Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of 15474 12020 Case No.-S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 1 2 3 The appeal presented today by Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate 02/12/2020 1may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for proper order please. REGISTRAF This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put 2up there on 01-03-21 MEMBER(J) The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan is 01.03.2021 on leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same before S.B on 26.07.2021. Reader

# **BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

APPEAL NO.\_\_\_\_/2020

V/S

Bahadar Sher

5...

Govt: of KP etc

## INDEX

S. No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
01	Memo of appeal		01-04
02	Copy of the Notification dated 20.12.2012	A .	05
03	(Copies of the salary slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period)	B&C	06-07
04	Copy of judgment dt: 01.10.2019	D	08-14
05	Copy of the departmental appeal	E ·	15
06	Copies of judgments	F	16-19
07	Wakalat Nama		20

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT. Room No.Fr-08, 4<sup>th</sup> Flour, Bilour plaza, Peshawar cantt:

Cell# 0333-9390916

## **BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No. 547 2020

Bahadar Sher, PSHT (BPS-15), GPS Lahor, Distt: Swabi.

## **APPELLANT**

## VERSUS

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. The District Education Officer (Male), Swabi.
- 7. The District Account Officer, Swabi.

## <u>RESPONDENTS</u>

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE **KHYBER** PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE UNLAWFULLY RESPONDENTS BY **ILLEGALLY** AND **DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE DURING** APPELLANT WINTER AND SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

### PRAYER

Registrar' 7/12/20

7

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL **RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED NOT TO** MAKE DEDUCTION OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING VACATIONS PERIOD (SUMMER & WINTER VACATION) AND MAKE THE PAYMENT OF ALL **CONVEYANCE** OUTSTANDING AMOUNT OF ALLOWANCE WHICH HAVE BEEN DEDUCTED PREVIOUSLY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER **REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT** AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

## **RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH: FACTS:**

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary and Secondary Education Department as PSHT (BPS-15) quite efficiency and upto the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-01 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. (Copy of the Notification dated 20.12.2012 are attached as Annexure-A)
- 3. That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. (Copies of the salary slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are attached as Annexure-B&C)
- 4. That the appellant along with other colleagues filed different writ petitions in the Honourable Peshawar High Court, Peshawar regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacation period/months and as common question of law and facts were involved in those writ petitions, therefore the august High Court disposed of those different writ petitions in a single judgment on 01.10.2019 with the directions to approach the proper forum i.e Service Tribunal. (Copy of judgment dated 01.10.2019 is attached as Annexure-D)
- 5. That appellant filled departmental appeal regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months, but was not responded with in the statutory period of ninety days. (Copy of the Departmental appeal is attached as Annexure-E)
- 6. That appellant aggrieved and having no other remedy except to file the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

## **GROUNDS:**

A. That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of the conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts and norms of justice. B. That the appellant have not been treated by the respondents department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973.

4

- C. That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D. That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1989 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and rules are appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E. That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48-days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government Servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F. That similar nature appeals were allowed by this august Tribunal in preliminary hearing and the appellant being similar placed person is also entitled the same relief under the principle of consistency and Supreme Court judgment reported as 2009-SCMR-01. (Copies of judgments are attached as Annexure-F)
- G. That as the act of the respondents is illegal, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but in is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- H. That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before Law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is institutional and clear vacation of fundamental rights.
- I. That according to Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the

deduction of conveyance allowances in vacations is against the law and rules.

- J. That according to Article 38(e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the Federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- K. That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

the

APPELLANT . Bahadar Sher

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT

(ASAD MAHMOOD) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT &

> (ABDUL WAHID) ADVOCATE



# GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PARHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From	
	The Secretary to Gevt, of Knyber Pachtusknwa
	Finance Department
· .	Pathawar
Tċ:	
1	All Administrative Scowcaries to Gove of Kington Pakiturithme.
<u>1</u>	The Servor Member, board of Revenue Rhoher Prochamping
÷ .	175 PRUTUATY 10 General Kinder State, avenue
-	The Secretary to Chief Minster, Kayber Pakhumithan
<u> </u>	195 best Class, France & Ascerety, Khabest Parkan ashes
	All Heads of Altached Departments in Krigher Pakhunkhwa
:	Al District Coordination Officerero Ximiler Palditunking.
	A8 Pollucal Agents / District & Semicas Judges in Klyper Pakitumkhwa
-	The Registrer Fast aver Ham Costs, Peshawar
	The Chaiman, Public Service Convinesion, Knyber Pokhtur, creat
**	The Charman, Services Tribanal, Keybar Fakalunkhwa
Subject	REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR

## CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sin

The Government of Khyber Pakhturathyn has been pleased to enhance ( rease the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants, Govi: of Knyber Pethanshwa (Working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 15. September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in 676-15 to 6PS-19 will rename to unchanged.

S.NO BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1 1-4	<u>Rs.1,500/-</u>	Rs.1.700/-
<u> </u>	<u>  Rs.1,500/-</u>	Rs.1,840/-
3. 11-15	1 Rs.2,000/·	Rs. 2,720/-
<u>4. 16-19</u>	Rs.5,000/-	R\$.5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the poove rates per month shall be admassible to those SPS-17, 18 and 19 effects who have not seen sanctioned allicial vehicles.

Yours Fathfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Alimad) Secrétary Finance

> (INTIAZ AYUB) Additional Secondary (Reef)

Endsit NO. FD/SOFSR-III/8-322012

Dated Festiewar the 2017 Devember, 2011

- A Copy is forwardod for information to thes-
- Acosoniani General, Kinder Pakiliankima, Pesinawa Seoreialias la Golennizzi el Punjab, Sirzh & Sabarizian, Finansie Departmen All Autoromous / Send Autonomous Bodies in Harper Paktingshaga

# ERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

# NO.FD/SO(SR-IT)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12 2012

# The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Pesbawar.

## To:

From

BETTER COPY PAGE-5

1

All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

4

The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 5.

б.

All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 7:

S.

All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar, 9

10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

#### Subject: REVISION IN

#### TE OF CONVEYANC CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA COVERNMENT BPS.

Dear Sir

The Government of Khyber Pakhunkhwa has been pleased to enhanced is the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Klivber-Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following raics. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain an-

	. C. M	10 m m			•
	S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)		
	ľ.	1_4	Salating Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (FMI)	ΞŤ.
			Rs. 1,500/-	Be 1000 Kate (11VI)	
·	<u></u>	<u>5-10 r</u> .		Rs. 1,700/-	1
	3. • • 1			Rs. 1,840/-	4.
	4 .				1
	<u>4.</u>	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-	1
	•			Rs. 5,000/-	13

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17 2 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

> Your Faithfully (Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012

ATTEST

Dated Peshawar the 20th December; 2012

### Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Sawabi Monthly Salary Statement (April-2018)





### Personal Information of Mr BAHADAR SHER d/w/s of ZAIRAIM GUL

Personnel Number: 00230196 Date of Birth: 01.01.1959 CNIC: 13059436682 Entry into Govt. Service: 02.12.1989 NTN: 0

Length of Service: 28 Years 05 Months 000 Days

Employment Category: Vocati	onal Permanent			•	
Designation: SENIOR PRIMAR	RY SCHOOL TEA	80595750-DISTRICT GO	VERNME	NT KHYBE	
DDO Code: SU6303-Governme	ent Primary Schools (Male) Raz	zar,Swabi			
Payroll Section: 003	GPF Section: 001	Cash Center: 09			
GPF A/C No: EDUSB003641	Interest Applied: Yes	GPF Balance:		108,034.00	
Vendor Number: -					
Pay and Allowances:	Pay scale: BPS For - 2017	Pay Scale Type: Civil	BPS: 14	Pay Stage: 20	

	Wage type	Amount		Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay	38,580.00	1000	House Rent Allowance	1,476.00
1210	Convey Allowance 2005	2,856.00	1300	Medical Allowance	1,500.00
2148	15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	919.00	2199	Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	614.00
2211	Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	3,134.00	2224	Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	3,858.00

### **Deductions - General**

	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	Amount
3014	GPF Subscription - Rs2620	-2,620.00	3501 Benevolent Fund	-600.00
3609	Income Tax	-438.00	3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	-125.00
4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-1,052.00	4200 Professional Tax	-200.00

### **Deductions - Loans and Advances**

Loan Description	Principal amount	Deduction	Balance
6505 GPF Loan Principal Instal	240,000.00	-6,667.00	219,999.00

### **Deductions - Income Tax**

Payable:	8,154.80	Recovered till April-2018:	4,018.00	Exempted: 3261.54	Recoverable:	875.26

Gross Pay (Rs.): 52,937.00 Deductions: (Rs.): -11,702.00 Net Pay: (Rs.): 41,235.00

### Payee Name: BAHADAR SHER

Account Number: 3238-7 Bank Details: NATIONAL BANK OF PAKISTAN, 231870 TORLANDAI BRANCH SHWA ADA SWABI TORLANDAI BRANCH SHWA ADA SWAB, SWABI

Leaves:	Opening Balance:	Availed:	Earned:	Balance:	
Permanent	Address: SWABI		······································		

. '	
Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	Housing Status: No Official
Email: bahadarsher967@gmail.com	
	·

TTESTED

System generated document in accordance with APPM 4.6.12.9 (SERVICES/27.04.2018/16:19:25/v1.1) \* All amounts are in Pak Rupees \* Errors & omissions excepted

### **Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Sawabi** Monthly Salary Statement (August-2018)



## Personal Information of Mr BAHADAR SHER d/w/s of ZAIRAIM GUL

Personnel Number: 00230196 Date of Birth: 01.01.1959

CNIC: 13059436682 Entry into Govt. Service: 02.12.1989

### **Employment Category: Active Permanent**

NTN: 0 Length of Service: 28 Years 09 Months 000 Days

Designation: PRIMARY SCHOOL HEAD TEACH 80004528-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE DDO Code: SU6131-Government Primary Schools (Male) Lahor Swabi Payroll Section: 003 GPF Section: 001 Cash Center: 09 GPF A/C No: EDUSB003641 Interest Applied: Yes **GPF Balance:** 172,856.00 Vendor Number: -**Pay and Allowances:** Pay scale: BPS For - 2017 Pay Scale Type: Civil BPS: 15 Pay Stage: 18

Wage type		Amount		Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay	40,060.00	1000	House Rent Allowance	2,349.00
1300	Medical Allowance	1,500.00		15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	919.00
<u>2199</u>	Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	614.00	2211	Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	3,134,00
2224	Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	4,006.00	2247	Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	4,006.00

#### **Deductions - General**

	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	•	Amount
3015	GPF Subscription - Rs2890	-2,890.00	3501 Benevolent Fund		-600.00
3609	Income Tax	-50.00	3990 Emp.Edu, Fund KPK		-125.00
4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-1,052.00	· · ·		0.00

## **Deductions - Loans and Advances**

Loan	Descr	iption	Principal am	ount Deduction	Balance
6505	GPF Loan Principal Instal		240,000.00	-6,667.00	193,331.00
Deductions Payable:	<b>- Income Tax</b> 1,000.00 Recover	ed till August-2018:	) 100.00 Exe	empted: 400.00 Recove	rable: 500.00
Gross Pay	(Rs.): 56,588.00	Deductions: (Rs.):	-11,384.00	Net Pay: (Rs.): 4	5,204.00
Account N Bank Deta	ie: BAHADAR SHER umber: 3238-7 ils: NATIONAL BANK C SHWA ADA SWAB, SW	F PAKISTAN, 231870 'ABI	TORLANDAI BR.	ANCH SHWA ADA SWABI	TORLANDAI

Permanent Address: SWAB1		
City: SWABI	Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa	Housing Status: No Official
Temp. Address:		0
City:	Email: bahadarsher967@gmail.com	· .

System generated document in accordance with APPM 4.6.12.9 (SERVICES/20.08.2018/15:15:22/v1.1) \* All amounts are in Pak Rupees \* Errors & omissions excepted

# Judgment Sheet

· (8)

IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH COURT, PESHAWAR, JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Writ Petition No. 3162-P/2019 Akhtar Hussain and 60 others..vs..Govt of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

# JUDGMENT

Date of hearing......01.10.2019.... Petitioner(s) by Mr. Noor Mohammad Khattak, Advocate. Mr. Mujahid Ali Khan, AAG, for respondents.

**<u>ROOH-UL-AMIN KHAN, J:-</u>** Through this common judgment we, proposed to decided the instant as well as the connected writ petitions as all having involved common question of law and facts, the particulars of which are given below.

- WP No. 3162-P/2019 titled Akhtar Hussain etc..vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- WP No. 3064-P/2019 titled Habeeb Ullah etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.
- iii. web our iv.

i.

ii.

WP No. 3084-P/2019 titled Sikandar Khan etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

WP No. 3178-P/2019 titled Abdur Rehman etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief A Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

ESTED

XAMINER

WP No. 3233-P/2019 titled Amjid Ali etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

A

WP No. 3283-P/2019 titled Gul Saeed etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

WP No. 3287-P/2019 titled Syed Israr Shah etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 7 others.

viii. WP No. 3288-P/2019 titled Firdous Khanetc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

WP No. 3353-P/2019 titled Hafiz Inam Ur Rehman etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.

WP No. 3366-P/2019 titled Jehanzeb Khan etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

WP No. 3390-P/2019 titled Haji Rehman etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 2 others.

WP No. 3520-P/2019 titled Mohammad Khalid etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

TED 'eshav ligh Court

kooluur Com / xii.

ATTESTED

vi.

vii.

ix.

X.

Xi.

17

- WP No. 3567-P/2019 titled Husnur Rehman etc...Vs.. xiii. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 3 others.
- WP No. 3667-P/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat etc...Vs.. xiv. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.
- WP No. 3939-P/2019 titled Syed Khurshid Shah XV. etc...Vs.. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 5 others.
- WP No. 4072-P/2019 titled Subhan Ullah etc...Vs.. xvi. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 6 others.
- WP No. 4758-P/2019 titled Sohrab Hayat etc...Vs.. xvii. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Peshawar and 4 others.

2.

As per averments of the writ petition, the petitioners are serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department on their respective posts. On 14.7.2011 the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa enhanced the conveyance allowance to all the Civil Servants i.e. from BPS-1 to 15, including the petitioners, which was subsequently revised vide another notification dated 20.12.2012 and was further enhanced. But the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped / deducted the payment of conveyance

TES

AMINER Shawar High Court

allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period.

3. In essence, the grievance the petitioners is that they were receiving the conveyance allowance under the notifications mentioned above, which was stopped without any justifiable reason.

4. Since the matter pertain to grant of conveyance allowance which is part and parcel of pay. Similar controversy came before this Court in Writ Petition No. 3509-P/2014 titled (Hafiz Mohammad Ilyas etc..vs..Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa), wherein the pay and salary were defined in the following manner.

"7. To resolve the controversy as to whether payment of allowances to a civil servant falls in chapter-2 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 i.e. terms and conditions of service, it is necessary to reproduce the definition of "pay" provided in section 2(e) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973 which reads as under:

"2. (e)—"Pay" means the amount drawn monthly by a civil servant as pay, and includes special pay, personal pay and any <u>other</u> <u>emoluments</u> declared by the prescribed authority to be paid." (emphasis provided).

TESTED

Peshawar High Court

The word "emolument" used in the above quoted definition clause of the Civil Servants Act, 1973, according to its dictionary meaning, denotes wages and benefits received as compensation for

TESTER

(13)

ESTED

holding an office or having employment. The word emolument is basically derived from the Latin word emolumentum. It originally meant "the sum paid to a miller for grinding a customer wheat". Today, the term exists mostly as a bit of archaic legalese, but it might be within the route of expression i.e. "grinding out a living". From the above it is manifest that emoluments are essentially the benefits that one gets from the working of being employed. Emolument is the profit from employment and is compensation in return of services, hence the emoluments are part and parcel of pay. Section 17 being part of chapter-2 i.e. terms and conditions of service of a civil servant provides that, a civil servant appointed to a post shall be entitled, in accordance with rules, to the pay sanctioned for the post. Likewise, Rule 9(21) of (FR/SR) provide, the definition of pay which means the amount drawn monthly by a government servant as ;

(i) the pay, other than special pay or pay granted in view of his personal qualification, which has been sanctioned for the post held by him substantively or in an officiating capacity, or to which he is entitled by reason of his position in a cadre, and (ii) overseas pay, technical pay, special pay and personal pay and

(iii) any other emoluments which may be specially classed as pay by the governor general.

The legislature in its wisdom has wisely used the word "pay" instead of salary in definition clause and section 17 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973. The word 'pay' connotes

ATTES

PTESTED

EXAMINER

payment of wages including emolument in broader spectrum while the salary is used for amount that one receives in return for work and or service provided, which is paid periodically i.e. over a specified interval of time such as weekly or most commonly monthly. The term "salary" has been dealt with at page-553 of Corpus Juris Secundem Vol. 77 as under:-

<u>"Salary"</u>. The word "Salary" is defined has meaning fixed compensation regularly paid by the year, quarter, month or week; fixed compensation for regular work, or for continuous services over a period of time; periodical compensation for services; compensation for services rendered; per annum compensation mean in official and in some other situation, or station; legal compensation.

Salary is also defined as meaning stipulated periodical recompense; or consideration paid, or stipulated to be paid to a person on regular interval for services usually a fixed sum to be paid by the year or half year, quarter; reward or consideration paid or agreed to be paid to a person on a regular intervals by the year, month or week for services; reward of fixed or recompense for services rendered or performed; reward or compensation of services rendered or performed.

From the above mentioned definition it is manifest that the "salary" of a civil servant is a fixed amount regularly paid as compensation to the employee, whereas the pay means an amount received by a civil servant including other emoluments i.e. allowances."

Court



5. Besides, certain other petitions filed by the Teachers /employees of the same department serving from other corners of the province which were decided by Abbottabad Bench of this Court, wherein it was held that the conveyance allowance being part of pay fall in terms and conditions of civil servant and it can adequately be claimed through an appeal by adopting the prescribed procedure under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1974. Ø

6. For the reasons given hereinabove, the petitioners are civil servants and their claim falls in terms and conditions of service enumerated in Chapter-2 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973, wherein the jurisdiction of this Court is expressly barred by Article 212 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Resultantly, this and the connected writ petition mentioned above stand dismissed being not maintainable. However, the petitioners are liberty to approach the proper forum, if so desire.

JUDGE

N'DGE

**CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY** 

2 1 OCT 2019

Announced on; 1<sup>st</sup> of October, 2019 <u>"Zawhad</u>"

(DB) Mr. Justice Rooh UI Amin Khan & Mr. Justice Mohammad Nacem Anwar

E (5

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

:	DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED
	ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY
	ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE
	CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER &
	SUMMER VACATIONS.

## **Respected Sir**,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PSHT (BPS-15) quit efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that conveyance allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Letter on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. I also filed writ petition along with other colleagues which were disposed of along with connected writ petitions on 01.10.2019 with the direction to approach the proper forum, if so desire. The august KPK service Tribunal allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal no. 1452/2019 title Maqsad Hayat vs Education Department. Copy attached. I also the similar employee of education department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitle for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned Service Appeal but the concerned authority not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granted to other employees. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacation period/months preferred this Departmental Appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on accepting this Departmental Appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed that conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during summer and winter vacations and make the payment of all outstanding amount of conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously.

Dated: 12.08.2020

Your's Obediently

Bahadar Sher, PSHT GPS Lahor, Distt: Swabi BEFORE THE KHYBER PARHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUN PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 145 :/2019

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar..

500

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING <u>WINTER</u> & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN .ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN Crvice Trabunal, STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS: THE

PRAYER:

Philippin

s ana vie

41 W/18/19

ovgel

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted wedte-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant.

# **R/SHEWETH:**

ON FACTS:

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20,12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat VS Gort

11:11,2019

8-111/0

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED 11.11.2019

1. 19 12

ee (rjimusi esto<sup>ryst</sup>



Chairman

# BEFORE THE KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAT

Service Appeal No. <u>H362020</u>

Muhammad Naeem Khan CT (BPS-15), GHS Kotli Saleh Khana, Nowshera.

Khyber f

in mail

<u>APPELLANT</u>

## VERSUS

- 1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

## **<u>RESPONDENTS</u>**

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE **IMPUGNED** ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY **ILLEGALLY** AND UNLAWFULLY **DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE** APPELLANT DURING WINTER AND SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

**PRAYER** 



THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED NOT TO MAKE DEDUCTION OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING VACATIONS PERIOD (SUMMER & WINTER VACATION) AND MAKE THE PAYMENT OF ALL **OUTSTANDING** AMOUNT OF **CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE** WHICH HAVE BEEN DEDUCTED PREVIOUSLY WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER **REMEDY WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT** AND APPROPRIATE THAT MAY ALSO BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.



Certif re copy

06.07.2020

Counsel for the appellant present.

1. At the outset learned counsel referred to copy of order dated 11.11.2019 passed in Appeal No. 1452/2019 and requested for disposal of instant appeal also in terms of the order.

2. It shall be useful for the purpose to reproduce hereunder the contents of referred order dated 11.11.2019:-

"Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

3. As a disgruntled, the appellant appears to be placed similar to a number of petitioners in Writ Petition No.3162-P/2019 and other connected matters decided by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court. Under the principle of consistency, it would, therefore, be appropriate to dispose of the appeal in hand in accordance with the order dated 11.11.2019 passed in Service Appeal No.1452. Disposed of similarly. File be consigned to the record room.



ANNOUNCED 06.07.2020 Certified to be ture copy

Mernber

# VAKALAT NAMA

NO.\_\_\_\_/2020

IN THE COURT OF KP Service Tribunal Perhaway

Bahadas shee (Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff) VERSUS <u>Cout of KP etc</u> (Respondent) (Defendant) I/We, <u>Bahadan Shee</u>

Do hereby appoint and constitute **TAIMUR ALI KHAN, Advocate High Court Peshawar,** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate/Counsel on my/our costs.

I/We authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter. The Advocate/Counsel is also at liberty to leave my/our case at any stage of the proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid or is outstanding against me/us.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_ /2020

der (CLIENT)

## ACCEPTED

TAIMUR ALI KHAN Advocate High Court BC-10-4240 17101-7395544-5

## **OFFICE:**

Room # FR-8, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Bilour Plaza, Peshawar, Cantt: Peshawar. Cell: (0333-9390916)