


06.03.2024 1. Petitioner in person present. Mr. Habib Anwar, Additional Advocate General alongwith Mr. Essa Khan, Section Officer (Policy) and Mr. Amjid Khan, Assistant for the respondents present. Arguments on restoration application heard.

2. Petitioner argued that execution petition No. 389/2023 was disposed off vide order sheet dated 16.11.2023. Para-2 of the said order is reproduced as under;

*“A draft letter required from the Registrar of the Tribunal, is directed to be handed over to the representative of respondents, who has assured that the matter will be placed before the SSRC for consideration and decision. The petition is thus disposed off. The petitioner is at liberty to file fresh application if judgment could not be implemented as above. Consign.”*

He submits that meeting of Standing Service Rules, Committee (SSRC) was held on 10.01.2024 in which the proposed amendments were approved and minutes of the meeting were circulated on 20.02.2024, but till date no notification was issued, therefore, the execution petition may be restored. On the other hand learned AAG raised no objection on restoration of execution. In the interest of justice execution petition is restored to its original number.

4. Representative of the respondent No. 2 i.e. Section Officer (Policy) produced a copy of letter No. SO(POLICY)/E&AD/2-69/2024/SSRC dated 06.03.2024 addressed to Section Officer (E-III) Establishment Department for necessary action and said copy was duly received by Section Officer (E-III) Establishment Department on 06.03.2024. Since Establishment Department is the Administrative Department of Service Tribunal, therefore, respondent No. 2 i.e. (Secretary Establishment) is strictly directed to implement the judgment of this Tribunal in letter and spirit. Adjourned. To come up for implementation report on 14.03.2024 before S.B. P.P given to the parties.

  
(Muhammad Akbar Khan)  
Member (E)