31 Jan. 2024

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant argued that appellant was appointed as PTC vide order dated 05.04.1984. His services was regularized vide order dated 31.01.1989. The appellant was involved in a criminal case due to which he was dismissed from service but he reinstated into service vide order dated 20.07.2019. He further argued that junior to the appellant was promoted vide order dated 02.01.2015 and the appellant was ignored despite being senior. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal on 07.08.2023 which was responded within the statutory period of 90 days. Point raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for full hearing subject to all just legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit security fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments. Respondent be summoned through TCS, the expenses of which be deposited by the appellant within 3 days. To come up for reply/comments on 14.03.2024 before S.B P.P given to the parties.

> Rashida Bano Member (J)

\*Kaleem Ullah\*