# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	· ·
Appeal No.	105/2024

103/2024		
S.No.	- Date of order proceedings.	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1:	2.	3
1-	10/01/2024	The appeal of Mr. Safi Ur Rehman presented
  - 		today by Mr. Rizwanullah Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on
		Parcha Peshi is given to counsel for the appellant.
		By the order of Chairman  REGISTRAR

### BEFORE THE HON'BLE CHAIRMAN, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

		-
Service Appeal No	105	_/2024

1. Safi-ur-Rehman (Ex-Constable No. 2262 Police Lines, Peshawar) Mohalla Saidaan, Garhi Sherdad, P.O, Sherdad, Tehsil & District Peshawar

(APPELLANT)

## **VERSUS**

1. The Superintendent of Police HQs, Peshawar.

#### RESPONDENTS

# INDEX

S.No	Particulars	Annexure	Pages #
1	Service Appeal	- ,	1-9
2	Affidavit	_	10
3	Copy of FIR	A	11
4	Copy of charge sheet alongwith statement of allegation	B&C	12-13
5 .	Copy of reply	D	14-16
6	Copy of show cause notice	E	. 17
. 7	Copy of reply to the show cause notice	F	. 18
8	Copy of impugned order dated 20-09-2023	G	19
9	Copy of Departmental appeal dated 09-10-2023	Н	20-25
10	Copy of rejection order dated 06-12-2023	I	26
11	Copy of Revision petition dated 11-12-2023	J	27-34
12	Copy of application of DPP, Peshawar	K	35
13	Copy of order of Hon'ble Judicial Magistrate-III, Peshawar dated 05-12-2023	L	; 36-37
14	Wakalatnama		

Through

Dated: 10-01-2024

Appeliant

Rizwanullah M.A. LL.B

Advocate High Court, Peshawar Email ID: advocaterizwanullah@gmail.com Mobile No. 0300-596-5843

## BEFORE THE HON'BLE CHAIRMAN, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 105 /2024

1. Safi-ur-Rehman (Ex-Constable No. 2262 Police Lines, Peshawar) Mohalla Saidaan, Garhi Sherdad, P.O, Sherdad, Tehsil & District Peshawar

(APPELLANT)

## **VERSUS**

- 1. The Superintendent of Police HQs, Peshawar.
- 2. The Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 3. The Provincial Police Officer, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(RESPONDENTS)

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 20-09-2023 PASSED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HEADQUARTERS, *PESHAWAR* <u>(RESPONDENT</u> *NO.1)* WHEREBY <u>APPELLANT WAS AWARDED HARSH AND</u> EXTREME PENALTY OF DISMISSAL FROM <u>SERVICE</u> <u>AGAINST</u> WHICH <u>DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL WAS FILED WITH</u> THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR (RESPONDENT *NO*. 09-10-2023 BUT THE SAME WAS REJECTED ON 06-12-2023. THEREAFTER, A REVISION <u>PETITION UNDER RULE 11-A (4) OF THE</u> KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, POLICE RULES, 1975 WAS FILED WITH THE PROVINCIAL <u>POLICE OFFICER, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA</u> (RESPONDENT |NO.

## <u>HOWEVER, THE SAME WAS NOT</u> <u>RESPONDED.</u>

## Prayer in Appeal

By accepting this appeal, the impugned orders dated 20-09-2023 and 06-12-2023 may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with full back wages and benefits.

Any other relief deemed appropriate in the circumstances of the case, not specifically asked for, may also be granted to the appellant.

#### RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

## Short facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

#### **FACTS**

- 1. That the appellant joined the services of Police Department incapacity as Constable on 23-01-2019 he had 04 years unblemished service record to his credit.
- 2. That the appellant was performing his duty with great zeal, zest and devotion, when he alongwith Imran Khan IHC No. 866, Ali Rehman No. 793, and Tahir No. 6631 constables were deputed to take the following 03 under trial accused from Central Jail Peshawar to Police and Services Hospital, Peshawar for medical treatment:
  - i. Gul Raiz s/o Zar Khan
  - ii. Yasin s/o Ali Akbar
  - iii. Qamar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz
- 3. That in compliance with the order of his superior, the appellant alongwith other police officials reached Central Jail where, they were handed over the said three accused without "Prison van" on the ground that the said van had already taken other accused

to Hayatabad Medical Complex and Federal Judicial Complex. Therefore, they were constrained to hire private *Rikshas* so as to ensure medical treatment to the accused well in time.

- 4. That accused Gul Raiz and Yasin were treated earlier and they were duly shifted to Central Jail safely while the remaining one accused namely (Qamar Aziz) was prescribed a necessary drip. In the meanwhile, Tahir left the hospital due to the illness of his family.
- 5. That when the drip was ended, they waited for "Prison van" but otiose. Therefore, once again, they were constrained to resort to private conveyance and as such, they hired a private taxi so as to take the accused to jail. It is extremely worthwhile to mention here that all the nearby roads were closed down/blocked by the Government and there remained only two **U-turns** i.e. one located under the first over-bridge at *Suripool* opposite to *Balahisar Fort* while the other at Hastnagri.
- closed down/blocked by the FC for the reasons best known to them therefore, they had to take the later U-turn situated at *Hashtnagri*. However, during the course of travel, the accused started vomiting and his condition got worsened, therefore, he was shifted near the window to enable him to get fresh air. This act was absolutely done in sheer good faith and on solitary humanitarian ground. Because, had the accused expired during the custody of Police, it would not only have resulted in severe condemnation of the Police Department in general but the appellant and his colleagues would have definitely been charged/held accountable for the death of the accused in particular.

- 7. That unfortunately, when the taxi was taking the U-turn at Hashtnagri, the accused abruptly opened the door, jumped out of the taxi and ironically made his escape good due to jamboree of different transport and people notwithstanding wholehearted hot pursuit of the appellant and other constables. Moreover, the effort to search the accused continued for considerable time but it yield no results. It is also noteworthy to add that Imran Khan IHC also used his personal pistol for aerial firing at the very moment to deter the accused for surrender whereas, I and other colleagues had only one rifle which was not used in order to avoid manifest collateral human loss on one hand while on the other to save the Police department from explicit counter denunciation.
- 8. That promptly, Imran Khan IHC duly informed the Muharrir Police Line for making report in daily diary about the unfortunate and disturbing incident. However, to their sheer irony, FIR No. 879 dated 02-08-2023 was registered against the appellant and other police officials. Thereafter, they were arrested howbeit released on bail

(Copy of FIR is appended as Annex-A)

9. That thereafter, Competent Authority initiated disciplinary proceedings against the appellant and as such, he was served with charge sheet and statement of allegations.

(Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegations are appended as Annex-B & C)

10. That the appellant submitted elaborate and exhaustive reply denied the allegations and also termed it as fallacious, malicious

and misconceived and prayed that he may be exonerated of the allegations levelled against him in the charge sheet.

(Copy of reply is appended as Annex-D)

- 11. That the above reply was not deemed satisfactory and Tauheed Khan Deputy Superintendent of Police was appointed as enquiry officer to conduct departmental enquiry in the matter. He finalized the enquiry and found the appellant guilty of the allegations and recommended him for appropriate punishment.
- 12. That thereafter, the appellant was served with a final show cause notice on 06-09-2023. He duly submitted reply and denied the allegations but it met the same fate. Ultimately, he was awarded harsh and extreme penalty of dismissal from service on 20-09-2023.

(Copy of show cause notice, its reply & impugned order are appended as Annex-E, F & G)

13. That the appellant felt aggrieved by the said order, filed a departmental appeal with the worthy Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar on 09-10-2023 and prayed that the impugned order may graciously be set aside and he may kindly be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits. But the same was dismissed on 06-12-2023 in utter violation of law. Thereafter, the appellant filed a revision petition before the Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 11-12-2023 which was not responded.

(Copy of departmental appeal, rejection order and revision petition are appended as Annex-H, I & J)

14. That the appellant is jobless since his dismissal from service.

# GROUNDS

- A. That the respondents have not treated the appellant in accordance with the mandate of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 which has unequivocally laid down that it is the inalienable right of every citizen to be treated under the law, rules and policy. Therefore, the impugned orders are not sustainable in the eye of law.
- В. That regular Inquiry was not conducted in a manner prescribed by law as neither any witness was examined in the presence of appellant nor he was provided any opportunity of crossexamination in order to impeach the credibility of the witnesses if any appeared against him. Similarly, he was also not provided any chance to produce his defence in support of his version. The above defect in inquiry proceeding is sufficient to declare entire process as unlawful and distrustful. Right of fair trial is a fundamental right by dint of which a person is entitled to a fair trial and due process of law. The appellant has been deprived of his indispensable fundamental right of fair trial as enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Besides, there is also no iota of evidence to connect the appellant with the commission of misconduct. Therefore, the report of the Inquiry Officer is based on conjectures, surmises and suppositions. Hence, the findings recorded by Inquiry Officer against the appellant is perverse and is not supported by any legal evidence at all and as such, the same are not tenable under the law.
- C. That the Competent Authority was under statutory obligation to have considered the case of appellant in its true perspective and also in accordance with law besides to see whether the regular inquiry was conducted in consonance with law and that the

allegations thereof were proved against him without any shadow of doubt or otherwise. However, he has completely overlooked this important aspect of the case without any cogent and valid reasons and awarded him major penalty of dismissal from service. Thus, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside on this count alone

- D. That the Competent Authority was also required to look for the mens-rea on the part of appellant that too in juxtaposition to the manifest implications mentioned in his written replies but he did not give any weight whatsoever to the same and totally ignored it without any legal justification. Hence, the impugned order is against the spirit of administration of justice because no one can be penalized in absence of mens-rea as per various judgments of superior courts.
- E. That the Appellate Authority was under statutory obligation to have applied his independent mind to the merit of the case by taking notice about the illegality and lapses committed by the inquiry officer as well as by the Competent Authority as enumerated in earlier paras. Nevertheless, he failed to do so and rejected the departmental appeal unlawfully. Therefore, the impugned orders are not tenable under the law
- F. That the respondent No.3 was legally bound to have decided the revision petition filed by the appellant after application of mind with cogent reasons within reasonable time as per law laid down by august Supreme Court of Pakistan reported in 2011-SCMR-1 (citation-b). It would be advantageous to reproduce herein the relevant citation for facility of reference:

2011 S C M R 1

Supreme Court of Pakistan (citation-b)

---S----24-A--Speaking----order-Public functionaries are bound to decide cases of their subordinates after application of mind with cogent reasons within reasonable time.

٨

But the above Authority failed to do so. Hence, the impugned orders are not warranted by the law.

G. That after completion of investigation, the matter was submitted to the District Public Prosecutor for the trial of accused. The District Public Prosecutor after proper scrutiny, held that it was not a fit case for the trial of the accused and as such moved an application u/s 494/249-A CrPC 1898 read-with Section 5(b) 4 sub(c) clause (ii) of the Prosecution Act, 2005 before the Hon'ble Court for the discharge/withdrawal of the accused from the charges leveled against them in the FIR, on the grounds mentioned therein. Therefore, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside on this count alone.

(Copy of application of DPP is appended as Annex-K)

through the record as well as the application of DPP, arrived at the conclusion that "there is no direct evidence on case file showing negligence on the part of accused". Besides, the illegality/lapses committed by the investigating officer was also pointed out and as such ordered for the discharge of accused namely Imran IHC No. 866, Safi Rehman No 2266 (appellant), Ali Rehman No. 793 and Tahir No. 6631 from the charges leveled against them in the case vide order dated 05-12-2023. It is worthwhile to mention here that once the appellant was discharged from the criminal case by the competent court of jurisdiction, no ground whatsoever existed to remain the edifice of punishment awarded to him by the Competent Authority. Therefore, the impugned orders are not sustainable in the eye of law.

(Copy of order of Hon'ble Court is appended as Annex-L)

I. That none of the inquiry report was provided to the appellant to offer explanation with regard to adverse findings if any

recorded against him being the requirement of law. Reliance can be placed on PLD-1981-SC-page-176-citation (f) and 1987-SCMR-1776-(b). Hence, the impugned orders are not tenable under the law.

- J. That the impugned orders are against law, facts of the case and norms of natural justice. Therefore, the same are not tenable under the law
- K. That the impugned orders were passed in mechanical manner and the same are perfunctory as well as non-speaking and also against the basic principle of administration of justice. Thus, the impugned orders are bad in law.

#### **PRAYER**

In view of the above narrated facts and grounds, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that the impugned orders dated 20-09-2023 and 06-12-2023 may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits.

Any other relief deemed proper and just in the circumstances of the case, may also be granted.

Through

Dated: 10/01/2024

Rizwanullah

Appellant

Advocate High Court, Peshawar Email ID: advocaterizwanullah@gmail.com Mobile No. 0300-596-5843

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE CHAIRMAN, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Service	Appeal	No.	/2024

1. Safi-ur-Rehman (Ex-Constable No. 2262 Police Lines, Peshawar) Mohalla Saidaan, Garhi Sherdad, P.O, Sherdad, Tehsil & District Peshawar

(APPELLANT)

### **VERSUS**

1. The Superintendent of Police HQs, Peshawar.

#### RESPONDENTS

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Safi-ur-Rehman (Ex-Constable No. 2262 Police Lines, Peshawar) Mohalla Saidaan, Garhi Sherdad, P.O. Sherdad, Tehsil & District Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the accompanied Service Appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and that nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Tribunal.

DEPONENT

ر (11) جریل نمبر: 002991 پولیس فارم نمبر: 24-5(1)

ابتدائي اطلاعي ريورث نسبت برم قابل دست اندازي يوليس ريورث شده ذير وفعه 154 ضابطه فوجداري

نبر: 879/23 تعانه: Peshawar ضلع: PS HASHTNAGRI أي ثيك نبر: 880-8/3/2023

تاريخ ووقت و قومه: 10:45AM في 2023 -02-08

به سبیل عام

تھانہ ہے روا گی کی تاریخ بوقت

بحواله ربورث نمبر (20)

1 : تاریخ دوقت ربورٹ

02-08-2023 06:20

AKBER HUSSAIN ASI/LO<sup>2</sup> مع: موجوده يبة: يوليس لائن

:05

223تـــــــ

224تپ

KPK POLICE ACT 2017-118-

جي ٿي ر وڌ ڀوڻرن بليغابل سکول ٽمبر 1

4: مائے و توعہ و فاصلہ تھانہ سے اور سمت

آمدہ تح پر مراملہ پر مقد مددر کی جسٹر کیاجاتاہے

5: كاروائي متعلقه تفشيش أكراطلاع دراج كرفي من كچه توقف بوتواس كي وجه

بیان کی حاوے۔

ئىلى فون نمبر:0d4968033914968

عده:ASI

بيك نمبر:02

وستخط: Saeed ur rehman

(ابتدائی اطلاع نیچے درج کریں)

ا کے تحریم اسلہ من جانب متعنب مندرجہ خانہ نمبر 2سے بدست گنشیبل بلتیا 14C/5443موصول ہو کرذیل ہے۔ بخدمت جناب آفیسرانجارج تھانہ شہید کللفت حسین اطلاع کم کہ عمران خان IHC ہے مزم قم عزیز ولد عبدالعزیز سکنہ دالہ ذاک روڈ پکنے غلام مطلوبہ بمقدمات علت 793 مور نہ 13/4/021 جرم 302 تھانہ خزانہ حرات يوليس ب باك يكاب حسب اطلاع موقع آكر معلوم بواكه عمران IHC/866 بعد كنفيهلان صفى الرحمان H/2262، على الرحمان R/663 ، طاير R/663 مظاهر R/663 مطاهر R/663 مظاهر R/663 مظاهر R/663 مظاهر R/663 مظاهر R/663 مظاهر R/663 مطاهر جو ڈیشل لاکب سنٹر جیل ہے بغر ض علاج معالجہ یولیس سروس ہیتال لا یاتھاجر بعد علاج معالجہ سروس ہیتال ہے سنٹر لل جیل بذریعہ پر انجیب کاڑی لیے جارہے تھے۔جو کہ بقام جائے و قوعہ بالاپر طزم قمر عزیز ولد عبد العزيز بهد جھکزي گاڑي ہے بھا گ کيا ہے جو كہ عمران خان IHC صفى الرحمان 1426، طاہر 1661/ R كاغفات بھے احتیاتی كا نتیجہ ہے مضمون رپورٹ سے صورت جرم بالا کایائی جا کر ملز مزن عمران خان IHC صفی الرحمان 2262/، علی الرحمان 793/، طاہر 6631 کو حسب ضابطہ کر قباَد کُسر عزیز ولد عبدالعزیز کی گر قباری ورپیش ر کھکر کر قبار شدہ ملز مان بندش حوالات تفاندزير حراست انچاري مسكن ون طارق خان SI جبكه مراسله بغرض قائل مقدمه بدست بلقياس LHC/5443 بجوائي جاتى جديد دياجاكر كى تقشيش آفسر كومعمور تقشيش كياجاوے ديتخفا مگريزي ا کبر حسین ASI LO2 مور نه 2/8/202/8/20 کاروائی تمانه آیده تحریر مراسله حرف به حرف درج بالا بهو کریر چه بجرم بالا چاک کیاجا کر نقول پرچه جات بغر مش تفشیق حواله طارق خان SI/OH کیے جاتے ہیں يرچه كزارش بـ

ASI Saeed ur rehman

02-08-2023

Attested

Appellant

**(時)** 

#### **CHARGE SHEET**

I superintendent of police Headquarters Capital city police Peshawar as a competent authority do hereby ,charge you FC Safi ur Rehman No 2262 of capital city police Peshawar with the following allegation .

- 1. That you FC Safi ur Rehman Belt No 2262 while posted in police lines were Deputed Alongwith IHC Imran No866, FC Ali Rehman No 793 and FC Tahir No ,6631 For production of three under trial accused namely (1) Gulraiz s/o Zar Khan r/o Main Essa Nowshera in case FIR No ,122, dated 01.04.2022 u/s 302 PS Misri Banda Nowshera,(2) Yasin s/o Ali Akbar r/o Tehkal payyan in case FIR No 538 , dated 11.07.2023 u/s 11ACNSA PS Sarband ,(3) Qammar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz r/o Ammar colony Pakha Ghulam Peshawar in case FIR No.793.dated 06 .09.2021 u/s 17(4) Haraba /412/414/404/419/420/15AA PS Michni Gate and FIR No . 410 , Dated 14.04.2021 u/s 302/109/200/201/202/243/365 PS Khazana arrested and confined in central Prison Peshawar for treatment in police ,and services Hospital .You were required to take these accussed in prison Van But he get them from the prison and transmitted in a private rickshaw.
- 2. You after treatment again shifted two accused by foot and did not bother to call for prison van .
- 3. That you again arrange for transportation of the third accused namely Qammar Aziz involved in cass FIR No.793.dated 06.09.2021 u/s 17(4) Haraba /412/414/404/419/420/15-AA PS Michini Gate and FIR No. 410, Dated 14.04.2021 u/s 302/109/200/201/202/243/365 PPC of PS Khazana in a Taxi Car and did not bother to call for prison van .
- 4. That you ,When sat in the taxi car alongwith above accused did not turn the car in the nearest U-turn located under the first overhead bridge at suri pull opposite to Bala Hisar Fort rather you travelled advance and resultantly he jumped out of the car at next U-turn located in front of chamber of commerce office and escaped. How one accused escaped from you four officials.
- 5. That you did not inform anny senior officer immediately after escape of the accused.
- That proper criminal case vide FIR No.879, dated 02.08.2023 u/s 223/224 PPC Ps SGH 118 police Act has also been registered against you been involved in above omission and commission.

You are therefore, required to submit to this office or the Enquiry Officer your written reply within 07-days of the receipt of this charge sheet.

Your written defence, if any, should reach this office or the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have nothing to put in your defence and in that case an ex-parte action shall follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

A statement of allegation is enclosed.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

#### **DISIPLINARY ACTION**

(3)

I Superintendent of police. Headquarters, Capital city police. Peshawar as all Competent authority, am of the opinion that FC Safi ur Rehman No 2262 has rendered him Self liable to be proceeded against under the provision of police Disciplinary Rules 1975

#### STATEMENT OF ALLEGATION

- 1. That FC Safi ur Rehman Belt No 2262 while posted in police lines was Deputed Alongwith IHC Imran No866, FC Ali Rehman No 793 and FC Tahir No ,6631 For production of three under trial accused namely (1) Gulraiz s/o Zar Khan r/o Main Essa Nowshera in case FIR No. 122, dated 01.04.2022 u/s 302 PS Misri Banda Nowshera,(2) Yasin s/o Ali Akbar r/o Tehkal payyan in case FIR No 538, dated 11.07.2023 u/s 11ACNSA PS Sarband (3) Qammar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz r/o Ammar colony Pakha Ghulam Peshawar in case FIR No.793.dated 06 .09.2021 u/s 17(4) Haraba /412/414/404/419/420/15AA PS Michni Gate and FIR No . 410 , Dated 14.04.2021 u/s 302/109/200/201/202/243/365 PS Khazana arrested and confined in central Prison Peshawar for treatment in police, and services Hospital. He was required to take these accussed in prison Van But he get them from the prison and transmitted in a private
- 2. He after treatment again shifted two accused by foot and did not bother to call for prison van.
- 3. That he again arranged for transportation of the third accused namely Qammar Aziz FIR No.793.dated 06.09.2021 u/s 17(4) Haraba /412/414/404/419/420/15AA PS Michini Gate and FIR No . 410 , Dated 14/04.2021 u/s 302/109/200/201/202/243/365 PPC of PS Khazana in a Taxi Car and did not bother to call for prison van.
- That he ,When sat in the taxi car alongwith above accused did not turn the car in the nearest U-turn located under the first overhead bridge at suri pul opposite to Bala Hisar Fort rather he travelled advance and resultantly he jumped out of the car at next U-turn located in front of chamber of commerce office and escaped. How one accused escaped from him alongwith other three officials.
- 5. That he did not inform any senior officer immediately after escape of the accused .
  - For the purpose of serutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations an enquiry is ordered and Tuheed khan DSP Inv is appointed as Enquiry officer.
- 6. The Enquiry officer shall in accordance with the provisions of the police Disciplinary Rules 1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused officer , record his finding within 30 days of the receipt of this order make recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

Attested

Annesc-D

بالماء الما الما الما الما الماء الم

عنوان: - تحري جواب منجائب كنسلبل صفى الرحن بيك نمبر 2262

چناب عالی ۔ مائل حب ذیل وض رماں ہے۔

گزارش ہے کہ بحوالہ انگریزی چھی جواب حسب ذیل ہے۔

فقرہ نمبر 1 اس حد تک درست ہے کہ تین عدوم از مان جن کے نام وجرم انگریزی چھی میں درج ہیں کو بموجود گی انچارج عمران خان IHC على رحمان كنستبل بيك نمبر 793 من سائل وكنستبل طاهر بيك نمبر 6631 كوملز مان مذکورہ کوسنٹرل جیل پشاور سے بحفاظت پولیس ہمپتال پہنچایا تھا۔دو مذکورہ کانسٹبلان مسلح بہسرکاری رائفلز تھے جبکہ میرے پاس متھکریاں تھی اورانچارج کے پاس بھی ایک علم دیستول برائے تھا ظت موجود تھا ملز مان متذکرہ کو بحفاظت سنٹرل جیل پیثاور سے نکال کرسرکاری گاڑی کا کافی انظام کیا لیکن مذکورہ گاڑی حیات آباد میڈیکل کمپلیکس اور فیڈرل جوڈیشل کمپلیکس برائے پہنچانے ملز مان گئ تھی اور من سائلان کے پاس ملزم قمرعزیز کی حالت بہت خراب تھی اس لیے ملزمان بالا کوانسانی ہمدر دی کے تحت رکشہ میں بٹھا کر مذکورہ پولیس ہینتال پہنچادیے۔ دومزمان کو ڈاکٹر صاحبان نے معائنہ کر کے جلدی فارغ کیا جبکہ ملزم قمرعزیز کوڈریپ وغیرہ لگانے کے لیے عارضی طور پرتھ ہرایا ، دوملز مان فارغ ہؤکر میں معد کنسٹبل طاہر متذکرہ دو فارغ شدہ ملز مان کو بحفاظت سنٹرل جیل بیثاور میں داخل کروا کرکنسٹبل طاہر گھر میں بياري كاكيح كرگفرخود چلاگيا جبكه من كنسلبل واپس هپتال بنج گيا جبكه نسلبل طاهر بااجازت واپس نبيس آيا ندكور وملزم كي ڈریپ وغیرہ بعد پھرسرکاری گاڑی کا انظار کیالیکن بعدار مایوی مذکورہ ملزم قمرعزیز کوزیر حراست من کشٹبل نے متفكريال لگاكروانچارج وكنسلبل على الرحن مسلح سركارى رائفل سنشرل جيل پيثاورروانه موئ جب نيسي قلعه بالاحصار کے یوٹرن کے قریب پینی تو روڈ بلاک ہونے کیوجہ سے اگل یوٹرن کے لیے روانہ ہوئے اور جیسے ہی سرحد چیمبر آف کا مرس کے بوٹرن پرمڑنے لگے تو مذکورہ ملزم قمرعزیز یکدم روانہ گاڑی میں من کنسٹبل پر اُلیٹال کر کے من کنسٹبل کو دھکہ دیتے ہوئے بمعہ تفکری چھلانگ لگائی اور گاڑیوں کی بے حدرش کا فائدہ اُٹھا کر رفو چکر ہوا۔ من سائل نے بمعہ کنسٹبل و انچارج گاڑی سے اُتر کر چارسمتوں میں تلاش شروع کی لیکن شدکورہ ملزم کونہ پاسکے ہم نے ملزم کو تلاش کیا لیکن گاڑیوں كِرْشُ كَا فَا كَدُهُ أَنْهَا كُرِمْزُمَ عَا مُبِ مِولِيا \_ ( نَقَلَ مِيدُ يكل دِسْتَادِيزَاتِ لَفَ مِينِ ) \_

2۔ نقرہ نمبر 2 کے بابت عرض ہے کہ چونکہ ملزم قمرعزیر کو پولس ہیںتال میں علاج دی جارہی تھی اور دوسرے دو ملزمان فارغ ہوئے ہوئی ملزمان کوسٹرل ملزمان فارغ ہوئے تو انچارج کے کہنے پر دوفارغ شدہ ملزمان کوسٹرل

Altisto Sol Appellant 2

جیل پیثاور میں داخل کیا جبکہ تنسٹبل طاہر نے ندکورہ انچاری سے بعجہ بیاری رخصت کی اور کنسٹبل سیف الرحن اپنی ڈیوٹی کے لیے ہپتال واپس پہنچ کیا اور اس طرح ملز مقرعزیز متعلقہ ہپتال سے فارغ ہوکر اور سرکاری گاڑی/ دین ک کافی انظار کرنے کے باوجود نہ آئی اس وجہ سے ملزم قمرعزیز کومتعلقہ انچارج اور ہم دونوں کنسٹبلان نے انسانی ہمدردی اور نیک نیتی کے خاطر ٹیکسی میں بیٹھا یا۔

3- فقرہ نمبر 3 کے بابت عرض ہے کہ چونکہ سرکاری پولیس وین دوسر سے ملز مان کولیکر لیڈی ریڈیگ ہمپتال اور حیات آبادگی تھی اور کافی انتظار کرنے کے بعد ہم نے انسانی ہمدردی اور نیک بیتی کے خاطر ملزم کوئیسی گاڑی میں زیر حراست پولیس برائے سنشرل جیل پشاور دوانہ ہوئے

-4 فقرہ نمبر 4 کے نبعت عرض ہے کہ من کسٹبل پر ائیوٹ ٹیکس کے پچھلی سیٹ پر ملزم کے بائیں جبکہ کسٹبل علی رحمٰن ملزم کے دائیں طرف اورانچارج اگل سیٹ پر جبکہ ملز مقرع زیز کو چھکڑ کی گل ہوئی در میان میں بٹھایا گیا اور سنٹرل جیل روانہ ہوئے۔ چونکہ سورے بل کے پوٹرن پر کافی زیادہ گاڑیوں کی رش تھی اس لیے اگلے پوٹرن کو استعال کرنے بہتر بھی کرئیکسی میں روانہ ہوئے اور جب ٹیکسی سرحد چیمبر آف کا مرس کے پوٹرن پر موڑ گئی تو ذکورہ ملزم نے من کنسٹبل کے اوپر اگلیاں شروع کی جس سے میری یو نیفارم بہت خراب ہوگئی اور اس اثناء میں ٹیکسی کا دروازہ کھول کر بمعہ چھکڑی کی بھاگ الکیاں شروع کی جس سے میری یو نیفارم بہت خراب ہوگئی اور اس اثناء میں ٹیکسی کا دروازہ کھول کر بمعہ تھاڑی بھاگ اگلا۔ ہم نے ٹیکسی گاڑی فوراً دکوا کر ملزم کی تلاقی شروع کی لیکن بھاری ٹریفک کو موجود گی میں ملزم کا پیتانہ چل کے کہاں اور کیسے عائب ہوانیز نے ملزم کو ڈرانے کی خاطرانچارج نے ہوائی فائر زبھی کیے لیکن بے سود۔ ہم نے ہرطرح سے ملزم کا خیال رکھا تھا لیکن بیسب بچھ بیدم اور جلدی سے ہوا جس میں ہم پولیس والوں کا نہ تو کوئی ارادہ تھا اور نہ کوئی ذاتی مقصد بس بیسب بچھ ایک سے ہوا۔

ا بھار 2 5- نقرہ نمبر 5 کے بابت عرض ہے کہ نے وقوعہ کے متعلق فور آافسران بالا کومطلع کیالیکن پہلے ملزم کی تلاش بیسار کرکے پھر فور أافسران بالا کواطلاع دی گئی۔

6۔ نقرہ نمبر 6 کے نبست جواب عرض ہے کہ ملزم کی حراست پولیس سے بھاگنے میں ہم پولیس والوں کا نہ کوئی ارادہ تقاور نہ مقصد، نہ کوئی سازش اور نہ ہی کوئی امداد اور اعانت تھی اور نہ کوئی ایسی بات تھی بلکہ ہماری نیت صاف تھی اور یہ سب بچھ غیرارادی طور پراور فور آہوا اور ملزم نے منہ بحر اُلٹیاں کرنے کا فائدہ اُٹھایا اور خاص طور پر گاڑیوں کی رش کا فائدہ اُٹھایا اور پولیس حراست سے بھاگ نکلا ۔ سائل نے تحکمہ پولیس میں 60 سال کی ملازمت کی ہے اور سائل کے خلاف مجھی بھی ماضی میں اس طرح کا کوئی الزام عائمز بیس کیا گیا ہے۔

Attesieu

speciant

مزیدعرض ہے ہے کہ ہم نے مذکورہ ملزم کی فرار میں شاتو کوئی مدد کی ہے اور نہ ہی کوئی امداد کی ہے بلکہ ملزم کے بھاگ جانے میں نہتو ہمارا کوئی ارادہ، مددسازش وغیرہ یا کوئی اورامرتھا بلکہ ریسب کچھاجا تک ہوا۔

لہذاعرض ہے کہ مذکورہ انگوائری کوختم کر کے ہم سائلان کومعاف کرنے کا تھم صادر فرمائیں کیونکہ ہم سائلان بِ گناہ، بِقصوراور معموم ہیں امرے لیے سائل تا جیات دعا گورہے گا۔

Sough -: Uh

Altesto

صفى الرحمٰن كنستبل بيك نمبر 2262

يوليس لائنز بيثاور

Apellant



### FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

Annex-E

I Superintendent of Police, Headquarters, Capital City Police Peshawar, as competent authority, under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 do hereby serve upon you, Constable Safi ur Rehman No.2262 the final show cause notice.

The Enquiry Officer, DSP/HQrs after completion of departmental proceedings, has recommended you for appropriate punishment as you found guilty of the charges/allegations leveled against you in the charge sheet/statement of allegations.

And whereas, the undersigned is satisfied that you Constable Safi ur Rehman No.2262 deserve the punishment in the light of the above said enquiry report.

And as competent authority, has decided to impose upon you the penalty of punishment under Police Disciplinary Rules 1975.

- You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- If no reply to this notice is received within 7 days of its receipt, in normal course of circumstances, it shall, be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case as ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

No. 3/09 /PA. SP/HQrs: dated Peshawar the 6-9 /2023.

Copy to official concerned

Altesko Sur Appercant

Annex-F

# بخدمت جناب سير نتنذنث آف بوليس بذكوار شريشاور

جناب عالى!

بحواله أنگريزي چھٹی نمبری 104/PA.SP HQRS ورخه 2023-09-06-

سائل حسب ذیل عرض رساں ہے۔

1. یہ کہ سائل نے اپنی 7 سال نوکری میں اپنی ڈیوٹی استداد اور حالت کے مطابق اور ایمانداری اور ایمانداری اور نیک نیتی کے ساتھ ادا کیے ہے۔ اور دانستہ طور پر یا ارادی طور پر کوئی بھی فعل مذکورہ واقعہ کے بارے میں نہ کیاہے۔

2. یہ کہ من سائل نے اپنی پوری سروس میں ایساکوئی بھی فعل نہ کیا ہے۔ جس سے محکمہ کو یاسائل کی کوئی بدنامی ہو۔

3. یہ کہ سائل کے خلاف انکوائری آفیسر نے جو مجھی سزا تجویز کی ہے اس میں حد در ہے نرمی لائی جائے کیونکہ سائل سے دانستہ طور پر واقعہ ہذا میں کوئی عمل سر زدنہ ہوا ہے۔

4. یہ کہ سائل ایک ایماندار اور سچاپولیس اہلکارے اور جو داقعہ ہواہے۔ وہ بلکل اچانک ہواہے۔ جس میں سائل کا کوئی بھی ارادہ یہ سازش نہ تھی۔ مزید براں سائل بذات خو د جناب صاحب بہادر کے سامنے پیش ہوناچا ہتا ہے۔ تاکہ شخص طور پر ابنی بے گناہی ثابت کر سکے۔

یہ کہ سائل کے چھوٹے چھوٹے بچ ہیں اور آج کل کی مہنگائی کے دور میں بڑی مشکل سے اپنااور اپنے بچوں کا پیٹ پال رہا ہے۔ برائے مہر بانی سائل کے کیس پر ہمدردانہ نظر رکھی جائے تاکہ انساف اور انساف ہوسکے۔

سائل تاحیات دوعا گول رہے گا۔

الغارض النارض

صفى الرحمان 2262

In pollant

# ORDEK

Annex- GT

(19)

This is a formal departmental proceeding against FC Safi ur Rehman Belt No. 2262 initiated vide this office Endst No. 162/E/PA, dated, 09 08 2023 on the charges that

- On 02.08.2023 he alongwith FC Safi ur Rehman No.2262 FC Ali Rehman No. 793 and FC Tahir Shah No 6631, while posted in Police Lines, were deputed for production of three under trial accused namely (1) Gulraiz s/o Zar Khan r/o Main Essa Nowshera in case FIR No. 122, dated 01.04.2022 u/s 302 PS. Misri Banda Nowshera (2) Yasin s/o Ali Akbar r/o Tehkal Payyan in case FIR No 538, dated 11.07.2013 u/s 11ACNSA PS Sarband, (3) Qammar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz r/o Ammar Colony Pakha Ghulam Peshawar in case FIR No. 793, dated 06.09.2021 u/s 17(4) Haraba/412/414/404/419/420/15AA PS Michni Gate and FIR No. 410, dated 14.04.2021 u/s 302/109/200/201/202/243/365 PS Khazana from Central Prison Peshawar for treatment in Police and Services Hospital.
- ii. He was required to take these accused in Prison Van but he got them from the Prison and transmitted in a private rickshaw
- iii. He after treatment again shifted two accused by foot and did not bother to call for prison van.
- iv. He again arranged for transportation of the third accused namely Qammar Ariz involved in above mentioned cases, in a Taxi Car and did not bother to call for Prison Van. That he when sat in the taxi car alongwith above accused, did not turn the car in the nearest U-turn located under the first overhead bridge at Suri Pul opposite to Bala Hisar Fort rather travelled advance and resultantly the accused Qamar Aziz jumped out of the car at next U-turn located in front of Chamber of Commerce office and escaped. How one accused escaped from them.
- v. That he did not inform any Senior Officer immediately after escape of the accused.
- 2. A proper criminal case vide, FIR No. 879, dated 02 08.2023 u/s 223/224 PPC 118 Police Act has also been registered at PS SGH against him, being involved in above omissions and commissions.
- 3. The DSP Rural Investigation was nominated enquiry Officer. The enquiry Officer concluded that there is a clear difference in the stance of under enquiry officer and facts. He neither informed for provision of Jail van, escorted the accused in private taxi adopt insecure and risky way despite of availability of safe route and failed to follow SOPs and instructions. The E-O found him guilty of charges and suggested for appropriate punishment.
- 4. Subsequently, he was issued Final Show Cause Notice on 06.09.2023
- 5. On 18.09.2023, FC Safi Ur Rehman Belt No. 2262 was heard in person and his record was perused. He did not justify his acts and omission. His verbal and written stance found contrary to the facts. He also admits his inefficiency.

Hence, Keeping in view the finding reports of the Enquiry Officer, facts and Circumstances, in which one hand-cuffed under trial accused, facing trial, in heinous criminal case, escaped alongwith official handcuff from custody of three police officials and that too when the accused was sitting in a car in between the Police escort and keeping in view the provision of Police Rules 16-37, he was proved inefficient, indiscipline and ergo dismissed from Service.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

Aniesko Amellani

GB No. 9333 / Dated 9/269 /2023 No. 2509 - 59 - IPASEU

3549 153 IFA Stillated Feshamar the 20 1 91,2023

Copies In:

- 1. The W/Capital Chy Police Officer, Peshawar,
- 2. The W/SSP Operation & Coordination, Peshawar
- 3. The SSP Investigation, Peshawar,
- 4. DSP, Investigation, Rural, CCP, Peshawar,
- 5. The DSP HQrs for information and necessary action was to process committee report regarding official hand coff.
- 6. The LO of case FIR No. 879/2023/6/17/S SGH.
- 7. Pay Officer, OASI, FMC & CRC.
- 8. The SHO PS SGII
- Safi or Return yo Tariq Shah resident of Mohallan Saidan Garhi Sherdad, Mathra, Peshawa;

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HEADQUARTERS, PESHAWAR

Annex- H

(20)

Page 1 of 6

To

The Worthy Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL UNDER RULE 11 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE RULES, 1975 (AS AMENDED 2014) AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 20-09-2023 PASSED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE HEADQUARTERS PESHAWAR WHEREBY THE APPELLANT WAS AWARDED HARSH AND EXTREME PENALTY OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE IN UTTER VIOLATION OF LAW.

# Prayer in appeal

On acceptance of this appeal, the impugned order dated 20-09-2023 may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with full back wages and benefits.

#### RESPECTED SIR,

The appellant respectfully submits the instant Departmental Appeal inter-alia on the following factual and legal grounds:

#### **FACTS**

- 1. That the appellant joined the services of Police Department incapacity as Constable on 23-01-2019 he had 04 years unblemished service record to his credit.
- 2. That the appellant was performing his duty with great zeal, zest and devotion, when he alongwith Imran Khan IHC No. 866, Ali Rehman No. 793, and Tahir No. 6631 constables were deputed to take the following 03 under trial accused from Central Jail Peshawar to Police and Services Hospital, Peshawar for medical treatment:
  - i. Gul Raiz s/o Zar Khan
  - ii. Yasin s/o Ali Akbar
  - iii. Qamar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz
- 3. That in compliance with the order of his superior, the appellant alongwith other police officials reached Central Jail where, they were handed over the said three accused without "Prison van" on the ground that the said van had already taken other accused

Constitution of the second

Atteste is Appellant to Hayatabad Medical Complex and Federal Judicial Complex.

Therefore, they were constrained to hire private *Rikshas* so as to ensure medical treatment to the accused well in time.

- 4. That accused Gul Raiz and Yasin were treated earlier and they were duly shifted to Central Jail safely while the remaining one accused namely (Qamar Aziz) was prescribed a necessary drip.

  In the meanwhile, Tahir left the hospital due to the illness of his family.
- 5. That when the drip was ended, they waited for "Prison van" but otiose. Therefore, once again, they were constrained to resort to private conveyance and as such, they hired a private taxi so as to take the accused to jail. It is extremely worthwhile to mention here that all the nearby roads were closed down/blocked by the Government and there remained only two **U-turns** i.e. one located under the first over-bridge at *Suripool* opposite to *Balahisar Fort* while the other at Hastnagri.
  - That unfortunately, when they reached the former U-turn, it was closed down/blocked by the FC for the reasons best known to them therefore, they had to take the later U-turn situated at *Hashtnagri*. However, during the course of travel, the accused started vomiting and his condition got worsened, therefore, he was shifted near the window to enable him to get fresh air. This act was absolutely done in sheer good faith and on solitary humanitarian ground. Because, had the accused expired during the custody of Police, it would not only have resulted in severe condemnation of the Police Department in general but the appellant and his colleagues would have definitely been charged/held accountable for the death of the accused in particular.

Attested Souli Appellant

6.

- That unfortunately, when the taxi was taking the U-turn at 7. Hashtnagri, the accused abruptly opened the door, jumped out of the taxi and ironically made his escape good due to jamboree of different transport and people notwithstanding wholehearted hot pursuit of the appellant and other constables. Moreover, the effort to search the accused continued for considerable time but it yield no results. It is also noteworthy to add that Imran Khan IHC also used his personal pistol for aerial firing at the very moment to deter the accused for surrender whereas, I and other colleagues had only one rifle which was not used in order to avoid manifest collateral human loss on one hand while on the other to save the Police department from explicit counter denunciation.
- That promptly, Imran Khan IHC duly informed the Muharrir 8. Police Line for making report in daily diary about the unfortunate and disturbing incident. However, to their sheer irony, FIR No. 879 dated 02-08-2023 was registered against the appellant and other two police officials. Thereafter, they were arrested howbeit released on bail

(Copy of FIR is appended as Annex-A)

That thereafter, Competent Authority initiated disciplinary 9. proceedings against the appellant and as such, he was served with charge sheet and statement of allegations.

> (Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegations are appended as Annex-B & C)

That the appellant submitted elaborate and exhaustive reply 10. denied the allegations and also termed it as fallacious, malicious and misconceived and prayed that he may be exonerated of the allegations levelled against him in the charge sheet.

> is reply appended as Annex-D)

Attestus Sol Appeccant

- That the above reply was not deemed satisfactory and Tauheed 11. Khan Deputy Superintendent of Police was appointed as enquiry officer to conduct departmental enquiry in the matter. He finalized the enquiry and found the appellant guilty of the allegations and recommended him for appropriate punishment.
- That thereafter, the appellant was served with a final show cause 12. notice on 06-09-2023. He duly submitted reply and denied the allegations but it met the same fate. Ultimately, he was awarded harsh and extreme penalty of dismissal from service on 20-09-2023.

(Copy notice, impugned order appended as Annex-E, F & G)

#### **GROUNDS**

В.

- That the Competent Authority has not treated the appellant in A. accordance with the mandate of Article 4 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 which has unequivocally laid down that it is the inalienable right of every citizen to be treated under the law, rules and policy. Therefore, the impugned order is not sustainable in the eye of law.
  - That regular Inquiry was not conducted in a manner prescribed by law as neither any witness was examined in the presence of appellant nor he was provided any opportunity of crossexamination in order to impeach the credibility of the witnesses if any appeared against him. Similarly, he was also not provided any chance to produce his defence in support of his version. The above defect in inquiry proceeding is sufficient to declare entire process as unlawful and distrustful. Right of fair trial is a fundamental right by dint of which a person is entitled to a fair trial and due process of law. The appellant has been deprived of his indispensable fundamental right of fair trial as enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Besides, there is also no iota of evidence to connect the

0

Altested Soul Apopellant

appellant with the commission of misconduct. Therefore, the report of the Inquiry Officer is based on conjectures, surmises and suppositions. Hence, the findings recorded by Inquiry Officer against the appellant is perverse and is not supported by any legal evidence at all and as such, the same is not tenable under the law.

- C. That the Competent Authority was under statutory obligation to have considered the case of appellant in its true perspective and also in accordance with law besides to see whether the regular inquiry was conducted in consonance with law and that the allegations thereof were proved against him without any shadow of doubt or otherwise. However, he has completely overlooked this important aspect of the case without any cogent and valid reasons and awarded him major penalty of dismissal from service. Thus, the impugned order is liable to be set aside on this count alone
  - That the Competent Authority was also required to look for the mens-rea on the part of appellant that too in juxtaposition to the manifest implications mentioned in his written replies but he did not give any weight whatsoever to the same and totally ignored it without any legal justification. Hence, the impugned order is against the spirit of administration of justice because no one can be penalized in absence of mens-rea as per various judgments of superior courts.
- E. That none of the inquiry report was provided to the appellant to offer explanation with regard to adverse findings if any recorded against him being the requirement of law. Reliance can be placed on PLD-1981-SC-page-176-citation (f) and 1987-SCMR-1776-(b). Hence, the impugned order is not tenable under the law.
- F. That the impugned order is against law, facts of the case and norms of natural justice. Therefore, the same is not tenable under the law.

Attested Sol Appellant

D.

That the Competent Authority has passed the impugned order in G. mechanical manner and the same are perfunctory as well as nonspeaking and also against the basic principle of administration of justice. Thus, the impugned order is bad in law.

### **PRAYER**

In view of the above narrated facts and grounds, it is, therefore, humbly prayed that the impugned order dated 20-09-2023 may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits

Appellant

Dated 09th October, 2023

Saufi Safi-ur-Rehman

(Ex-Constable No. 2262)

Mohalla Saidaan, Garhi Sherdad, P.O, Sherdad,

. Tehsil & District Peshawar

0313-979-9593

Altistio Appeccent





# Annex - I

# OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER.

Phone No. 641 971/1989 \*\*\* '40 991 971759'

## ORDER

This order will dispose of the departmental appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Saft-ur-Rehman No. 2262, who was awarded the major punishment of "dismissal from vervices under KP PR-1975 (amended 2014) by SP/HQrs., Peshawar vide order Soc 1849

Brief facts leading to the instant appeal are that the defaulter Constable was proceeded against departmentally on the following charges

- That on 02.08,2023, the defaulter Constable alongwith other personnel were deputed for production of 03 under trail accused namely i) Gultaiz in case HR No. 122, dated 01.04.2022, u/s 302-PP, PS Misri Banda Nowsbera, ii) Yascen in case FIR No. 538, dated 11.07.2023, ws 11-A CNSA, PS Sarband, int Qumar Aziz in case FIR No. 793, dated 06.09.2021, u/s 17(4) Hamba/412/414/404-419 420/15AA, PS Michnigate and case FIR No. 410, dated 1434 2021 us 302/319/200/201/202/243/365-PPC, PS Khazana from Central Prison Peshawar for treatment in Police Services Hospital. i.
- That he was required to take these accused in Prison Van but he got them out from the prison and transmitted in a private rickshaw, iii.

That he after treatment of the 02 accused again shifted by foot and did not bother to call for Prison Van.

îv. That he again arranged a taxi car for transportation of the third accused namely Qamar Aziz and did not bother to call for Prison Van. He sat in the taxi car alongwith above accused, did not turn the ear in the nearest u-turn located under the first over head bridge at Suri Pul rather travelled advance and resultantly the said accused Qamur Aziz jumped out of the ear at next U-turn located in front of Chamber of Commerce office and escaped.

That he did not inform his seniors immediately after escape of the accused.

- A proper criminal case vide FIR No. 879, dated 02.08.2023 u/s 223/224 PPC/118 Police Act has also been registered at PS SGII against him.
- He was issued Charge Sheet and Summary of Allegations by SP/IIQrs:, Peshawar, DSP-Investigation Rural, Peshawar was appointed as linquiry Officer to scrutinize the conduct of the accused official. The Enquiry Officer after conducting proper departmental enquiry submitted his findings in which he was found guilty. The competent authority in light of the findings of the Enquiry Officer issued him Final Show Cause Notice. However, his reply to the said notice was found unsatisfactory and hence, awarded the major punishment of dismissal from service.
- 4. He was heard in person in Orderly Room. During personal hearing, he was given an opportunity to prove his innocence. However, he failed to submit any plausible explanation in his defense. Therefore, his appeal for setting aside the punishment awarded to him by SP/HQts:, Peshawar vide order No. 3549-57/PA, dated 20/09.2023 is hereby rejected/filed.

"Order is announced"

CITY POLICE OFFICER:

PESHAWAR

No. 45CH-12 PA.

dated Peshawur the

12/2023

Copies for information and necessary action to the:-

SP/HQrs: Peshawar.

ADTI CCP Peshawar.

PO, CRC, OASI & FMC alongwith complete Fuji Misal.

Official concerned.

Attested Appreclamit

The Worthy Provincial Police Officer,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

REVISION PETITION UNDER RULE 11-A(4) OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE RULES, 1975 (AS AMENDED 2014) AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06-12-2023 PASSED BY THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FILED BY THE PETITIONER WAS REJECTED IN UTTER VIOLATION OF LAW.

#### RESPECTED SIR.

The petitioner respectfully submits the instant Revision Petition interfalia on the following factual and legal grounds:

### <u>FACTS</u>

- That the petitioner joined the services of Police Department 1. incapacity as Constable on 23-01-2019 he had 04 years unblemished service record to his credit.
- That the petitioner was performing his duty with great zeal, zest 2. and devotion, when he along with Imran Khan IHC No. 866. Ali Rehman No. 793, and Tahir No. 6631 constables were deputed to take the following 03 under trial accused from Central Jail Peshawar to Police and Services Hospital. Peshawar for medical treatment:-

i. Gul Raiz s/o Zar Khan

- ii. Yasin s/o Ali Akbar
- iii. Qamar Aziz s/o Abdul Aziz

That in compliance with the order of his superior, the petitioner along with other police officials reached Central Jail where, they were handed over the said three accused without "Prison van" on the ground that the said van had already taken other accused to Hayatabad Medical Complex and Federal Judicial Complex. Therefore, they were constrained to hire private Rikshas so as to ensure medical treatment to the accused well in time.

Attested Appellants

- 4. That accused Gul Raiz and Yasin were treated earlier and they were duly shifted to Central Jail safely while the remaining one accused namely (Qamar Aziz) was prescribed a necessary drip. In the meanwhile, Tahir left the hospital due to the illness of his family.
- 5. That when the drip was ended, they waited for "Prison van" but otiose. Therefore, once again, they were constrained to resort to private conveyance and as such, they hired a private taxi so as to take the accused to jail. It is extremely worthwhile to mention here that all the nearby roads were closed down/blocked by the Government and there remained only two **U-turns** i.e. one located under the first over-bridge at *Suripool* opposite to *Balahisar Fort* while the other at Hastnagri.
  - That unfortunately, when they reached the former U-turn, it was closed down/blocked by the FC for the reasons best known to them therefore, they had to take the later U-turn situated at *Hashtnagri*. However, during the course of travel, the accused started vomiting and his condition got worsened, therefore, he was shifted near the window to enable him to get fresh air. This act was absolutely done in sheer good faith and on solitary humanitarian ground. Because, had the accused expired during the custody of Police, it would not only have resulted in severe condemnation of the Police Department in general but the petitioner and his colleagues would have definitely been charged/held accountable for the death of the accused in particular.

Attested Soul Appellant

6.

- 7. That unfortunately, when the taxi was taking the U-turn at Hashtnagri, the accused abruptly opened the door, jumped out of the taxi and ironically made his escape good due to jamboree of different transport and people notwithstanding wholehearted hot pursuit of the petitioner and other constables. Moreover, the effort to search the accused continued for considerable time but it yield no results. It is also noteworthy to add that Imran Khan IHC also used his personal pistol for aerial firing at the very moment to deter the accused for surrender whereas, I and other colleagues had only one rifle which was not used in order to avoid manifest collateral human loss on one hand while on the other to save the Police department from explicit counter denunciation.
- 8. That promptly, Imran Khan IHC duly informed the Muharrir Police Line for making report in daily diary about the unfortunate and disturbing incident. However, to their sheer irony, FIR No. 879 dated 02-08-2023 was registered against the petitioner and other two police officials. Thereafter, they were arrested howbeit released on bail

(Copy of FIR is appended as Annex-A)

That thereafter, Competent Authority initiated disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner and as such, he was served with charge sheet and statement of allegations.

Attested 9
Sort
Appellant

9.

(Copy of charge sheet and statement of allegations are appended as Annex-B & C)

10. That the petitioner submitted elaborate and exhaustive reply denied the allegations and also termed it as fallacious, malicious

(30)

and misconceived and prayed that he may be exonerated of the allegations levelled against him in the charge sheet.

(Copy of reply is appended as Annex-D)

- 11. That the above reply was not deemed satisfactory and Tauheed Khan Deputy Superintendent of Police was appointed as enquiry officer to conduct departmental enquiry in the matter. He finalized the enquiry and found the petitioner guilty of the allegations and recommended him for appropriate punishment.
- 12. That thereafter, the petitioner was served with a final show cause notice on 06-09-2023. He duly submitted reply and denied the allegations but it met the same fate. Ultimately, he was awarded harsh and extreme penalty of dismissal from service on 20-09-2023.

(Copy of show cause notice, its reply & impugned order are appended as Annex-E, F & G)

13. That the petitioner felt aggrieved by the said order, filed a departmental appeal with the worthy Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar on 09-10-2023 and prayed that the impugned order may graciously be set aside and he may kindly be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits. But the same was dismissed on 06-12-2023 in utter violation of law. Hence, the petitioner was constrained to file the instant revision petition.

(Copy of departmental appeal and rejection order are appended as Annex-H &I)

#### **GROUNDS**

A. That the Competent Authority has not treated the petitioner in accordance with the mandate of Article 4 of the Constitution of

Attested Sal Appellant Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 which has unequivocally laid down that it is the inalienable right of every citizen to be treated under the law, rules and policy. Therefore, the impugned orders are not sustainable in the eye of law.

В. That regular Inquiry was not conducted in a manner prescribed by law as neither any witness was examined in the presence of petitioner nor he was provided any opportunity of crossexamination in order to impeach the credibility of the witnesses if any appeared against him. Similarly, he was also not provided any chance to produce his defence in support of his version. The above defect in inquiry proceeding is sufficient to declare entire process as unlawful and distrustful. Right of fair trial is a fundamental right by dint of which a person is entitled to a fair trial and due process of law. The petitioner has been deprived of his indispensable fundamental right of fair trial as enshrined in Article 10-A of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Besides, there is also no iota of evidence to connect the petitioner with the commission of misconduct. Therefore, the report of the Inquiry Officer is based on conjectures, surmises and suppositions! Hence, the findings recorded by Inquiry Officer against the petitioner is perverse and is not supported by any legal evidence at all and as such, the same are not tenable under the law.

Attested Sul Appellant c.

That the Competent Authority was under statutory obligation to have considered the case of petitioner in its true perspective and also in accordance with law besides to see whether the regular inquiry was conducted in consonance with law and that the allegations thereof were proved against him without any shadow of doubt or otherwise. However, he has completely overlooked this important aspect of the case without any cogent and valid reasons and awarded him major penalty of dismissal from

service. Thus, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside on this count alone

- D. That the Competent Authority was also required to look for the mens-rea on the part of petitioner that too in juxtaposition to the manifest implications mentioned in his written replies but he did not give any weight whatsoever to the same and totally ignored it without any legal justification. Hence, the impugned order is against the spirit of administration of justice because no one can be penalized in absence of mens-rea as per various judgments of superior courts.
- E. That the appellate Authority was under statutory obligation to have applied his independent mind to the merit of the case by taking notice about the illegality and lapses committed by the inquiry officer as well as by the Competent Authority as enumerated in earlier paras. Nevertheless, he failed to do so and rejected the departmental appeal unlawfully. Therefore, the impugned orders are not tenable under the law
- F. That after completion of investigation, the matter was submitted to the District Public Prosecutor for the trial of accused. The District Public Prosecutor after proper scrutiny, held that it was not a fit case for the trial of the accused and as such moved an application u/s 494/249-A CrPC 1898 read-with Section 5(b) 4 sub(c) clause (ii) of the Prosecution Act, 2005 before the Hon'ble Court for the discharge/withdrawal of the accused from the charges leveled against them in the FIR, on the grounds mentioned therein. Therefore, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside on this count alone.

(Copy of application of DPP is appended as Annex-J)

G. That the Hon'ble Court after hearing arguments and going through the record as well as the application of DPP, arrived at

Attested Sol Appellant the conclusion that "there is no direct evidence on case file showing negligence on the part of accused". Besides, the illegality/lapses committed by the investigating officer was also pointed out and as such ordered for the discharge of accused namely Imran IHC No. 866, Safi Rehman No.2266, Ali Rehman (petitioner) No. 793 and Tahir No. 6631 from the charges leveled against them in the case vide order dated 05-12-2023. It is worthwhile to mention here that once the petitioner was discharged from the criminal case by the competent court of jurisdiction, no ground whatsoever existed to remain the edifice of punishment awarded to him by the Competent Authority. Therefore, the impugned orders are not sustainable in the eye of law.

> (Copy of order of Hon'ble Court is appended as Annex-K)

- H. That none of the inquiry report was provided to the petitioner to offer explanation with regard to adverse findings if any recorded against him being the requirement of law. Reliance can be placed on PLD-1981-SC-page-176-citation (f) and 1987-SCMR-1776-(b). Hence, the impugned orders are not tenable under the law.
- That the impugned orders are against law, facts of the case and norms of natural justice. Therefore, the same are not tenable under the law
  - That the impugned orders were passed in mechanical manner and the same are perfunctory as well as non-speaking and also against the basic principle of administration of justice. Thus, the impugned orders are bad in law.

Appellant J.

#### PRAYER

In view of the above narrated facts and grounds it is, therefore, humbly prayed that the impugned orders dated 20-09-2023 and 06-12-2023 may very graciously be set aside and the petitioner may kindly be reinstated in service with all back wages and benefits.

Dated 11th December, 2023

Safi-ur-Rehman

(Ex-Constable No. 2262)

Mohalla Saidaan.Garhi Sherdad. P.O. Sherdad.

Tehsil & District Peshawar 0313-979-9593

Attested Appellant

# BEFORE THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, PESHAWAR.

THE STATE .....VS..... IMRAN ETC

Subject:

APPLICATION FOR THE DISCHARGE/WITHDRAWAL R/W 494/249-A CLPC OF THE ACCUSED FROM THE CHARGES LEVELED AGAINST THIM, IN CASE FIR NO. 879 DATED: <u>UIS 223/224/118PA/15AA PPC, F.S SGH, PESHAWAR.</u>

#### Respectfully Shewoth:

That the Instant case was registered on the report of complainant against the accused. During scrutiny the case was found not fit for trial on the following grounds.

#### Grounds:-

- That as per avellable record the occurrence has taken place within the criminal jurisdiction of PS SGH, Peshawar, however neither any police officer attended the occurred nor the same was reported by any officer of concerned PS Hence creates doubts in the reported of occurrence.
- That as per record the place of occurrence is a busy place, however even the statement of a single witness has not been recorded.
- That the spot and surrounding area has duly been covered with CCTV cameras but no such recording has been collected or send to FSL for connecting the accused with the cifance.
- That no direct evidence is available on case file regarding the negligence of accused.
- That no evidence is available on case file regarding the presence, manner and mode of occurrence.
- In such like circumstances the trial of the accused would be a sutile exercise, wastage of precious time of the court and the ultimate result would be the acquittal of the accused. So keeping in view the above facts, circumstances and available evidence on record, there are sufficient reasons for non-presecution of the Case U/S 5(B), 4 sub (c) clause (II) of the Prosecution Act 2005 r/w 494/249A Cr.PC.

It is, therefore, requested that the accused charged in the aforementioned case, may kindly be discharged of the charges leveled against him for deficient, weak evidence and for non-prosecution by the Prosecution Agency

(SHAHSAUD) Deputy Public Prosecutor Peshawar

Approved by

thawar

Atteste d Sul

# In The Court Of MUHAMMAD SHOAIB JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-III

Peshawar

Case No. 423/2

State VS Imran & Others.

FIR No. 879

Dated. 02.08.2023

U/S: 223/224 PPC

Police Station: SGH, Peshawar

Order... 05/12/2023

SPP for State present. Accused Qamar Aziz absconding. Accused Imran, Safi Rehman and Ali Rehman present on bail. Accused Tahir on bail absent.

This Court, through this order, hereby addresses the application put forth by the learned prosecutor seeking withdrawal from the prosecution of the case under Section 494 Cr.P.C.

Having duly considered the arguments presented and having examined the relevant records, it is observed that the accused Imran IHC, Safi Rehman 2266, Ali Rehman 793 and Tahir 6131 are charged under FIR No. 879, dated 02/08/2023, u/s 223/224 PPC at Police Station SGH, Peshawar. The prosecution, represented by Shah Saud Dy.PP, Peshawar, has submitted the present application for withdrawal under Section 494 Cr.P.C., contending that the case against accused mentioned-above is weak from the prosecution's standpoint.

Upon perusal of the records, it has come to light that although the FIR in question has been lodged against accused Muhammad Siabaile, however, neither any Police officer of Police Station SGH, Muhammau straight Peshawar attended the occurrence nor the same was reported by Judicial Magistr Peshawar any officer of concerned Police Station. No CCTV footage has Peshawar

been secured by the investigating officer, notwithstanding the occurrence taking place under the surveillance of CCTV cameras. No statement of eye witness is available on case file regarding the negligence of the above-mentioned accused. No direct evidence is

© -3 -50	پثاور بارایسوی ایشن، خسیبر پخستونخواه
Rzwanulah	
باركونسل اايسوى ايش نمبر ٥٥٥ ٥٥ - ٥٩ - ٥٥	BAR ASSOCIATION
رابط نبر: 0300 596 5843	
Honible Chairman	Peshawar
+ Appellant	Service Appeal : 3
Safi-ur-Rehr	
SP, Headquarters	/
SP, Headquarters and others.	_ ` <b> </b>
and others.	القانه:
	ے مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسط =
Rizhanu De Zyvanu	11ah Peshawar pour
	كر ك اقرار كيا جاتا في كه ضافة موصوف كومقد تم كل كال
	راضی نامہ کرنے وتقر کُوٹائٹ و فیصلہ بر علف دیے جواب دعویٰ
ری میشرند یا این می برا مذی اور مسوی ، بیز میرون ضرورت مقدمهٔ مذکوره کے کل ما جزوی	زریں پر د تخط کرنے کا آختیار ہوگا ، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈ دائر کرنے ایل مگرانی ونظر ٹانی و پیروی کڑنے کا مختار ہو گا اور ا
المارية	کاروائی کے واسطے اور ویل یا مخار قانونی کو آئے مراہ یا آ
	مقرر شدہ کو وہی تجملہ کرکڑہ بالا اختیارات خاصل ہو ں کے ا
ہوگا ہوگا ہوگا ہیں خاص دورہ یا صد سے یں آلیذا وکا کیے نام اللہ دیا تاکہ رادر کا	دوران مقدمہ میں جوخرچہ ہر جائد الوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند نہ ہول کے کہ بیروکی مذکورہ کر
Ales Visites of the second	чан ы R ASSOCIANIA / 1 /2024 : регодина 8 / 1 /2024 :
The sales	A -1. 8 1
الم المحادث الم المنظور الم	in lar on lu -

نوے ناس و کالت نامه کی فولو کا پی نا ناایل قبول ہوگی۔