10.08.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Security and process fee not deposited. Last opportunity granted for depositing the same within a fortnight, where-after notices be issued to respondents for 21.9.2015 before S.B.

.Chairmar

21.09.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khan Khattak, Assistant AG for respondents present. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for withdrawal of appeal as the grievances of the appellant have been redressed.

Record perused according to which the appellant has not deposited security and process fee as well despite last opportunity. Since the learned counsel for the appellant has requested for withdrawal of appeal as such the same is dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

Anwar Zeb

27.05.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that the appellant was serving as Constable when subjected to enquiry and vide impugned order dated 28.03.2014 he was removed from service regarding which he preferred departmental appeal on 24.04.2014 which was rejected on 29.05.2014 and communicated to the appellant on 17.06.2014 and hence the present service appeal on 15.07.2014.

Learned counsel for the appellant further argued that entire proceedings were carried out ex-parte and the appellant was not associated with the enquiry. He was not given opportunity of defense and hence the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

Points raised at the Bar need consideration. The appeal is admitted to regular hearing subject to all legal objections. The appellant is directed to deposit the security amount and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, Notice be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply. To come up for written reply/comments on 08.07.2015 before S.B.

Member

08.07.2015

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Asstt: AG for the respondents present. Notices to the respondents have not been issued due to non-deposited of security and process fee. Appellant is directed to deposit security process fee within 7 days, thereafter notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments on 10.08.2015.



15:10.2014

No one is present on behalf of the appellant. Notices be issued to the appellant/counsel for the appellant. To come up for preliminary hearing on 09.12.2014.

~ 7

Reader Note:

09.12.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant present. Since the Tribunal is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 19.02.2015 for the same.

19.02.2015

Clerk of counsel for the appellant, and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 07.04.2015.

Member

07.04.2015

Counsel for the appellant present and requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 27.05.2015.

Member

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		
Case No	957/2014	· ·

	Case No	<u>957/2014</u>		
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate		
1	2	3		
1	15/07/2014	The appeal of Mr. Hamid Khan presented today by Mr Ijaz Anwar Advocate may be entered in the Institution register		
		and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.		
		REGISTRAR		
.	0 6 0 11	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary		
2	6-8-2014	hearing to be put up there on 15 - 20 14		
		CHAIRMAN		
-				
-				
	·			
·				
•				

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 957 /2014

Hamid Khan Ex-Constable No. 09, District Police, Kohat.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

(Respondents)

INDEX

S. No	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page No
1	Memo of Appeal	,	1-4
2	Affidavit		5 .
3	Medical Certificates	Α .	6-9
4	Charge Sheet, Statement of allegations	В	10-11
5 .	Inquiry Report	· C	12
6	Show Cause Notice and reply to Show Cause	D & E	13-14
7	Order dated 28.03.2014	F	1 15
8	Departmental Appeal and Rejection order dated 28.05.2014	G&H	16-18
9	Mercy Petition dated 17.06.2014	I	19-20
10	Vakalatnama.		21

Through

IJÁZ ANWAR

pellant

Advocate Peshawar

SAJID AMIN

Advocate, Peshawar

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 957 /2014



Hamid Khan Ex- Constable No. 09, District Police, Kohat.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat.
- 3. District Police Officer, Kohat.

(Respondents)

Appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974, against the order dated 28.03.2014, whereby the appellant has been awarded the major punishment of <u>Removal from Service</u>, against which the Departmental Appeal dated 24.04.2014, has also been rejected vide order dated 28.05.2014, communicated to the appellant on 17.06.2014.

Prayer in Appeal: -

15/7/14

On acceptance of this appeal both the original order dated 28.03.2014, and the appellate order dated 28.05.2014, may please be set-aside and the appellant may please be re-instated into service with full back wages and benefits of service.

Respectfully Submitted:

- 1. That the appellant was initially enlisted in the Police Department in the year 2010, ever since his enlistment the appellant had performed his duties as assigned with zeal and devotion and there was no complaint whatsoever regarding his performance.
- 2. That while serving in the said capacity, in the month 2013, the appellant got seriously ill, he was taken to the the Doctor, thereafter he remained under medical treatment, advised him bed rest from time to time. Due to his appellant could join his duty. (Copies of the Medical are attached as Annexure A)
- 3. That a charge sheet and statement of allegations dated 21.10.2013, was issued containing the allegations that "You had absented yourself from official duty vide DD no 22 dated 07.09.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No. 08 dated 03.10.2013 without any leave or permission from the competent authority". It is pertinent to mention here that the charge sheet and statement of allegations were never communicated to the appellant in time. (Copies of Charge Sheet and Statement of allegations are attached as Annexure B).
- 4. That the inquiry officer without waiting for the reply of the appellant or making any endeavour to associate him with the inquiry proceedings, conducted an ex-party inquiry and submitted his findings wherein he held the appellant guilty of the charges. The inquiry officer even conducted inquiry for those charges/ period which was not even mentioned in the charge sheet. Copy of the inquiry repost is attached as Annexure C)
- 5. That thereafter the appellant was served with final Show Cause Notice dated 11.11.2013. It is pertinent to mention that the findings of the inquiry were never communicated to the appellant along with the show cause notice. The appellant also replied the show cause notice. (Copies of Show Cause Notice and reply to Show Cause are attached as Annexure D and E).
- 6. That thereafter without allowing opportunity of personal hearing to the appellant, he was awarded the major punishment of *Removal From Service* vide order dated 28.03.2014 (Copy of the Order dated 28.03.2014 is attached as Annexure F).

- 7. That the appellant submitted his Departmental Appeal on 24.04.2014, before the respondent No. 2, however the departmental appeal was also rejected vide order dated 28.05.2014, copy of which was communicated to the appellant on 17.06.2014. (Copies of Departmental Appeal & rejection order are attached as Annexure G & H).
- 8. That after obtaining the copy of the rejection order on 17.06.2014, the appellant under bonafide belief submitted a mercy petition dated 17.06.2014, but the same has not been responded till date. (Copy of the mercy petition is attached as Annexure I)
- 9. That the impugned orders are illegal unlawful against law and fact, hence liable to be set aside inter alia on the following grounds:-

GROUNDS OF APPEAL:

- **A.** That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law, hence his rights secured and guaranteed under the law are badly violated.
- **B.** That no proper procedure has been followed before awarding penalty of Removal from Service to the appellant. The appellant has not been associated with the inquiry, the enquiry officer never waited for the defence reply of the appellant, thus he has been denied the opportunity to defend himself. The whole proceedings are thus defective in the eye of law.
- C. That the appellant has not been allowed opportunity of personal hearing before his Removal from service, thus he has been condemned unheard.
- **D.** That the appellant has not been provided copy of enquiry report which is mandatory in case of awarding major penalty so as to enable him to know on what grounds/evidence the inquiry officer held him guilty of the charges.
- E. That the inquiry officer have mentioned in the inquiry report those charges which were not even mentioned in the charge sheet and statement of allegations, thus he has exceeded his mandated. Moreover the charges leveled against the appellant were never

proved the inquiry officer gave his findings on surmises and conjunctures.

- **F.** That even in the order of the removal form service, those charges/ period of absence was mentioned for which the appellant was never served with any charge sheet nor was the same mentioned in the initial charge sheet and statement of allegations, thus the order so made is illegal and void abinatio and is liable to be set aside on this score alone.
- **G.** That the appellant has not committed any act or omission which could be termed as misconduct, his absence from duty was not willful but was due to has ailment.
- **H.** That the appellant has an unblemished and spotless service career of about 4 years, the penalty imposed upon him is harsh and liable to be set aside.
- I. That since the appellant is jobless since his illegal Removal from Service.
- J. That the appellant has a large family dependant upon him, due to his illegal removal from service his whole family is suffering.
- K. That the appellant seeks permission of this Honourable Tribunal to rely on additional grounds at the hearing of the appeal.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that On acceptance of this appeal both the original order dated 28.03.2014, and the appellate order dated 28.05.2014, may please be set-aside and the appellant may please be reinstated into service with full back wages and benefits of service.

Through

IJAZ ANWAR

Advocate Peshawar

œ

SAJID AMIN

Advocate, Peshawar

SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Appeal No. /2014

Hamid Khan Ex- Constable No. 09, District Police, Kohat.

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and others.

(Respondents)

AFFIDAVIT

I, Hamid Khan Ex- Constable No. 09, District Police, Kohat, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the above noted appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that nothing has been kept back or concealed from this

Honourable Tribunal.

ANNE A Lady Dr. Salma Rahim Disargeon Rahim Bangash M.B.B.S., R.M.P., M.S(Gyne) M.Phil(Bio) Prof. PGMI. HMC (Pesh.) M.B.B.S., F.C.P.S.(Surg) Professor K.M.C. Pesh. لیڈی ڈاکٹرسکمی رحیم ڈا کٹر سرجن رحیم بنگثر اليم بي بي اليس-آرايم بي -ايم اليس (كائن) 03008593977. اليم بي بي ايس - ايف ي بي ايس (سرجري) اليم فِل (بائيو) 030/8593977. مروفسرائج،ايم،ي ي،جي،ايم،آئي المستنطع پروفیسر۔ کے ایم می پشاور 2 /cr Sex 5 Date: 2/0-23 3 Name Handal Kon. Medical Centificate. Clinical Record This is a clear fiel That My Homed To prim but Rogion Fred When to Organia Whom is Sifferent polar To for lady/sautea. Hy ls/s The Righting Disc is Shiphald melopsed toward Julisal Sil. ortet. pre The Proposite Confressory the Ross of 4/4. la-bsecol S.I. Ran Hem. He is Advict 1/4/1 for pop. wol, shind is surject for D Lembyo. Lange colory if my Relowby Cul Coops Col Altesta

Conservaire Tréances. He et stront en 1 forth RAHIM BANGAS: M.B.B.S., F.C. Prof. R.M.C

Lady Dr. Salma Rahim argeon Rahim Bangash M.B.B.S., R.M.P., M.S(Gyne) M.Phil(Bio) M.B.B.S., F.C.P.S.(Súrg) 📑 - AGER: Prof. PGMI. HMC (Pesh.) ASSEN: Professor K.M.C. Pesh لیڈی ڈاکٹر سلمی رحیم ة أكثر سرجن رتيم بسر اليم بي بي الس-آرايم بي _اليم اليس (كائن) اليم بي بي ايس الفي بي ايس (سرجري) ر 859297 و فير _ كا مح ويناور اليم فِل (بائيو) 030/8593977. المستعملين وفيسرائ ،ايم ،ي - بي ، جي ،ايم ،آكي Name Haniel Cherry 8 Date: 5-9-2013 Medica Cestificale. Clinical Record Jo pein The Conspice the land free. Lave Brown the Havelthan g B lle of Deformation He or pros beg offer how feel orget pain in the or Sl.R 45d landosacral person while Ter prope Company on seure fe or the 7 years Adward Sed ser pro-Luniloga 25) Sept. Marine BANGA Allegar Prof EM C

Surgeon RahimBangash Lady Dr. Salma Rahim M.B.B.S., F.C.P.S.(Surg) M.B.B.S., R.M.P., M.S(Gyne) M.Phil(Bio) Professor K.M.C. Pesh. Est: Prof. PGMI. HMC (Pesh.) ڈاکٹرسر^جن رحیم بنگش لیڈی ڈاکٹر سلمی رقیم اليم بي بي اليس_ايف مي بي اليس (سرجري) اليم بي بي ايس_آرايم بي _ايم اليس (گائن) 03008193977 اليم فِل (بائيو) پروفیسرایج،ایم،ی-پی،جی،ایم،آئی Name How! Khan Age Islyea __Date: | 8-//-- & 13 Clinical Record X Medical Ceropicale. /s poi labo This is Conficed That Ref 3 de: 4 ford Klan 5/2 Q-Jun 1thon. onst. R is Saffard for ludego ist. Reper Pair 12 Saidle Herr. He is solved Conservaire [A] 11m2 Teure I wil Compline Sel Zen h. (22) days whiteffer Slanley. Sciale M.B.B.S., F.C.

Lady Dr. Salma Rahim súrgeon RahimBangash M.B.B.S., R.M.P., M.S(Gyne) M.Phil(Bio) M.B.B.S., F.C.P.S.(Surg) Prof. PGMI. HMC (Pesh.) Professor K.M.C. Pesh. لیڈی ڈاکٹرسکٹی رحیم ِڈ اکٹر سرجن رحیم بنگش اليم بي بي ايس - آرايم بي -ايم ايس (گائن) اليم بي بي ايس ايف تى پي ايس (سرجري) 0 300 859 39 77 اليم قِل (يائيو) مصممه يروفيسران ،ايم سي يي . جي ،ايم ،آئي Name Hand Chor Age 20 72 Sex 5 Date: 23325 RX Me bel Cerifical. Clinical Record 91 is Confred the place Examil Mend S/2 Dayon Andal Join Mhm. Hu Suffer for Andled lef dit I shot sul. Spein. He 11 Advised 2005 La Colofs al affect to ont of Ampal 23-3-215 Bolan A-Malforn M.B.B.S., F.C.F Prof. E.H.S Allylle

1. I MUHAMMAD SALEEM, DISTRICT POLICE
OFFICER, KOHAT as competent authority, hereby charge you Constable
Hamid No.09 committed the following irregularities:-

You had absented yourself from official duty vide DD No. DD No. 22 dated 07.09.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No.08 dated 03.10.2013 without any leave or permission from the competent authority.

- 2. By reasons of the above, you appear to guilty of misconduct under Police Rule-1975 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties.
- 3. You are therefore, required to submit your written defence within 07days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the enquiry officer.

Your written defense if any should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and in that case e x-parte action shall be taken against you.

4.

A statement of allegation is enclosed.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

KOHAT

Sprotti

Attistul

(1) 1/56/6, 3,

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, MUHAMMAD SALEEM, DISTRICT POLICE

OFFICER, KOHAT, as competent authority, am of the opinion that **Constable Hamid No.09** has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he committed the following acts/omissions under Police Rule 1975:-

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

You had absented yourself from official duty vide DD No. DD No. 22 dated 07.09.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No.08 dated 03.10.2013 without any leave or permission from the competent authority.

2. For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations, Mr: Lal Farid Khan DSP City. is appointed as enquiry officer. The enquiry officer shall in accordance with provision of the Police Rule-1975, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused official, record its findings and make, within twenty five days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

The accused official shall join the proceeding on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

KOHAT

No.15862-63/PA, dated 21-70

Copy of above is forwarded to:-

Mr: Lal Farid Khan DSP City.:- The Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the accused under the provisions of Police Rule-1975.

2. <u>Constable Hamid No.09:</u> The concerned official/ officer's with the directions to appear before the Enquiry officer, on the date, time and place fixed by the enquiry officer, for the purpose of enquiry proceedings.

3

SOPOCITY

Allester

12) ANNEAS-C

DEPARTMENTAL ENQUIRY AGAINST CONSTABLE HAMID NO.09 TRAFFIC POLICE, KOHAT

This is a departmental enquiry against Constable Hamid No.09 while posted at Traffic Police, Kohat. Allegation were that he had absented himself from official duty intentionally/deliberately without any leave/prior permission from his superiors vide DD No.22, dated 07.09.2013 and arrival report vide DD No.08, dated 03.10.2013 and again absented himself vide DD No.17, dated 25.10.2013 and stile absent.

Charge sheet and statement of allegation was issued to him and the undersigned was appointed as enquiry officer to conduct departmental enquiry against him.

The defaulter Constable above named is not submit reply to the charge sheet and as well as not attend the office of the undersigned for recorded his statement he was several time informed through his brother Constable Farjad but he still absent.

Moharrir traffic Police LHC Khan Afsar stated in his statement that the defaulter constable above named absented himself from official duty without sand leave/prior permission and now he did not make arrival report up till now.

From the enquiry so far conducted I came to the conclusion that the defaulter constable is still absence from official duty and he did not make arrival report up till now. Therefore, he is recommended for the guilty of the charges.

Submitted please.

Sub: Divisional Police Officer, City Kohat

> No. 338/a1+9 oft: 11-11,2013

My

(13) AMNEXI.D

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

1. I, <u>Muharamad Saleem</u>, <u>District Police Officer</u>, <u>Kohat</u> as competent authority under the Police Rule 1975 serve you <u>Const: Hamid No.09</u> as fallow:-

The consequent upon the completion of enquiries conducted against you by the Enquiry Officer, Mr: Lal Farid Khan DSP City, Kohat.

2. On going through the findings and recommendations of the Enquiry Officer, the materials on the record and other connected papers, I am satisfied that the charge against you is proved and you have committed the following acts/omission specified in Police Rule 1975.

"You had absented yourself from official duty vide DD No. 22 dated 07.09.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No.08 dated 03.10.2013 and again absented himself vide DD No. 17 dated 25.10.2013 till to date without any leave or permission from the competent authority"

- 3. As a result thereof I, as competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you the penalty of major punishment under Police Rule 1975.
- 4. You are therefore, required to Show Cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you, also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- If no reply to this notice is received within seven (7) days of its delivery in the normal course of circumstances, it will be considered/presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

Copy of finding of the enquiry officer is enclosed.

No.<u>16363</u>/PA

Dated //-//-/2013

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

КОНАТ

Slecip. 11/300 16363 (Sich Signification 16363) · M3 identigio mo - Un Ordes Jung- (350/630600) Cub & Ob 0/191-47 2010605 · 63 1661 i ph / 20% ileghon Selizine Olapet. () Place (10) (2) July (2) -60000 SDPO CITY



ORDER

This order is passed on the departmental enquiry against Constable Hamid Khan No. 09 of this district Police under Police Rule 1975.

Brief facts of the departmental enquiry are that he has absented himself from official duty vide DD No. 22 dated 07.09.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No.08 dated 03.10.2013 and again absented himself vide DD No. 17 dated 25.10.2013 and reported arrival vide DD No.17 dated 09.12.2013 (Total Absence Period 69 day) and again absented himself from 23.03.2014 till to date without any leave or permission from the competent authority.

He was served with charge sheet/summary of allegations and Mr. Lal Farid Khan DSP City Kohat was appointed as Enquiry Officer to proceed against him departmentally. The enquiry officer has submitted his findings and found him guilty of the charges leveled against him.

He was served with Final Show Cause Notice, called in OR on 27.03.2014 but he did not come for OR. His reply is perused and found unsatisfactory therefore, the undersigned take a departmental action against him i.e the period of absence as mentioned above counted as absence and removed from service under Police Rules 1975 with immediate effect.

OB No. 43 8

Date 28 03 - /2014

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER,

конат

31.3-14 Con Sing Con Meneralahsenteet Alahir palahsenteet Amalia Sile

SDPO CIT



BEFORE THE DY: INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KOHAT RANGE KOHAT

SUBJECT:

Ar peal against the order of DPO Kohat bearing OB No.438 dated 28-3-2014 Whereby the appellant ex-constable Hamid Khan No.9 of Kohat Distt: Police was removed from service under police rules 1975 with immediate effect.

Respected She weth,

With ver eration, the appellant submits the instant appeal on the basis of the following facts and grounds:-

Facts:

Allegation against the appellant as contained in the impugned order are that the appellant remained absent from duty without any leave / permission from the con petent authority on the date mentioned below.

1. (7-9-2013 to 03-10-2013

2. 15-10-2013 to 09-12-2013

3. :3-3-2014 to 28-3-2014

SDPO CITY KOHAT

On the above allegations, the appellant was charge sheeted and Mr. Lal Farid Khan LSP City Kohat was deputed to carry out departmental enquiry against the appellant. The enquiry officer, after conducting the requisite inquiry submit ed his findings to DFO Kohat who then passed the impugned order.

Groundst

Although the appellant had received the charge sheet, but he was not associated with the inquiry proceedings by the enquiry officer and thus ex-party proceedings were carried out by the enquiry officer to the

(17)

prejudice of the appellant. No opportunity of cross examination was afforded to the appellant in share violation of the rules.

b. No opportunity of personal hearing was given to the appellant by DPO Kohat.

c. Copy of the findings of the enquiry officer was furnished to the appellant alongwith the final show cause notice by DPO Kohat and in this way the principle of natural justice was not complied with.

d. The appellant was sick during the absence period and remained under medical treatment and advised medical rest on each occasion by the doctor.

e. Photo copies of the medical prescriptions and medical rest advised by doctors are enclosed herewith. The original documents shall be produced at the time of personal hearing.

Prayer:

In light of the above submissions, it is prayed that by accepting the instant appeal, the impugned order may kindly be set-aside and the period of absence counted as medical leave.

I may also be heard in person please.

Dated: 24-4-2014.

Yours Obediently,

Ex-Constable Hamid Khan

No.9

Kohat Distt: Police

Cell # 0332-5483007.

Destrict Police Office

This order is passed on the appeal preferred by Ex-Constable Hamid Khan No. 09 of Kohat district Police; wherein he was awarded major punishment of removal from service by DPO Kohat vide O.B No. 438, dated 28,03,2014. He requested for setting aside the punishment order and rainstalement in service.

Facts are that the above named official was absented himself from offinial duty w.e.f. 07.09.2013 to 03.10.2013 (26 days) and 23.03.2014 to 20.03.2014 (05 days) without any leave or permission from his superior.

On the basis of above-mentioned charges / omissions, Charge Sheet & Statement of allegations was issued to himitunder Police Disciplinary Rule 1975 by DPO Kohat and Mr. Lal FaridiKhan, DSP City Kohat was appointed as enquiry officer to conduct proper departmental angular against him. The enquiry officer conducted transparent enquiry, completed all enquiry formalities and submitted findings report, in which the defaulter was found guilty of the charges.

Aggrieved from the said order, the appellant preferred the instant departmental appeal for setting aside the punishment order passed by DFO Kohat.

Therefore, the defaulter official was heard in person in orderly room held in this office on 28 05.2014. He did not submittany convincing reply to his misconduct and could not satisfy the undersigned.

Going through the available record and enquiry papers, the undersigned reached to the conclusion that charges leveled against him has been fully established, therefore, the punishment order of DIPO Kohat is accordance with law with rules and no need interference and upheld. The appeal of the obtaulter officer is hereby filed.

ANNOUNCED 28.05. 1014

> (DR. ISHTIAQ/AHMAD MARWAT Dy: Inspector General br. Police Kohat Rogion, Kohat,

/GC, dated Kohat the 24

Copy of above for information and necessary action to the District Police Officer, Kohat w/r to his office Memo: No. 1024//L.B. dated 13.05.2014. His service record is enclosed herewith.

Consignia Hamid Khan No. 06 of Kohat district

(DR. ISHTIAQ-AHMINO MARWAT) Dyfinspodior Genéral of Police, Wohat Rogion, Kohat,

BEFORE THE WORTHY PROVINCIAL POLICE OFFICER, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Subject: MERCY PETITION

Respected Sir,

- 1. With veneration, it is submitted that the petitioner was dealt with departmentally on account of alleged absence from duty fro the period from 7-09-2013 to 02-10-2013, from 25-10-2013 to 08-12-2013 and from 23-03-2014 to 28-03-2014. The petitioner was removed from service Under Police Rules 1975 with immediate effect and the period of absence counted as absence by DPO Kohat bide O.B No. 738 dated 28-03-2014. (Photo copy of the order is enclosed).
- 2. The petitioner preserred an appeal before the DIG of Police Kohat region against the above noted order of DPO Kohat which was filed vide order dated 28-35-2014. (Photo copy of the order is enclosed).
- The petitioner submits the instant petition on the grounds that the departmental inquiry against the petitioner was not carried out by the inquiry officer in accordance with rules and regulation as no opportunity of cross examination was given to the petitioner during the course of inquiry. The petitioner was not associated with the inquiry proceedings and ex-party proceedings were taken against the petitioner.

Besides this no copy of the findings of the E.O was furnished to the petitioner along with the final show cause notice by DPO Kohat in share violation of the instructions / directions of the provincial government in this regard. The petitioner was not heard in person.

In addition to the above, the DPO Kohat did not consider the medical documents submitted by the petitioner regarding sickness of the petitioner during the alleged period of absence. (Photocopy of Medical documents are enclosed)

Affiliand STEO CAT



4. The petitioner hails from a poor family with no source of income. The petitioner's family is faced with starvation and financial hardships.

The petitioner therefore, submits the instant petition on account of legal flaws in the departmental inquiry as well as for consideration on humanitarian grounds.

It is prayed that the petitioner may graciously be re-instated in service and the period of absence counted as medical leave please.

Yours Obediently

Ex-Constable Hamid Khan No. 9 of Kohat District Police

Dated: 17-06-2014

AMJU

(21)

POWER OF ATTORNE }Plaintiff Appellant Petitioner Complainant } Appeal/Revision/Suit/Application/Petition/Case No Fixed for I/We, the undersigned, do hereby nominate and appoint LIAZ ANWAR ADVOCATE, SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN SATIO AMENN Adurante my true and lawful attorney, for me n my same and on my behalf to appear at Volker to appear, plead, act and answer in the above Court or any Court to which the business is transferred in the above matter and is agreed to sign and file petitions. An appeal, statements, accounts, exhibits. Compromises or other documents whatsoever, in connection with the said matter or any matter arising there from and also to apply for and receive all documents or copies of documents, depositions etc, and to apply for and issue summons and other writs or subpoems and to apply for and get issued and arrest, attachment or other executions, warrants or order and to conduct any proceeding that may arise there out; and to apply for and receive payment of any or all sums or submit for the above matter to arbitration, and to employee any other Legal Practitioner authorizing him to exercise the power and authorizes hereby conferred on the Advocate wherever he may think fit to do so, any other lawyer may be appointed by my said counsel to conduct the case who shall have the same powers. AND to all acts legally neces....y to manage and conduct the said case in all respects, whether herein specified or not, as may be proper and expedient. AND I/we hereby agree to ratify and confirm all lawful acts done on my/our behalf under or by virtue of this power or of the usual practice in such matter. PROVIDED always, that I/we undertake at time of calling of the case by the Court/my authorized agent shall inform the Advocate and make him appear in Court, if the case may be dismissed in default, if it be proceeded ex-parte the said counsel shall not be held responsible for the same. All costs awarded in favour shall be the right of the counsel or his nominee, and if awarded against shall be payable by me/us IN WITNESS where f'I/we have hereto signed at 1 18 Executant/Executants Accepted subject to the terms regarding fee

SAJID A WIN MADVOCATE HIGH COURT

Legal Advisor Services & Francis Triws Consultants 54-3-4, Fourth Franc. Bits in Plaza Pashings Canttle 21, 191-52/2054, Mobil 9333-459956, 00033155956

Ijaz*Anwar

t Advocate High Courts & Suprem : Court of Pakistan

CATES, LEGAL ADVISORS, SERVICE & ABOUR LAW CONSULTANTER-3 COurth Floor, Bilour Plaza, Sadd. r Road, Peshawar Cantu Ph.091-5272154 Mobile-023 -9107225