


06.04.2018

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Addl: AG for respondents present. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 07.05.2018 before D.B.

  
(Ahmad Hassan)  
Member

  
(M. Hamid Mughal)  
Member

07.05.2018

The Tribunal is defunct due to retirement of Hon'ble Chairman. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come on 29.05.2018


READER

Misc. Application No. 173/2016

15.08.2017


Appellant in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, Deputy District Attorney for official respondents No. 1 to 3 & 5 present. Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for final disposal on 06.09.2017 before D.B alongwith main service appeal No. 866/2016.

  
(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)  
Member (J)

  
(Muhammad Hamid Mughal)  
Member (J)


06.09.2017


Clerk of the counsel for appellant present. Mr. Usman Ghani, District Attorney for respondent present. Arguments could not be heard due to incomplete bench. To come up for arguments on 29.09.2017 before D.B.

  
(Muhammad Hamid Mughal)  
Member

29.09.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Ziaullah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Since the main service appeal No. 866/2016 of the appellant has been adjourned therefore, this application is also adjourned. To come up for arguments 21.11.2017 alongwith main appeal.

  
(Gul Zeb Khan)  
Member

  
(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)  
Member

1


Misc: Application No. 173/2016  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt

13.01.2017

Appellant with counsel, Addl. AG for official respondents No. 1, 2, 3 & 5 and counsel for private respondent No. 4 present.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant (private respondent No. 4) has argued that the applicant was appointed by the respondents against the post of District Health Officer, Dir (Lower) where-against appellant (Dr. Shaukat Ali) has preferred the instant service appeal and has secured a restraint order thereby affecting the status and position of petitioner. That the appellant is not justified and entitled to make appointment against any post till decision of the appeal. He further argued that it would be more appropriate that if the instant application is also heard alongwith the other misc. Applications as well as service appeal.

3. Learned Addl. AG for official respondents No. 1 to 3 and 5 argued that the process of appointment is not a right of any civil servant and that the same is an obligation of the competent authority which is to be conducted in transparent manner and in accordance with the prescribed procedure. That the application of private respondent No. 4 is not maintainable. He further informed that the competent authority has cancelled transfer order of the applicant/private respondent No. 4 and that he is under suspension as a consequence of a departmental enquiry.


  
13.01.17

4. Learned counsel for the appellant, while endorsing the arguments of the learned Addl. AG, argued that neither the application was maintainable nor a restraint order in the mode and manner prayed for by the applicant can legally be issued.

5. I have heard arguments of the learned counsel for the parties on application submitted by respondent No. 4 and record perused.

6. So far as the issue of appointments of persons against vacancies in an establishment is concerned the same is an obligation of the competent authority and is to be dealt with by such authority in accordance with law and rules prescribed for fulfilling such obligations relating to appointments/promotions. The petitioner/private respondent No. 4 is not in a position to agitate and claim that such an obligation could be defined as a vested right and is to be deferred till the decision of appeal. The application is therefore not found maintainable. The same is therefore dismissed and such order issued by this Tribunal relating to stoppage of final appointment order is withdrawn.

7. So far as the application of the appellant for grant of temporary injunction is concerned it ~~will be~~ <sup>is</sup> deemed appropriate to direct that the same is to be finally disposed off alongwith main appeal by the D.B.

  
Chairman  
13.01.17.

21.12.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG for respondents present. To come up for further proceedings alongwith main appeal on 11.01.2017 before S.B.

(MUHAMMAD AMIR NAZIR)  
MEMBER

11.01.2017

Appellant, with *counsel* alongwith Noor Ali, Legal Officer for official respondents present. The restraint order shall continue. *To come up for f. proceedings with main appeal on 13-1-2017 before S.B.*

Chairman


27.09.2016

Counsel for the appellant, Additional AG for official respondents and counsel for private respondent No.4 present. To come up for further proceedings alongwith the main appeal on 18.10.2016.

  
Member

18.10.2016

Mr. Adnan Aman, Advocate, Junior to counsel for the appellant, Additional AG for official respondents and counsel for private respondent No.4 present. To come up for further proceedings alongwith the main appeal on 28.11.2016.

  
(PIR BAKHSH SHAH)  
MEMBER

28.11.2016



Appellant with counsel, Addl AG for the official respondents and counsel for private respondent No. 4 present. To come up for further proceedings alongwith main appeal on 21.12.2016 before S.B.

  
Chairman

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of \_\_\_\_\_

Misc. Application No. 173 /2016

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	16/09/2016	The application for issuing of directions and Status Quo in appeal no. 866/2016 submitted by Dr. Anwar Jada through Mr. Ghulam Mohy-Ud-Din Malik Advocate may be entered in the relevant Register and put up to the Court for proper order please.   REGISTRAR
2	16-09-2016	This application be put up before S. Bench on <u>16-09-2016</u>  CHAIRMAN  Counsel for the applicant present. Argued that status quo order issued by this court is being violated by the official respondents. Notice of application be issued to the respondents for the date fixed i.e. 27.09.2016 before S.B. Status quo be maintained.   Chairman
	16.09.2016	

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,**  
**PESHAWAR**

C.M No. 173 /2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

**APPLICATION BY DR. ANWARZADA, DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER, TEMARGARA LOWER DIR (RESPONDENT NO.4) FOR ISSUING OF DIRECTIONS AND STATUS-QUO ORDER TO RESPONDENTS NO.1 TO 3 & 5 THAT TILL DISPOSAL OF SERVICE APPEAL NO. 866/2016 NO FURTHER POSTING/ TRANSFER IN RESPECT OF DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER, LOWER DIR BE MADE.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

1. That the applicant is serving in the Health Department in BPS-19 and vide office order dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> July, 2016 (Annexure-H of Service Appeal No.866/2016) was transferred and posted as District Health Officer, Dir Lower in place of Dr. Shoukat Ali (BPS-18), the appellant.
2. That the applicant in pursuance to the transfer order, took over the charge of his new assignment, when in the meanwhile, the appellant (Shoukat Ali) by suppressing the true facts from the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court succeeded



in getting suspension order of the posting of the applicant at his back without notice and providing chance of hearing.


3. That in the sequence of events, Dr. Shoukat Ali (Appellant) filed Service Appeal No.866/2016 before this Hon'ble Tribunal and once again vide order dated 26.08.2016, he obtained status-quo order and the matter is adjourned to 27.09.2016 for hearing before S.B.
4. That the appellant despite status-quo order issued by this Hon'ble Tribunal, being in league with Respondents No.1 to 3 and 5 is bent upon to get himself posted in place of applicant as District Health Officer, Dir Lower.
5. That the subject post of District Health Officer, Dir Lower is meant for BPS-19 officer and that the applicant is senior most officer in BPS-19 while the appellant (Dr. Shoukat Ali) is junior most officer in BPS-18 and is being posted malafidely and with ulterior motive against the senior position in place of applicant (Dr. Anwarzada)
6. That since the matter is subjudice before this Hon'ble Tribunal, status-quo order has already been passed, therefore, in these circumstances, fresh transfer and posting order in supersession of previous order dated 22.07.2016 (Annexure-H) referred to above would be against the interest, rights of the applicant and Shoukat Ali (Appellant) would get undue advantage on the strength of order of this Hon'ble Tribunal dated 26.08.2016 in Service Appeal No.866/2016 referred to above.

It is, therefore, prayed that Respondents No.1 to 3 & 5 may graciously be directed to refrain from making any posting/transfer order against the post of District Health Officer, Dir Lower till final disposal of main appeal/stay application, filed by the appellant.

Dated 16.09.2016.

Through

Applicant



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.


&




Muhammad Farooq Malik,  
Advocate, High Court, Peshawar

**AFFIDAVIT**

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.



DEPONENT



**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR**

C.M.No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


**INDEX**

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



**Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,**

Advocate,

Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtukhwa  
Service Tribunal

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Diary No. 918  
Dated 1-9-16

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.

Respectfully Sheweth,

*Put up with  
record of  
02.08.16*

1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.

*Record removed  
Date fixed found  
appropriate, keeping  
in view pending  
cases and restraint  
orders of  
Court. Applicant  
filed on  
02.09.16*

2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)

3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.

4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Respondent No.4

Dated: 01.09.2016

Through

Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-2-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS


Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.




DEPONENT



B-3-

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER**  
**PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**



Service Appeal 866/2016

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....**Appellant**

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Paktunkhwa through Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Paktunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director General Health Services Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
5. Chief Minister of Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.  
.....**Respondents**

**SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT**  
**1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED**  
**22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL**  
**APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID**  
**NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE**  
**STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

**Filed to-day**  
**Registrar**

The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as under:-

1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December, 1991.
2. That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.
3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per


Re-submitted to-day and fine.

Registrar 26/8/16

*Appellate*

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1, was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court Peshawar the same was not decided by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.

40  
6

*Signature*

That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.

*Signature*  
Chairman

Certified to be true copy  
*Signature*

26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16



## وکالت نامہ

بعدالت ضابطہ سرس ندر بیونیل جیبرختی نوالہ کی

ڈاکٹر شوکت علی بنام گورنمنٹ آف جیبرختی نوالہ بند بصر صیف سکریٹری جیبرختی

منجانب Respect No: - 4 دعویٰ اجرم

تھانہ ایف آئی آر تاریخ 30/8/016


## باعث تحریر آنکے


مقدمہ مندرجہ بالا عنوان میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواہدی بمقام کئی ہر کے

## غلام محی الدین ملک ایڈووکیٹ سپریم کورٹ آف پاکستان

اینڈ محمد فاروق ملک ایڈووکیٹ ہائی کورٹ کو بدین شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے کہ میں ہر پیشی پر خود یا بذریعہ مختار خاص رو برو عدالت حاضر ہوتا رہوں گا۔ اور بوقت پکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاحب موصوف کو اطلاع دیکر حاضر عدالت کرونگا اگر پیشی پر من مظہر حاضر نہ ہوا اور مقدمہ میری غیر حاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور میرے برخلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف صدر مقام پکھری کے کسی اور جگہ یا پکھری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے یا بزور تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ اگر مقدمہ علاوہ صدر مقام پکھری کے کسی اور جگہ سماعت ہونے یا بروز تعطیل یا پکھری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پر من مظہر کو کوئی نقصان پہنچے تو اس کے ذمہ دار یا اس کے واسطے کسی معاوضہ کے ادا کرنے یا مختار نامہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذمہ دار نہ ہوں گے۔ مجھ کو کل ساختہ پرداخت صاحب موصوف مثل کردہ ذات خود منظور قبول ہوگا۔ اور صاحب موصوف کو عرضی دعویٰ و جواب دعویٰ اور درخواست اجراء ڈگری و نظر ثانی اپیل و نگرانی ہر قسم کی درخواست پر دستخط و تصدیق کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اور کسی حکم یا ڈگری کے اجرا کرنے اور ہر قسم کاروبار وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہر قسم کے بیان دینے اور سپرد دہاشی و راضی نامہ کو فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے، اقبال دعویٰ دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اور بصورت اپیل و برآمدگی مقدمہ یا منسوخی ڈگری یا کطرفہ درخواست حکم افتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈگری بھی موصوف کو بشرط ادائیگی علیحدہ مختار نامہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور بصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کو بھی اختیار ہوگا یا مقدمہ مذکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزو کی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل، اپیل کے واسطے کسی دوسرے وکیل یا پیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں۔ اور ایسے مشرق قانون کو ہر امر میں وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے۔ جیسے کہ صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں اور دوران مقدمہ میں جو کچھ ہر جانہ التواء پڑے گا۔ وہ صاحب موصوف کا حق ہوگا۔ اگر وکیل صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ پیشی سے پہلے ادا نہ کروں گا تو صاحب موصوف کو پورا اختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی پیروی نہ کریں اور ایسی صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کسی قسم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔ لہذا یہ مختار نامہ لکھ دیا کہ سندر ہے مورخہ 30/8/016 مضمون مختار نامہ سن لیا ہے اور اچھی طرح سمجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

ATTESTED &amp; ACCEPTED:

  
Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik  
Advocate  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

  
Muhammad Farooq Malik  
Advocate Peshawar.

ڈاکٹر شوکت علی بنام گورنمنٹ آف جیبرختی نوالہ بند بصر صیف سکریٹری جیبرختی

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,

Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.

Respectfully Sheweth,


1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.
2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)
3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.
4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Dated: 01.09.2016

Through

Respondent No.4



Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-2-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


**AFFIDAVIT**

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

  
DEPONENT

D-3

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER**  
**PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**



Service Appeal 866/2016

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....Appellant

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
  2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
  3. Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
  4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
  5. Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- .....Respondents

**SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT**  
**1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED**  
**22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL**  
**APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID**  
**NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE**  
**STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

Filed to-day  
Registrar

The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as under:-

1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December, 1991.
2. That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.
3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per


Re-submitted to-day and filed.

Registrar

26/8/16

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1, was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court Peshawar the same was not decided by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.


40  
6

That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.

Chairman

26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.

Respectfully Sheweth,


1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.
2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)
3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.
4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Dated: 01.09.2016

Through

Respondent No.4

  
Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.



P-2-

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR**

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

**AFFIDAVIT**


I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

  
DEPONENT

B-3

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER  
PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal 866/2016



Khyber Pakhtukhwa  
Service Tribunal  
Diary No. 896  
Dated 26/8/16

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....Appellant

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtukhwa through Chief Secretary , Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Pakhtukhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtukhwa Peshawar.
4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
5. Chief Minister of Khyber Pakhtukhwa Peshawar.  
.....Respondents

**SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT  
1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED  
22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL  
APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID  
NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE  
STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

**Filed to-day**

The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as  
under:-

**Registrar**

1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December, 1991.

That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.


3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per

Pre-submitted to-day  
and filed

Registrar

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1, was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court the respondent No. 1, was not decided by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.


40  
6

That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.

*Chairman*

26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaikat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,

Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.

Respectfully Sheweth,


1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.
2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)
3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.
4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Dated: 01.09.2016

Through

Respondent No.4



Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-2-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

  
DEPONENT

B-3-

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER**  
**PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**



Service Appeal 266/2016

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....Appellant

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Paktunkhwa through Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Paktunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director General Health Services Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
5. Chief Minister of Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.  
.....Respondents

**SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT**  
**1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED**  
**22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL**  
**APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID**  
**NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE**  
**STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

**Filed to-day** The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as  
**Registrar** under:-


Re-submitted to-day and filed.

1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December,1991.
2. That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.
3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per

Registrar 25/8/16

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1. was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court Peshawar the same was not decided by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.

40  
6


That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.

*Chairman*

26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16

26-8-16





BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali..... Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others..... Respondents


INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.


Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.
2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)
3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.
4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Dated: 01.09.2016

Through

Respondent No.4  
  
Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-2-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

A F F I D A V I T

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

  
DEPONENT

D-3

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER  
PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Duty No. 896

Dated 26/8/16

Service Appeal 866/2016

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....Appellant

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Paktunkhwa through Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Paktunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director General Health Services Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
5. Chief Minister of Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.  
.....Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT  
1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED  
22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL  
APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID  
NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE  
STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.

Respectfully Sheweth:

Filed to-day The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as  
Registrar under:-

1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December, 1991.
2. That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.
3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per

Re-submitted to-day  
and filed.


Registrar

26/8/16

ATTESTED  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt



Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1, was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court Peshawar the same was not decided by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.

40  
L


That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.


*[Signature]*  
Chairman

91-8-97  
26-8-16  
92-8-97  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16

26-8-16



42



BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No.866/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


INDEX

S.No.	Description of documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application with Affidavit		1-2
2.	Copy of order dated 26.08.2016		3-4
3.	Wakalatnama		5

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondents No.4

Through



Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.





P-1-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016  
IN  
Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR EARLY HEARING.


Respectfully Sheweth,

1. That the title Service Appeal is pending hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal and now it is fixed for 27.09.2016.
2. That on the last date of hearing i.e. 26.08.2016, the case was admitted and status-quo order was passed. (copy of order is attached)
3. That due to status-quo order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the impugned transfer of the appellant is stayed and the Respondent No.4 is suffering irreparable loss.
4. That late fixation and hearing of appeal would defeat the ends of justice whereas the matter being of urgent nature requiring early hearing and expeditious disposal of the case.

It is, therefore, prayed that the title case may graciously be fixed for an early date of hearing.

Dated: 01.09.2016

Respondent No.4  
Through

  
Ghulam Mohy-ud-din Malik,  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.

P-2-

BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KPK PESHAWAR

C.M No. \_\_\_\_\_/2016

IN

Service Appeal No. 866 /2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

VERSUS

Government of KPK & others.....Respondents


AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Farooq Malik Advocate, as per information of the client do hereby solemnly declare that the accompanying Application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

  
DEPONENT

B-3

**BEFORE THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER  
PAKTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**



Service Appeal 866/2016

Dr. Shoukat Ali S/o Gul Nawaz Khan ( Late) R/o Village Baroom,  
Tehsil Balambat District Lower Dir. District Health Officer, Lower Dir  
at Temirgara.....Appellant

**V E R S U S**

1. Government of Khyber Paktunkhwa through Chief Secretary ,Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
2. Secretary Health Department government of Khyber Paktunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar
3. Director General Health Services Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Dr. Anwar Zada, District Health Officer, District Head Quarter Hospital Timergara, Lower Dir.
5. Chief Minister of Khyber Paktunkhwa Peshawar.  
.....Respondents

SERVICE APPEAL U/S 4 OF THE SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT  
1974 AGAINST THE ORDER OF RESPONDENT NO. 2 DATED  
22.7.2016 AS WELL AS AGAINST THE DEPARTMENTAL  
APPELLANT AUTHORITY (RESPONDENT NO.5) WHO DID  
NOT DECIDE THE APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITH IN THE  
STIPULATED PERIOD AS DIRECTED BY THE HIGH COURT.

Respectfully Sheweth:

Filed to-day The brief facts necessitating this appeal are submitted as  
Registrar under:-


1. That, appellant is in the service of Health Department for more than 23 years since his joining in December, 1991.
2. That, appellant is in the BPS-18 and his name appears at Sr. No. 10 of the seniority list. He besides his medical qualification is holding a Master Degree in Public Health and thus he belongs to the Management Cadre of the Health Department.
3. That, appellant without any approach or SAFARSH or Political pressure was transferred & posted as District Health officer, District Lower Dir, on the basis of his experience, qualification & management skills, as per

ACCEPTED  
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa  
Service Tribunal

Re-submitted to-day  
and filed  
Registrar  
26/8/16

866/2016  
26.08.2016

P-4-  
Dr. Shaukat Ali vs Govt




Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that vide notification dated 13.5.2016 appellant attached to office of DHO Dir Upper was transferred from the said position and posted as DHO Dir Lower. That pursuant to the said transfer order, appellant resumed the charge of the said post of DHO Dir (Lower). That vide impugned notification dated 22.7.2016 one Dr. Anwar Zada private respondent No. 4, a doctor from the General Cadre was posted against the post of DHO Dir Lower while services of the appellant were placed at the disposal of DG Health services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. That aggrieved from the said order appellant preferred departmental appeal followed by Writ Petition No. 2723-P/2016. That vide judgment dated 27.7.2016 in the said Writ Petition the respondent No. 1, was directed by the Hon'ble High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal of the appellant within a period of 30 days. That the said period of 30 days granted vide judgment referred to above stood expired on 25.8.2016 and that despite explicit directions of the august Peshawar High Court to dispose of the departmental appeal by the Chief Secretary within stipulated period and hence the instant service appeal.

40  
6

That the impugned transfer order is premature as it is passed after about one month of the posting of the appellant as DHO Dir Lower. That the same is the result of political intervention and, further-more, the appellant belongs to Management Cadre while private respondent No. 4 belongs to General Cadre and that as per policy of Provincial Government a Medical Officer of General Cadre cannot be appointed against a post of Management Cadre. That the impugned transfer order is therefore against facts and law and liable to be set-aside.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 27.9.2016 before S.B. Notice of stay application be also issued to the respondents. Till then status quo be maintained.

*[Signature]*  
Chairman



26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16  
26-8-16

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL  
PESHAWAR.**

Service Appeal No.886/2016

Dr. Shaukat Ali.....Appellant

Versus

Government of KPK etc.....Respondents

**APPLICATION FOR ADJOURNMENT**

**Respectfully Sheweth:**

1. That the above noted appeal is fixed before this Hon'ble Tribunal for 21/12/2016.
2. That the undersigned represents the Respondent No.4 but due to fixation of his case before the apex Court at Islamabad, he is unable to attend this Hon'ble Tribunal. (Cause list attached)

**It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that title case may kindly be adjourned.**

Applicant



**Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik,**  
Advocate,  
Supreme Court of Pakistan.  
Counsel for Respondent No.4.

Dated 20/12/2016

12	C.P.2552/2016 (Suit for Declaration / Others) (S.J.)	Muhammad Bakhsh & others v. Manzoor Ahmed & others	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (2452)Dil Muhammad Khan Alizai, ASC
13	Crl.O.P.196/2016 in Crl.M.A.23/2007 in Crl.O.P.55/1998 (Contempt of Court / Disobedience of Court Orders) (Ch.O.)	Dr. Sheikh Aleem Mehmud v. Tahir Shahbaz, Secretary Establishment, Islamabad	P - In Person

Wednesday, 21-Dec-2016

1	<del>Crl.P.1099/2016</del> (Bail / After Arrest) (S.J.) (C.O.)	<del>Haji Shahid Hussain and others v. The State thr. AG, KPK, Peshawar</del>	(156)Mr. Muhammad Zahoor (Pesh) <del>Qureshi, AOR</del> <del>Mr. Ghulam Mohy-ud-Din Malik, (Pesh)</del> <del>Sr. ASC</del> <del>Addl. AG, KPK</del>
2	Crl.P.1140/2016 (Bail / After Arrest) (S.J.) (C.O.)	Muhammad Jaffar Bhatti v. The State and another	(239)Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, (Rwp) AOR (2175)Mr. Kanwar Iqbal Ahmed Khan, ASC Prosecutor General Punjab R - Notice
3	C.A.1198/2014 (Pre-emption Cases/Others) (S.J.)	Iftikhar Ahmad v. Mst. Saima Zia & others	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (1551)Mr. Muhammad Munir Paracha, ASC (94)Chaudhry Akhtar Ali, AOR (Rwp) (3115)Khalid Rehman, ASC (Pesh)
and	C.A.1199/2014 (Pre-emption Cases/Others) (S.J.)	Iftikhar Ahmad v. Adnan Khan & another	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (1551)Mr. Muhammad Munir Paracha, ASC (94)Chaudhry Akhtar Ali, AOR (Rwp) (3115)Khalid Rehman, ASC (Pesh)
and	C.A.1200/2014 (Pre-emption Cases/Others) (S.J.)	Iftikhar Ahmad v. Zaid Akhtar & another	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (1551)Mr. Muhammad Munir Paracha, ASC (94)Chaudhry Akhtar Ali, AOR (Rwp) (3115)Khalid Rehman, ASC (Pesh)
and	C.A.1201/2014 (Pre-emption Cases) (S.J.)	Iftikhar Ahmad v. Adnan Khan & another	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (1551)Mr. Muhammad Munir Paracha, ASC (94)Chaudhry Akhtar Ali, AOR (Rwp) (3115)Khalid Rehman, ASC (Pesh)
4	C.A.1513/2014 (Pre-emption Cases / Others) (S.J.)	Muhammad Ramzan & another v. Talib Hussain	(94)Chaudhry Akhtar Ali, AOR (Rwp) (2360)Mr. Ejaz Baig Mirza, ASC (239)Syed Rifaqat Hussain Shah, (Rwp) AOR (4097)Rana Muhammad Asif Saeed, ASC
5	C.A.1601/2014 (Pre-emption Cases) (S.J.)	Sheikh Javed Elahi (decd.) thr. LRs. v. Nobat Khan & others	(230)Mr. Mahmood A. Sheikh, AOR (3583)Mazhar Masood Khan, ASC R Ex-Parte
6	C.P.110-Q/2012 (Cases arising out of Civil Suits / Permanent Injunction)	Dil Murad & others v. Haji Umer	(243)Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chaudhry, AOR (2248)Mr. Zulfiqar Ahmed Bhutta, (Ibd) ASC (167)Mr. M. W. N. Kohli, AOR (Qta) (2983)Muhammad Ejaz Swati, ASC (Qta)
and	C.P.111-Q/2012 (Cases arising out of Civil Suits / Permanent Injunction)	Dil Murad & others v. Haji Umer	(243)Mr. Ahmed Nawaz Chaudhry, AOR (2248)Mr. Zulfiqar Ahmed Bhutta, (Ibd) ASC (167)Mr. M. W. N. Kohli, AOR (Qta) (2983)Muhammad Ejaz Swati, ASC (Qta)