



10<sup>th</sup> Nov, 2023

1. Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

2. Clerk to counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that learned counsel for the appellant is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 22.02.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

  
(Muhammad Akbar Khan)  
Member (E)


  
(Rashida Bano)  
Member (J)


\*kamranullah\*

22<sup>nd</sup> Feb, 2024

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

2. These cases involve question of grant of retrospective effect to the impugned orders. Most of these cases are pending since 2018, therefore, the learned counsel were requested to give a date of their own choice, so that a last chance be given to all of the parties and their counsel to argue these appeals on the said date of their choice. The learned counsel, after consultation with each other, agreed that matters may be fixed for 22.04.2024. Adjourned accordingly to the above date, the date is given on their own choice with the observation that no further adjournment will be granted on any ground and in case any of the learned counsel could not argue, the other counsel would argue and the cases would be decided forthwith. And in case again further adjournment is sought, all the matters shall be deemed to have been adjourned sine-die. In that eventuality, the counsel or parties whenever desirous to argue may make an application for restoration of the appeals to get those argued and decided. P.P given to the parties.

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (E)

  
(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman

\*Adnan Shah\*