

22nd Nov, 2022

This case was directed to be fixed before camp court Abbottabad for 16.11.2022 but the Reader has neither placed these appeals nor brought in the cause list. Explanation of the Reader be called as to why he should not be proceeded under the relevant Law. His explanation should reach my table within three days. The matter be posted on 14.12.2022 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad. Notices be issued to the petitioner and his counsel through ordinary means as well as through Whatsap of the counsel.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

14th Dec 2022

Clerk to counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Respondents are directed through learned AAG to submit implementation report on the next date positively. To come up for implementation report on 21.02.2023 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar




(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court Abbottabad

26.09.2022

Nemo for the appellant.


Notice be issued to the appellant and his counsel to attend the court on the next date. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on office objections before the S.B on 04.11.2022.


(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)

4th Nov, 2022

None for the appellant present.

Because of strike of the Bar, this matter is adjourned to 16.11.2022 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad. Office is directed to notify the next date on the notice board as well as on the website of this Tribunal.


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman



**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

**SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar**

E.P. No. 607/2022

Execution Petition
IN
Appeal No.1610

Qazi Muhammad Naeem LHC Member 271 District Police Mansehra.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police, KP Peshawar & Others.

...RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION

INDEX

<i>S.#</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Page No.</i>	<i>Annexure</i>
1.	Execution Petition alongwith affidavit	1 to 5	
2.	Copy of service appeal and judgment dated 18/09/2017 of this Honourable Tribunal	6-13	"A"
3.	Copy of order dated 11/10/2021	14-17	"B"
4.	Wakalatnama	18	



...APPLICANT/ PETITIONER

Through

Dated: _____/2022


(Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli)
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan

0346 - 9588583

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

Execution Petition
IN
Appeal No.1610

Qazi Muhammad Naeem LHC Member 271 District Police Mansehra.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. Inspector General of Police, KP Peshawar
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police Hazara Range Abbottabad.
3. District Police Officer Mansehra.

...RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION FOR IMPLEMENTATION
OF JUDGMENT DATED 18/09/2017 IN SERVICE
APPEAL NO.1610/10 OF THIS HONOURABLE
TRIBUNAL.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

That the facts forming the backgrounds of the instant
execution petition are arrayed as under;-

1. That, this Honourable Tribunal accepted
service appeal No.1610/10, vide judgment
dated 18/09/2017 wherein, the rights of the


applicant were protected w.e.f 12/05/2010 regarding the inclusion of the name of the petitioner for lower school course. (Copy of service appeal and judgment dated 18/09/2017 of this Honourable Tribunal is annexed as Annexure "A").

2. That, the applicant earlier filed execution petition No.182/19 and the same and consigned was filed to the record room on submission of compliance report vide order dated 11/10/2021 of this Honourable Tribunal. Copy of order dated 11/10/2021 of the Honourable Tribunal and compliance report submitted by the department are annexed as Annexure "B".
3. That, this Honourable Tribunal discussed the service appeal of the appellant in through detail in para No.5 of the judgment dated 18/09/2017 and finally decided the appeal of the applicant in para No.7 which read "the consequences of the above discussion of this tribunal reached the conclusion that the appellant was entitled to have been included

to the list and then should have been recommend for the said course. The appeal is therefore accepted”.

4. That, though the respondents' department got the lower school course completed from the applicant in term ending 15/06/2021. This fact is mentioned in the compliance report which is attached above.
5. That the Honourable Tribunal in para No.5 of the judgment dated 18/09/2017 and declared the applicant entitled for the said post in 2010. Therefore, the judgment of this Honourable Tribunal dated 18/09/2017 has not been complied with letter and spirit.
6. That, as per judgment, the applicant is eligible for having lower school course in the year 2010. But the said course was got completed by the department from the applicant in June 2021. Therefore, the applicant/petitioner's name was to be placed in the list of those employees who got competed course their lower school.


In view of the above, it is prayed that respondents may be directed to include the name of the applicant/petitioner in the list of those police officials who have completed their lower school course in 2010, failing which, contempt proceedings may be initiated against the respondents to punish them.



...APPLICANT /PETITIONER

Through

Dated: _____/2022



(Muhammad Arshad Khan Faoli)
Advocate/Supreme Court of Pakistan

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE
TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

Execution Petition
IN
Appeal No.1610

Qazi Muhammad Naeem LHC Member 271 District Police Mansehra.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS


Inspector General of Police, KP Peshawar & Others.

...RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION

AFFIDAVIT

I, *Qazi Muhammad Naeem LHC Member 271 District Police Mansehra*, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of foregoing application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed therein from this Honourable Court.



[Signature]
DEPONENT

Annex - A P-6

(5)

BEFORE SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK, PESHAWAR

A
MULTI-COPY
FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
PESHAWAR

A. V. P. Form No. 1
Service No. 1000
Diary No. 731
Date 06/03/10

Qazi Muhammad Naseem son of Qazi Muhammad Aslam resident of Post Office Gandhian, village Hathi Maira, No. 271 at present constable PS Baffa, District Mansehra.

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. Government of K.P.K through secretary interior Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police K.P.K Peshawar.
3. Deputy Inspector General of Police Hazara, Abbottabad.
4. District Police Officer, Mansehra.

... RESPONDENTS
6-4-10

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06/04/2010 PASSED BY THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE HAZARA ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3 INSTEAD OF SELECTING THE APPELLANT FOR LOWER CLASS COURSE RECOMMEND/REFERRED THE MATTER TO THE RESPONDENT NO. 2.

Attested
llm

Muhammad Arshad Khan Tattoli
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 23 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to
Distt. Bar Abbottabad

PRAYER:- ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL THE RESPONDENTS BE DIRECTED TO PASS AN ORDER

FOR SELECTION OF THE APPELLANTS FOR THE COURSE OF LOWER CLASS.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the appellant joined the service in Police Department on 21/11/2003, and since then the appellant is serving the Department honestly and with dedication.

2. That the department took the examination for the course of lower class in which the appellant also participated.

3. That as per the result of the examination the appellant also passed B-1 examination.

Attested

Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 33 Jinnah Plaza adjacent to
District Bar Abbottabad

4. That despite the fact that the appellant was declared successful in examination but was not selected for the course of lower class.

5. That the appellant submitted an application before the DIG of Police Hazara Abbottabad through District Police Officer Mansehra for the selection of lower

class course. Copy of application is annexed as Annexure "A".

6. That the DIG of police Hazara Abbottabad instead of selection of the appellant for the course of lower class constables recommended the matter to the Inspector General of police KPK Peshawar.

7. That the appellant after 06-04-2010, when through the impugned order the case of the appellant was referred/recommended to respondent No. 3, who contacted respondent No. 3, but no answer/decision has been given.

8. That the appellant submits this appeal before this Honourable Tribunal inter-alia on the following grounds:-

GROUND:

a. That the appellant is serving the Department for the last 7 years with honesty and dedication.

b. That the appellant has passed the examination of B-I which is a pre-requisite for the course of lower class.

Attested

Muhammad Arshad Khan Tanoli
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to
Distt. Sar Abbottabad

c. That in case the appellant is not selected for the course of lower class then the appellant will become average, as the date of birth of the appellant is 01-01-1978.

d. That even on the humanitarian grounds the appellant is entitled for the selection of the lower class course.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the respondents be directed to select the appellant for the lower class course.

[Signature]
... APPELLANT

Through

[Signature]
(SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI)
Advocate High Court, Abbottabad

Dated: 01-08-2010

VERIFICATION

Verified on oath that the contents of foregoing appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

[Signature]
... APPELLANT

Attested

[Signature]
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to
Distt. Bar Abbottabad

P-10

BEFORE SERVICES TRIBUNAL KPK, PESHAWAR



Appeal no. 1610/10

A.V.P. System
Service Tribunal
Diary No. 1731
Date 06/8/10

Qazi Muhammad Naseem son of Qazi Muhammad Aslam resident of Post Office Gandhian, village Hathi Maira, No. 271 at present constable PS Baffa, District Mansehra.

...APPELLANT

VERSUS

1. Government of K.P.K through secretary interior Peshawar.
2. Inspector General of Police K.P.K Peshawar.
3. Deputy Inspector General of Police Hazara, Abbottabad.
4. District Police Officer, Mansehra.

... RESPONDENTS

Attested

Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 29, Bazaar Plaza, Adjacent to
District Bar, Abbottabad

6/8/10

APPEAL AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 06/04/2010 PASSED BY THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE HAZARA ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY THE RESPONDENT NO. 3 INSTEAD OF SELECTING THE APPELLANT FOR LOWER CLASS COURSE RECOMMEND/REFERRED THE MATTER TO THE RESPONDENT NO. 2.

submitted to-
filed.

6/8/10

PRAYER:- ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL THE RESPONDENTS BE DIRECTED TO PASS AN ORDER

TESTED
Services Tribunal

P-11

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Service Appeal No. 1610/2010

Date of Institution... 06.08.2010

Date of decision... 18.09.2017



Qazi Muhammad Naseem S/O Qazi Muhammad Aslam
R/O Post Office Gandhian, village Hathi Maira, No. 2 at present Constable PS
Baffa, District Mansehra. ... (Appellant)

Versus

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Interior, Peshawar
and 3 others. (Respondents)

MR. SAJJAD AHMED ABBASI,
Advocate

... For appellant.

MR. MUHAMMAD BILAL
Deputy District Attorney

... For respondents.

MR. NIAZ MUHAMMAD KHAN,
MR. MUHAMMAD AMIN KHAN KUNDI,

... CHAIRMAN
... MEMBER

JUDGMENT

NIAZ MUHAMMAD KHAN, CHAIRMAN: - Arguments of the learned
counsel for the parties heard and record perused.

FACTS

2. The appellant was recruited as Constable on 20.11.2003. According to service record his date of birth is 01.01.1978. He appeared for B-I Examination which he qualified and his name appeared in the merit list of the said examination at S.No, 33 in the year, 2010. But he was not selected for lower school course in the notification issued on 31.3.2010. The D.I.G Hazara Region, thereafter wrote a letter dated 06.04.2010 to the Provincial Police Officer for allotment of extra seats for the lower schools course for Hazara Region so that the appellant alongwith 35 others might be selected for the said

Attested
Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
Office # 33, Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to
Bar Abbottabad

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal
Peshawar

qualified candidates is to be made on the basis of merit or on the basis of age. Rule 13.7 of the Police Rules says that ordinary seniority in age shall be given prior consideration in making such selections, irrespective of the date of admission to the list, and care must be taken that a constable borne on the list is not allowed to become over age for admission to the school being selected. It is clear from this rule that while making the list, the age is given priority over merit if older in age is likely to become over age in that year, otherwise the merit is a normal rule. This stance of the appellant is further supplemented by the letter of DIG dated 06.04.2010 which supported the case of 36 persons including the present appellant but the PPO while issuing order dated 12.05.2010 nominated four persons and in these four persons, two persons namely Muhammad Shakeel and Amir Malak are younger than the present appellant to which the learned DDA replied that the reason for including these two persons was that they were senior in merit. This argument is not plausible because Rule 13.7 as discussed above gives priority to persons who are likely to become over age. In such situation and specially when the order dated 12.5.2010 was passed for adjustment of over age quota, the appellant was entitled to have been placed in the over age quota in preference to other two persons mentioned above.

6. Coming to the departmental appeal of the present appellant dated 07.04.2010, there is no mention of letter dated 06.04.2010. It is generally mentioned in the said departmental appeal that as the appellant was becoming over age, therefore, he should be selected in the said course. The mentioning of order dated 06.04.2010 in the heading of appeal before this Tribunal would not be taken to diminish his legal right on hyper technical ground because otherwise, his departmental appeal is well within time being preferred after four days of the notification dated 31.03.2010. This technically should not come in the way of substantial justice if otherwise, the appellant has timely approached the authority for redressal of his grievance.

Attested
 Muhammad Arshad Khan Taseel
 Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan
 Office: Minnani Plaza Adjacent to
 District Bar Abbotabad

ATTESTED
 [Signature]
 District Bar Abbotabad

7. Consequent of the above discussion, this Tribunal reaches the conclusion that the appellant was entitled to have been included in the list and then should have been recommended for the said course. The appeal is therefore, accepted. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

Muhammad Amin
(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)
Member

(Niaz Muhammad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court, A/Abad

ANNOUNCED
18.09.2017

Certified to be true copy
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal,
Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Application: 08/2/22
Number of Words: 1600
Copying Fee: 48/-
Urgent: 4/-
Total: 24/-
Name of Applicant: _____
Date of Completion of Copy: 08/2/22
Date of Delivery of Copy: 08/2/22

Attested

Attested
Office # 33 Jinnah Plaza Adjacent to
Dialo Bar Abbottabad

Annex-B
Execution Petition No. 182/19
Qazi Muhammad Naseem



11.10.2021

Petitioner in person and Mr. Muhammad Rasheed, DDA
alongwith Shamraiz Khan, ASI (Legal) for the respondents
present.

P-14

Representative of the respondents submitted compliance
report, which is placed on file. The petitioner stated at the bar
that he is satisfied with the compliance report. His signature
obtained on the margin of order sheet.

In view of the above, instant execution petition is filed and
consigned to the record room.

Chairman
Camp Court, A/Abad

Certified to be true copy

Date of Presentation of Application 29-6-2022
Number of Words 800
Copying Fee 10/-
Urgent -
Total 10/-
Name of Copyist -
Date of Completion of Copy 25/7/22
Date of Delivery of Copy 25/7/22

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

P-15


(1)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 182/2019

APPEAL NO. 1610/2010



Qazi Muhammad Naseem S/O Qazi Muhammad Aslam R/O
Ghandian Village Hathi Maira, Tehsil & District Mansehra
presently posted in Anticorruption Establishment, Mansehra.

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS



1. GOVT OF KPK THROUGH SECRETARY INTERIOR, PESHAWAR.
2. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KPK PESHAWAR.
3. DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD.
4. DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, MANSEHRA.

.....RESPONDENTS

PETITION FOR IMPLIMENTATAION OF JUDGEMENT OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL DATED 18. 9. 2017 PASSED IN SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1610/2010.

Respectfully Sheweth,


1. That a service appeal # 1610/ 2010 of the appellant was heard and decided by this Honorable tribunal on 18/ 9/

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

2017. (Copy of the appeal is attached as annexure "A").
2. That the Honorable tribunal while accepting the appeal of the appellant concluded its verdict that the appellant was entitled to have been included in the list and then should have been recommended for the course of lower class.
 3. That the petitioner thereafter provided the copy of Judgment of this Honourable Tribunal to the respondent No. 2 through proper channel for his selection for lower course. (Copy of the application is attached as annexure "B").
 4. That the application of the petitioner was processed further at some length as the District Police Officer, Mansehra respondent No. 4 vide its memo No. 1125/SRC sent the case to the respondent No. 2 for allotment of one extra seat for lower school course, so that the implementation of order of this Honorable Tribunal could be carried out.
 5. That the respondent No. 2 then vide his office order No. 2063 dated 10/03/2018 asked the respondent No. 3 if any CPLA was lodged against the judgment of Service Tribunal or not while through a decision filing of appeal / CPLA before the Honourable Supreme court of Pakistan was not found fit. (Copies of the relevant documents are attached as annexure "C", "D" & "E").

ATTESTED


MEMBER
Nishtar Pakistan
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

6. That, thereafter no steps have been taken so far to implement the decision of This Honourable Tribunal that has caused irreparable loss to the petitioner / appellant.

PRAYER

In view of the above submissions it is most humble prayed that the respondents may be directed to implement the decision of this Honourable Tribunal to meet the ends of justice.

Qazi Muhammad Naseem
Qazi Muhammad Naseem

.....Petitioner

Through

Zulfiqar Ahmed
(Zulfiqar Ahmed Advocate)
High court Abbottabad

Date: 14/03/2019

Certified to be true copy

[Signature]
EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

29/06/22

Date of Presentation of Application _____

Number of Words _____ 1200

Stamping Fee _____ 14/-

Apprent _____

Cost _____ 14/-

Number of Copies _____

Date of Construction of Copy _____ 25/7/22

Date of Issue of Copy _____ 25/7/22

Q-18

کورٹ فیس

وکالت نامہ

Before the KPK Service Tribunal Peshawar بعد الت

Ag p and others عنوان: Qazi Naeem نام

Applicant منجانب:

نوعیت مقدمہ:

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب وہی کل کاروائی متعلقہ آن مقام

M. Arshad Khan Tanawi Adv S-

کو وکیل مقرر کر کے اقرار کرتا ہوں کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف کو کرنے راضی نامہ و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف و دینے اقبال دعویٰ اور بصورت دیگر ڈگری

کرانے اجراء وصولی چیک روپیہ و عرضی دعویٰ کی تصدیق اور اس پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کی کل یا کسی جزوی کاروائی کے لئے کسی اور وکیل یا مختار صاحب قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ اپنی

بجائے تقرر کا اختیار بھی ہوگا اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ مجھ کو منظور و قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ جو خرچہ و ہرجانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب ہوگا اس کے

مستحق وکیل صاحب ہوں گے۔ نیز بقایا رقم وصول کرنے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اگر کوئی پیشی مقام دورہ پر ہو یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب موصوف پابند ہوں گے کہ پیروی مقدمہ مذکورہ کریں اور اگر مختار مقرر کردہ میں

کوئی جزو بقایا ہو تو وکیل صاحب موصوف مقدمہ کی پیروی کے پابند نہ ہوں گے۔ نیز درخواست بمراد استجارتی ناشرین مفلسی کے اقرار کرنے اور اس کی پیروی کا بھی صاحب موصوف کو اختیار ہوگا۔

المرقوم:

بمقام:

M. Arshad Khan Tanawi Adv S-

Qazi Naeem

Qazi

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR AT CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Execution Petition No. 607/2022

Qazi Muhammad Naeem LHC Member 271 District Police Mansehra.

VERSUS

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar and 02
others.

ORDER

29.11.2023

Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim Khan, Advocate for the petitioner present.


Mr. Gul Shehzad, Sub-Inspector (Legal) alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali
Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the petitioner stated at the bar that the
petitioner wants to withdraw the instant petition with the permission to
file a fresh one, if the need so arises. In this respect, written endorsement
of learned counsel for the appellant obtained at margin of order sheet.

In view of the above, the petition in hand stands dismissed as
withdrawn, however petitioner may file a fresh appeal subject to all legal
and valid objections. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be
consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED

29.11.2023



(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (Judicial)
Camp Court Abbottabad

I want to withdraw petition with permission
to file fresh if needed. *N.A.*
29/11/2023

**CANNED
KPST
Peshawar**

Naeem Amin

26th July, 2023

1. Clerk of counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Gul Shehzad, S.I for the respondents present.

2. Clerk of counsel for the petitioner requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the petitioner is busy before Hon'ble Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench. Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on 29.09.2023 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad. P.P given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

KaleemUllah



(Rashida Bano)
Member (J)
Camp Court, Abbottabad

29-9-23

Due to public holiday the case is adjourned. To come up for the same as before on 29-11-23



25.05.2023

Petitioner alongwith clerk of his counsel present.
Mr. Ikhlaq Shah, DSP (Legal) alongwith Mr. Asif Masood
Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents
present.

Representative of the respondents stated that the
Execution Petition No. 182/2019 for implementation of
the judgment under execution has already been filed on
11.10.2021. When confronted with this situation,
petitioner stated at the bar that his counsel is not available
today, therefore, an adjournment may be granted.
Adjourned. To come up for further proceedings on
26.07.2023 before the S.B at Camp Court Abbottabad.
Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (J)
Camp Court Abbottabad

Naeem Amin

21st Feb, 2023

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for respondents present.

Respondents are directed through learned AAG to submit proper implementation report. The learned AAG is directed to communicate the directions of the Tribunal to the respondents. Last chance is given to the respondents for implementation report. To come up on 30.03.2023 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman
Camp Court Abbottabad

30.03.2023

Learned counsel for the petitioner present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney alongwith Mr. Zahid, ASI for the respondents present.

Implementation report not submitted. Representative of the respondents requested for time to submit implementation report. Granted by way of last chance, failing which coercive measures shall invariably be initiated against the respondents. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 25.05.2023 before S.B at camp court Abbottabad. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Muhammad Akbar Khan)
Member (E)
Camp Court Abbottabad