FORM OF ORDER SHEET

	14537	•	
	$\Gamma \cap I \cap L \cap I$		and the second of
•	1 4 (1)	•	
•	$I = U \cap U \cap I$./	
ace No - ∴	. V	/2020	

Court of_

S.No.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
.NO.	proceedings	Office of other proceedings with signature of Judge
1	2	3
		The appeal presented today by Mr. Umar Farooq Advocate ma
1-	18/11/2020	be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learned Member fo
		proper order please.
		REGISTRAR,
		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu
		up there on 1-3-201
	7.	
	,	
		MEMBER(J)
	sam	ne before S.B on 26.07.2021.
		Pandan
		Reader

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL

PESHAWAR

	•	•	•
APPEAL	NIA		/2020.
ΔΡΡΗΔΙ.	IMI D		//11/11
	110.		, 2020
			,

TAMASH KHAN VS EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1-3
2.	Notification	A	4
3.	Pay Slips	B&C	5-6
4.	Departmental Appeal	. D	7
5.	Service Tribunal Judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat nama		10

طول فال APPELLANT

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ

ADVOCATE

CELL NO. 03138901647

Note:

Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 4507 /2020

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

MR. TAMASH KHAN PST (BPS-12) GPS DANISH SCHOOL DISTICT CHARSADDA

Personnel Number: 50386823

Diary No. 14976

Dated 18/11/2020

.APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

.....RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT. 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH ON FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **PST (BPS-12)** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD(PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated

- 5. That some of teachers of different pay scale approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which allowed by this august tribunal vide its Judgment No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department Dated 11-11-2019...... E.
- 6. That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No. 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgement Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, Discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant. Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E-That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
 - J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.


APPELLANT

TAMASH KHAN

THROUGH:

UMAR FAROOQ ADVOCATE







GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKITUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)78-52/2212 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012

From

The Secretary to Govt, of Khyten Pashtunkowa, Finance Department, Pechawar,

4 🕮

- निर्धी केर्यक्रमहोत्रेष्ठवासिके स्टब्सक्रेक्ट्रोहरू कि Govi, वर्ड स्टेन्ट्रोबर निर्धानस्थानस्थाः
- The Sanjoy Member, Board of Revenue, Physics Pakhtus Steam
- a The Secretary to General Milyber Paking have
- 4 The Secretary to Chief Mosest, Khyber Pakhambiana.
- 5. The Societary, Francisco Aromaly Knyller Palaberichess
 5. All Hander of Astronomy Data employed in Knyller Palaberichess
- All Heads of Attached Departments in Kayner Pakhtur/Vava
 All District Conditions Officered Xivisian Pakhtur/Vava
- Ali Palincal Agents / District & Semicos Judges in Khyper Pakillankhwa
- The Rediging Peshawar High Court. Peshagar
- ିଓ ୍ୟୁ The Charman Public Service Correntsର ନ, Khyber Pokhtunkowa.
 - The Chairman, Services Tribunal Kityper Pakhlunkhwa.

多值论处

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT SPS 1-19

Dear Sit.

The Government of Khyter Pokhturathvic has been pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinces Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pexhtunkhwa (viorking to BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in SPS-15 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

					,				
	S.NO	805		EXISTING	RATE (PI	4)	REVISED	RATE (PA	4)
	1.	1-4	71	7s.1				700/=	
: _	2,	5-10		PS.1	500/-		Rs.1,	840/-	
	3.	11:15	- 1	?s.2	.000/-	. 1	R\$.2,	720/-	•
. :-	- ₫.	16-39		25.5	.000/-		Rs.5	.000/=	

2. Coffyeyance Allowance of the above rates per marks shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 grip 19 offices who have not been sanctioned afficial vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

|| Sahibada Sacod Almad| | Secretary Finance

Edite NO. FOSDER-THE-522012-

Dated Legisterarthe Ma Descriptor, 2012

A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

: Account General Kingser Pakintaking Payinguni

3. Secretaries la Goldsmart et Pulijab, Brain & Spacificien. Foreisse Dependent

All Auguromous / Seriá Autonomous Bodies in Khriot Paki turktura.

AZEV ZATUB)
AZUMANA SAMMAY (RAM

ATE FED

VI D

BETTER COPY PAGE-5

NA-H

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20:12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhhunkhwa.
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 8. All Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
 - 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
 - 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa:

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir,

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance income at the Provincial Civil Servants Governof Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM).	Revised Rate (FNI)
1	1-4	Rs 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
2	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
3.	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
·4: ·	16-19	Rs. 5,000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17,
 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully 🐍

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December; 2012

ACX.

Desig: PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACH(80949396) Grade: 12 NTN: Buckle No.: Gazetted/Non-Gazetted: N 50386823 TAMASH KHAN CNIC: 2140362334461 BALANCE PAYMENTS AMOUNT DEDUCTIONS AMOUNT LOAN/FUND PRINCIPAL REPAID 53,447.00 2,220.00-GPF#: IV.EDU.MND.5583 0001 Basic Pay 14,280.00 3012 GPF Subscription 1000 House Rent Allowance 1,961.00 3501 Benevolent Fund 600.00-125.00-1300 Medical Allowance 1,500.00 3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK 1,000.00 4004 R. Benefits & Death C 1,052.00-1528 Unattractive Area A 2148 15% Adhoc Relief All 557.00 2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @ 278.00 2211 Adhoc Relief All 201 1,114.00 2224 Adhoc Relief All 201 1,428.00 2247 Adhoc Relief All 201 1,428.00 2264 Adhoc Relief Al! 201 1,428.00 **PAYMENTS** 24;974.00 3,997.00-**NET PAY** 20,977.00 01.08.2019 31.08.2019 **DEDUCTIONS** Branch Code:221401 - SHABQADAR, CHARSADDA. HABIB BANK LIMITED SHABQADAR, CHARSADDA. Accnt.No: 7901226403

S (

P57 (10) (1) (1)

 50386823 TAMASH KHA 	AN CN	C: 2140362334461	Desig: PRIMARY SCH	100L TEACH(80949396	5) Grade: 12 NTN:	Buckle No.:	Gazetted/Non-Gazetted: N
PAYMENTS	AMOUNT	DEDUCTIONS	AMOUNT	LOAN/FUND_	PRINCIPAL REPAIL	BALANCE	
0001 Basic Pay	15 240 00 3	3012 GPF Subscription	n 2,220.00-	GPF#: I	V.EDU.MND.5583	80,087.00	<u> </u>
1000 House Rent Allowa	•	1.00 3501 Benevole	·	0.00-		00,007.00	
1210 Convey Allowance	•	5.00 3990 Emp.Edu.		5.00-			
1300 Medical Allowance	e 1,500.	00 4004 R. Benefits	& Death C 600.	00-			
1528 Unattractive Area	A 1,000.0	00					
2148 15% Adhoc Relief	A11 557.0	00					
2199 Adhoc Relief Allow	v@ 278.	.00					
2211 Adhoc Relief All 20	1,114.0	00					
2224 Adhoc Relief All 20	01 1,524.0	00				-	
2247 Adhoc Relief All 20	01 1,524.0	00					
2264 Adhoc Relief All 20	01 1,5 24.0	00			•	-	
						. •	r in the second of the second
PAYMENTS	29;078.00	DEDUCTIONS	3,545.00-	NET PAY	25,533.00 01.08.20		
Branch Code:429847	Shahbaz Ko	roona shabqadar	PAYMENT THRO	OUGH BANK SHAF	ibaz koroona shabq <i>i</i>	ADAR CHARSA	DDA Accnt.No: 104091876



To

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as PST (BPS-12) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-I to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R)CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No.1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & Summer vacations.

Dated: 27.07.2020

Your Obediently طریانش کا Your TAMASH KHAN

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR. /2019 Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar... **VERSUS**

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted F與edto-daypreviously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

24/10/16

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

Affect No. 1452/2019 Margad Hayat vs Gov

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement, and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal..

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Pétitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

reasonable time.

File be consigned to the regord.

Pechawa ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

Chairman

erfffen

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

•	£ ,	•	
· .			OF 2020
	•		•
,	,		(APPELLANT)
	•		
TAMASH KHAN			(PLAINTIFF)
· · · ·			(PETITIONER)
		<u>VERSUS</u>	•
			(RESPONDENT)
Education Depart	ment		(DEFENDANT)
		· 5	
		· .	•
I/We TAMASH KH	IAN		do hereby appoint
			shawar to appear, plead, act,
			tion for me/us as my/our
			hout any liability for his default
			er Advocate Counsel on my/our withdraw and receive on my/our
			on my/our account in the above
noted matter.			
			Uli will
	•		CLIENT

ACCEPTED JUMAR FAROØQ