



court notice was not issued for publication through the Tribunal, therefore, the appellant is required to deposit the expenses of publication in the Tribunal within 7 days, and the office shall issue notice in daily urdu Aaj Peshawar against the respondents for the date fixed. It appears that the newly added private respondents are posted throughout the province therefore, this appeal be heard at the principal seat. To come up on 14.03.2024 before S.B at Principal seat Peshawar for attendance and reply. P.P given to the parties.


(Salah Ud Din)
Member (J)


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court, Swat

04.01.2024 1. Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney present. There is no representative of the official respondents present in the court.

2. Vide order dated 06.01.2023, the appellant was allowed on her request to submit amended appeal to implead private respondents, 422 in number, in the subsequent, rather in the last order sheet the learned counsel for the appellant had stated at the bar that the amended appeal was submitted in the light of order sheet dated 05.05.2023, but actually no amended appeal was filed. On 06.12.2023, learned counsel for the appellant had requested the Tribunal to issue court notice in the newspaper against private respondents, who were hundreds in number. The request was allowed and appellant was directed to deposit the publication fee within a week, whereafter, publication were directed to be issued against the newly impleaded respondents in the newspaper. Today junior to counsel for the appellant produced copy of publication notice, published in the urdu daily "Aaj" but, it appears that the notice was not published in the newspaper through the court, rather it was got published by the appellant of his own. The learned District Attorney and junior to counsel for the appellant submitted that otherwise, there was no need to file amended appeal because the relief claimed in the original appeal was meeting the purpose and it was not a case where addition of respondents would require an amendment of appeal, therefore, appeal already filed might be deemed sufficient, however, the list of private respondents already produced by the appellant might be considered as impleaded respondents. Order accordingly. As the