mr. Amin ul Haq had 🗸 received an amount of Rs.1,10,000/- from Dr. Noor Islam which was demanded back by the Doctor during a Jirga. Similarly, the said statements of other owners of Drug sellers mentioned in para-4(l) also confirmed receipt of illegal money/bribe from the Drug sellers by the accused Drug Inspector. Thus, the accused Drug Inspector (Mr. Amin ul Haq) misused his authority and committed corruption.

# <u>Others</u>

OFFIC

ilin

nh ber Pulibre

c. The PIT found during the proceedings of the inquiry that the Drug Licences mentioned in para-4(g), did not have any official record and are doubtful.

The PIT found that Medicos of the Dr. Noor am is situated inside his clinic (Annex-V). wever, he is required to move application for Staining a legal Drug Sale Licence as required per P2 under the Law.

e. The Health Department is also required to look allostert.

Page 22 of 24

## Scanned with CamScanner

into the claim of accused Drug Inspector that Dr. Noor Islam had misbranded/Indian drugs at. his clinic and take necessary action accordingly under the law.

# 6- <u>Recommendations</u>.

1.

11

to the PIT.

In light of the observations/findings and conclusion of this report, recommendations of the PIT as under;

Strict Disciplinary action may be initiated against Mr. Amin-ul-Haq Sr.Drug Inspector Mardan for issuing a fake Drug Licence and receiving illegal money/bribe from Dr. Noor Islam. Furthermore, a case regarding issuance of fake/forged Drug Licence and accepting bribe may be registered in Anti-Corruption Establishment Khyber Pakhtunkhwa for taking legal action.

The above Drug Inspector may immediately be removed from field posting and he may not be posted in field in future.

III. The administrative Department may be directed to conduct an inquiry into the Drug Licences mentioned in para-4(g) issued by Mr. Amin ul Haq, Tayyab and Muhammad Shoaib Khan Drug te Taspectors during their tenures in District Mardan

Page 23 of 24

Dr. Noor Islam(the complainant) may also be directed to move application for obtaining a legal Drug Sale Licence as required under the law.

V. In the Drug Licences the contents and addresses of the qualified person should be incorporated for the purpose of verification. Similarly, Drug Licences may be issued on-line throughout the Province.

VI. The Health Department may be directed to look into the claim of accused Drug Inspector that. Dr. Noor Islam had misbranded/Indian drugs at his clinic and take necessary action accordingly in light of the relevant law/rules. The Department may also ensure that no such drug is sold in the Market so as to protect human lives.

Research ON Provincial Inspection Team.

IV:

LIAQAT ALL

Member Enquiry Provincial Inspection Team

ud. In 1/01/2021 SALAH UD DIN

UN VEPERMUNEN

Member General Provincial Inspection Team

A STANSHOUTS

Allestur

CHAIRMAN

Provincial Inspection Team

Page 24 of 24

Scanned with CamScanner

GOVT O L PAKHTUNKHWA

# Dated the Peshawar 24th June, 2020 NOTIFICATION No. SOH-III/7-262/2020. The Competent Authority is pleased to constitute the following committee regarding conduction of comprehensive audit in Districts (Kohat, Peshawar, Mardan & Dir lower) regarding Drugs activities like licenses data, NOCs issued, case properly, pending cases and status of FIR etc with immediate effect in the public interest. Chairman Additional Secretary (Dev) ⇒. j). · Member Director General, Drug Control & Pharmacy Service. Secretary/Member Director, Drug Control & Pharmacy Services. ાંગ Member Section Officer (Drugs) Health Department. The said committee shall submit its report with findings/recommendations within one month positively for perusal of the Competent Authority. Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department 2000 Endst of even No and Date. Copy forwarded to the:-The Director General, Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. The Director General, Drug Control & Pharmacy Services, Khyber <sup>≈</sup>2. Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. The Deputy Director (I.T) Health Department -7 PS to Secretary Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. PA to Special Secretary-I, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa-PA to Additional Secretary (Estab/Dev) Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 5. PA to Deputy Secretary (Admn), Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 7. Members of the Committee concerned. Me. STOBADD Allestert Jand 8. SECTION OFFICER-III

# OMPREHENSIVE AUDIT REPORT OF DISTRICT MARD

Prologue:

The Competent Authority constituted a four members committee under the chairmanship of Additional Secretary (B&D). Health Department for comprehensive audit of theOffices of Drug Inspectors of Districts Mardan, Kohat, Dir Lower and Peshawar with regard to their activities in respect of Drug Sale Licenses's data, NOCs issued, case properties, pending cases and the status of FIRs etc (Annex-I).

Authorization:

Health Department's Notification No. SOH-III/7-262/2020, dated 24-06-2020 (Annex-II).

Methodology of Inquiry:

Office of Drug Inspectors of District Mardan was visited on 15th July 2020 for checking the relevant records/activities. In this context a letter was issued to field. officers for the assigned task (Annexure-III).

# Documents Reviewed:

- a. The Drugs Act, 1976
- b. Drug Rules, 1982
- c. Record of the Drug Sale Licenses
- d. Attendance of the Drug Cell
- e. Inspection record of the Medical stores/distribution outlet
- f. Warehouse/Godown of the Seized Stock
- g. Drug Samples record of the last two years
- h. Cases submitted to PQCB
- i. Cases submitted to Drug Court
- Sealing orders of the Stores
- j. k. FIR Cases
- Substandard, unregistered and misbranded etc. cases
- m. Drug Cases record in Drug office
- n. NOCs issued to Applicants holding Cat, A. B & C
- Verification of Categories issued from Khyber Pakhlunkhwa
- 0.
- Pharmacy Council.

# Drug Inspectors District Mardan

rently the Drug Inspectors Office of District Mardan consists of the following

positions;	$\mathcal{D}^{*}$	•	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Filled	Vacant	
		BPS	No. of post	Filled	1	
A SH	Position	1.0	[1	10		
A para N	Chief. Drug			<u><u> </u></u>	10	
()/()	Inspector	+ 10	11	11		
N 1 2.	Senior Drug	18			1	
	Inspector		13	2	-	
GAN A T	Drug Inspector	17		1.1		
14/1 / V	Junion olerik	111		1.	10	
	Junior clerk					- 10.7 1995 - 1995 1997 - 1995
	. Naib Qasid		1			4 4
AL	Driver			م از در از می از مینی از مینی از مینی می از مینی می از مینی می		i an
		cine de la cine	inite and the second		A TANK MI-IN ME	5 1 8 1 1 1
" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	and I want the state of the state	and the second second second	Sector Sector Sector Sector	a star i miller we have been a so where	angenie i janen natur en sigen	2 4 M 2 3

#### dit of Senior Drug Inspector, Mr. Amin-ul-Haq (BPS-18).

Mr. Amin-ul-Haq assumed the charge of BS-18 vide Health Department's Notification No. SOH-III/10-4/2019, dated 30<sup>th</sup> October 2019. Following were observed from the record;

- A. No Register/File was maintained for inspection of Medical Stores/Distributors.
- B. No record of sealing of medical stores was available.
- C. No samples were failed in his tenure.
- D. No attendance record of the officer was available.
- E. No record of NOCs issued to applicants of Distt. Mardan who applied for getting DSLs in other districts/provinces.
- F. Licensing record was lying in dilapidated condition.
- G. No NOC was obtained from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pharmacy Council for the grant or renewal of Drug Sale licenses.
- H. Form-8 was not properly filled. Presence of Qualified Person was not assured at the time of issuing DSL.
- 1. Seized medicines property and its record was in had condition and was not identifiable:
- J. A single case was not launched in the Drug Court. Khyber Pakhtunkliwa.
- K. No taking over/handing over record was available.
- L. Market sampling of drugs for checking their quality was negligible.
- M. Being head of Drug Control in Distt. Mardan. his performance in the
  - implementation of the Drug laws/rules, was poor.
- N Statement of Dr. Noor Islam was received that Mr. Amin-ul-Hag, Senior
  - brug Inspector took one rupees lac twenty thousand (Rs. 120,000)
  - brough his private tout namely, Mr. Rashid for granting Drug Sale
    - ense for his Medical Store.

# Mardan (BPS-17) Mardan

Mr. Showners and der use American and posted as Drug Inspector (BS-17) in September, 2017. The following were observed during the visit; September, 2017. The following were observed during the visit; September, 2017. The following were observed during the visit; A. No Register/File was maintained for inspection of Medical Stores/Distributors. B. In his Progress Report eighty seven (87) Medical Stores were reflected B. In his Progress Report eighty seven (87) Medical Stores were reflected way file of the said Security of the stores was not present.

# Scanned with CamScanner

- C. In his entire tenure only two samples of drugs were failed by Drugs Testing Lab, showing his poor performance on implementing the Drug Laws/Rules.
- D. Attendance record of the officer was not present.
- E. No NOCs record for other districts/provinces was present.
- F. Licensing record was lying in dilapidated condition.
- G. No NOC was obtained from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pharmacy Council for the grant or renewal of Drug Sale licenses.
- H. Form-8 was not properly filled. Presence of Qualified Person was not assured at the time of issuing DSL.
- J. No record of the cases sent to Provincial Quality Control Board (PQCB)
- or Drug Court Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, was available.
- J. Proper handing over/taking over record was not present.
- K. Market sampling of drugs for checking their quality was negligible.
- L. No chain of command was observed in the issuance of DSLs to Medical Stores having no or poor documentation which create doubt in the authenticity of the said DSLs.

# <u>Audit of Mr. Shehzada Mustafa Anwar Drug Inspector (BPS-17) Mardan</u>

Mr. Shehzada Mustafa Anwar assumed the charge of Drug Inspector (BS-17) in Distt. Mardan in December, 2018: The following were observed during inspection.

A. No Register/File was maintained for inspection of Medical Stores/Distributors.

B. Though his performance, as compared to his other colleagues, in taking Drug Samples for the purpose of test/analysis was good (06 samples per

month) but in fact it's negligible.

in Department

ber Publituili

- C. Record of attendance of the officer was not present.
- D. No NOCs record for other districts/provinces was present.
- E. Licensing record was lying in dilapidated condition.
- F. No NOC was obtained from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pharmacy Council for the grant or renewal of Drug Sale licenses.
- G: Form-8 was not properly filled. Presence of Qualified Person was not assured at the time of issuing DSL.

H. Seized property and its record was in bad condition and was not identifiable.

I. Proper handing over /taking.over.record was not present State Contact States States States After going through the available record and discussion with the field officers in respect of activities carried out under The Drugs Laws and rules in Mardan district. Following anomalies were observed by the committee.

indings:

Section Officer

allester. Dr.in There was no proper record of the Drug Sale licenses (DSL) maintained rather mix files containing some partial documents were dumped haphazard in samples room. Gommittee randomly collected 69 files for its verification and authenticity of documents. Amongst 69 files, the 7 categories (Pharmacy registration was found fake as per record tallied with Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pharmacy council but the Drug Inspectors have issued Drug lisences against it without confirming the authenticity of Registration in violation of policy of the govt (Annexure-IV)Seven numbers of licenses were found issued on registration against which licenses were issued in other Districts or Province (Annexure-V) and no NOC was sought prior to issuance of these Lisences from relevant quarter.

 No record was present for inspection of medical stores, tour program for checking of medical stores.

Mostly samples of drugs supplied through MCC were drawn and sent for the test analysis to DTL and market samples were not focused which indicates that quality assurance of the Drugs/Medicines selling in market were not screened for its quality and safety.

Data for sealing of medical stores for the last few years were checked but no record found. Although verbally reported about some sealing of stores but its lawful de-sealing was not followed. It was observed that inspectors were abusing their authority personal whim and wishes which are detrimental to the system.

No inspection proforma/checklist has been found filled mandatory for grant.

The seized stock was kept disorganized in a dilapidated condition without any detail and the committee could not tally the same with any seizure record.

Under The Drugs Act 1976, DIs have to convey seizure of the seized stock to the Competent Authority but no such correspondence /permission found in the record that could indicate the quantity of the seized stock. Performance of Mr. Shehzada Mustafa Anwar, Drug Inspector was comparatively good than his other 02 colleagues. His performance as Drug



Inspector Distr. Swat was good but when he has transferred to DEA Mardan, his performance has been dropped to an average level which indicate that under the influence of two other DIs in corrupt system where ministerial staff were also hand in glove, he remained unable to work efficiently.

The ministerial staff specially the one Kamran clerk has failed to keep the office record in proper manner that indicates his poor performance for hiz vested interests.

### Recommendations.

In view of the forgoing the following recommendations are proposed.

11.

- Disciplinary action against Mr. Amin-ul-Haq, Senior Drug Inspector and Mr. Shoaib Khan, Drug Inspector, Mardan may be initiated under the F&D Rules.
- The office of the drug control at Mardan may be directed to compile record of all type of drug licenses along with streamlining the relevant record and NOC issued for other districts and submit the same within two weeks to the office of Director General Drug control & Pharmacy Services for further Necessary action.
- The department may take remedial measures to sack them from field duty on account of poor performance and corrupt practices and post them in hospitals as they have miserably failed in doing away the job assigned to them in fulfillment of the provisions of the Drugs Act, 1976 to protect the public interest at large.

The supporting staff required to be replaced with new faces by directing hoffice flent with the fice of drug control Mardan does not go on sale, DHO concerned to do the needful to protect public interests ensuring the

an DepartuDirector General, Drug Control & Pharmacy Kaleem UHah Additional Secretary (B&D) """Services Health Department

allester

Dr. Abbas Khan

Additiona Director (Drugs) DG, DC & PS

Naseer Ahmad Section Officer (Drugs), Health Department

### Scanned with CamScanner

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR** 

Service Appeal No. 1411/2023

Muhmamad Shoaib Khan

VERSUS

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department & Others

Respondents

Appellant

#### **AFFIDAVAT**

I, Abbas Khan, DG Drugs DGHS office Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the Written Reply alongwith annexures are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPONENT

(Abbas Khan) ( (Director General Drugs) Health Directorate Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

~\_\_\_\_

**IDENTIFIED BY:** 



# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HEALTH DEAPRTMENT

# **AUTHORITY LETTER**

**Mr. Safi Ullah,** Focal Person (Litigation-II), Health Department, Civil Secretariat is hereby authorized to attend/defend the Court Cases and file comments on behalf of Secretary Health Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa before the Service Tribunal and lower Courts.

> (MAHMOOD ASLAM) Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Health Department

Allestert

Section Officer (Lit-III) Health Departments Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

#### Better Copy

Grad Lit (IV/EP Services and General Admo. Deptt; (Republicin Wing)

No. SOR L(S&GAD)4-2/82 Dated: 15-01-1998

- Address strates tecretates to
- Government of NAMED
- 2 Secretary to Governme My411
- 3. Secretary to class the second start
- 4 Ali Divisiona, Commissioners, in LiVEP
- 5. All Heatts of attached Departments in NVV- P
- 6 All Heads of Autonomous/Sem -Autonomous
- Bodies in NWFP.
- 7. All Deputy Commissioners/Political Agents In NWFP.
- 8 Hie Registrar, Postnivar High Court, Pesnawar
- 9 All District and Section Judges in NWEP
- 10 The Rug suar, HWFP Services Tribuna Peshawar
- 11. The Secretary INVEP Public Relvic Commission
- 12 The Director, Anti-Corruption Establishment, Peshawar:
- 13 The Secretary Board of Revenue, NWFP

SUBJECT - SIGNING OF PARA-WISE COMMENTS ETC. IN SERVICE APPEALS FILED IN THE NWFP SEVICES TRIBUNAL BY CIVIL SERVANTS.

Sir

Lanctic todal, in the beside subject notes answe and to say that pursuant to Rule-1Cr2 of NW-1. Screes, Infanor Rule, 1574, the competent authority has been pleased to authorize the Administrative Secretaries concerned on a subordinate officer to be nominated by the Administrative Secretary to sign para-wise comments etc. on behalf of the Chief Minister, NWFP and Chief Secretary NWFP, as the case may be in cases of service appeals field by the Civic Services before the NWFP. Services Tribunat

Yours Obedient Servant,

Sd/xxx

SECTION OFFICER (REGULATION-I) S&GAD. Endst: Even No. and date. A copy is forwarded for information to the: -All Addi Secretaries/Dy: Secretaries in S&GAD. 1. All Section Officers/Estate Officers in S&GAD. 2 3. P.S. to Chief Secretary, NWFP. 4 ρ S to Secretary S&GAD