BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 7560/2021 Wuzaffar Iqbal Ex-Const: No. 683, District Kohat

..... Appellant

WERSUS

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

.... Respondents

INDEX

S#	Description of documents	Annexure	pages
1.	Parawise comments	-	01-03
2.	Affidavit		04
3.	Copy of order dated 20.08.2020	A	05
4.	Copy of opinion of Medical Board	С	06
5.	Additional documents	**	07-08
6.	Authority letter	•	09

Deponent

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

P-1

Service Appeal No. 7560/2021 Muzaffar Iqbal Ex-Const: No. 683, District Kohat

..... Appellant

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

...... Respondents

PARAWISE COMMENTS BY RESPONDENTS NO. 1 TO 3.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- That the appeal is not based on facts.
- ii. That the appeal is not maintainable in the present form.
- iii. That the appeal is bad for mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary and proper parties.
- iv. That the appeal is barred by law & limitation.
- v. That the appellant is estopped to file the instant Service Appeal by his own conduct.
- vi. That the appellant has not come to this Honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- vii. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file the instant Service Appeal.

FACTS:-

- Pertains to record hence no comments.
- Pertains to record hence no comments.
- Incorrect, the appellant was invalidated vide OB No. 587/SRC dated 20.08.2020 (annexure "A") on the request of appellant and opinion of Medical Board (annexure "B").

P-2

- 4. Incorrect and misleading. As already explained above that appellant was invalidated vide dated 20.08.2020. It is worth to add here that appellant filed application before the Regional Police Officer, Kohat wherein he has requested for re-enrolment in service and the same was marked to District Police Officer, Kohat for disposal as per rules/ policy. It is pertinent to mention here that in view of prevailing situation and meager strength, Police department always in need of active men for active duties while there is already a number of injured personnel and other suffering from different kind of diseases and deployed on light duties. Furthermore, by validation of the appellant will open a Pandora box, hence his application was filed/ rejected. The appellant has already availed pensionary benefits/ financial incentives thus his re-enrollment is unjustified under the challenging circumstances and will be burdened on public exchequer.
- 5. Incorrect, the application was rejected vide letter dated 10.08.2021, on the grounds of reasons as already mentioned in Para No. 4.
- 6. Incorrect, the order is in accordance with facts and legally justified.
- 7. Incorrect, as already explained in Para No. 4 & 5 hence no comments.
- 8. Incorrect and misleading. As already explained above that appellant was validated on his own request and on opinion of the Standing Medical Board.
- 9. Incorrect, as already explained in preceding Paras hence no comments.
- Incorrect, the instant Service Appeal is not maintainable on following Grounds.

GROUNDS:-

- A. Incorrect, as already explained in Para No. 4 & 5 hence no comments.
- B. Incorrect, as already explained in Para No. 4 hence no comments.
- C. Incorrect, as already explained above that appellant has already availed pensionary benefits/ financial incentives and his re-enrolment will open a Pandora box.
- D. Pertains to service record of the appellant hence no comments.
- E. Incorrect, no violation of human rights exists on part of answering respondents.
- F. Incorrect, the order passed by respondent No. 3 is in accordance with lawl rules.

P-3

- G. Incorrect, the order is based on solid grounds/ reasons/ facts and is sustainable.
- H. Incorrect and misleading. As already explained in Para No. 4 that the appellant was validated on his own request and on opinion of Standing Medical Board
- I. Incorrect.

PRAYER:-

Keeping in view the above stated facts and rules it is therefore humbly prayed that the appeal is not maintainable being devoid of merits hence, may kindly be dismissed with costs, please.

Regional Police Officer, Kohat

(Respondent No. 2)

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakytunkhwa, (Respondent No. 1)

> District Police Officer, Kohat

(Respondent No. 3)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Service tribunal, peshawar

Service Appeal No. 7560/2021 Muzaffar Iqbal Ex-Const: No. 683, District Kohat

..... Appellant

Versus

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

.... Respondents

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT

We, the below mentioned respondents, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that contents of parawise comments are correct and true to the best of our knowledge and belief. Nothing has been concealed from this Hon: Tribunal.

Kohat

(Respondent No. 2)

Inspector Genera Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Responder No 1

Officer, District Police

(Respondent No. 3)

KOHAT DISTRICT

ORDER

As recommended by Standing Medical board Constable Muzafar Iqbal No. 683 of this District Police Kohat is hereby invalided out of service with effect from 18.03.2020 on Medical ground.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

OB NO 587 /SRC

Copy to all concerned



/M-SMB

ENERAL HEALTH SERVICES HWA PESHAWAR

ed to the Director General Health Services ame E-Mail Address K.P.Kdqhs@yahoo.com 091-9210230

Office # 091-9210269 Exchange # 091-9210187, 9210196 Fax #

Dated: 25/6/2021

PROCEEDING OF KP APPELLATE MEDICAL BOARD.

The Appellate Medical Board for psychiatric disorder and re-examining the Ex-Constable Muzaffar Igbal No. 683, of District Police, Kohat having CNIC No. 14301-9959366-5, was conducted at Directorate General Health Services, Peshawar on 17-06-2021 at 10:00 am under the Chairmanship of Dr. Niaz Mchammad, Director General Health, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

The Standing Medical Board for Psychiatric illness is carried out at Services after proper approval from this Directorate but not at District Level. In contrary to that, the official concerned was referred for Medical Board by his controlling officer (DPO Kohat) to MS, DHQ Hospital Kohat, who conducted the proceeding of Medical Board at his own level without following the standard procedures. The controlling officer was supposed to refer the case to DGHS office for Standing Medical Board, therefore proceeding of Medical Board conducted at DHQ Hospital Kohat are not valid.

In the board examination, the official concerned was examined and the finding of the Appellate Medical Board is as under:

- 1 On current mental state examination, he is well composed.
- 2. His speech is normal and having no delusions and hallucination in any sonse modality.
- 3. He has no thought disorder and his abstract thinking is normal.
- 4. He is having no major mental illness.
- 5. However keeping in view the opinion of previous medical board, the following recommendations are made.
 - i. He is advised light duty without weapon.
 - ii. He will be under observation for a period of six months and after that he will be reviewed by the Board.
 - iii. Currently the Board is of the opinion that he can resume his duty.

APPELLATE BOARD EXMINATION.

1. Dr. Muslim Khan, ... Member Sr: District Specialist, Sharhad Hospital for Psychiatric Disease, Peshawar.

Z4An.Member Medical Specialist & Services Hospital Peshawar.

3. Dr. Noor Ullah, Member Deputy Director (Medical) DGHS office, Peshawar.

4. Dr. Fahim Hussain, Member Addl: Director General (Admn) DGHS Office Peshawar.

5. Dr. Maz Muhammad., Chairman Director General Health Services, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

The Administrator in fraction permitted in the Parish in the Property of the P The state of the contract of the state of th confident or trapposity and bus nothing to trapposity and the second of the second of

conficate of fine a for option into a favoramental post and both the first post of the pos certinent or temperaty supposity and this method the every lense the increase of personal unit. It is not open to the authorities as the exclusive the exclusive their discretion to issue the exclusive their discretion. personal with it is not open to the authorities the exclusion to is more than the declared to use their discretion to is more than the contribution to is more than the contribution to its contribution to the contribution to th

man predicted

GLL F.D. Undersement No F 6 (13) R.H/M, dated the little Mr. r

TER 10-A. (a) The authority competent to fill the cost had a second to the cost of the cos Gevernment servant may require him to oppose before a smooth of realist to appear before a smooth of realist to appear before a smooth of realist to a server of the respective to the server of the s Government servant may require min to appropriate temperate in the appropriate of the competent medical examination if, in the appropriate medical examination is a serious medical examination if the appropriate medical examination is a serious medical examination in the appropriate medical examination in the for medical examination if, in the opinion a disease which renders have a life Government servant is suffering from a disease which renders have a life of the contract of the the proper and efficient discharge of his dutice or home a discharge of his dutice or him and him a discharge of his dutice or him and him a discharge of his dutice or him and him a discharge of his dutice or him and him and him a discharge of his dutice or him and h the proper and emenent desenance of the health of other forest times of the proper and it likely to endanger the health of other forest times. SUTVANIS

- If the medical authority concerned, after examining the Government servant, certificate that the Government servant, required a period of a absence from duty for the purpose of rost and treatment and the there is reasonable prospect of his recovery, the competent, authority grant him leave, including extraordinary leave, for guch period medical authority recommends, provided that it is due and admin college the Government servant, and the competent authority may do so us Government servant had himself applied for the leave.
- (c) (i) If the medical authority after examining the Government servant, certifies that the Government servant is permanently in capacitated for service, the findings of the medical authority shall be

Inserted by G.P. M.F. Notification No. F.5 (2)-R2/CO, dated the 6th January, 1982.



communicated to the Government servant immediately. The tension servant may, within seven days of the receipt by him of the incimation of the findings of the medical authority, apply to the like General, Health, for a review of his case by a second medical head application shall be accompanied by fee the amount of which shall be for the Director-General, Health. The Director General, Health, we arrange for the convening of reviewing medical board consisting of the hourd also certifies that the Government servant is patient incapacitated for further serviced, the competent authority may require him to retire from service and may grant him such invalid pension and applications are gratuity as may be admissible to him under the rules, and the competent authority may do so as if the Government servant had himself applied for invalid pension.

- (ii) If case the reviewing medical board holds that the Gaves servant is fit for Government service, he shall be reinstated forthwith at the period of his absence will be treated as duty. If, however, the box certifies that the Government servant is not fit but there is a reasonable prospect of his recovery, the case will be regulated under the provision of clause (b) above.
- (iii) In case the Government servant concerned does not apply to a review of his case within seven days of the receipt by him of the office intimation of the findings of the first medical board, the competent authority may require him to retire from service and may grant him invalid pension and/or gratuity as provided for in sub-clause (i) above.
- (d) The General Government may make rules prescribing the force in which the medical certificate should be prepared and the medical officers by whom it shoulds be signed.

(For rules made by the President under Fundamental Rule 10 A, see Supplementary Rule 4-AA.)

- F.R. 11. Unless in any case it be otherwise distinctly provided the whole time of a Government servant is at the disposal of the Government which pays him, and he may be employed in any manner required by paragraph authority, without claim for additional remuneration whether the services required of him are such as would ordinarily be remunerated from general revenues, from local fund or from the revenues of a State that has acceded to Pakistan.
- F.R. 12. (a) Two or more Government servants cannot be appointed substantively to the same permanent post at the same time.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

P-9

Service Appeal No. 7560/2021

Muzaffar Iqbal

Service Appeal No. 7560/2021

..... Appellant

Ex-Const: No. 683, District Kohat

Versus

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

.... Respondents

AUTHORITY LETTER

Mr. Arif Saleem steno (Focal Person) of this office is hereby authorized to file the parawise comments and any other registered documents in the Honorable Tribunal on behalf of respondents / defendant and pursue the appeal as well.

District Police Officer,

(Respondent No. 3)