

11. 08.06.2017

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. Last opportunity granted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 15.06.2017 before S.B.


(Ahmad Hassan)
Member

12. 15.06.2017


Counsel for the appellant present. He argued that the impugned order of removal from service of the appellant was passed on 25.03.2013 and thereafter the appellant did not file any departmental appeal against the impugned order of removal from service. When the Tribunal questioned the learned counsel for the appellant that how the present appeal is competent without filing the departmental appeal, the learned counsel for the appellant simply relied upon a judgment reported as 2016 SCMR 189, but the perusal of this judgment would reveal that this pertains to the condonation of delay for filing representation before the departmental authority. But in the present case no appeal at all has been filed before the Departmental Authority therefore, this ruling is irrelevant in the present appeal. No ground is made out for admitting the present appeal for regular hearing due to non filing of departmental appeal, hence the present appeal is dismissed in limine. File be consigned to the record room.

Announced:
15.06.2017


Chairman

14.03.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 17.04.2017 before S.B.



(AHMAD HASSAN)
MEMER

17.04.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 03.05.2017 before S.B.



(Ahmad Hassan)

Member

03.05.2017

Clerk to counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 08.06.2017 before S.B.



(Ahmad Hassan)

Member


4. 22.11.2016

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant want to submit application for filing additional documents and requested for adjournment. Adjournment granted. To come up for further proceedings/preliminary hearing on 02.01.2017 before S.B.


(ABDUL LATIF)
MEMBER

5. 02.01.2017

Counsel for the appellant present. Counsel for the appellant submitted an application for placing additional documents which is placed on file. He requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 31.01.2017 before S.B.


Member

6. 31.01.2017

Counsel for appellant present. Seeks adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 21.02.2017 before S.B.


Chairman

7. 21.02.2017




Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Clerk to counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 14.03.2017 before S.B.


(AHMAD HASSAN)
MEMBER

Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No. 1077/2016


S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate
1	2	3
1	21/10/2016	<p>The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Islam resubmitted today by Mr. Hidayatullah Khattak Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2-	24-10-2016	<p>This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>01-11-2016</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p>
3-	01.11.2016	<p>Counsel for the appellant present. Seeks adjournment. Adjourned for preliminary hearing to 22.11.2016 before S.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Chairman</p>

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Islam Ex-Constable No. 463 received today i.e. on 05.10.2016 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Copies of departmental appeal and mercy petition mentioned in the memo of appeal are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 2- Page nos. 8 and 13 of the appeal are illegible which may be replaced by legible/better one.
- 3- Address of the appellant is incomplete which may be completed according to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal rules 1974.

No. 1644 /S.T,

Dt. 05/10 /2016


REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr. Hidayatullah Khan Khattak Adv. Pesh.

Resubmitted
As per order
Hidayatullah Khan
20/10/2016

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1077 /2016

Muhammad Islam.....**Appellant**

V E R S U S

Provincial Police Officer & others.....**Respondents**

I N D E X

S#	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Service Appeal		1-4
2.	Affidavit		5
3.	Addresses of parties		6
4.	Copy of the Show Cause Notice	A	7
5.	Copy of the order dated 21.06.2010	B	8-10
6.	Copy of office order No.2069-7/EC dated 25.03.2013	C	11-12
7.	Copy of the order dated 25.04.2016	D	13
8.	Copy of the order dated 21.06.2010	E	14
9.	Wakalatnama		15

Appellant

Through

Dated 04.10.2016


Hidayatullah Khattak
Advocate High Court
Cell No.0300-9357757

BEFORE THE KYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SPECIAL TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

Case No. _____ of _____

Mutual Respondent
Appellant

VERSUS

Respondent

INDEX

No.	Description	Page
1	Statement of Facts	1
2	Grounds of Appeal	2
3	Grounds of Answer	3
4	Prayer	4
5	Conclusion	5

Appellant

Respondent

Hidayatullah Khan
Advocate High Court
Peshawar-25000

Date: _____

D

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 1077 /2016

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Diary No. 1040

Dated 05-10-2016

Muhammad Islam,
Ex-Constable No.463.....**Appellant**

V E R S U S

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region
Kohat
3. District Police Officer, Hangu.....**Respondents**

**Appeal U/S 4 of the Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974
against order of the respondent No.3
vide which major penalty of imposed on
appellant.**

Filed to-day

Waseem
Registrar

5/10/16. **Prayer in Appeal**

**On acceptance of this service appeal,
the impugned order dated 25/4/2016
may please be set aside with all
consequential relief i.e. back benefits.**

Re-submitted to -day
and filed.

Waseem
Registrar

21/10/16

PEOPLE'S TRIBUNAL
FOR THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. 12018

Munir Ahmad Islam
Ex-Constable No. 403 Appellant

VERSUS

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Peshawar
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region
Kohat
3. District Police Officer, Hangu Respondents

Appeal No. 4 of the Khyber
Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974
against order of the respondent No. 3
in which major penalty of imposed on
appellant.

Prayer in Appeal

On acceptance of this service appeal,
the impugned order dated 27/11/2018
may please be set aside with all
consequential relief i.e. back benefits.

/s/
Date:

(2)

**Any other relief, this Honourable
Court may deem fit for the safe
administration of justice**

Respectfully Sheweth:-


1. That appellant was serving as Constable in Police Station Doaba and due to some absence from duty the respondent No.3 issued him a Show Cause Notice. (Copy of the Show Cause Notice is attached as annexure "A").
2. That after the inquiry respondent No.3 issued an order dated 21.06.2010 recommended a major penalty i.e. removal from services. (Copy of the order dated 21.06.2010 is attached as annexure "B").
3. That against that order, appellant preferred a departmental representation/mercy petition which was disposed of through office order No.2069-7/EC dated 25.03.2013 which is attached as annexure "C").
4. That then appellant preferred an appeal before respondent no.1 for the same relief but respondent

No.1 for the same relief but respondent No.1 also decided and dispose off the representation of ^{Mercury petition} appellant on through order No.5/3139-46/16 dated 25.04.2016. (Copy of the order dated 25.04.2016 is attached as annexure "D") ^{Copy of the Mercury petition is also attached as Ann - D-1}

5. That feeling aggrieved from the final order dated 25.04.2016, the appellant approaches this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following grounds:

GRUNDS:

- A. That the impugned order is not based on facts and on facts and available record hence liable to be set aside.
- B. That the impugned order dated 25.04.2016 is based on the office eorder No.2723-25/PA dated 21.06.2010 which is based on the statement of one Mr. Malak Umar Khan who recorded based statement before the I.O. (Copy of the order dated 21.06.2010 is attached as annexure "E").
- C. That the appellant has been condemned unheard in whole process, while the law of the land the provide procedure otherwise.



4

- D. That the judgments of the Supreme Courts says that one should not be condemned unheard and a decision on merits but in case of appellant the decision is not on merits.
- E. That no notice of inquiry or absentee has not been issued and received by the appellant rather on a single statement of Mr. Malik Umer Khan has been based for the punishment which against law and procedure.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this service Appeal, the appellant may be pleased reinstated into service with all back benefits.

Sami
Appellant

Through

Hidayatullah
Hidayatullah Khattak
Advocate High Court

Dated 04.10.2016

1. The first part of the document is a list of names.

2. The second part is a list of dates.

3. The third part is a list of locations.

4. The fourth part is a list of events.

5. The fifth part is a list of people.

6. The sixth part is a list of organizations.

7. The seventh part is a list of activities.

8. The eighth part is a list of results.

9. The ninth part is a list of conclusions.

10. The tenth part is a list of recommendations.

11. The eleventh part is a list of references.

12. The twelfth part is a list of appendices.

13. The thirteenth part is a list of footnotes.

14. The fourteenth part is a list of index.

15. The fifteenth part is a list of glossary.

16. The sixteenth part is a list of bibliography.

17. The seventeenth part is a list of references.

18. The eighteenth part is a list of appendices.

19. The nineteenth part is a list of footnotes.

20. The twentieth part is a list of index.

21. The twenty-first part is a list of glossary.

22. The twenty-second part is a list of bibliography.

23. The twenty-third part is a list of references.

24. The twenty-fourth part is a list of appendices.

25. The twenty-fifth part is a list of footnotes.

8

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR**

Service Appeal No. _____/2016


Muhammad Islam.....**Appellant**

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer & others.....**Respondents**

AFFIDAVIT

I, Hidayatullah Khattak Advocate High Court as per instructions of my client, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Service Appeal** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.



Hidayatullah Khattak
DEPONENT

6

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. _____/2016

Muhammad Islam.....**Appellant**

VERSUS

Provincial Police Officer & others.....**Respondents**

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT:

Muhammad Islam,
Ex-Constable No.463 *Resident District Hange & Hangu*
Police

RESPONDENTS:

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region
Kohat
3. District Police Officer, Hangu

Sami
Appellant

Through

Hidayatullah
Hidayatullah Khattak
Advocate High Court

Dated 04.10.2016

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

Service Appeal No. _____/2016

Muhammad Isam.....Appellant

V E R S U S

Provincial Police Officer & others.....Respondents

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

APPELLANT:

Muhammad Isam,
Ex-Constable No. 453 R

RESPONDENTS:

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar
2. Deputy Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Kohat
3. District Police Officer Hangu

Appellant

Through

Hidayatullah Khattak
Advocate High Court

Dated 04.10.2016

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE.

WHEREAS, you Constable Muhammad Islam No. 463 while posted at Police Station, Doaba absented himself from official duty with effect from 02.03.2008 to 07.03.2008 and from 19.01.2009 till now, which amounts to gross misconduct.

Therefore, you were served with charge sheet together with summary of allegation. An Enquiry Committee was constituted to conduct departmental enquiry and submit findings. The Enquiry Committee has submitted the findings on 11.04.2009 and held you guilty of the charges.

Now, therefore, I, Sher Akbar, PSP, S.SI D.P.O, Hangu have vested the power under the NWFP Removal from Service (SPECIAL POWERS) Ordinance 2000 liable to take action against you, which will render you to a Major Punishment.

Your reply to this Final Show Cause Notice must reach to the office of the undersigned within 7 days of the receipt of the Final Show Cause Notice. In case your reply is not received within the stipulated period otherwise, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to offer and EX-PARTE departmental action will be taken against you. Also state whether you desire to be heard in person.

(Copy of the findings of the Enquiry Officer is enclosed).

No. 2418 /PA,

Dt: 13/04/2009.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU.

ATTESTED

1

(B) FER. 850

B¹
Answer

ORDER.

This order of mine will dispose off the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 on the basis of allegations that he while posted at Police Station, Thall absented himself from official duty with effect from 06.04.2010 to till now without any leave or prior permission.

Charge sheet together with statement of allegations was issued to him, to which he failed to submit his reply. Mr. Mir Chaman Khan, SDPO, Thall was appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry against him under NWFP Removal from Service (SPECIAL POWERS) Ordinance 2000. After completion of enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings on 15.06.2010, time and again the defaulter Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 was summoned but neither has he appeared before the enquiry officer for enquiry proceeding nor submitted his reply to the Charge Sheet. It is further added that SHO P.S Thall also submitted the same report.

Besides venerable of the area Mr. Malak Umar Khan s/o Mir Dil Khan r/o Dallan stated in his statement that Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 has left Pakistan for abroad earning lovely hood so there is no hope that he will join police department. The defaulter Constable is not willing to serve further in Police Department. Therefore, he is recommended him for major punishment of dismissal from service.

Keeping in view of above and having gone through available record, the undersigned has come to the conclusion that the defaulter constable absented himself from duty, failed to appear and defend himself, which indicates that he was not interested to serve further. Moreover, in these circumstances his retention in Police Department is burden on public exchequer, therefore, I, Abdur Rashid, District Police Officer, Hangu in exercise of the powers conferred upon me, **awarded him major punishment of Dismissal from Service from the date of his absence.**

Order Announced.

OB No. 352

Dated 21/6 /2010.


(ABDUR RASHID)
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU.

No. 2723-25 /PA, dated Hangu, the 21 / 06 /2010.

Copy of above is submitted to the Dy: Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat for favour of information please.

2. Pay Officer; Reader, SRC & OHC for necessary action.


(ABDUR RASHID)
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU.


ATTESTED

② FEB 9 2007 ①

ORDER

This order of mine will dispose off the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Muhammad Islam No 463 on the basis of allegations that he while posted at Police Station Doaba absented himself from official duty with effect from 02.03.2008 to 07.03.2008 and from 19.01.2009 till now without prior permission or leave.

Charge sheet together with statement of allegations was issued to him, to which he failed to submit his reply. An Enquiry Committee comprising Inspector Sabir Khan and ASI Hashim Khan was constituted to conduct departmental enquiry against him under NWFP Removal from Service (SPECIAL POWERS) Ordinance 2000. Consequent upon the transfer of Enquiry Officer, the enquiry file was entrusted to Inspector Legal Ishaq Gul for further proceedings. After completion of enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings on 11.04.2009 and recommended him for major punishment.

Thereafter, Final Show Cause Notice was issued to him but he failed to submit his reply. He was called in Orderly Room, but did not turn up.

Keeping in view of above and having gone through available record, the undersigned has come to the conclusion that the defaulter constable absented himself from duty, failed to appear and defend himself, which indicates that he was not interested to serve further. Moreover, in these circumstances his retention in Police Department is burden on public exchequer, therefore, I, Sher Akbar, PSP, S.St District Police Officer, Hangu, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me, awarded him major punishment of Dismissal from Service from the date of his absence.

Order Announced.

OE No. 277

Dated 17-4 /2009.

SHER AKBAR,
PSP, S.SI
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU.

No. 3652-55 /PA, dated Hangu, the 13/04/2009.

Copy of above is submitted to the Dy: Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat for favour of information please.

2. Pay Officer, Reader, SRC & ORC for necessary action.

[Signature]

ATTESTED

ORDER

9-A

This order of mine will dispose of the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Muhammad Islam No. 463 on the basis of allegations that he while posted at Police Station Doaba absented himself from official duty with effect from 02.03.2008 and from 19.01.2009 till now without prior permission or leave.

Charge sheet together with statement of allegations was issued to him, to which he failed to submit his reply. An Enquiry Committee comprising Inspector Said Khan and ASI Hashim Khan was constituted to conduct departmental enquiry against him under NWFP Removal from service (**SPECIAL POWERS**) Ordinance 2000. Consequent upon the transfer of Enquiry Officer, the Enquiry file was entrusted to Inspector Legal Ishaq Gul for further proceedings. After completion of enquiry, the enquiry the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings on 11.04.2009 and recommended him for major punishment.

Thereafter, Final Show Cause Notice was issued to him but he failed to submit his reply. He was called in Orderly Room, but did not turn up.

Keeping in view of above and having gone through available record, the undersigned have come to the conclusion that the defaulter constable absented himself from duty, failed to appear and defend himself, which indicates he was not interested to serve further, Moreover, in these circumstances his retention in Police Department is burdan on public exchequer, therefore, I Sher Akbar, PSP, S.St District Police Officer, Hangu in exercise of the powers from the date of his absence.

Order Announced

OB No. 277

Dated 17.04.2009

**Sher Akbar,
PSP, S.St
District Police Officer,
Hangu**

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU.

No. 2652-55/PA, dated Hangu, the 18.04.2009.

Copy of above is submitted to the Dy: Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat for favour of information please.

Pay officer, Reader, SRC & OHC for necessary action.

ATTESTED

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or introductory paragraph.

Second block of faint, illegible text, appearing to be a continuation of the document's content.

Third block of faint, illegible text, continuing the main body of the document.

Original
1840
1850

Special
52 28
District Police Officer
Hong Kong

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER HONG KONG

Final block of faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page, possibly a signature or footer.

(Am - 0-1)

10

To

The Deputy Inspector General of Police
Kohat Region, Kohat

Subject: Mercy Petition

Respected Sir,

It is submitted that I was appointed in Hangu District Police in 2007. I have served in Hangu Police when terrorism was an high level. I had performed my duty will during those hard days. But unfortunately my mother became seriously ill and there was no male member in home to carry out her treatment except me (Medical Chits enclosed).

Due to the reason mentioned above I remained absent for 2 months and 15 days. The DPO Hangu vide his Office Order No.2723-25/PA dated:21-06-2010 dismissed me from service (copy enclosed).

Due to unawareness of Rules/Regulations, I could not made appeal/Representation to my seniors.

It is therefore requested that I may very kindly be re-instated in service. I will pray for your long life and prosperity.

Your's obediently
(M.Asam) *[Signature]*
Ex.Constable No.573
Hangu District Police

14/2/13

POLICE DEPARTMENT

KOHAT REGION.

ORDER

This order passed on Mercy petition filed by Ex Constable Muhammad Islam No. 537 of Hangu District Police wherein he has requested for reinstatement in service.

Facts arising of the case are that the applicant while posted at PS Thall absented himself from duty vide DD No. 21 dated 06.04.2010. He was charge sheeted by the competent authority (DPO Hangu) and DSP Thall was appointed as Enquiry Officer. He was summoned to appear before enquiry officer but neither he appeared before the enquiry officer nor submitted his reply of the charge sheet. On conclusion of proceedings he was dealt guilty of the charge, which resulted in his dismissal from service vide DPO Hangu OB No. 352 dated 21.06.2010.

Feeling aggrieved from the order he submitted mercy petition to the undersigned on 14.02.2013.

In view of the available record, the petition is prejudiced to Law & Rules with out any substance and being badly time barred is hereby filed.

(AZAD KHAN) Tst, PSP

Dy: Inspector General of Police,
Kohat Region, Kohat.


No. 2069-70 /EC, dated Kohat the 25/3 /2013.

Copy of above for information and necessary action to the District Police Officer Hangu. The service record of the above named Constable received with his office Memo: No.1088/LB, dated 14.03.2013 is return here for record.

2. Applicant.

OB/EC/OTSI

As n/a.



(AZAD KHAN) Tst, PSP

Dy: Inspector General of Police,
Kohat Region, Kohat.

District Police Officer
Hangu

25/3

ATTESTED

B 236
6.3.13

1:9
C
Amexer

~~Aslam~~

~~12~~

12

To

The Inspector General of Police
Peshawar Region, Peshawar

Subject: Mercy Petition

Respected Sir,

It is submitted that I was appointed in Hangu District Police in 2007. I have served in Hangu Police when terrorism was at a high level. I had performed my duty well during those hard days. But unfortunately my mother became seriously ill and there was no male member in home to carry out her treatment except me (Medical Chits enclosed).

Due to the reason mentioned above I remained absent for 2 months and 15 days. The DPO Hangu vide his Office Order No. 2723-25/PA dated 21/06-2010 dismissed me from service (copy enclosed).

Due to unawareness of Rules/Regulations I could not make an appeal/Representation to my seniors.

It is therefore requested that I may very kindly be re-instated in service. I will pray for your long life and prosperity.

Your's obediently
(M. Aslam) *M. Aslam*
Ex. Constable No. 573
Hangu District Police

14 / 2 / 13



OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE,
PESHAWAR.

No. S/ 3138 /16, dated Peshawar the 25/04/2016.

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 submitted by **Ex-Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573**. The appellant was awarded punishment of **Dismissal** from service by DPO, Hangu vide OB No. 352, dated 21.06.2010, on charges of absence for a period 02 months and 16 days.

He preferred appeal before the RPO, Kohat which was examined and filed vide order Endst: No. 2069-70 / EC, dated 25.03.2013.

Meeting of Appeal Board was held on **28.01.2016**, wherein the appellant was heard in person. The enquiry papers were also examined. On examination of record, it revealed that the impugned order of his dismissal from service was passed in the year 2010 and his appeal was rejected by the RPO, Kohat in the year 2013. The instant review petition filed in the year 2015 is also badly time barred. Therefore, the petition of the petitioner is barred by law and limitation and worth rejection.

This order is issued with approval by the Competent Authority.

(NAJEEB-UR-RAHMAN)
AIG / Establishment,
For Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

No. S/ 3139-46 /16,

Copy of above is forwarded for information and necessary action to the:-

1. Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.
2. District Police Officer, Hangu.
3. PSO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
4. PRO to IGP/Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, CPO Peshawar.
5. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
6. PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
7. Office Supdt: E-IV, CPO, Peshawar.
8. I/C Central Registry Cell, (CRC), CPO.

NAJEEB
27/4/16
SRA/Offc
for information and
n. attn.
Handwritten signature and stamp of the District Police Officer, Hangu, dated 27/4/16.

ATTESTED

ORDER

14
E
Amexer

This order of mine will dispose off the departmental enquiry initiated against Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 on the basis of allegations that he while posted at Police Station, Thall absented himself from official duty with effect from 06.04.2010 to till now without any leave or prior permission.

Charge sheet together with statement of allegations was issued to him, to which he failed to submit his reply. Mr. Mir Channan Khan, SDPO, Thall was appointed as Enquiry Officer to conduct departmental enquiry against him under NWFP Removal from Service (SPECIAL PROVISIONS) Ordinance 2009. After completion of enquiry, the Enquiry Officer submitted his findings on 13.05.2010, time and again the defaulter Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 was summoned but neither has he appeared before the enquiry officer for enquiry proceeding nor submitted his reply to the Charge Sheet. It is further added that SHO P.S Thall also submitted the same report.

Besides venerable of the area Mr. Malik Umar Khan s/o Mir Dil Khan r/o Dailan stated in his statement that Constable Muhammad Islam No. 573 has left Pakistan for abroad earning lovely good so there is no hope that he will join police department. The defaulter Constable is not willing to serve further in Police Department. Therefore, he is recommended for the major punishment of dismissal from service.

Keeping in view of above and having gone through available record, the undersigned has come to the conclusion that the defaulter constable absented himself from duty, failed to appear and defend himself, which indicates that he was not interested to serve further. Moreover, in these circumstances his retention in Police Department is burden on public exchequer, therefore, I, Ahsan Rashid, District Police Officer, Hangu in exercise of the powers conferred upon me, awarded him the punishment of Removal from Service from the date of his absence.

Order intimated.
G.O. No. 352
Dated 21.6.2010.

(ABDUR RASHID)
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, HANGU.

No. 222-25 /PA, dated Hangu, the 21.6.2010.

Copy of above is submitted to the Dy. Inspector General of Police, Capital Region, Kohat for favour of intimation please.
P.O. Officer, Registrar, SO & CIO for necessary action.

(ABDUR RASHID)
DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,
HANGU

ATTESTED



15

67477

ایڈووکیٹ دستخط:
بار کونسل ابار ایسوسی ایشن نمبر: 1660/622
رابطہ نمبر: 0300 935 7757

پشاور بار ایسوسی ایشن، خیبر پختونخوا

بعدالت جناب: سر سر بیوٹی لیڈ

دعویٰ:	منجانب: محمد اسلام
علت نمبر:	
موضوع:	محمد اسلام بنام بی بی لولیس لورڈ
جرم:	
تھانہ:	

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کاروائی متعلقہ
آن مقام محمد اسلام کیلئے محمد اسلام کو وکیل مقرر
کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز وکیل صاحب کو
راضی نامہ کرنے و تقرر حالت و فیصلہ برصاف دینے جواب دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست از ہر قسم کی تصدیق
ذریعہ پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اہیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی، نیز
دائر کرنے اہیل نگرانی و نظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکورہ کے کل یا جزوی
کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہو گا اور صاحب
مقرر شدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ مذکورہ اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پداختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ
میں جو خرچہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا وہ وکیل موصوف وصول کرنے کا حقدار ہوگا کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام
دورہ یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند نہ ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکورہ کریں، لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا تاکہ مندر ہے۔
المرقوم:

_____ مقام
_____ گواہ شد _____
_____ کے لئے منظور ہے۔