

Ph: 9220581.

REGISTERED/AD

C. P. No.318-P/2022 etc-SCJ.

Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Islamabad, the 01st February, 2024.

From

13

To ✓ The Registrar
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 11052

Dated 07.2.2024

The Registrar,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal,
Peshawar C/o Assistant Registrar(Peshawar).

Subject:- **CIVIL PETITION NO. 318-P AND 319-P OF 2022.**

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary,
Peshawar and others **...Petitioner(s)**

Versus.

Khola Anwar and others **...Respondent(s)**

*(Against judgment dated 28.01.2022 passed by Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar in Service
Appeal Nos. 6706 and 7070/2021, respectively)*

I am directed to enclose herewith a certified copy of the
order dated **26.01.2024** passed in the above cited Civil Petition for
information and necessary action.

I am further directed to invite your attention to the
directions of the Court contained in the enclosed order for immediate
necessary action.

Encl:-As Above



(NOOR MUSTAFA SHAH)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (CIVIL-II)
FOR REGISTRAR

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(Appellate Jurisdiction)

Present:

Justice Qazi Faez Isa, CJ
Justice Muhammad Ali Mazhar
Justice Musarrat Hilali

Civil Petitions No.318-P of 2022 a/w Civil Petition No.319-P of 2022
Against the judgment dated 28.01.2022 passed by K.P.K. Service Tribunal,
Peshawar In Appeals No.6706 & 7070/2021

Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief
Secretary, Peshawar and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Khola Anwar and others (in CP 318-P/22)
Rehana Parveen and others (in CP 319-P/22)
...Respondents

For the Petitioners: Mr. Mujahid Ali Khan, Addl. AG KPK

For the Respondents: N.R.


Date of Hearing: 26.01.2024

ORDER

Learned Additional Advocate General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa states that respondent No.1 in both these petitions have filed appeals before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar, which were allowed. However, if the impugned judgment is sustained, the same will affect the other respondents. He further submits that the judgment is not sustainable as the promotion has been granted with retrospective effect and not from the date of actualization of the promotion. Issue notice to respondent No.1 in both these petitions.

CMA's No.373-P and 374-P/2022

Parties are directed to maintain status quo.



Sd/-HCJ

Sd/-HJ

Sd/-HJ

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

**Senior Court Associate
Supreme Court of Pakistan
Islamabad**