FORM OF ORDER SHEET

_				
	n	urt (nt -	

Case No.- / 562 /2020

S.No.	Date of order	
5.110.	Date of order Order or other proceedings with si proceedings	gnature of judge
1	2	3
1-	07/12/2020 The appeal presented	today by Mr. Kamran Khan Advocate ma
i'	be entered in the Institution Regi	ster and put to the Learned Member fo
	proper order please.	
		REGISTRAR
-	This case is entrusted to !	S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be pu
	08-02-21 up there on03-03-21	
•		4
•		MEMBER(J)
3.03	03,2021 The learned Member Judicial	Mr. Muhammad Jamal Khan
	under transfer, therefore, the ca	se is adjourned. To come up t
	under transfer, therefore, the ca	
·)21.
·)21.
·)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.
		\$
)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.
)21.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO/202

MUHAMMAD NAVEED

VS

EDUCATION DEPTT:

INDEX

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal	***********	1- 3.
2.	Notification	A	4.
3.	Pay slips	B & C	5- 6.
4.	Departmental appeal	D	7.
5.	Service Tribunal judgment	E	8- 9.
6.	Vakalat nama		10.

APPELLANT

THROUGH:

KAMRAN KHAN ADVOCATE

OFFICE: Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. 0344-9118844

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1562/2020

Service Triburnal
Diary Sulf 3/

Mr. Muhammad Naveed, SPST (BPS-14), GPS Sehsada, Dir Lower.

APPELLANT

VERSUS

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

 RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance deduction of conveyance deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

- 1- That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as SPST (BPS-14) quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil Servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

- 3- That appellant was receiving the conveyance allowances as admissible under the law and rules but the respondents without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. Copies of the Salary Slips of working/serving month and vacations (deduction period) are attached as annexure.

 B & C.
- 5- That appellant also filed Departmental appeal before the appellate for redressal of his grievances in light of the principle of consistency but no reply has been received from the quarter concerned. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others. Copy of the Departmental appeal is attached as annexure.

GROUNDS:

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.
- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.

- E- That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspects and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for.

APPELLANT

MUHAMMAD NAVEED

THROUGH:

KAMRAN KHAN ADVOCATES BETTER COPY PAGE- 4

GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGUALTION WING)

23)

NO.FD/SO(SR-II)/52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20.12.2012

From

The Secretary to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Finance Department, Peshawar.

To:

- 1. All administrative Secretaries to Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 2. The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
- 4. The Secretary to Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 6. All Heads of attached Departments in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 7. All District Coordination Officers of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. Ali Political Agents/District & Session Judge in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 9. The Registrar Peshawar High Court, Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman Public Service Commission, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 11. The Chairman, Service Tribunal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

Subject: REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA. PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS-1-19

Dear Sir.

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance/revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provincial Civil Servants Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) w.e.f from 1st September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

	S.No.	BPS	Existing Rate (PM)	Revised Rate (PM)
	1.	1-4	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,700/-
	2.	5-10	Rs. 1,500/-	Rs. 1,840/-
	3 .	11-15	Rs. 2,000/-	Rs. 2.720/-
1	4.	16-19	Rs. 5.000/-	Rs. 5,000/-

Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicle.

Your Faithfully

(Sahibzada Saeed Ahmad) Secretary Finance

Endst No. FD/SO(SR-II)8-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the 20th December, 2012

· Was



OVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAXETUNKHWA FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO FUSCISR IN 8-52/2012 Dated Reshawarths: 20-12-2012

From:

The Secretary to Govil of Whyton Pashtunkings Finance Department. Panhanyar.

Τċ. ·

भें। भेरा स्थानिक स्थान है से अपने के स्थान के The Scriot Hember Board of Reverse Prior Parketters

The Secretary to Continue Ville Parling to

िए डेन्ट्रास्कर कि दिन्द्रातील स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक स्टूरिक

The decident for the state of the publications All Heads of Algeries Department in Kryner Bandle Anso

ET DISING COSMITTED OF AREAS ASSESSED SELECTIONS

As Policial Agents: District & Semicos के उन्हेंस्त्र के तिक्रिंग Publications

रेल्य Registra, Response मध्येल्यिका विकासकारी

The Charman Persit Senice Contraction (hyper Pokhiunimus).
The Charman Senice Thousa Physic Takhiunimus.

Sa かきひ。

REVISION IN THE PATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PROVINCIAL SOVERNMENT BPS1-19

2001 St.

The Gavernment of Shyler Politicaling has been charged a single रार्थांडट दे से महर्गेंच वर्ष दिवेगर कुछ करदार नेशिवसंबत्तदाव चेवामाद्वाचिक रहा है। यो कालकादाव विकास दिवास का हिं अन्य प्रदार निस्क्रीय जातीलाव (शारतीलाद के स्टिन्स के सिन्स हैं हैं) असे रिट्ना के उस्तास करने स्पूर्ण है The following rates. However, the conveyence blightime for employers in STEATS to EPS-19 Will remain ... UKNAAGOO.

• •				. — <u> </u>	
S.NO	BPS	ECISTING PLA	TE (PH)	EVISED RATE	(P.H.)
1	1-4	28.150	0/-	Rs.1.700	1.
	-17	S 4 5 50		RS.1,840	1:
<u> </u>		95.2,69	niversity of the second	Rs.2.720	$\eta = i_{\sigma}$
	16:10	9.5,5,0		R\$.5,000	

Gottveyance Allerrance of the oppose rates क्रिकालगाँव shall be adressible क्र those SPS-17. 18 and 19 offices who have not been sanctioned efficial vehicles.

Yours Fashfully

Sahiotas Sacod Ahmad Bacralan, Fazzina,

Findsti NO. FIRSOKSTUTOR-51/2012

Darif Persuarche Ind December, With

A Copy is forwarded for information cathet-

Accountant General Kinder Pakitan (m. Principal) Secretaines in Gregorians of Panjac Brasile Salbations, France Dynamicism

Aucture of the Autopentius Score of Coper Pality Properties

(INTAZ AYEB) Treat line

APPEAL NO._

Mr. Magsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....



Dist. Govt. KP-Provincial District Accounts Office Dir at Timargar Monthly Salary Statement (June-2020)



Personal Information of Mr MUHAMMAD NAVEED d/w/s of SARDAR MUHAMMAD

Personnel Number: 00738968

CNIC: 1530786870301

Date of Birth: 14.01.1990

Entry into Govt. Service: 20.08.2014

Length of Service: 05 Years 10 Months 012

Employment Category: Active Temporary

Designation: SENIOR PRIMARY SCHOOL TEA

DDO Code: DA6321-District Dir Lower

80674793-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE

Payroll Section: 001

GPF Section: 001

Cash Center: 30

168,033.00

GPF A/C No: 738968

Interest Applied: Yes

GPF Balance:

Vendor Number: -Pay and Allowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type: Civil

BPS: 14 Pay Stage: 4

Wage type	A		
0001 Basic Pay	19,860.00	Wage type	Amount
1210 Convey Allowance 2005	2.856.00	1000 House Rent Allowance	2,214.00
1923 UAA-OTHER 20%(1-15)	1.000.00	1300 Medical Allowance	1,500.00
2199 Adhoc Relief Allow @10%	243.00	2148 15% Adhoc Relief All-2013	350.00
2224 Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1,274.00
2264 Adhoc Relief All 2019 10%	1.986.00	2247 Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	1,986.00
····			0.00

Deductions - General

	Wage type	Amount	Wage type	
	3014 GPF Subscription	-2.620.00	3501 Benevolent Fund	Amount
	3990 Emp.Edu. Fund KPK 4200 Professional Tax		4004 R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-600.00
١	200 Totessional Tax	-1,200.00	- Jan John J.	-600.00

Deductions - Loans and Advances

Loan		Description	Principal amount Deduction Balance	7
Deductions - Payable:	Income Tax	Recovered till 11 D. 2020	Swant	J

0.00

Recovered till JUN-2020:

0.00

Exempted: 0.00

Recoverable:

0.00

Gross Pay (Rs.):

35,255.00

Deductions: (Rs.):

-5,145.00

Net Pay: (Rs.):

30,110.00

Payee Name: MUHAMMAD NAVEED

Account Number: 219778619

Bank Details: UNITED BANK LIMITED, 210729 CHAKDARA, LOWER DIR. CHAKDARA, LOWER DIR., LOWER DIR

Leaves:

Opening Balance:

Availed:

Earned:

Balance:

Permanent Address:

City: BADWAN PAYEEN

Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Housing Status: No Official

Temp. Address:

City:

Email: naveedsarkani@gmail.com

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019

APPEAL NO. 170 A- 12015

Mr. Maqsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar.....

APPELLANT

VERSUS

1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar:

2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar...

3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

RESPONDENTS

APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

ATTESTON FACTS:

2-4/10/16

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency.

CLE Particular and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14:07:2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

11.11.2019

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Markad Hayat vs Gort



Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal...

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary" but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a reasonable time.

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

11.11.2019

ertified to he ture copy

Khyber akhunkhwa Scrvice Fribunal. Peshawar The Director, (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.



Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS

Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SPST (BPS-14) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS 1 to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-16 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R) CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & summer vacations.

Dated: 12.08.2020

Your Obediently

MUHAMMAD NAVEED, SPST GPS Sehsada, Dir Lower

VAKALATNAMA

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

	OF 2020
Muhammad Naveed	(APPELLANT)(PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
<u>VERSUS</u>	
Education Department	(RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)
I/We Muhammad Naveed Do hereby appoint and constitute KA Peshawar to appear, plead, act, comprarbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/A matter, without any liability for his defautengage/appoint any other Advocate Coulauthorize the said Advocate to deposit, with behalf all sums and amounts payable or dethe above noted matter.	omise, withdraw or refer to Advocate in the above noted alt and with the authority to insel on my/our cost. I/we hdraw and receive on my/our
Dated/2020	CLIENT

KAMRAN KHAN

ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No.4, 2nd Floor, Juma Khan Plaza, Near FATA Secretariat, Warsak Road, Peshawar. Mobile No.0344-9118844