



16<sup>th</sup> Nov. 2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present.

2. Being not prepared, learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 20.12.2023 before S.B. P.P given to the counsel for appellant.

(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman

\*Mutazem Shah\*

19<sup>th</sup> Dec, 2023

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was awarded major penalty of compulsory retirement vide order dated 1801.2022 without providing opportunity of regular inquiry which is against the settled law and norms of natural justice. She further argued that impugned order was not communicated to the appellant and he filed application dated 20.04.2022 for providing copy of impugned order which was accordingly issued to him. Appellant after receiving copy of impugned order on 20.04.2022 challenge it in departmental appeal dated 16.05.2022 which was rejected on 16.06.2022 and communicated to the appellant on 28.06.2022 upon his application of the same date, hence the appeal in hand.

3. Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for regular hearing subject to all just and legal objections by the other side. Appellant is directed to deposit security fee within ten days. Respondents be summoned through TCS, the expenses of which be deposited by the appellant within three days. To come up reply/comments on 01.02.2024 before S.B. P.P given to the learned counsel for the appellant.

(Rashida Bano)  
Member (J)