Form- A

2021

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of

Case No.-

S.No. Date of order Order or other proceedings with signature of judge proceedings 1 2 3 The appeal presented today by Mr. Akhunzada Asad iqbal 13/01/2021 1-Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member for proper order please. CISTRAR This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put 2up there on _____ MEMBER()) Due to general strike on the call of Khyber 02.03.2021 Bar Counsel, learned counsel for Pakhtunkhwa appellant is not available today, therefore, the appeal is adjourned to 27.07.2021 on which date file to come up for preliminary arguments before S.B. /h

> (MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. _____ /2020.

NIAZ MAND VS EDUCATION DEPTT:

<u>INDEX</u>

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1-3
2.	Notification	Α	. 4
3. ′	Pay Slips	B&C	5-6
4.	Departmental Appeal	D	7
5.	Service Tribunal Judgment	. E	8-9
6.	Vakalat Nama		10

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

AKHUNZADA ASAD IQBAL

ADVOCATE CELL NO. 03459498710 SYED SAUD SHAH Scheacate High Count

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR APPEAL NO. /2020

Mrs. NIAZ MAND, SET (BPS-16) GGHS KOHERAY TIMERGARA LOWER DIR

ervice Tribunal

Diary No. 948 13/1/2021

APPELLANT

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 6-The Distlechecation officer Dis (lower) RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT. **1974** AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF **RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING** THE **CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER** VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during avacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

<u>R/SHEWETH</u> **ON FACTS:**

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as SET (BPS-16) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD(PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the

- 5. That some of teachers of different pay scale approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which allowed by this august tribunal vide its Judgment No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department Dated 11-11-2019...... E.
- That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No. 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgement Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, Discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant. Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E-That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant
 ' of conveyance allowance during vacations.
 - J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT NIAZ MANI NIAZ MAND THROUGH:

AKHUNZADA ASAD IQBAL ADVOCATE



From

To:

卡耶科人特它王 赵匡护人代于谢星的子 (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-IIN8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012.

The Secretary to Govi. of Khyber Pashtunkhwa, Finance Department: Peshawar. All Administrative Secretaries to Gove, of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. 2 The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhius/divaa Ĵ. The Secretary to Governor Knyber Pakhaunwawa ų The Secretary to Chief Minaster, Whyber Pakistunidowa, 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Knyber Pakhlurikhwa б. All Heads of Attached Departments in Knyber Pakhunkhwa. $\mathbf{7}$ All District Coordination Officerson Kimber Patchtunkinwa, 8. All Political Agents / District & Sassions Judges in Khyber Pakitunkhwa Э. The Registriar, Peshawar High Coort, Peshawar, 10 The Chairman, Public Sarvice Commersion, Khyber Pakhlunkhwa, ۳۹. The Chairman, Services Tribunal, Kitycor Pakhtunkhwa, Subject: REVISION IN THE BATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. PROVINCIAL

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants, Govt: of Knyber Pathtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f. from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19. will remain · umchanged.

SNO	BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1	1-4	Rs.1,500/-	R5.1,700/-
2,	5-10	Rs.1,500/+	Rs.1,840/-
<u>3</u> .	11-15	Rs.2,000/	Rs.2,720/-
-4,	16-19	Rs.5,000/-	Rs.5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicles.

> Yours Faithfully, (Sahibzada Saoed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst: NO. FD/SO(SR-11)/8-52/2012

Duted Perhawar the 2019 December, 2012

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

. .

GOVERNMENT UPS 1-19

1. Accountant General Kinder Pakhtumthwa, Pesliawar,

- Secretaties to Government of Punjab, Sindh & Selectresan, Fénerice Departmenti, 2
- 3 All Autonomous / Semi Autonomous Bodies in Kinyosr Pakhtunkhwa

ATTESTED

۲	Dist. Govf District Accour lonthly Salary	. NWFP-Pr nts Office Dir Statement (Fe	at Time.	1 (Tan 1-	8-5	
a sonal information on a	-					
Personal Information of Mrs	NIAZ MAND e]/w/s of HAZI	RAT MU	HAMMAD	ţ	
Personnel Number: 00263417 Date of Birth: 06.02.1973	CNIC: 1097	3014921		M	NTN:	
Date of Birth, 06.02.1973	Entry into G	ovt. Service:	28.03.199			nu lite i nu
Employment Category: Vocat				-	ength of Service: 24 Yes	ars 11 Months 003 Da
Designation: SENIOR ENGLIS	ional Lempora	ry				
DDO Code: DA6231-GGHS K	OUTDAY	• •	8000	1531-DISTRI	CT GOVERNMENT KI	IYRF
Payroll Section: 001						
GPF A/C No: EDUDR012068	GPF Section:		Cash	Center:		
Vendor Number: -	Interest Appli	ed: Yes		GPF Bala	ince: 394,	594.00
Pay and Allowances:	Pay scale: B	PS For - 2017	Der	с I т -	,	,
		10101-2017	Рау	Scale Type: C	Civil BPS: 16	Pay Stage: 16
Wage type		Amount			Without	
0001 Basic Pay	•	43,230.00	1000		Wage type	<u>Amount</u>
1924 UAA-OTHER 20%(16 G	/NG)	1,500.00	1947		law 15% (16 and	2,727.00
* - 2148 15% Adhoc Relief All-20	13	940.00	2199			1,351.00
2211 Adhoc Relief All 2016 10)%	3,252.00			ef All 2017 10%	633.00
2247 Adhoc Relief All 2018 10	%	4,323.00	2264	Adhoe Relia	ef All 2019 10%	4,323.00
Deductions - General				Tranot Itelle	51 All 2019 10%	4,323.00
Deductions - General						
Wage type			- 			
3016 GPF Subscription	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Amount		Wage type A	
3609 Income Tax			-3,340.00 3501 Benevole			<u>-800.00</u>
4004 R. Benefits & Death Com	<u>`</u>	<u>-668.00</u> <u>3990</u> Emp.Èd		Emp.Èdu. Fi	Ind KPK	150.00
		-650.00				0.00
Deductions - Loans and Advanc	es					
Loan Do						
Dt	scription	Principal an		al'amount	Deduction	<u>_</u>
6505 GPF Loan Principal Ins	stal		280,0		-10,000.00	Balance
Deductions - Income Tax Payable: 10,717.15 Recov	vered till Februa					110,000.00
Gross Pay (Rs.): 66,602.00			,370.00.	Exempted:	2678.39 Recoverat	ole: 2,668.76
	Deduction	is: (Rs.):	-15,608.0	0 N	Net Pay: (Rs.): 50,9	94.00
Payee Name: NIAZ MAND					. ,	
Account Number: C/A 5640-2						
Bank Details: NATIONAL BANK	OF PAKISTAN	I, 230841 NBP	TIMAR		IDD TD (+D o + T	
Leaves: Opening Balance:			1101711		IMARGARA DIR	, KOHAT
Leaves: Opening Balance:	Availed	I:	Earne	d:	Balance:	
· ·					Suluitee.	
Permanent Address: VILL.ANDHE						
City: DIR LOWER						
Temp. Address:	Domicile	: NW - Khyber	: Pakhtun	khwa	Housing Status: N	No Official
City:						to Official
- -	Email:			٨		~
•			I	L		
			\sim	L		
			h *****	ESTED		
			AII	EVIDE		
			-			
	• •					
265994/25 02 202042 50 55 -						
(265994/25.02.2020/17:58:06) 2) All amo	ounts are in Pak R.	upees 3) Errors	& omissio	ns excepted		

3

•

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

<u>Subject:</u>

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SET (BPS-16) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-I to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R)CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Department. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & Summer vacations.

Dated: 3 /10 / 2020

ATTESTED

Your Obediently NIA2 MAND NIAZ MAND

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

100

APPELI

EXAMETER

Khyber (

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. RESPONDENTS .

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND ACTION OF UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER OF VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted gedte-day previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in Registrar favor of the appellant. 2-4/12.1.1.9

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

24

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance lewapre for employees

UTEDIND

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Appeal No-1452/2019 Marbad Hayat vs Gort

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12:2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10:2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3152-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

1.373

C-

ર જે 🖱

NI

Chairmán

File be consigned to the record.

AT ZES

ANNOUNCED

ESTER

Certier

Pesnawa

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PÀKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

__OF 2020

(APPELLANT)

NIAZ MAND

___(PLAINTIFF)

(PETITIONER)

VERSUS

Education Department

___(DEFENDANT)

(RESPONDENT)

I/We NIAZ MAND _______ do hereby appoint and constitute AKHUNZADA ASAD IQBAL, Advocate, Peshawar to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter.

Dated. 13 / 1/3 / 2020

MA2 MAND CLIENT ACCEPTES **AKHUNZADA ASAD IOB ADVOCATE** 03459498710 SYED SAUD SH Adreate High Court