Sr.	Date of	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge or Magistrate		
No	order/			
	proceeding s			
1	2	3		
		BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL		
•		Appeal No. 641/2016		
		Date of Institution 10.06.2016 Date of Decision 09.01.2019		
		Alam Zeb S/o Farid Gul Resident of Mohalla Afzal Abad Nowshehra City, Tehsil &District Nowshera.		
		 Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary Khybe Pakhtunkhwa. The Provincial Police Officer, (Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar. 		
		 Deputy Inspector General of Police Mardan Region Mardan Mardan. District Police Officer, Nowshera. 		
	Respondents Mr. Muhammad Hamid MughalMembe Mr. Hussain ShahMembe			
-	09.01.2019	JUDGMENT		
Ğ	Altran	HUSSAIN SHAH, MEMBER: - Learned counsel for the		
		appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney		
		on behalf of the respondents present.		
		2. The appellant served in police department as a constable		
-		since year 2009. On the charge of absence from duty for two months		
	ε	and 22 days the respondent No. 4 dismissed the appellant from		
	. ·	ervice on 17.03.2015 w.e.f. 26.12.2014. His departmental appeal		
	a	gainst the order of dismissal from service was rejected on		
	0	5.06.2015. His appeals to respondent No. 2 was also rejected on		
	.			

1

ground of limitation vide order date 11.05.2016. Being aggrieved the appellant preferred the instant service appeal on 10.06.2016.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant argued that the severe penalty of dismissal from service was imposed upon the appellant against the law without any proper show cause notice and proceeded him ex-part without giving him the opportunity of being heard and without any inquiry. The learned counsel for the appellant referred to the medical record on file for the purpose of establishing the fact that the appellant was seriously ill due to sciatica and he did not report for duty on medical advice for complete bed rest. He further pointed out that the respondent department did not consider the medical record at any stage. The learned counsel for the appellant also argued that the same record cannot be rejected without conducting an inquiry and getting the authentication of the record confirmed or otherwise from the District Head Quarter Hospital Nowshera. He pleaded this tribunal that the appellant belongs to a poor family, is jobless since the imposition of the impugned penalty of dismissal from service. Hence on acceptance of the instance appeal the impugned order dated 11.05.2016 may be set aside the appellant may be re-instated into service with all back benefits.

4. The learned Deputy District Attorney contested the facts, grounds of the appeal and arguments of the learned counsel for the appellant on behalf of the responding department and argued that during his short span of service of five years and five months he earned bad reputation due to well-full and deliberate absence from his lawful duty on many occasion before his instant continuous absence from duty w.e.f. 26.12.2014 till the order of dismissal from service by respondent No.4. He further argued that the statement of allegation a show cause notice was issued vide No. 210/PA, dated 23.01.2015 which was duly served but the appellant failed to submit his reply. He further stated that the appellant was given full-fledged opportunity of defending himself through orderly room held on 27.05.2015 but he failed to justify his prolonged absence thereafter his departmental appeal was rejected. The learned Deputy District Attorney pleaded that the appellant was guilty of violating the discipline of the police force and he was proceeded for the same as per law and prescribed procedure hence the appeal may be dismissed with costs.

5. Arguments heard. File perused.

6. This tribunal examined the record on file and the arguments of the parties. The alleged absence from duty is an admitted fact but the reason for the said absence needed proper consideration. The appellant and the learned counsel placed on record the medical description and advice for bed rest allegedly issued from the DHQ Hospital Nowshera. In this view of the matter while also taking into account the period of absence, length of service of the appellant and the fact that the appellant is a low paid employee, this tribunal reached to the conclusion that the punishment awarded to the appellant is excessive. Moreover this tribunal had already given relief in numerous cases of similar nature. 7. As a sequel to above a penalty of dismissal from service is modified and converted into stoppage of three (03) annual increments for five (05) years. Resultantly the appellant is reinstated into service. The absence period and intervening period shall be treated as leave without pay. The present service appeal is partially accepted in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

. **. .** . .

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

(Hus ain Shah) Member

ANNOUNCED 09.01.2019 09.01.2019

D19 Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Vide separate judgment of today of this tribunal placed on file, the penalty of dismissal from service is modified and converted into stoppage of three (03) annual increments for five (05) years. Resultantly the appellant is reinstated into service. The absence period and intervening period shall be treated as leave without pay. The present service appeal is partially accepted in the above terms. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

(Hussain Shah)

Member

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 09.01.2019 31.07.2018 Appellant in person present. Mr. Muhammad Jan learned Deputy District Attorney present. Appellant seeks adjournment as his counsel is not in attendance. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 17.09.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal).

Member

17.09.201

Appellant in person present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak learned Additional AG, for the respondents present. Due to General Strike of the Bar, arguments could not be heard. To come up for arguments on 02.11.2018 before D.B.

(Hussain Shah) Member

(Ahmad Hassan)

Member

nad Amin Kundi) (Muha Member

02.11.2018

Neither appellant nor his counsel present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Additional AG for the respondents present. Due to retirement of Hon'ble Chairman, the Tribunal is incomplete. Therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for the same on 03.12.2018.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi)

Member

03.12.2018

Appellant in person present. Mr. Riaz Ahmad Paindakhel, Assistant AG alongwith Mr. Muhammad Fayaz, Head Constable for the respondents present. Appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 09.01.2019 before D.B. 29.01.2018

Appellant in person present. Mr. Usman Ghani, Learned District Attorney along with Wisal Ahmad Inspector for the respondents present. Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground of non availability of his counsel. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 30.03.2018 before D.B

(Muhammad Amin Kundi) MEMBER

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) MEMBER

30.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Addl. AG for respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment.
 Granted. To come up for arguments on 07.06.2018 before the D.B.

Member

hairman

07.06.2018

Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Zia Ullah, learned Deputy District Attorney present. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 31.07.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member

(Muhammad Hamid Mughal) Member

03.04.2017

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Adeel Butt, Addl: AG for the respondents present. Rejoinder not submitted and requested for time to file rejoinder. To come up for gejoinder and final hearing on 16.06.2017 before D.B.

16.06.2017

Appellant in person present. Mr. Fayaz Khan, HC alongwith Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak, Assistant AG for the respondents present. Appellant submitted rejoinder which is placed on file. To come up for arguments on 25.09.2017 before D.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

(Gul Zel Khan) Member

25.09.2017

Clerk to Counsel for the appellant and Asst: AG alongwith Mr. Zakiullah, S.A for respondents present. Since learned Member (Mr. Ahmad Hassan) is on leave, therefore, arguments could not be heard. To come up for arguments on 04.12.2017 before D.B.

04.12.2017

12.41

Appellant in person and Mr. Muhammad Jan, DDA for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 29.01.2018 before D.B.

5 Member (Executive)

Member (Judicial)

29.09.2016

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Mr. Wisal Khan, Inspector alongwith Addl: AG for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment: stast opportunity granted. To come up for written reply/comments on 30.11.2016 before S.B.

30.11.2016

Appellant in person and Addl. AG for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for adjournment. Request accepted. Last opportunity granted. To come up for written reply on 17.01.2017 before S.B.

Member

Charman

17.01.2017

Counsel for appellant and Mr. Fayaz Khan, H.C alongwith Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Assistant AG for respondents present. Written reply by respondents submitted and copy whereof handed over to learned counsel for appellant. To come up for rejoinder on 03.04.2017.

(ASHFAQUE TAJ) MEMBER

19.07.2016

Appellant in person present and requested for adjournment as his counsel is indisposed. To come up for preliminary hearing on 27.07.2016.

nber

27.07.2016

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Constable when dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 17.3.2015 on the allegations of willful absence where-against he preferred departmental appeal on 26.3.2015 which was rejected on 5.6.2015 where after he preferred mercy petition on 15.5.2015 which was also rejected on 11.05.2016 and hence the present appeal on 10.06.2016.

That the enquiry was not conducted in the mode and manners prescribed by the rules and neither any show cause notice was ever served on the appellant nor opportunity of hearing afforded to him during the enquiry. That absence of the appellant was not willful and that he could not perform his duty due to ailment which fact was never probed by the concerned authority.

Points urged need consideration. Admit. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 29.09.2016 before S.B.

Chairman

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of 641/**2016** Case No. Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate Date of order S.No. proceedings 3 1 2 \mathbf{T} The appeal of Mr. Alam Zeb Khan resubmitted today 14/06/2016 1 by Mr. Aftab Ahmad Khan Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please. REGISTRAR 15-6-2016 This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing 2to be put up there on. 21-06-2016 CHAIRMAN Counsel for the appellant present. Requested 35.2016 adjournment. Request accepted. To come up Tor prelimit hearing on 19.7.2016. Member

The appeal of Mr. Alam Zeb Khan son of Farid Khan resident of Mohallah Afzal Abad Nowshera City received to-day i.e. on 10.06.2016 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Memorandum of appeal may be got signed by the appellant.
- 2- Address of respondent no.2 is incomplete which may be completed according to the Khyber

. . . .

- Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.
- 3- Index of the appeal may be prepared according to the rules.
- 4- Annexures of appeal may be annexed serial wise as mentioned in the memo of appeal.
- 5- Annexure-H of the appeal is missing.
- 6- Copies of departmental appeal and mercy petition are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 7- The authority to whom the departmental appeal was preferred/made has not been arrayed a necessary party.
- 8- Annexures of the appeal may be attested.
- 9- Annexures of the appeal may be flagged.
- 10- Copies of order dated 11.5.2016 and 17.3.2015 are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 11- Six more copies/sets of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

No.___995___/S.T,

Dt. 10-6 /2016

Je16/16

SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. Aftab Ahmad Khan Adv. Nowshera.

Respedéd Sir, The deficioucies are completed and the appeal is resubmitted.

AFTAB AHMADS AFTAB AHMADS Advocate

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

<u>VERSUS</u>

<u>APPEAL</u>

INDEX OF DOCUMENTS

S. No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
01	Appeal		1-4
02	Affidavit		5
03	Addresses of parties		6
04	Copy of Cheque	A	7
05	Copies of medical prescriptions	B,C,D	8-10
06	Copy of Fitness certiciate	E	11
06	Copies of orders i.e. 11-05- 2016	F,G,H	12-14
07	Copies of better copies of orders dated 11-5-16 & 17-3-15	I,J	15,16
09	Affidavit regarding non availability of departmental appeal /mercy petition	K	17.
10	Wakalat Nama		18

Appellant

Dated; ___/06/2016.

Through Counsel:-

(AFTAB AHMAD KHAN)

Advocate High Court Dsitt:Courts Nowshera.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

10-6-201h

Diary No. 605

Appeal No: <u>64</u> of 2016. Alamzeb Khan S/o Farid Gul Resident of Mohallah Afzal Abad Nowshera City, Tehsil & Distt: Nowshera......(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS;</u>

- Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 2. The Provincial Police Officer, (Inspector General of Police) Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region I Mardan.

4. District Police Officer, Nowshera..(Respondents)

APPEAL AGAINST THE **ORDER** DATED 11-05-2016 BY VIRTURE OF WHICH THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF <u>APPEALLANT</u> WAS DISMISSED AND THE SERVICES OF <u>APPELLANT</u> THE AS -POLICE CONSTABLE WAS **TERMINATED** ORDER DATED 11-05-2016 IS ILLEGAL, UNLAWFUL, VOID AND INEFFECTIVE UPON THE OF **RIGHTS** THE APELALNT AND IS LIABLE TO BE SET-ASIDE.

<u>**PRAYER:-**</u> On acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 11-05-2016 may graciously be set-aside and the appellant may kindly be re-instated in service with all back benefits.

tn-dav Registrar 10/6/16

Re-submitted to -day and flipt.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

- 1. That the appellant joined the services of the police department as constable in the year 2009.
- 2. That the appellant during his entire service of 5 years, performed his duties at different stations as and when required by the department and never disappointed his superiors during the performance of his duties.
- 3. That during his service, the appellant earned good reputations, and also earned cash prizes from his superiors due to his extra ordinary services. (Copy of Prize Cheque is attached herewith as Anx-A).
- 4. That while performing in the said capacity, in the year 2014, due to stress of his duties and physical stress, the appellant suffered from severe back pain, resultantly got Sciatica.
- 5. That because of his physical conditions, the appellant could not move from his bed to which he telephonically informed the immediate high ups.
- 6. That during his sickness, the appellant regularly visited the DHQ hospital Nowshera for his check up and the appellant was advised complete bed rest by the doctors. (Copies of the Medical Prescriptions are attached herewith as Annex, "B, C & D").
- 7. That after the complete physical recovery, the appellant reported back to his department, wherein he was told that his services had been terminated due to absente. (Fitness certificate is attached as Annex-E).

- 8. That thereafter the appellant filed the departmental appeals to different high officials but none of them accepted his appeal and finally on 11-05-2016 final departmental appeal was dismissed. (Copies of the orders are attached herewith as Annex "F" "G" & "H").
- 9. That the impugned order dated 11-05-2016 is illegal, unlawful against the law, hence liable to be set-aside, inter alia on the following grounds;-

GROUNDS OF SERVICE APPEAL

- A. That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, hence his rights scarred and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- B. That no proper show cause notice was served on appellant and his hearing of show cause notice was proceeded ex-parte.
- C. That the appellant has not been given a property opportunity of being heard and no inquiry was conducted to check the appellant's medical record in DHQ Nowshera.
- D. That the competent authority has passed the impugned order in mechanical manner and the same is perfunctory as well as none speaking and also against the basic principle of administration of justice, therefore, the impugned order is not tenable under the law.

- E. That the appellant belongs to a poor family and is jobless since the imposition of illegal penalty of dismissed from services.
- F. That the appellant seeks permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of this appeal.

IT IS THEREFORE, HUMBLY PRAYED THAT ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 11-05-2016 MAY PLEASE BE SE-ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY KINDLY BE REINSTATED WITH ALLL BACK BENEFITS.

Appellant

Dated: /06/2016.

Through Counsel:-

(AFTAB AHMAD KHAN) Advocate High Court

CERTIFICATE:-

It is certified that there is no other appeal pending before Hon'ble Tribunal except this one nor decided. The contents of this Amont appeal is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief?

Advocate High Court.

Dsitt:Courts Nowshera.

(Alam Zeb appelant)

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No._____of 2016. Alamzeb Khan(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

Govt: of KPK & others......(Respondents)

<u>WRIT PETITION</u> <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Mr. Alamzeb Khan S/o Farid Gul, R/o: Afzal Abad Nowshera City, Tehsil & Distt: Nowshera (Appellant) do hereby solemnly affirms and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying *APPEAL* are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this August court.

DEPONENT (ALAMZEB KHAN) CNIC #:- 17201-9438218-1 ATTESTED ADVOCATE COMMISS 56 ١٥

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No._____of 2016. Alamzeb Khan(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

Govt: of KPK & others.....(Respondents)

<u>APPEAL</u>

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT:-

Alamzeb Khan S/o Farid Gul

Resident of Mohallah Afzal Abad Nowshera City, Tehsil & Distt: Nowshera.

<u>VERSUS</u>

<u>RESPONDENTS:-</u>

- Government of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- The Provincial Police Officer, (Inspector General of Police) Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region I Mardan.
- 4. District Police Officer, Nowshera.

Dated; 14 /06/2016.

Appellant Through Counsel:-

(AFTAB AHMAD KHAN) Advocate High Court Dsitt:Courts Nowshera.

Page 7 CURRENT A/C NO. CHEQUE NO. 0975771 06363007 Date <u>11 - 04</u> PAY: Alam Zeb CNIC17201-9438218-1 OR BEARER المعلمية ال المعلمية الم المعلمية الم المعلمية الم ر با ج ۱۰٫۰۰ مارد ۲۰٫۰۰ معدمور ۲۰۰۰ . ي. =1500/-Rs. The Bank of Khyber Islamic Banking HANGU BR.0107 'Polici.' Annerure A Allesterd by ab Annee Khan Advocet M. Court U.A-LLB A. District Courts Nowshera 4

DHIS - 02 (F) OUT DOOR PATIENT TICKET Americande. Section: 2735 CRP No:_ District Facility Name Age: Sex: Name Father's/Husband's Name Monthly OPD Serial No: Provisional Diagnosis: Clinical Findings / Investigations/ Treatment / Refered / Test Findings Date 11 No. & Actanac & A Na Calcard Jugen Con Kiph 400 Bar SA Atested Altab Atmad Khan Advocata High Court M.A-LLB District Courts Nowshera 1.00 energenetister i der

DHIS 02 (F) Annexno C. OUT DOOR PATIENT TICKET Sent To: 24 CRP No: District Facility Name Name Father's/Hushand's Name Monthly OPD Serial No. JUZIS JAN JUZIS JAN WANGUN ACCANDA **Provisional Diagnosis:** Clinical Findings / Investigations/ Treatment / Refered / Test Fin Dijelo /m Giable Volteap 1-plet-75-70 m ! Merthy (d) ل) رون در Back Paim Sple Lissind 影响的圆 Orde Pedre Surge Capb. Exilen 400 mp Withlight Advi Complère Home Bed Dest for Gue Month. Record Hester Ahmed Khan Advocata High Couri MA-LLB District Courts Nowshera

fage 🕷 DHIS - 02 (F) OUT DOOR PATIENT TICKET Sent To: Annearine 7809 CRP No: <u> 1</u>{y Diahet Facility Name Name Father's/Husband's Name , <u>,</u> Monthly OPD Serial No. Provisional Diagnosis: Clinical Findings (Investigations/ Treatment / Refered / Test Findings 🗧 Date ÷, Neerbord Tillo 101 7001 26 Celkeep Siator 1.01 Jodry 55 Methyceby 06/1) Surgeon Orthopedie Surgeon REM Cal :Lb 11391 : L'oumpeue Hours Bed vest fool Balance M Attested ab Alimod Khan Advecala High Court U.A-LLB District Courts Newshere 150

DHIS - 02 (F) Monexune ble-Ja OUT DOOR PATIENT TICKET Sent To: 4613. aliet CRP No: 9(y Facility Name Father's/Husband's Name Monthly OPD Serial No. Provisional Diagnosis: Clinical Findings / Investigations/ Treatment / Refered / Test Findings j Date NE This is to Contify 10 MR Adem Lack 14 ad M.000 7:1 700 du his 11. r. i ii Entre 100 D.17.0. A SANG AND <u>ک</u>ر Hablen Afinad Khan Heirocata High Court M.A-LLB District Courte Nowshera



á

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKITUNKHWA CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE, PESHAWAR. No. St. <u>3829</u> /16, dated Peshawar the <u>11 105</u>/2016.

<u>ORDER</u>

Amerwe

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Up (Plawa Police Rule-1975 submitted by Ex-Constable Alam Zeb No. 1295. The appellant assarded punishment of dismissal from service by DPO, Nowshera vide OB No. 417, dated 1943./015. on charges of absence for a period of 02 months and 22 days.

He preferred appeal before the RPO, Mardan which was examined and filed / rejected • Ge Order Endst: No. 3356/ES, dated 05.06.2015.

Meeting of Appeal Board was held on 03.03.2016, wherein the appellant was heard in
 and The enquiry papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the
 aner absented himself for a period of 02 months and 22 days. His service length is 05 years
 at 11 anoth. He has carned 26 bad entries during his service in short span of service. The
 a labitual absentee and is burden on the department. Therefore, the pention of the
 a constant by law and limitation and worth rejection.

time other is issued with approval by the Competent Authority.

Huster

(NAJEEB-UR-RAHMAN) AIG / Establishment, For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhya, Pesnavar,

3530 - 37 16.

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to their

a tensore Comparent Data 2016 impedientices reported on a

Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.

Distele, Police Officer, Nowshera,

P. O to UP/Khyber Pathtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar,

1999 Ho L Polkhyber Pakhtonkhwa, CPO Peshawar,

2 Nao Addi: (GP/HQrs: Chyber Pokhtunkhwa, Peshawar,

e – P.y.s. DiG/HOIS: Khylen Palhtuordiwa, Peshawar, –

other Supdit E-IV, CPO, Peshawar, 1

HUF mbal Registry Cell, (CRC), CPO.

NOWSHERA DISTRICT

ICH DEPARTMENT

Ż

DISMISSAL ORDER

Constable Alamzeb No. 1295, while posted at Police Lines, Nowshera (now under transfer to Police Station, Risalpur) remained absent (1901 duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide daily diary see

In this connection, he was served with a Show Cause Nation And the second of the secon 28 dated 26.12.2014 Pulice Lines till-date. under Police Rules-1975 on 06.03.2015, issued vide this office No. 210/PA date I 20 01.2015 and in compliance, he was bound to submit his reply within the stipulated time of seven days i.e 13.03.2015 as per para 07 of Show Care a Notice, but neither, he submitted his reply nor joined duty till date, indicating

negligence, disinterest in service and disobedience towards Senior Officers on his part. ----

From perusal of his service record, he was enlisted on 31.10 317 -Previous Record $_{
m T}$ and during his short service, by has earned 27 bad entries with the good $v^{
m r}$. also indicated that he is a burden on the ceparement.

Therefore, in the light of his such misconouce, I am of i considered opinion that Constable Alamzed No. 1295 of Police Lines, howshills not interested in Police Service hence dismissed from Police Force with effect from 26.12.2014, in exercise of the powers vested to me under Police Rules, 1975

UB 417. Dated / 2. 3. /2015.

District Police Offic at Nowshera.

RHerbirg,

/2015 No. 1062-67. IPA, dated Nowshera, the 1-71 Copy for information and necessary action to the

- DSP Hqrs: Nowshera.
- 1. SHO Risalpur
- 2. Pay Officer.
- 3.
- E.C. 4.
- · FMC with relevant papers (07 sheers) OHC. 5.

••••

6.

1 4 3 1 5

<u>order.</u>

This order will dispose-off the appeal preferred by Ex- Constable Alam Zeb No. 1295 of Nowshera District Police against the order of District Police Officer, Nowshera, wherein he was dismissed from service vide District Police Officer, Nowshera OB No. 417 dated 17.03.2015.

Brief facts of the case are that, he while posted at Police Lines, Nowshera transferred to Police Station, Risalpur absented himself from duty without any leave/permission of the competent authority vide daily diary No. 28 dated 26.12.2014 and remain till the date of dismissal. In this connection he was served with a Show Cause Notice and in compliance, he was bound to submit his reply within the stipulated time of seven days ie 13.03.2015, but neither he submitted his reply nor bothered to join duty, indicating negligence, disinterest in service and disobedience towards senior Officers on his part. From perusal of his service record he was enlisted on 31.10.2009 and during his short span of service he has earned 27 bad entries having no good entry which indicated that he is burden on the department, therefore he was dismissed from service.

I have perused the record and also heard the appellant in Orderly Room held in this office on 27.05.2015, but he failed to justify his absence and could not produce any cogent reason about his absence. Therefore, I MUHAMMAD SAEED Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan in exercise of the powers conferred upon me reject the appeal and do not interfere in the order passed by the competent authority, thus the appeal is filed forthwith.

ORDER ANNOUNCED.

(MUNAMMAD SAFED)PSP Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan

/2015...

No. 3356

/ES.

(***)

Dated Mardan the_

Copy to District Police Officer, Nowshera for information and necessary action w/r to his office Memo: No. 2027/LB dated 12.05.2015. His service record is returned herewith for record in your office.

05

h Rumad Khan High Court M.A--LLB 人代刊 District Courts Nowshera Advocato

page 15



ΌΡΥ

BETTER

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA

CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE,

PESHAWAR.

NO. S/3829/16, DATED PESHAWAR THE 11-05-2016 Annetew

<u>ORDER</u>

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 submitted by Ex-Constable Alam Zeb No. 1295. The appellant was awarded punishment of dismissal from service by DPO, Nowshera vide OB No. 417, dated 17-03-2015 on charges of absence for a period of 2 months and 22 days.

He preferred appeal before the RPO, Mardan which was examined and filed/rejected vide Order Endst: No. 3356/ES, dated 05-06-2015.

Meeting of Appeal Board was held on 03-03-2016, wherein the appellant was heard in person. The enquiry papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the petitioner absented himself for a period of 2 months and 22 days. His service length is 05 years and 4 months. He has earned 26 bad entries during his service in short span of service. The appellant is a habitual absentee and is burden on department. Therefore, the petition of the petitioner is barred by law and limitation and worth rejection. This order is issued with approval by the Competent Authority.

> Sd-x-x-x-x (NAJEEB UR REHMAN) AIG/Establishment For Inspector General of Police Khyber Pukhtunkhwa Peshawar

No. S/3830-37/16

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

- 1. Regional Police Officer, Mardan Region, Mardan.
- 2. District Polie Officer, Nowshera.
- 3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pukhtunkhwa CPO Peshawar.
- 4. PRO to IGP/Khyber Pukhtunkhwa CPO Peshawar.
- 5. RA to Addl: IGP HQrs Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. PA to DIG/HQrs Khyber Pukhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 7. Officer Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar.
- 8. I/C Central Registry Cell (CRC) CPO.

Aftab Anniaa Khan Advocate High Court M.A-LLB District Courts Nowshere

BETTER COPY

POLICE DEPARTMENT

NOWSHERA DISTRICT

page (16)

DISMISSAL ORDER

Annexure Constable Alamzeb No. 1295, while posted at Police Line, Nowshera (Now under transfer to police station Risalpur) remained absent from duty without any leave permission of the competent authority vide daily diary No. 28, dated 26-12-2014 Police Lines till date.

In this connection, he was served with a Show Cause notice under Police Rules 1975 on 06+-03-2015, issued vide this office No. 210/PA dated 23-01-2015 and in compliance, he was bound to submit his reply within the stipulated time of seven days i.e 13-03-2015 as per Para 07 of Show Cause Notice, but neither, he submitted his reply nor joined duty till date, indicating negligence, disinterest in service and disobedience towards Senior Officers on his part.

Previous Record:

From perusal of his service record, he was enlisted on 31-10-2015 and during his short service, he has earned 27 bad entries with no good entry also indicated that he is a burden on the department.

Therefore, in the light of his such misconduct, I am of the considered opinion that Constable Alamzeb No. 1295 of Police Lines, Nowshera is not interested in Police Service hence dismissed from Police Force with effect from 26-12-2014, in exercise of the powers vested to me under Police Rules 1975.

OB 417

Dated: 17-03-2015

Sd-x-x-x-x-x (RAB NAWAZ KHAN) District Police Officer Nowshera

No. 1062-67/PA dated Nowshera, the 17-03-2015. Copy for information and necessary action to the:

- 1. DSP Hqrs: Nowshera.
- 2. SHO Risalpur.
- 3. Pay Officer.
- 4. E.C.
- 5. OHC
- 6. FMC with relevant papers (07 sheets)

BARNEN

Altab Attracts Advacata bisi Celui - BA--1 District Courts Nowsh

BEFORE THE KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR Annea 11

Appeal No. $\frac{64}{}$ of 2016. (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

Govt: of KPK & others......(Respondents)

A Carter

AFFIDAVIT

I, Mr. Alamzeb Khan (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare on oath that I approached the concerned office at Nowshera Police Lines for providing me the copies of departmental appeals/mercy petitions but they for one reason and another delayed the matter and so far I have not received the copies of departmental appeals / mercy petition from the concerned office, the moment I receive them, I will submit the same before the Tribunal whatever is stated above is true and correct and nothing has been concealed.

DEPONENT

(ALAMZEB KHAN) CNIC #:-17201-9438218-1



ATTESTED

Page 17

Affested

and the second and a standard all a state the second and the second

Afteb Abrnad Khan Advecto Rija Octati - 114-LLB District Louris Nowshere



BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>641/2016</u>

Ex-Constable Alamzeb Khan No. 1295 s/o Farid Gul, R/O Mohallah Afzal Abad Nowshera City, Tehsil & District Nowshera.

V ERSUS

- 1. Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. The Provincial Police Officer, (IGP), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.
- 4. District Police Officer, Nowshera.

......Respondents

.....Appellant

REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS No. 1,2,3&4

Respectfully Sheweth: -

PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

- 1. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- 2. That the appeal is badly time-barred.
- 3. That the appellant has been estopped by his own conduct to file the appeal.
- 4. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- 5. That the appellant has not come to the Honourable Tribunal with clean hands.

ON FACTS

1. Para pertains to record needs no comments.

- 2. Incorrect. That the stance of the appellant is not plausible because every Police Officer/Official is duty bound to perform his duties at places/stations as and when required by the department. Moreover, every Police officer/Official is under obligation to perform his duties upto the entire satisfaction of his superior.
- 3. Incorrect. That plea taken by the appellant is not appealable to a prudent mind because earning good reputation and cash prizes do not exonerate a person from his future wrong deeds. Moreover, the appellant has 05 years and 05 months service at his credit and during his short span of service his service record is tainted with bad/red entries (record attached).

- 4. Incorrect. The appellant being member of disciplined force was duty bound to take proper leave/permission from his high-ups but he failed to do so because he had no proof in support of his stance therefore, plea taken by the appellant is cooked one.
- 5. Incorrect. The appellant willfully and deliberately absented himself from his lawful duty with effect from 26-12-2014 till the punishment order. Hence, to save his skin the appellant took this plea to cover his prolong absence.
- 6. Para already explained hence, no comments.
- 7. Incorrect. The appellant while posted at Police Lines, Nowshera willfully and deliberately absented himself from his lawful duty with effect from 26-12-2014 vide daily diary No. 28 dated 26-12-2014. Resultantly, he was issued statement of allegation and Show Cause Notice vide No. 210/PA, dated 23-01-2015 which were duly served but he did not bother to submit his reply rather remained absent from his duty. (Copies of statement of allegation and Show Cause Notice are attached). Moreover, neither he joined the enquiry proceedings nor joined his duties till the order of his dismissal i.e 17-03-2015, his this attitude clearly indicates his negligence, disinterest and lethargic attitude towards his official duties hence, after fulfillment of codal formalities he was awarded appropriate punishment which does commensurate with the gravity of misconduct of appellant.
- 8. Incorrect. The appellant filed departmental appeal before the appellate authority, he was provided full-fledged opportunity of defending himself through Orderly Room held on 27-05-2015, but he failed to justify his prolong absence hence, the same was rejected. Likewise, his another time barred appeal filed before the Central Police office, Peshawar was also rejected after hearing the appellant in person, on the ground that appellant is an habitual absentee vide order dated 11-05-2016 (Copies of orders attached).
- 9. Incorrect. The order passed by the competent authority is inconsonance with law hence, liable to be maintained on the following grounds amongst the others.



.

•

<u>GROUNDS</u>

- A. Incorrect. The respondent department has no grudges against the appellant hence, plea taken by the appellant is not plausible rather a cooked one.
- B. Incorrect. As discussed above the Show Cause Notice has duly been served but the appellant did not bother to submit his reply not even joined his duty which depicted his negligence and disinterest towards his official duties.
- C. Incorrect. The appellant was provided full-fledged opportunity of defending himself by the both the appellate forums through personal hearing but he failed to justify his prolong absence hence, plea taken by the appellant is not plausible rather taken to save his skin.
- D. Incorrect. The orders passed by the competent as well as appellate authorities are speaking one but the appellant took this plea to cover his unjustified & prolong absence.
- E. Subject to proof.
- F. That respondents also seek permission of this Honourable Tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the time of arguments.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of above submissions the appeal of the appellant may very kindly be dismissed with cost through out.

Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Respondent Mø. 1

Inspector General of Police,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.2

Deputy¹Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 3

Police Officer /shera-Respondent No. 4

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. <u>641/2016</u>

Ex-Constable Alamzeb Khan No. 1295 s/o Farid Gul, R/O Mohallah Afzal Abad Nowshera City, Tehsil & District Nowshera.

.....Appellant

V ERSUS .

1. Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. The Provincial Police Officer, (IGP), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Mardan Region-I, Mardan.

4. District Police Officer, Nowshera.

.....Respondents

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

We the respondents No. 1,2,3&4 do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on Oath that the contents of reply to the appeal are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honourable tribunal.

Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Respondent No. 1-

Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Respondent No.2

Deputy Inspector General of Police, • Mardan Region-I, Mardan Respondent No. 3

District Rollice Officer, No ksher∕a. Respondent No.

and and files 14/4 3 Lin 12 rotel 2 2 ~ 14/ 4 2 2 2 11:55 CE Si dia 19 مددا بورت میردامیری كَ وَقُلْ عَالَمَ وَاللَّهِ اللَّهُ فَا عَالَى وَ لَوَقَى الْمُ اللَّهُ مَنْ عَيْ مر مرجود الگیا حیک خلاف رو را عیر حامیری درج روزاجی بوکر تعامد علیزین سرت از ایرجن خار ال : (مدران ال كو ارسال كاط تلك -وبات عالى ! Peny stopped. فتعل عطابی اجل District Police Officer Provide STON Nowshere Ant PIM PS R. PWY. 17-4-12 Issue S.C.N. 100 ETtel Police Officer Nowshera (07) Days Period of Absence treated as leave without pay to pay be released. My OVB16 1154 12.9.12. MD NIKR

29 <u>68</u> 31/2 07 مامان لوبسائش ور الم تنهي اليون مع والمرائع وت 22 22 22 28 من وقت تري المامل ى تى تابغان بالقان بى تى تى بالنوى بى بودود بالكار حيك خلاف روا من من من من من من من روز فالحر كري من . 3 Ewil all and and and and the last 5) days absence is الله لغ مراجع treeted as because without pay. لقل محان اجل // CANE Jun Mowshera Nowshera Spin DAND (1) Stand 08 (34) 200 - 100 (1) منبر ما المرزو توال ملك روز باقير <u>محك ما جود سي ما المرام المرز</u> بان كما المردون في المران الم وحرار دو - دو - مركور مرتبل في مام ي درج رور الحرب في مقل و كم من من من مادولي 3263000 ight in (20 ·deho nm OAN. PEUBOOIE BEGING in Good Eng 549/011 ASR

 $|| = \frac{3}{11} \frac{1}{11} \frac{1}{$ vity jo 137 - 3 Ujor 12 realizer 74 - 6 U 12 لوس دی سرون کے لیے تھے۔ جرم عال میں خوار کام لين آن بن مزير و لي ح مرد ف ريور في مر مام ك در بع روز الحجم و العلم معرف خارم الا المنون ال Sile & de Mon Erie Sterre Fogu osdedPl بتر و با الح S_t 's 2 m AR 15 AMON بل شرطترى (فى يو) W. stopped No. 342-R DSPRING 15-9-2011 pale Nowshera 1334 12-12-11/ OBNG_ (0,000 1361 20. 9. 11/

glife a ولى لأمر لقرمروجه اوزاح أ المرابع از داری طرز جان علی سے الم جالم دی 24 عم وجود اللك من ظرف المراجع على در الأ كر فر مر من مناسط الولى الندن بالاى فرم · 5-65 1 1000 Nº 708.01 Bleito 10.8.11 CAR Heijo Sirs 3 3 1 Forwarded Phy MMPLNSR Amme Ri PI rist 3 2 Giolopie de 2 10BAG 1127. 9.8.11

Jul 1 des المرك وجام برازن بالزبي بر - Jp 2017 30/1/26 2013 20120/9 20518-40 Eister 18126/1900 10/06/26 51/43 15 01602 91333 24 Cille P The cipacion and the Site 3 3 Charles in Side of the · Cure a local i july (i job a) i july 326J JU,1 Merip بقرائه في فق ام ا fifilita n.P. One day conserve is treated an braine without pay. NORNE 1675 District Police Officer Nowshera N0-951

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, NOWSHERA SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

(Under Rule 5 (3) KPK Police Rules, 1975)

 That you <u>FC Alamzeb No. 1295</u> while posted at <u>Police Lines</u>. Nowshe have rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Rule 5 (3) of t Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules 1975 for following misconduct:-

Willfully & deliberately absented yourself from lawful duty w from 26.12.2014 & is still absent without any leave or prior permission the competent authority.

- That by reason of above, as sufficient material is placed before 1 undersigned; therefore it is decided to proceed against you in general Pol proceeding without aid of enquiry officer;
- That the misconduct on your part is prejudicial to good order of discipline the Police force;
- That your retention in the Police force will amount to encourage in efficier and unbecoming of good Police officers;
- 5. That by taking cognizance of the matter under enquiry, the undersigned competent authority under the said rules, proposes stern action against y by awarding one or more of the kind punishments as provided in the rule.
- 6. You are, therefore, called upon to show cause as to why you should not dealt strictly in accordance with the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 19 for the misconduct referred to above.
- You should submit reply to this show cause notice within 07 days of a receipt of the notice failing which an ex-parte action shall be taken agai you.
- 8. You are further directed to inform the undersigned that you wish to heard in person or not.

(Rabhawaz Khan) District Police Officer,

Nowshera.

20

9. Grounds of action are also enclosed with this notice.

Received by_____

Dated:___/__/2014

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, NOWSHERA GROUNDS OF ACTION

That you <u>FC Alamzeb No. 1295</u> while posted at <u>Police Lines, Nowshera</u> committed following misconducts:-

Willfully & deliberately absented yourself from lawful c w.e. from 26.12.2014 & is still absent without any leave or prior permise of the competent authority.

By reasons of above you have rendered yourself liable to be proceeded under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules, 1975, hence these grounds of action.

No_210_/PA, Dated 23 3 / /2015

(Rabnawaz Khan) District Police Officer Nowshera.

Ē .i. 31 ORDER . ï s 20.5. Ths ose of the þ1 Pref ver wil) wishera the appea Alam drite Ek- Cons Alam Zeo No 1295 of Others, Now era, when Zeb the once of District p Νø gainst Nowshere |OB||₩0.4 oni schulch 7 da

•

....

ŧ

i.

·. · ĺ

of the case are Sation Risalp a competent autho Brief jac s 16 ₿ľ[a (), Nowsheld transferred hile postec htmself in cally cliar Palle Posteri at Police Ili d to In duty |a (sellted 0 t çliarv hitu wide. 26.12 2014 ์ นักมุ่ No. 28 une da land emain dii this onr on he • ed $\left| \cdot \right|$ Qau served e N ptice and j Jlance ΟŪ ji. to days ie 18032015 dicating neglisence his part Erom per lays bly within supulated of seveni ltim licating negligence, clising his part through per short i ris short span of service he he ted that he is burden on i b nikitl 的othara相信也 his reply 周 uty, inc elsi sehior khiric ət ih ecordine v 27 batient offiis 131.10.2009 Ser 因最加 no good chary which h rned ea undic sal nen lind dishussed fromiservice on∥th de he

disnussion on land land and also a boom held in this office on 27.05.2015. Such a failed to just house and covert heason about his assence the effective of the release of the second and the failed to just hease of the second about his assence the effective of the second about the second about he failed to just hease of the second about the seco leard h n Orda NI UFLAMINIAD Ь ju∦ti किद्ध could I. SAE dah the ł \$ nterfelte ih orgier passed ŀе Цy

1:4 Í 1. pr/ MILIHAMAMALI SAGEDIPSP puty Inspector Ceneral of Police Mandan Region - Mandan 2 ļ || |}(D 2015 ŧ I S Cop to Distric Strice Police Menno: No 1 action with the ation and necessary fis service record s Nł Mei Corclain t hislbffide ur 2027 fiir ΓB 12.05.201.5 remmid here vith H rle I dfi for i ļ H 5 (1. 19

1:1

ļ

1022 Xer 28522 /10° quequesenume 5 102/2010 DECHVANUS CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE MHABER PAMILLONALLE INSPECTOR CLAERAL OF POLICE

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal order Rule 11-A of Rhyber Palation of Khyber Palation (17.11) and the Mar Police Rule-1975 submitted by Ex-Constable Alam Zeb (Xo. 1295, The appellant states and the manual particle provided provided by DPO, Novvshera vide OB (No. 417, dated et (17.11)) (17.11) (1

The preferred appeal before the RPO, Mardan which was examined and filed virgleeted

Yording of Appedi Bourd was held on **03.03.2016**, wherein the appellant was heard in several The enquity papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the particular the enquity papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the particular the enquity papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the particular the end of 02 months and 22 days. His service longth is 05 years and 01 month. He has canced 26 had entries during his service in short span of service. The spectrum is a habitual absentee and is burden on the department. Therefore, the peritor of the spectrum is barred by lawing his indication and worth rejection.

This order is issued with approval by the Competent Authority.

(NAREB-018-18-18-18-18) NOU / Establishment, // For Inspector General of Police, / Entyper Pathundshan, Peshandar, //

12888 S 8

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to ther-Regional Police Officer, Mardan, Region, Mardan.

- District Police Officer, Nowshere,
- Psec to ROP/Khyber Pal-humkhyan, CPO Peshavar,
- anvialized OCD , iswitzhnindar? rodyrt X/GOL (a OSP .
- o. P.A. to DROHQES, Khyber Pakhimidawa, Peshawar, ...
- . Analysis Super E-IV, CPO, Poshawar, 1
- (CPO), CMO), HeD YusigeA ImmoD (D),

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PUKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Alam Zeb Khan.....(Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

<u>REPLICATION / REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF THE</u> <u>PETITIONER.</u>

Respectfully Sheweth:-

It is submitted as under:-<u>PRELIMINARY OBJECTION</u>:-

- 1- That Para No 1 of the reply is incorrect. The appeallant has got cause of action against the respondents.
- 2- That Para No 2 of the reply is incorrect. The appeal of the appellant is well within time.
- 3- That Para No 3 of the reply is incorrect.
- 4- That Para No 4 of the reply is incorrect. The appeal of the appellant is well maintainable.
- 5- That Para No 5 of the reply is incorrect. The appellant has come to the court with clean hands, however the respondents are hiding some material facts from this Hon'ble court / tribunal.

<u>ON FACTS:-</u>

- 1- That Para No 1 of the reply needs no comments.
- 2- That Para No 2 of the reply is incorrect. The appellant after joining his services in the police, performed his duties upto the entire satisfaction of his superior at different police stations.
- 3- That Para No 3 of the reply is incorrect and baseless as the show cause notice issued against the appellant (which was not served upon the appellant) was regarding the absence from duties to which the appellant submitted well explained medical record.
 Condt.P-2

4- That Para No 4 of the reply is incorrect. The appellant submitted his medical record of DHQ Hospital Nowshera. However, the respondents had not conducted any inquiry as per legal requirement to see whether sickness of the appellant was genuine or not.

a start and a start of the

- 5- That Para No 5 of the reply is incorrect. The appellant's absence was due to severe medical conditions and the appellant was advised by the Doctor for complete bed rest. But the respondent without making any inquiry terminated the services of the appellant without giving him the proper opportunity of being heard and without any service of show cause notice.
- 6- That Para No 6 of the reply is in correct.
- 7- That Para No 7 of the reply is incorrect. No show cause notice was served upon the appellant and no inquiry proceedings was conducted and no proper opportunity of being heard was given to appellant. The appellant after his physical recovery from his sickness, reported back to his department but he was informed about his illegal and unlawful termination from services.
- 8- That Para No 8 of the reply is incorrect. No inquiry was ever conducted by the respondents and all the departmental appeals were dismissed without being look into the matter of medical prescriptions and medical record of DHQ Nowshera.
- 9- That Para No 9 of the reply is incorrect.

ON GROUNDS:-

That grounds taken in reply from Para No A to F are incorrect, unlawful, illegal and without justifications. The termination from services of the appellant is totally void and illegal in the eyes of law. No show cause notice was duly served upon appellant and no inquiry was ever conducted by the respondents and a severe major penalty of termination from service was awarded without even given an opportunity of being heard. The appellant seeks leave of this Hon'able court to take additional grounds at the time of arguments.

Condt. P-3

IT IS THEREFORE REQUESTED THAT KEEPING IN VIEW THE ABOVE MENTIONED GROUNDS AND CIRCUMSTANCES, THIS HON'ABLE TRIBUNAL MAY KINDLY BE PLEASED TO ACCEPT THE APEAL BY SETTING ASIDE THE TERMINATION ORDER DATED 11-05-2016 AND THE APPELLANT MAY KINDLY BE REINSTATED WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS.

Dated:-16/06/2017.

APPELLANT Through:-

(AFTAB AHMAD KHAN) Advocate High Court Distt: Courts Nowshera

VERIFICATION:-

Verified that the contents of this replication / rejoinder are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed.

Appellant:-_/

KHYBER PAKHTUNKWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

No. 287 /ST

Dated 19 - 2 - 12019

То

The District Police Officer, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Nowshehra.

Subject: -

JUDGMENT IN APPEAL NO. 641/2016, MR. ALAM ZEB.

I am directed to forward herewith a certified copy of Judgement dated 09.01.2019 passed by this Tribunal on the above subject for strict compliance.

Encl: As above

REGISTRAR KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

No. 33; /ST Dated 8-1- /2020

To,

The Registrar, Supreme Court of Pakistan, Islamabad.

Subject:-

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1549 OF 2019

Dear Sir,

I am directed t o acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. C.A. 1549/2019-SCJ dated 31/12/2019 alongwith its enclosure.

REGISTRAR KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

<u>REGISTERED</u> No. C.A.1549/2019 – SCJ **SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN.**

Islamabad, dated $\underline{31}, \underline{10}, 2019$.

From

То

Ph: 9220581

Fax:9220406

The Registrar, Supreme Court of Pakistan, <u>Islamabad.</u>

The Registrar, KPK Service Tribunal, **Peshawar.**

pakhtun+ Diary No. Dated

Subject: <u>CIVIL APPEAL NO. 1549 OF 2019.</u> Government of KPK through Chief Secretary,

Civil Secretariat, Peshawar & others. <u>Versus</u>

Alamzeb Khan.

On appeal from the Judgment/Order of the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar dated 09.01.2019, in A. No. 641 of 2016.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of this Court's letter of even number dated 23.09.2019 and in accordance with the provisions contained in Order X, rule 9, Supreme Court Rules, 1980, a certified copy of the Order of this Court dated 18.12.2019 allowing the above cited civil appeal, in the terms stated therein, is enclosed for further necessary action.

I am further directed to return herewith the original record of the <u>Service Tribunal</u> received vide your letter No. 1659: dated 30-9.2019

Please acknowledge receipt of this letter along with its enclosure immediately.

Encl: Order:

a/w o/Record

Yours faithfully (MUHAMMAD MUJAHID-MEHMOOD)

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (IMP) FOR REGISTRAR

SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Gulzar Ahmed Mr. Justice Maqbool Baqar

<u>C.A.No.1549 of 2019</u>

[Against the judgment dated 09.01.2019, passed by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar in Appeal No.641 of 2016]

Govt. of KP through Peshawar & others.	Chief Versus	Secreta		Appellant (s)		
Alamzeb Khan.	r 0, 0 u 0		-	R	Respondent(s)	
For the Appellant (s)	:	Mr. Addl.	Zahid A.G. KP	Yousaf	Qureshi,	
Respondent	: In person.					
Date of Hearing	:	18.12	2.2019			

ORDER

Gulzar Ahmed, J:- The respondent, who was employed as a police constable, remained absent from duty for two months and 22 days, on account of which, he was dismissed from service on 17.03.2015. The respondent filed a departmental appeal on 26.03.2015 against the order of his dismissal, which was dismissed on 05.06.2015. After the dismissal of his departmental appeal, the respondent did not take any further step in the case, rather in 2016 again filed a mercy petition with the department, which was rejected on 11.05.2016. The respondent filed service appeal before the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar **(the Tribunal)** on 10.06.2016. He did not file with his appeal, application for condonation of delay in filing of the appeal.

> (/Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

2-C.A.No.1549 of 2019

Ж.,

2. Learned Addl.A.G, KP contends that the very question of limitation and the appeal being time barred, was raised before the Tribunal but it altogether omitted to discuss such issue in its impugned judgment and proceeded to decide the case on merits and that also on the wrong premises and thus, interfered with the matter of awarding of penalty by reducing it to stoppage of three annual increments for five years. Learned AAG contends that the Tribunal has also found the respondent to have absented himself from duty.

3. We have heard the respondent, who has appeared in person, who states that he made a mercy petition to the department after order of his dismissal from service and having not received the relief from the department, filed the service appeal before the Tribunal.

4. The perusal of the record shows that the objection of limitation was taken by the respondent and the same is also mentioned in para-2 of the impugned judgment but the Tribunal failed to consider the question of appeal being within the limitation period or not, but rather proceeded to decide the same on merits. On our own perusal of the record, we find that the respondent was dismissed from service on 17.03.2015 and the departmental representation made against it by him on 26.03.2015, also came to be dismissed on 05.06.2015. The limitation for filing of service appeal by the respondent commenced from the date of such order but the same has not been filed within a period of 30 days. Admittedly, the service appeal filed by the respondent was time barred and same is the situation appearing before us and on this

Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad

ESTED

2-C.A.No.1549 of 2019

score, the impugned judgment dated 09.01.2019, could not be sustained in law.

4. Consequently, the impugned judgment is set aside and the appeal, in such terms, is allowed with no order as to costs.



sell - Gulzar Ahmad, T. Sell - Magberel Bagar, J.

-3.

Certified to be True Copy

/Court Associate Supreme Court of Pakistan Islamabad