Service Appeal No367 2023 titled "Abdul Rashid versus "The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtuokhwa, Peshawar & others", decided on 25 04 '024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Kh at Chairman, and Mr. Muhammad Akbar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtuokhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

## KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR AT CAMP COURT, ABBOTTABAD

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ... CHAIRMAN MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ... MEMBER (Executive)

## Service Appeal No.367/2023

Date of presentation of Appeal	15.02.2023
Date of Hearing	25.04.2024
Date of Decision	

Mr. Abdul Rashid No.H/130 (Inspector retired) Investigation Wing, Abbottabad ......(Appellant)

## Versus

- 1. The Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
- 3. The Additional IGP/Headquarters, for IG Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. The AIG Establishment for IG Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.........(Respondents)

Present:

Syed Noman Ali Bukhari, Advocate......For the appellant Mr. Shoaib Ali, Assistant Advocate General....For respondents

.....

**OF** THE APPEAL UNDER **SECTION** KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO REVISE THE ORDER OF SUB INSPECTOR AND INSPECT FROM THE DATE BATCHMATES WAS PROMOTED IN PURSUANCE OF ORDER DATED 21.04.2012 FOR THE PURPOSE TO REGAIN THE SENIORITY OF APPELLANT WITH HIS BATCHMATES AND THEN CONSIDER THE APPELLANT PROFORMA/NOTIONAL PROMOTION TO THE POST OF DSP FROM DUE DATE/WITH HIS COLLEAGUES AND JUNIORS WAS PROMOTED AND AGAINST NOT TAKING DEPARTMENTAL ON THE APPEAL STATUTORY PERIOD OF 90 DAYS.

## **JUDGMENT**

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Appellant's case in brief as

per the averments of the Appeal is that he was inducted in the Police

Page 1

n the Police

Department as Constable, and from time to time he was given promotion to the next ranks; that vide order dated 02.08.2018, he was confirmed as Inspector w.e.f 15.12.2017, however, his colleague was confirmed in the year 2018; that vide order dated 30.01.2018, one of his colleagues namely Muhammad Javed was promoted as DSP and the appellant was not.

- 2. Feeling aggrieved, he filed departmental, but the same was not responded, therefore, he filed the instant service appeal.
- 3. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.
- 4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Assistant Advocate General for respondents.
- 5. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned Assistant Advocate General controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).
- 6. The departmental appeal of the appellant was forwarded to the Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on 25.09.2018 which means that it was made on 25.09.2018 while he filed this appeal on 15.02.2023, which is hopelessly barred by time.

WIN

Service Appeal No367/2023 titled "Abdul Rashid versus "The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Fokhturkhwa Peshawar & others", decided on 25.04.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Muhammad Akbar Khan, Member Executive, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribinal, Peshaw ir at Camp Court, Abbottabad.

- 7. There is an application for condonation of delay filed alongwith the appeal. The ground mentioned in the application is that his appeal was going to be accepted and for the purpose, be kept waiting for considerable time, therefore, the delay was not intentional. The stance of the appellant is neither plausible nor a valid ground for condonation of delay, therefore, not acceptable.
- 8. This being so, the appeal was filed with inordinate delay, therefore, that is dismissed. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 9. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2024.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN

Chairman

Camp Court Abbottabad

MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN

Member (Executive)
Camp Court Abbottabad

\*Mutazem Shah\*

S.A #.367/2023 ORDER

25<sup>th</sup> Apr. 2024 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Shoaib Ali,
Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

- 2. Vide our detailed judgment of today placed on file, the appeal was filed with inordinate delay, therefore, that is dismissed. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 25<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2024.

(Muhammad Akbar Kh Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

Camp Court, Abbottabad

\*Mutazem Shah\*