SERVICE APPEAL No. 1755/2023.

Mukhtaj Ali SI	Appellant.
• .	
VERSUS	
Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.	•
& Others	Respondents.

INDEX

		·	
S#	Description of document	Annexure	Page
1	Parawise comments	-	. 1-4
2	Copy of ACR	А	05
3	Copy of rejection order	В	₹ 06₩
4		٠.	
5	·		
6, .	·	- Volt -	
7			· ·
8		. You	·-··
9		10 Till 10 Til	·
10		1	

91-12-2023 Preshawar

SERVICE APPEAL No. 1755/2023.

Mukhtaj Ali Sl	Appellant.
	Khyber Pakhtúkhwa Service Fribunal
VERSUS	Diary No. 10014
Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.	Dated 21-12-23
& Others	Respondents.

WRITTEN REPLY BY RESPONDENTS.

Respectfully Sheweth:

Preliminary Objections.

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action and locus standi to file instant appeal.
- 2. That the appeal is bad due to misjoinder and nonjoinder of necessary parties.
- 3. That the appeal is barred by law and & limitation.
- 4. That the appellant has not come to this Tribunal with clean hands.
- 5. That the instant appeal is not maintainable in its presents form.
- 6. That the appellant concealed the material facts from this Hon'ble Tribunal.
- 7. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.

REPLY ON FACTS.

- 1. The contents of this para pertains to service record of the appellant, hence, need no comments.
- 2. Incorrect and baseless as his ACR for the year 2020(27.01.2020 to 03.08.2020) speaks otherwise. The alleged adverse remarks made by the reporting officer is sufficient to prove his bad policing and inefficiency during his service. (Copy of ACR is attached as annexure "A").
- 3. Correct as the appellant was rendered an incompetent and lazy officer, having bad reputation and poor performance. Moreover, his appeal was examined by the appellate authority and found the adverse remarks as well-founded, hence, his appeal was rejected. (Copy of rejection order is attached as annexure "B").
- 4. Correct and replied in the proceeding para (No. 3).
- 5. Pertains to record need no comments.
- 6. Correct to the extent of appeal rejection but on valid and legal, grounds. Hence, denied.
- 7. The adverse remarks recorded in the ACR for the period 27.01.2020 to 03.08.2020 are well-founded and based on facts/principle of justice while the instant appeal is groundless and liable to be dismissed with cost.

GROUNDS.

A. Incorrect. The impugned order dated 31.12.2020 and the appellate order dated 25.07.2023 are passed in accordance with law, facts and rules. Hence, tenable in the eyes of law.

- B. Incorrect. The impugned orders are constitutional, indiscriminative, within discretion and and the second with lawful authority.
- C. Incorrect. The action and inaction of the respondents are legal and effective upon the rights of appellant, hence, need no comments.
- D. Incorrect and baseless. There is no discrimination on the part of respondents No. 1 & 2 because the appellant was found incompetent, ill-reputed and poor performing officer. Hence, the respondent No. 1 reported the alleged adverse remarks against him in the ACR.
- E. Incorrect. All the codal formalities were fulfilled by providing every opportunity of defence/hearing to the appellant, hence, denied.
- F. Incorrect and again baseless. The appellant had proved himself an inefficient, corrupt and ill-reputed officer. The impugned adverse remarks are rightly given by the reporting officer, hence, denied.
- H. Incorrect as he has been found an incompetent and inefficient officer, hence, denied.
- I. Incorrect. The same were duly communicated to the appellant which he deserved, hence, denied.
- J. Incorrect. There is no violation of any article of the constitution 1973, hence denied. The respondents seek leave to raise additional grounds at the time of arguments.

Prayer.

Keeping in view the above narrated facts, it is humbly prayed that the instant appeal being devoid of merits may very kindly be dismissed with costs, please.

> (HAROØN RASHID KHAN)PSP District Police Officer, Swabi. (Respondent No. 3)

(MUHAMMAD SULEMAN)PSP Regional Police Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent)

(DR. MUHAMMAD AKBTAR ABB

て

DIG/Legal, CPO

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Fakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 1)

SERVICE APPEAL No: 1755/2023.

Mukhtaj Ali Sl				Appellant.
		į.		
	•		·	
	VERSUS			
Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakh	stunkhwa Pee	hawar	- !	
0. Other and 10 of Police, Kityber Paki	ituiikiiwa, res	onawan.	· .	

AFFIDAVIT:-

We the respondent No. 1 to 3 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the written reply are correct/true to the best of our knowledge/ belief and nothing has been concealed from the honorable Tribunal. It is further stated on oath that in this appeal, the answering respondents have neither been placed ex-parte nor their defense has been struck off/cost.

(HARGON RASHID KHAN)PSP District Police Officer, Swabi. (Respondent No. 3)



SERVICE APPEAL No. 1755/2023.

Mukhtaj Ali SI	Appellant.	
VERSUS		
Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar		

POWER OF ATTORNY.

We, the respondent No. 1 to 3 do hereby appoint Mr. Shafeeq Ahmad DSP Legal/Topi Swabi as special representative on our behalf in the above noted appeal. He is authorized to represent us before the Tribunal on each and every date fixed and to assist the Govt: Pleader attach to Tribunal.

> (HAROON RASHID KHAN)PSP District Police Officer, Swabi. (Respondent No. 3)

(MUHAMMAD SULEMAN)PSP Regional Police/Officer, Mardan.

(Respondent No.

DIG/Legal, CPO For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar (Respondent No. 1)

No. 13-17

SWABI DISTRICT

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

• Annual confidential report on the working of Sub Inspectors and Inspectors for the year ending 31° December, 2020.

Enme Provincial or	SI Mukhtaj Ali No.427/MR
tange No. Rank and Grade. sther's Name	Ali Akbar
where and on what duties employed turing the past 12 months.	27.01.2020 to 08.03.2020 Suspended (Police Line). Reverted to the rank of ASI on 08.03.2020 09.03.2020 to 03.06.2020, PS Parmuli. Restored as SI on 03.06.2020. 03.06.2020 to 28.07.2020 PS Parmuli. 29.07.2020 to 03.08.2020 ASHO PS Zaida. Transferred to Investigation wing Swabi on 04.08.2020.
llass of District Police Officer's report, e. 'A' or 'B'.	'B'
s he honest?	Not above board.
Remarks by: -	
District Police Officer and Deputy Inspector General of Police. Commun Cale Adverse.	An incompetent and Lazy officer, having ba reputation and poor perfor
	(IMRAN SHAHID) PSP,QPM District Police Officer, Swabi.
ar are a fair	
(SHER AKBAR) PSP, S.S.t Regional Pelice Officer, Mardan.	A De la Company
PSP, S.S.t Regional Petice Officer,	A Kosta

B. Hillerick



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE, PESHAWAR

Phone: 091-9210927

Email: secretbranchepo9@samail.com

1971-73/23, dated Peshawar the

ORDER

This order pertains to the representation preferred by Sub-Inspector Mukhtaj Alf No. 427/MR of district Swabi for the expunction of Adverse Remarks contained in his AGR for the period from 27.01.2020 to 03.08.2020 recorded by the reporting/countersigning officer. Comments were also obtained.

After going through the relevant record, comments and material on ground the Adverse Remarks recorded in his ACR for the period from 27.01.2020 to 03.08.2020 are maintained and his representation is hereby filed/rejected.

> Sd/-DIG/HQrs: For Inspector General of Police. Kliyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Endst: No. & date even.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action, to the:-1. Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region w/r to his memo: No 117/ACR, dated 18.04.2022. Necessary entry into this effect may also be made in his Duplicance Character Roll Dossier. The applicant may also please be informed accordingly.

2. District Police Officer, Swabi.

3. Supdt: "E-III" Branch, CPO

(AFSAR JAN)

Registrar

For Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

25/07/023