869/16

08.04.2019

AI A

None for the appellant present. Addl: AG for respondents present. Called for several times but no one appeared on behalf of the appellant, therefore, the appeal in hand is hereby dismissed in default. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced: 08.04.2019 (Ahmad Hassan) Member

Amin (M. Åmin Khan Kundi)

Member

16.11.2018

The Hon'able Chairman has not yet been assumed the charge, therefore, the case is adjourned for the same on 04.01.2019 before D.B.

Beat

Member

04.01.2019

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney present. Clerk to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 04.03.2019 before D.B

Member

04.03.2019

Appellant absent. Learned counsel for the appellant is also absent. However, junior counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is busy before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. Mr. Riaz Ahmad Paindakheil, Assistant AG for the respondents present. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 08.04.2019 before D.B.

(M. HAMID MUGHAL) MEMBER

MA (M. AMIN KHAN KUNDI)

MEMBER

06.06.2018

Junior to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, Learned Deputy District Attorney present. Junior to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment as senior counsel is not available. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 08.08.2018 before D.B

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

08.08.2018

Sciences 18

Neither the appellant nor his counsel present. Mr. Ziaullah, DDA for respondents present. Case to come up for arguments on 03.10.2018 before D.B. Adjourned. Theome.up.for.preliminary hearing on 17.07.2018 before S.B. Member Chairman

Member

03.10.2018

Junior to counsel for appellant and Mr. Zia Ullah learned Deputy District Attorney present. Junior to counsel for appellant seeks adjournment as senior counsel for appellant is not in attendance. Adjourn. To come up for arguments on 16.11.2018 before D.B

(Hussain Shah) Member

Service Appeal No. 869/2016

11.08.2017

Clerk of the counsel for appellant present. Mr. Fayaz, Head Constable alongwith Mr. Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Clerk of the counsel for appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 04.12.2017 before D.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member (J)

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member (J)

04.12.2017

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, DDA for respondents present. Clerk to counsel for the appellant seeks time to file rejoinder. Granted. To come up for rejoinder and arguments on 05.02.2018 before D.B.

(Executive)

Member (Judicial)

05.02.2018

Since 5th February has been declared as public holiday. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 30.03.2018Before D.B.

30.03.2018

None for the appellant present. Addl; AG for respondents present. Adjourned. Last opportunity granted. To come up for arguments on **26**.0**6**.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(M. Hamid Mughal) Member 14.02.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Fayaz, H.C alongwith Addl. AG for the respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for further time adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 21.03.2017 before S.B.

21.03.2017

- None present for appellant. Mr. Muhammad Fayaz, H.C alongwith Assistant AG for respondents present. Written reply by respondents submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 08.05.2017.

(AHMAD HASSAN) .': MEMBER .

EMBER;

08.05.2017

Clerk of the counsel for appellant present. Mr. Ziaullah, Government Pleader for the respondents also present. Due to strike of the bar learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned for rejoinder and arguments to 11.08.2017 before D.B.

(MUH

(AHMAD HASSAN

MEMBER

29.11.2016

869/16

Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Head Constable when deputed to Motor Way Police in the year 2008. That he was repatriated to his parent department. That similarly placed colleagues of appellant including juniors were promoted as ASI in the year 2014 while appellant was promoted in the year 2015 without giving him retrospective promotion despite his entitlement constraining him to prefer departmental appeal which was rejected on 29.04.2016 and hence the instant service appeal on 23.08.2016.

That the appellant is entitled to promotion with effect from the date when his other colleagues including his juniors were promoted.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days notices be issued to the respondents. To come up for written reply/comments on 10.01.2017 before S.B.

10.01.2017

Agent to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Fayaz, H.C alongwith Additional AG for the respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 14.02.2017 before S.B.

Changenan

Appellant Deposited Security Process Fee

05.10.2016

3.11.2016

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments partly heard. Since the matter required further elucidation, therefore pre-admission notice be issued to the learned AAG to assist the Tribunal. To come up for further preliminary hearing on 03.11.2016.

(PIR BAKNASH SHAH) MEMBER

Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 29.11.2016 before S.B.

> ر ایم مراجع



Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of 869/2016 Case No. Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate Date of order S.No. proceedings 3 1 The appeal of Mr. Israr Muhammad resubmitted 26/08/2016 .1 today by Mr. Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to Worthy Chairman for proper order please. 29-08-2016 This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>31-08-2016</u>. CHAIRMAN 31.08.2016 Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 27.09.2016 before S.B. Member 27.09.2016 Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Clerk to counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. To come up for preliminary hearing on 5.10.2016 before S.B.

Charman

The appeal of Mr. Israr Muhammad ASI Motorway Police received today i.e. on 23.08.2016 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- Copy of impugned order is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
2- Annexure-B of the appeal is illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.

No. 1393 /S.T. DL. 24/8/2016

REGISTRAR

SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. M.Arif Jan Adv. Pesh.

Respected Str. The imprograd order is on The imprograd order is on Page-10 & the Appeal, moreover Au oppetic no-2 may gracously the organisaturied from the marghts be requised in the perform be requised in the field is best as in appella found it legible; but could not find it legible; Hence the appeal be plan before Hence the Appeal be plan before the Australian Second. Respected Sir,

PESHAWAR Appeel no. 869/2016

Israr Muhammad ASI.....

..Appellant

VERSUS

DPO Nowshera and others.....

.....Respondents

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Memo of Appeal and Affidavit		1- 5
2.	Application for condonation of delay		в
3.	Addresses of Parties		7
· 4.	Copies of appeal & order dated 29- 04-2016	A & A/1	8-10
5.	Copy of Promotion	<u> </u>	11-12
6.	Wakalat Nama		13

Appellant

Through

Dated:23/08/2016

Muhammad Arif Jan

Advocate, Peshawar Office No.210 Al-Mumtaz Hotel G.T. Road Peshawar. 0333-2212213

Cell:

Office:

	r P	COHAVAR
Service Appeal No.	869	/2016

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunał
Diary No. 87/
Dated 23-8-2016

VERSUS

1. District Police Officer District Nowshera.

2. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1, Mardan.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 29-04-2016 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO-3 VIDE WHICH THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WAS TURN DOWN.

Filedto-day

PRAYER IN APPEAL;

<u>Ön acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 29-04-</u> <u>2016 may graciously be set-aside and the promotion/seniority of the</u> <u>appellant to the rank of ASI may kindly be considered/treated and</u> <u>order from the year, 2014 instead of year, and 2015 along with all back</u> <u>benefits which is the due and protected right of the appellant.</u>

Any other relief which deems fit and not specifically asked for may also be allowed in favor of appellant against respondents ad filed.

20181H

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the appellant was initially appointed as Constable in the Police Department as regular in District Police Nowshera on 01-07-1990.
- 2. That the appellant was promoted to the rank of Head Constable in the year 2005-06.
- 3. That the appellant was then transferred on deputation to Motorway Police in June, 2008.
- 4. That the appellant remained on lien with CCP Peshawar was sent by the District Police Nowshera for selecting him from Motorway Police to Intermediate College course at PTC Hangu who successfully completed on 20-03-2011.
- 5. That the appellant was permanently inducted to Motorway Police on 26-03-2011 however the induction order was recalled later on.
- 6. That again the appellant was inducted vide order dated 17-12-2012 to the Motorway Police but the same was too cancelled on 13-06-2014.
- That meanwhile the other colleague of the appellant and even junior to him were promoted to the rank of ASI and the appellant was ignored.
- 8. That the lien of the appellant was remained intact with respondents hence the appellant preferred a departmental appeal before respondent No-2 which was filed by respondent No-3 vide impugned order dated 29-04-2016 and the same has been delivered to the appellant on 04-08-2016. (Copies of appeal & order dated 29-04-2016 is attached as ANNEX-A&A/1).

- 9. That the appellant was promoted to the rank of ASI on 02-07-2015 instead of the year, 2014 after the recommendation of the DPC during pendency of the departmental appeal of appellant. (Copy of promotion order is attached as ANNEX-B).
- 10. That being aggrieved and having no other efficiaous remedy except to file the instant appeal on the following amongst other grounds.

<u>GROUNDS:</u>

- A. That the act, commission and omission of the respondents and the office order bearing No bearing No-1719 dated 29-04-2016 passed by respondent No-3 (hereinafter impugned) is patently illegal, unlawful, without lawful authority, of no legal effect, having no value in the eyes of law thus be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be promoted to the rank of ASI since the year, 2014 and the seniority of the appellant may also be maintained since the year, 2014 being his entitlement and due date with all back benefits etc.
- B. That the junior to the appellant were promoted through DPC held on 12-11-2014 and the appellant being eligible / entitled was not enlisted without any reason and justification thus this act of the respondents is clear violation of the constitutional rights of the appellant.
- C. That the respondent also promoted the other officials/officers who did completed their intermediate courses in the year 2012-13 but the appellant who successfully completed his course in the year, 2011 was deprived from the seniority as well as from the promotion which badly effected his service career and financial losses too, hence this act of the respondents is amount to abuse of law and also violation of natural justice.

- D. That the respondents are/were duty bound to abide the law and not to harass or humiliate the appellant by depriving him from his legal and lawful right of promotion/seniority.
- E. That the respondents also badly fail to maintained the seniority of the appellant being remained on transfer/deputation to Motorway Police who serving till date on the strength of respondents but despite all these facts they intentionally ignored and deprived the appellant from his vested rights.
- F. That the respondents also badly fail to give due care to the submission/grievances of the appellant which is also evident from the non-speaking impugned order.
- G. That any other ground which has not been specifically asked for and is fit in the circumstance may also be allowed in favor of the appellant against the respondents.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant appeal, the impugned order dated 29-04-2016 may graciously be set-aside and the promotion/seniority of the appellant to the rank of ASI may kindly be considered/treated and order from the year, 2014 instead of year, and 2015 along with all back benefits which is the due and protected right of the appellant.

Any other relief which deems fit and not specifically asked for may also be allowed in favor of appellant against respondents. Dated; 23-08-2016

Through

Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate, Peshawar.

PESHAWAR

Israr Muhammad ASI.....

.....Appellant

VERSUS

DPO Nowshera and others......Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Israr Muhammad ASI Motorway Police R/o Yousaf Khan Talab Tehsil and District Charsadda do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the **appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing have been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENET

PESHAWAR

1	B #	A O I	•	—	
lerar	Nunammad		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Uptitionar /	Annollant
ISICI	wunannau				

VERSUS

DPO Nowshera and others......Respondents

APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY IF ANY.

Respectfully Sheweht,

- 1. That the appellant filed the accompanying appeal before this Hon'ble Tribunal wherein no date has yet been fixed.
- 2. That the impugned order dated 29-04-2016 was further delivered to the concerned authorities and finally received to the appellant on 4-8-2016 thus the delay if any is not intentionally but due to the above stated reason.
- 3. That this Hon'ble Tribunal has the ample power to condoned the delay if any to save the valuable rights of the appellant.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the delay if any in the titled appeal may graciously be condoned in the best interest of Justice.

Through

Appellant

Muhammad Arif Jan

Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate, Peshawar

Date: / /2016

AFFIDAVIT

I, Israr Muhammad ASI Motorway Police R/o Yousaf Khan Talab Tehsil and District Charsadda do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the **appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing have been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT

PESHAWAR

Israr Muhammad ASI.....

.....Appellant

VERSUS

DPO Nowshera and others.....

.....Respondents

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT:

Israr Muhammad ASI Motorway Police R/o Yousaf Khan Talab Tehsil and

District Charsadda

RESPONDENTS

1. District Police Officer District Nowshera.

2. Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region-1, Mardan.

3. Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Central Police Office Peshawar

Appellant

Through

Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate, Peshawar.

Date: / /2016



лертем І-поірэя пертем The Deputy Inspector General of Police,

With profound respect and humble submission I beg to

- xout

£ 9-

IZA ZA NOITOMOA9

Respected Sir,

Proper Channel.

1102/2/071

- Jedt timduz

ni sised noidetugeb no bedemelet epilog yewhodom While Serving in NSR District Police, I was transferred to

printed the said course during the term ending aved I bue upnet OT9 de source abeibarmedate My lien was with CCP Peshawar and I was selected for 18002/9

26/3/2011, however, it was cancelled later on. Later on, I was inducted in Motorway Police on

Agin, on 17712/2012, I was inducted in Motorway Police

need orke even or to me have also been not only my colleagues were promoted to the rank of During these ups and down is we see I needed because

ISA se noitomorg for for promotion as ISA se noitomorg issued over Endst. No.922-25/E-IV dated 21/1/2015 Mardan Region vide IGP KPK Peshawar office order My lien has since been transferred from CCP Peshawar to .ISA as beformoriq

me I IZA se notiomorg for promotion as An io notionabilition T am still holding the rank of HC and due to non-.pnibnaq Ilitz si

promotion/seniority in the rank of ISA from due date, so that my service my case favorably and sympachetically and I may kindly be granted Therefore, I approach your good self to kindly consider

Thanking you in anticipation.

recing great loss.

career may not be damaged.

5

٠t

٤.

5

Ί

:Jo9[du2

:01

- :պճոնսպլ

HC Nº 468 peduueduitete Yours Obedjentl 🔿

Motorway Polide

Amer-A/1 Arg OFFICE OF THE ECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE 目離。 **CHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA** Sentral Police Office, Peshawar Dated Peshawar, the 28/07/2016. No. The Regional Police Officer,

Mardan Region, Mardan.

Subject: MERCY PETITION FOR ASSIGNING PROMOTION AND DUE SENIORITY IN THE RANK OF ASL

Mamo:-

Please refer to your office Memo: No. 2265/ES, dated 17.03.2016 on the subject noted above.

Original/complete Service Book in r/o ASI Israr Muhammad of Nowshera District is sent herewith for your office record.

² Please acknowledge receipt.

(Encls: Service Book)



Registrat For Inspector General of Police, Khyler Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

1. Incharge Central Registry Branch CPO Peshawar.

Noushar N.O. 6930/ES, dt:041_8

Allasta

ŧ •.

k,

Hura- Will

.	OFFICE OF THE . 78
	INSPECTOR GENE RAL OF POLICE
	KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
A CONTRACTOR	Central Police Office, Peshawar
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No. 1749 /E-III, Dated Peshawar, the 29 104 /2016.
<u>۲</u> ۳-	
То	The Regional Police Officer,
	Mardan Region, Mardan.
Cubicat.	MEDOW DEFINITION TO THE STOCKED AND A STOCKE
Subject:	MERCY PETITION FOR ASSIGNING PROMOTION AND DUE
,	SENIORITY IN THE RANK OF ASI.
Memo:-	
IVICIIIO	Plages refer to your office NAME 2000/EG date 1 20.04 2016
	Please refer to your office Memo: No. 3289/ES, dated 20.04.2016 on the subject
noted above.	
	The case has been filed by the competent authority.
	ne case has even med by the competent authomy.
•	
	(PERVEZ (LLAHI)
	/ Registrar
•	For Inspector General of Police,
	• Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
	281412016
	is forwarded for information to the:-

1. Incharge Central Registry Branch CPO Peshawar.

ないのであるのです。

Allesta

1

.

Amex-B

POLICE DEPAREMENT.

3,

MARDAN RI GION.

ਿ

TOR PUBLICATION IN THE KHYBER PARALHUNGABWA (2010) (5A7144) PART-JL * ORDERS BY THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, MARDAN REGION.

NOTHERALION.

/2015. Dated

NO. 4132 JES. PROMOTION IN THE RANK OF OFFC: ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR.

In pursuance of the Departmental Promotion Committee held on 02.07.2015, the toilowing "D" list Head Constables are hereby promoted to the rank of Offg: ASL subject to an average might meet probation period as generalize Rules 13.18.

5. NO.	NAME RANK & NU.	PLACE OF POSTING	REMARKS
		διαλέσμο ποι στολο μοπολογιστικό το στος διαγοριστού Μλου στοπ 	Promoted conditionally subject to production of satisfactory ACRs for year 2014 within 30 days & subject to completion of 11do 12d1 of 12d2 comparisons and year of 12d2 12d1 and 1
. 21	a the Maturian Same	Mardan	No. 69-262/GB dated 1999.2014. Promoted conditionants subject to production of satisfactory ACks for year 2014 where all co- subject to complete motified backed 3 analy- Course vide standing order No. 10/2014. http:
3	4467 (Judao: Shabbir) 10, 4457 1025	Mandan	No. 697-767/GB, dated 25.09.2014 Promoted conditionally subject to completion o Bile Tacheal Training Course vide standing order No. 10/2014. Jense Machael 25.09.2014.
4	nHC Niebaz Ali No. 37	On deputation to CFC Peshawar from Strabi District	Promoted condutionally subject to completion a Elite Tactical Training Course vide standing orda No. 10/2014, letter No. 697-767/GB, date 25.09.2014.
5	fHC İsrat Muhammad No. (68	On deputation to Management from	Promoted conditionally subject to completion of Flue Lactical fraining Copies video conductor for New 140, 70, 70, 50, 10, 20, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 00, 0
16	ing the state of t	i owiden.:	Fromoted conditionally subject to complete our states of the factural frame of explored to the data of the second states states states

Allester

7.	IHC Sartaj No. 478	Nowshera	Promoted conditionally subject to production of satisfactory ACRs for the period from (01.10.2011) to 31.12.2011, for the year 2014 within 30 days and subject to completion of Elite Tactical Training Course vide standing order No. 10/2014, letter No. 697-767/GB, dated 25.09.2014.
	is a solution of the solution	Promoted conditionally subject to production of sanshares a field to the test of 2 writing with	
		5A50-1.5	and and at the employment of 14.5 particle Training Course vide standing order No. 10, 2014 letter No. 697-767/GB, dated 25.09.2014.
د.	IHC Shahid Khan No. 10	Nowshera	Promoted conditionally subject to production or satisfactory ACR for the year 2012 within '0 day and subject to completion of Ehle Tactica Training Course vide standing order No. 10,/2014 letter No. 697-767/GB, dated 25.09 2014
10.	IHC Murad Ali No. 640	Nowshera	Promoted conditionally surged to production of satisfactory ACR for the year 2014 within 30 day and subject to completion of Elite Tactica Training Course vide standing order No 10/2014 letter No. 697-767/GB, dated 25.09.2014.

·MUHAMMAD'S MIDOPSP Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.

NO. 4133-44/ES,

Z/ES, Dated Mardan the, /2015. Copies forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, Peshawar for favour of Information please.
- 2. Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Inspector General of Police N-5 North Zone, National Highways & Motorway Police Islamabad.
- 4. All District Police Officers, in Mardan Region.
- 5. All Superintendents of Police Investigation in Mardan Region.
- 6. PA Region office, Mardan.

Allester

(MUHAIIAIDA SAELD) PSP Deputy-Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region4, Mardan WAKALATNAMA

IN The Khyper Patchtunkhur Service Tribuel Port Israr Muhan Asi (Petitioner) (Plaintiff) (Applicant) (Complainant) (Decree Holder) VERSUS (Respondent) (Defendant) (Accused) (Judgment Debtor) Case AS1. 1/We, 19 RAR Muhan do hereby appoint and constitute Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate High Court, Peshawar, to appear. Plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration to me/ us as my/ our Counsel in the above noted matter, without any liability for their default and with the authority to engage/ appoint any other Advocate/ Counsel at my/ our matter. Attested & Accepted CLIENT/S Muhammad Arif Jan Advocate, High Court, Peshawar. Krar Muhan Office No. 6, 1st Floor Pabbi Medical Centre, G.T. Road Arrohad Der Nowsherwir (18) Arrohad Der Nowsherwir (18) Adverati Peshawar. Mobile: 0333-2212213

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>869/2016</u>

Israr Muhammad ASI, Motorway Police, r/o Yousaf Khan Talab, Tehsil and District Nowshera.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS

- 1. District Police Officer, Nowshera.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.
- 3. Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Central Police Office, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS No. 1,2&3

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 2. That the appeal is badly time-barred.
- 3. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 5. That the appellant has not come to the Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.
- 6. That this Honourable Tribunal has got no jurisdiction to entertain the instant appeal as the appellant is serving in the Federal subject.

<u>On Facts</u>

- 1. Para not related as the same pertains to appointment of appellant in Police Department.
- 2. Para pertains to record, hence, no comments.
- 3. Para pertains to record needs no comments
- 4. Correct to the extent that the appellant has qualified his Intermediate College Course is correct as per service record while rest of the para is denied.
- 5. Correct to the extent that the appellant was permanently inducted in Motorway Police.

6. Para not related hence, no comments.

Incorrect. That during the time when the colleagues of the appellant were promoted, the appellant was permanently inducted in Motorway Police hence, he was not considered because if a person is permanently inducted in other Department, he will no more remain an employee of the parent department.

8. Incorrect. As long as an employee remains with the borrowing department on deputation, his lien remains intact. But when the employee is permanently inducted in the borrowing, his lien no more remains intact with the parent/lending department. Moreover, in order to avoid the issue of limitation, the appellant took the plea of delivering the order dated 29-04-2016 to him which is not plausible.

9. That when the appellant became entitled for promotion, he was promoted to the rank of ASI accordingly.

10. That appeal of the appellant is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds: -

<u>Grounds</u>

7.

- A. That the order passed by the competent authority vide No. 1719, dated 29-04-2016 is legal, lawful and without any discrimination as the respondent department has no grudges against the appellant, hence, plea of the appellant is not tenable in the eye of law.
- B. Incorrect. As discussed earlier, the appellant was permanently inducted in Motorway Police during the time of promotion, so the matter regarding the promotees being junior or senior to the appellant is out of question.
- C. Para already explained needs no comments.
- D. Incorrect. The respondents are abiding the law and no harassment or humiliations have been made to the appellant. Moreover, when the right of promotion of appellant accrued/became due he was promoted accordingly.
- E. Incorrect. The appellant when became eligible for promotion, the same was given to him and no right of the appellant has been violated by the respondent Department.

F. Para already explained, needs no comments.

G. That the respondents also seek permission of this Honourable tribunal to raise additional grounds at the time of arguments.

ł

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions the appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed with cost.

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Central Police Office, Respondent No.3

Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Police Officer, Now hera. Respondent No,

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>869/2016</u>

Israr Muhammad ASI, Motorway Police, r/o Yousaf Khan Talab, Tehsil and District Nowshera.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS

- 1. District Police Officer, Nowshera.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.
- Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Central Police Office, Peshawar.

.....Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

We the respondents No. 1,2,&3 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of reply to the appeal are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honourable tribunal.

Inspediat General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Central Police Office, Respondent No.3

Deputy Inspector General of Police,

Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 2

District Rolice Officer. ora. Respondent No. 1