

30.01.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

Learned for the appellant argued that appellant was dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 13.10.2020 without providing proper opportunity of hearing and defence by conducting regular inquiry. He further argued that appellant was ill and was in the rehabilitation centre which fact was not considered by the respondents. Appellant filed departmental appeal on 10.12.2022 which was rejected vide order dated 21.10.2022. Appellant also filed revision petition which too was rejected on 21.11.2022, hence the instant service appeal. Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all just and legal objections. Appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Written reply on behalf of respondents have already been submitted. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 28.05.2024 before D.B. P.P given to appellant.

  
(Rashida Bano)  
Member (J)