FORM OF ORDER SHEET

•	•			.,	
	- 1	1/1/2	1 .		

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
-		
1-	18/11/2020	The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate may
		be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for
		proper order please.
		REGISTRAR
		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put
	•	up there on 1/2/21
	2	op there on the same
•		
		MEMBER(J)
,		
01.	03.2021	The learned Member Hidicial Mr. Milinammad Jamai Khai
		The learned Member Judicial Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khar
		leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for
	on	
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.
	on	leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the before S.B on 26.07.2021.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO.		/2020 .
------------	--	----------------

MST. DIL NAZ VS EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1-3
2.	Notification	Α · ·	4
3.	Pay Slips	B&C	5-6
4.	Departmental Appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal Judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat nama		• 10

ンじ しっ APPELLANT

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ
ADVOCATE

CELL NO. 03138901647

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1442/2020

Kbyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunai

MST. DIL NAZ PST (BPS-12) GGPS, ASLAM KOR QANDARO DISTRICT MALAKAND

Diary Nd Soll

Personnel. Number: 00393198

Dated 18/11/2020

..APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH ON FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **PST (BPS-12)** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD(PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated

- 5. That some of teachers of different pay scale approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which allowed by this august tribunal vide its Judgment No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department Dated 11-11-2019...... E.
- 6. That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No. 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgement Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, Discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is

applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant. Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

E-That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.

F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.

- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.


APPELLANT

DIL NAZ

THROUGH:

UMAR FARÓÓQ ADVOCATE







GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKITUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Cost, of Khyder Pashtunkawa. Finance Department, Perhawar.

Tō:

All Administrative Secretaries to Gove of Morton Palestonistrate.

The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Rhaber Postaucidisco.

3. The Secretary to Governor Kriyber Paking askers

4 Tine Secretary to Chief Misseler, Khyber Pakhanidwa.

The Secretary, Provincial Ascensary, Kinyber Pakaterishwa
 All Heads of Attaches Departments in Knyber Pakhtun Wwa

3 All Political Agents / District & Seralors Judges in Khyder Posheimkhwa

The Registral Peshawar Hajir Costs, Peshawar

💢 🕠 The Chairman Public Service Coroneston, Khyber Pokhius liswa.

The Chairman, Servese Tribunal Kilyost Pakhtonkhwa.

Saft ext

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhturahvio has been pleased to enhance / rivisc the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants; Govern Whyber Pakhtunkhwa (Working to EPS-1 to EPS-15) when from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in EPS-16 to EPS-19 will remain usechanged.

S.NO BPS	EXISTING RATE (PH)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1. 1-4	Rs.1,\$00/-	Rs.1,700/-
<u>2.</u> 5-10	Ps.1,500/-	Rs.1,540/-
11:15	\$s.2,000/-	Rs.2,720/-
. 4. 1 5.1 5	Rs.5.600/-	Rs.5.000/=

2. Conveyance Allowance at the opone rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 offices who have not been sanctioned afficial vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Alymad) Secretary Finance

Ender NO. FINSOISTATIBESIND

Dated Pestawar the Ind Treecipher, Illi?

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

t Attourant General Parity Pakityaking Perityaki

2 Secretaries la Galemanies d'Punjab, Bosh à Sporteren Mesiste Department

All Augurophonus / Sand Autonomous Socies in Khipper Pakit Lakhina

ATTESTED

(BUYA SAITMI) - noál celembaž lenenícká -

MYD

· 142

BETTER COPY PAGE-5

NAH

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNICHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- T. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa:
- 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Governor Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM).	Revised Rate (PM)
1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/
2	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1;840/-
3.	.11-15	Rs: 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
•4:	16-19	Rs. 5,000/	Rs. 5,000/-

2. Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17; 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December; 2012

心的影響

00393198 DIL NAZ

CNIC: 1540161323436 Desig: PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACH(80949327) Grade: 12 NTN:

LOAN/FUND

PAYMENTS

AMOUNT DEDUCTIONS

AMOUNT

PRINCIPAL REPAID

BALANCE

0001 Basic Pav

22,920.00 3012 GPF Subscription

2,220.00-

GPF#: IV.EDU.MND.5383

117.094.00

1000 House Rent Allowance 1300 Medical Allowance

, 1,951.00 3501 Benevolent Fund 1.500.00 3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK ... 600.00-125.00-

1528 Unattractive Area A

1,500.00 4004 R. Benefits & Death C 443.00

2148 15% Adhoc Relief All 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @

299.00

2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 1,754.00 2,292.00

Accounts Office GHALANAI

PAYROLL REGISTER

Page: 558

For the month of August ,2019

Date: 29.08.2019

DDO: MG6013 DEO Primary Education Mohmand

Payroll Section: 001 Payroll 1

2247 Adiroc Relief All 201

2,292.00 2264 Adhoc Relief All 201 2,292.00

PAYMENTS

37,253.00

DEDUCTIONS

3,997.00-

33.256.00 01:08.2019 31.08.2019

Branch-Code:220480

DARGAI, MALAKAND.

HABIB BANK LIMITED

0001 Basic Pay - 23,8	80.00 3012 GPF Subscription 2,	220.00-	GPF#: IV.ED	U.MND.53	33	119,013.00		
1000 House Rent Allowance	1,961.00 6505:GPF Loan Principal In	9,89.00-	GPF Temp. Advance	0368	35,600.00	10,879.00	24,721.00	
1210 Convey Allowance 20	2,856:00 3501 Benevolent Fund	600.00-			k.	•	5	
1300 Medical Allowance	1,500.00 3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	125,00-			**	•		
1528 Unattractive Area A	1,500.00 4004 R: Benefits & Death C	600.00-			e,		•	
2148 15% Adhoc Relief All	443.00			,				
2193 Adhoc Relief Allow @	299.00				-			-
2211 Adhoc Relief All 201	1,754.00		,					
2224 Adhoo Kellef Ali 201	.2;388.00						•	
2247 Adhoc Relief All 201	2,388.00						•	
2254 Adhoc Relief All 201	2,338.00							

STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-I to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R)CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & Summer vacations.

ATTESTED

Dated: 20.07.2020

Your Obediently

16:00

DIL NAZ

HE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

1500 1500

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar....

DDELLASIT

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS:

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and essecondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14:07:2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20:12:2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

AUSTO

- /II/19

-61.188/ 1.6.

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gost

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary", but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Pétitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCE

11.11.2019

AI IES

Chairmán

Peshawat

Cartified !

<u>VAKALATNAMA</u>

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

		OF 2020
·.		
		(APPELLANT)
DIL NAZ		(PLAINTIFF)
	•	(PETITIONER)
	<u>versus</u>	
•		(RESPONDENT)
Education Department	<u>-</u>	(DEFENDANT)
I/We DIL NAZ		
constitute UMAR FAROO withdraw or refer to arbabove noted matter, with engage/appoint any othe said Advocate to deposit	oitration for me/us as my lout any liability for his de er Advocate Counsel on m	do hereby appoint and appear, plead, act, compromise, y/our Counsel/Advocate in the efault and with the authority to by/our cost. I/we authorize the on my/our behalf all sums and the above noted matter.
•		CLIENT

ACCEPTED 1 UMAR FAROOO