Counsel for the appellant present. Asst: AG for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 01.03.2018 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member(E) (M.Amin Khan Kundi) Member (J)

HAIRMAN

01.03.2018

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Ziaullah, DDA alongwith Sher Yar, Asstt. Supdt. Jail for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

This appeal is disposed of as per our detailed judgment of today in connected appeal No. 880/2014 entitled "Manzoor Khan Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary and others". Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

MEMBER .

<u>ANNOUNCED</u> 01.03.2018

12.06.2017

Clerk of the counsel for appellant and Mr. Shehryar Khan, Supdit alongwith Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional AG for the respondents present. Clerk of the counsel for appellant requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 11.09.2017 before D.B.

(Muhammad Amin Khan Kundi) Member

(Gul Zeb Khan) Member

11.09.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Addl: AG alongwith Mr. Sohrab Khan, H.C for the respondents present. Learned AAG requested for adjournment. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 25.10.2017 before D.B.

4

Member (Executive)

Member (Judicial)

25.10.2017

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, DDA alongwith Sheryar, ASJ for the respondents present. Counsel for the appellant is not in attendance. Requested for adjournment. Granted. To come up for arguments on 05.01.2018 before the D.B.

Member

Chairman

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. M.Jan, GP for respondents present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment as his co-counsel in the connected appeal was busy in Supreme Court of Pakistan, Islamabad. Adjournment granted. To come up for arguments on

- 1/

28.12.2016. **∆**

(M. AAMIR MAZIR) (MEMBER)

(PIR BAKHASH SHAH)

28.12.2016

Clerk to counsel for the appellant and Assistant AG for respondents present. Arguments could not be heard due to incomplete bench. Case adjourned to 30.03.2017 for arguments before D.B.

Chairman

30.03.2017

Counsel for appellant present. Mr. Sohrab Khan, Assistant alongwith Mr. Muhammad Adeel Butt, Additional AG for respondents present. Learned Additional AG requested for adjournment for producing inquiry record. Last chance is given. To come up for arguments on 12.06.2017 before D.B.

(Ahmad Hassan) Member (Muhammad Amin Khan) Member 25.08.2015

Appellant in person and Mr. Sheharyar Khan, ASJ alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Written reply not submitted. Requested for further adjournment. Last opportunity granted: To come up for written reply/comments on 11.11.2015 before S.B.

-, Chauman

11.11.2015

Appellant in person and Mr. Sohrab, Junior Clerk alongwith Addl: A.G for respondents present. Para-wise reply submitted. The appeal is assigned to D.B for rejoinder and final hearing for 28.3.2015.

Meniber

28.03.2016

Appellant with counsel and Mr. Ziaullah, GP for respondents present. Arguments could not be heard due to non-availability of D.B. Therefore, the case is adjourned to 18.05.2016 for arguments.

. Chaman

18.5.2016

Counsel for the appellant and Shehryar Khan, ASI alongwith AAG for respondents present. Affditional AG requested for produce of record. Last opportunity granted. To come up for arguments on 5.10.2016.

Member

Aggaber

05.03.2015

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Asst: AG for the respondents present. Clerk of counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. To come up for preliminary hearing on 14.04.2015.

Member

14.04.2015

Counsel for the appellant present. Learned counsel for the appellant argued that against the impugned order identical service appeals No.878,879 and 880/2014 have already been admitted to regular hearing.

In view of the above, this appeal is also admitted to regular hearing. Subject to deposit of security and process fee within 10 days, notices be issued to the respondents for written reply/comments for 13.07.2015 before S.B.

Chamian

13.07.2015

Appellant in person and Addl: A.G for respondents present. Requested for adjournment. To come up for written reply/comments on 25.8.2015 before S.B.

Charman

Appellant Deposited Security & Process Fee 29.09.2014

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments partly heard. The matter required further clarification, therefore, pre-admission notice be issued to the learned AAG/GP with the direction to contact the respondents for submission of complete record of the appellant. To come up for preliminary hearing on 01.12.2014.

Member

Reader Note:

01.12.2014

Clerk of counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Asst: AG for the respondents present. Since the Tribunal is incomplete, therefore, case is adjourned to 02.02.2015 for the same.

Reader

02.02.2015

Counsel for the appellant and Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Asst:

Advocate General for the respondent present. Counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment. Request accepted. To come up for preliminary hearing on 05.03.2015.

Member

Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	 	
Case No	 908/2014	

S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge or Magistrate		
1	2	3		
1	02/07/2014	The appeal of Mr. Noor Islam resubmitted today by Mr. Javed Iqbal Gulbela Advocate may be entered in the Institution register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for preliminary hearing.		
2	9-7-2014	This case is entrusted to Primary Bench for preliminary		
		hearing to be put up there on $29-9-20/4$		
	,			
	· ·	CHARMAN		
	1			
	•			
	•			
	•			
	.*			
-				
	,			
		•		

The appeal of Mr. Noor Islam ex-warden Distt. Jail Lakki Marwat received today i.e. on 27.06.2014 is incomplete on the following scores which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- Copy of order dated 17.3.201 mentioned in the heading of the appeal is not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.

2- One spare copy/set of the appeal along with annexures i.e. complete in all respect may also be submitted with the appeal.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

Mr. Javed Igbal Gulbela Adv. Pesh.

IN THE HON'BLE COURT SERVICES TRIBUNAL KHYBER

PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 908/2014

Noor Islam Ex-warden (BP-5) District Jail, Lakki Marwat

....Appellant

Versus

Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar etc.

INDEX

S#	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page#
1.	Service appeal		1-4
2.	Other documents	AEB	5-11
3.	Departmental appeal	(12-12
4.	Wakalat nama		15

Appellant

NOOR ISLAM

Through

JAVED IQBAL GULBELA

Advocate High Court Peshawar


Dated: 27-06-2014

IN THE HON'BLE SERVICES TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR,

Appeal No. 908/2014

Noor Islam Ex-Warden (BP-5) District Jail, Lakki Marwat

...Appellant



VERSUS

- Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Secretariat Peshawar.
- 2. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & Tribal Affairs Department.
- 3. Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- 4. Superintended District Jail Lakki Marwat

....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL

ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED OFFICE ORDER

DATED SO (COM/END) /HD/LAKKI JAIL /2013, DATED

17TH MARCH, 2014 OF THE OFFICE OF SECRETARY TO

GOVERNMENT OF KPK HOME DEPARTMENT, WHEREBY

THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM SERVICE

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH

The Appellant Humbly submits as under:-

- 1. That the appellant had been warden (BP-5) and posted District Jail, Lakki Marwat.
- 2. That the Appellant had been appointed in the subject post after being gone through the ordeals of tests and interview and since his appointment in the department has been the most devoted and fair subordinate, who never left any stone unturned in

27/8/14

and filed.



the performance of his duties devotedly, honestly, impartially and without any fear or self-interest.

- 3. That the appellant never committed any unwarranted or illegal act through his career that would cause any curse or any abominable remarks by his superior.
- 4. That it was during the posting at the subject district Jail that the most unfortunate event took place, wherein neither the appellant had any fault, nor had done any other criminal omission or negligence, but rather had been made a scape goat and condemned ruthlessly.
- 5. That neither the appellant had facilitated the run of the under trial prisoner escaping from prison, nor can even think of that, but even then was removed form service vide the impugned office order No SO (Com/End/HD/Lakki Jail/2013, dated 17 March, 2014 of the office of the Secretary KPK. (Copy of the impugned office order is annexed).
- 6. That neither any proper inquiry had ever been conducted, nor a fact finding committee was sought to dig out the real facts, nor the appellant was even heard properly, nor was allowed to cross-examine any witness and thus has been condemned without any fault; so feeling aggrieved the appellant preferred a Departmental appeal against the impugned order of remover from services, but inspite of stipulated statutory period the appeal was not decided and was shelved (Copies of appeal annexure)

(3)

7. That feeling aggrieved now the appellant moves the instant appeal for setting aside the removal from service order, upon the following grounds, inter Alia:-

GROUNDS

- A. That the impugned office order of removal from service is illegal, void ab- into and unwarranted, hence liable for setting aside.
- B. That for imposition of major penalty a proper mechanism is provided in the law and that in mandatorily to be followed. The appellant must be properly heard in person, must be allowed to cross-examine any witness adducing against the Appellant, a proper show cause notice, final show cause notice, statement of allegation and its proper services upon the Appellant; but nothing as such has taken place in case of the appellant which is not unwarranted under the law.
- C. That the impugned order has been passed cursorily and without realizing the fact that the Appellant had not fault or criminal omission or negligence at all in the occurrence of that alleged event.
- D. That the appellant has rendered 6 years of service and during all this period no complaint was ever made against him and now without any fault on his part was condemned.
- E. That even the departmental representation of the appellant went futile and the same was shelved without any finding thereupon and thus again the appellant was condemned unheard.

(y)

F. That from all four corners of law, the order of removal from service is illegal and is liable to be discarded.

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that on acceptance of instant appeal, the office order No SO (Com/End) /HD/Lakki Jail /2013, dated 17th March, 2013 of the office of Secretary to Government of KPK home Department, be set aside and the Appellant be restored into service with all back benefits.

Appellant

NOOR ISLAM

Through

AVED TOBAL GULBELA

Advocate High Court

Peshawar

AJBELL CULBELL AVED DAYAL COURL PESSION OF PHIGH COURT OF PRINCES OF PRINCES

Dated 26-6-2014

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Amir Nawaz Khan Advocate counsel for the appellant, do here by solemnly affirm and declared on oath that as per instruction of my client the contents of this appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONE

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT DISTRICT JAIL LAKKI MARWAT

To.

Phone & Fax No: 0969-510547

- Warder Muhammad Sajid
- Warder Noor Islam 3.
- Warder Aamir Basir 5.
- Warder Manzoor Khan
- Warder Sheir Ali Baz
- Warder Mohammad Arif
- Warder Hameed Ullah 6.
- Warder Aftab Malik

Subject:-

DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDING IN THE ESCAPE OF UNDERTRIAL PRISONER UMAR RAUF @ AMRI S/O PIR GHULAM VILLAGE ESAK KHEL TEHSIL & DISTRICT LAKKI MARWAT CHARGE UNDER SECTION 302/34 PPC FIR NO. 509 DATED 29-11-2008.

Memo

Enclose find herewith a copy of Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar Letter No.5/14-J-2013/7138-44/we Dated: 19-03-2014 along-with its enclosures on the subject noted above for information.




DISTRICT JAIL LAKKI MARWAT

Endst: No

Copy of the above is forwarded to

- 1. Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar for information with reference to his letter as referred to above Please.
- 2. Junior Clerk Hamuyan Gul for necessary entry in the Service Book of the Officia Watch / Warder Staff attached to this Jail may be done now.

SUPERINTENDENT DISTRICT JAIL LAKKI MARWAT



FFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISO KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAY 091-9213445 091-9210334, 9210406

The Superintendents,

1) Headquarters Prison D.I.Khan.

2) Central Prison Peshawar.

3) Central Prison/Borstal Institution Bannu.

4) District Jail Lakki Marwat & Karak.

5) Judicial Lockup Tahk.

Subject:-

DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE ESCAPE OF UNDER PRISONER UMAR RAUF @ AMRI S/O PIR GHULAM KHEL TEHSIL & DISTRICT LAKKI MARWAT CHARGE UNDER SEC 302/34 PPC FIR NO.509 DATED 29-11-2008.

I am directed to refer to the subject and to forward herewith a copy o Sir, No.SO(Com/Enq)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013 dated 17-3-2014 received from Government of Pakhtunkhwa Home and T.As Department, on the captioned subject(self explanatory) for info and necessary action. Also make necessary entries in the Service Books of all officials co under proper attestation.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR(ADMN) FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRIS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHA'

ENDST;NO

Copy of the above is forwarded to :-

The Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & T.As Department Peshawar, for information with reference to Home Department Order referred to ab 1.

The District Accounts Officers, Bannu, Lakki Marwat, Karak & Tank for informati necessary action. A copy of Home Department order referred to above is enclosed

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR(ADMN FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRI KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHA

The day of Shariat





GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

ORDER

SG(Com/Eng)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013, WHEREAS, The following officer / officials of the Inspectorate of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, were proceeded against under rule-3 of Knyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 for the charges mentioned in the show cause notices dated 17/12/2013, served upon them individually.

AND WHEREAS, the competent authority i.e the Chief Secretary, Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, granted them an opportunity of personal hearing as provided for under Rules ibid.

NOW THEREFORE, the competent authority (The Chief Secretary, Khyper Pakhtunkhwa) after having considered the charges, evidences on record, the explanation of the accused officer / officials and affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the accused, findings of the enquiry committee and exercising his power under rule-3 read with Rule-14 (5) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 has been pleased to pass the following orders neted against the name of each officer / officials with immediate effect;

. 3.No	Name & Designation	Orders
1.	Mr. Usman Ali (BPS-17),	Compulsory retirement
į	Deputy Superintendent Jail, District Jail Lakki Manwat.	;
	Mr. Amir Faraz,	Compulsory retirement
) / ₁	Warder (BP\$-05), District Jail Lakki Marwat.	· ·
	Mr. Hamayun Gul,	Stoppage of three (03)
3.	Junior Clerk (BPS-07), District Jail Lakki Marwat	annual increments.
110	Mr. Nasir Mehmood,	Stoppage of three (03)
6	Warder (BPS-05), District Jail Lakki Marwat.	annual increments.
112	Mr. Sher Ali Baz,	Removal from service
/s. /	Warder (BPS-5) District Jail Lakki Marwat.	
	Mr. Hamidullah,	Removal from service
6.	Warder (BPS-5)	· .
	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT

į.	Mr. Muhammad Arif,	Removal from service
1.	Warder (BPS-5)	,
	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	
	Mr. Noor Islam,	Removal from service
\mathcal{I}_{i_1}	Warder (BPS-5.)	·
	District Jail-Lakki Marwat,	بهادي بناء دران السياري
	Mr. Muhammad Sajid,	Removal from service
, ý.	Warder (BPS-5)	
i 	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	
i' ·	Mr. Zaib Nawaz,	Removal from service
10.	Warder (BPS-5)	•
	District Jail Lakki Marwal	
	Mr. Manzoor Khan,	Removal from service
11.	Warder (BPS-5)	
	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	
	Mr.: Amir Baseer,	Removal from service
12.	Warder (BPS-5)	
7	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	
1	Mr. Aftab Malik,	Removal from service
	Warder (BPS-5)	
:	District Jail Lakki Marwat.	

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME DEPARTMENT

Endst. No. SO(Com/End)/HD/Lakki Jail/20/3, Dated Peshawar the March 17, 201 Copy of the above is forwarded to the: -

✓ Inspector General of Prisons, Inspectorate of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawa PS to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkh wa, Peshawar.

PS to Secretary Establishment, Khyber Pekhtunkhwa Peshawar.
PS to Secretary Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
Officer/officials concerned.

3


11/11/ Dated 21/5/13 المراد عمارت الرر المارين مادرين ال - 10-00,000 (1000) - 000 (1000) 120 - 120 - 100 - المال من المراب Ja 1/2/2/1/1/2021/2021/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2021/1/2020202/2021/20202/2021/2021/2021/2021/2020202/20202/2021/2021/20202/20202/2020202/20202/2020202/202

A Party Shall be a factor of the state of th

Miters 24/5/1 ازوراه با حل زمان

810 K. 50.17 K K3: 14KK かっているいいい Exercopped be led her we die of minder of the Steren Tonerow Eller Britonia Marier Ora Estrol To 15 19 19 19 19 THE GOTO STORY OF THE BUILTY 15 THE BUSINESS OF THE STATE OF THE PORT OF THE PORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR LANDER ON BRILLAND MINGONS हिंग द १५ वित वित्र के नाम Marrow DIVUT-90 mgl B. V-T-JT TECO ESTERING מרשייון וויים 166.866.686 Q. 100 CON אה היל לוחף (בונה) לציבה MEDET PERMONED 17-48 60 008 -18 2 105 pp 600 00-1/15 97 ... 188 JOH-OD كالماج عليال عدد الاسطاء ياد من المعلى المادات والمحال المال المال المال المال المال المال المال 31518HD1812

To.



The Worthy Chief Minister, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Chief Minister Secretariat Peshawar

Subject:- Department Representation against the impugned office order dated SO (Com/End)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013, dated 17th March, 2013 of the office of Secretary to Government of KPK Home Department, whereby the Appellant has been removed from service.

Respected Sir,

The Appellant humbly submits as under:-

- 1) That the Appellant had been Warden (BPS-5) and posted at District Jail, lakki Marwat.
- That the Appellant had been appointed in the subject post after being gone through the ordeals of tests and interviews and since his appointment in the department has been the most devoted and fair subordinate, who never left any stone unturned in the performance of his duties devotedly, honestly, impartially and without any fear or self-interest.
- 3) That the Appellant never committed any unwarranted or illegal act through his career that would cause any curse or any abominable remarks by his superiors.
- 4) That it was during the posting at the subject District Jail that the most unfortunate event took place, wherein neither the Appellant had any fault, nor had done any



other criminal omission or negligence, but rather had been made a scape goat and condemned ruthlessly.

- That neither the Appellant had facilitated the run of the under trial prisoner escaping from prison, nor can even think of that, but even then was removed from service vide the impugned office order No SO (Com/End)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013, dated 17th March, 2014 of the office of the Secretary Home Department, which order had been passed by the worthy Chief Secretary KPK. (Copy of the impugned office order is annexed).
- That neither any proper inquiry had ever been conducted, nor a fact finding committee was sought to dig out the real facts, nor the Appellant was even heard properly, nor was allowed to cross-examine any witness and thus has been condemned without any fault; so feeling aggrieved moves the instant appeal for setting aside the removal from service order, upon the following grounds, inter-alia:-

GROUNDS:-

- A) That the impugned office order of removal from service is illegal, void ab-initio and unwarranted, hence liable for setting aside.
- B) That for imposition of major penalty a proper mechanism is provided in the law and that in mandatorily to be followed.

 The Appellant must be properly heard in person, must be allowed to cross-examine any witness adducing against the

Appellant, a proper show cause notice, final show notice, statement of allegation and its proper services upon the Appellant; but nothing as such has taken place in case of of the Appellant which is not unwarranted under the law.

- That the impugned order has been passed cursorily and C) without realizing the fact that the Appellant had not fault or criminal omission or negligence at all in the occurrence of that alleged event.
- That the Appellant has rendered 06 years of service and D) during all this period no complaint was ever made against him and now without any fault on his part was condemned.
- E) That from all four corners of law, the order of removal from service is illegal and is liable to be discarded.

It is, therefore, most respectfully prayed that on acceptance of instant Appeal, the office order No SO (Com/End)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013, dated 17th March, 2013 of the office of Secretary to Government of KPK Home Department, be set aside and the Appellant be restored into service with all back back benefits.

Appellant

(NOOR ISLAM) Ex-Warden (BPS-5)

District Jail, Lakki Marwat

Advocate Will Court Pechanist

& Federal Station Court of Pokietor

Cell No 0334-8845065

Dated:-10-04-2014

Affidourt:

حـــريـــر آنكـــه مقدمه مندرجه بالاعنوان اين طرف ســ **وا**سط *بي*رو ے۔۔۔کیئے ج**او بدا قبال گل بیلیہ** ایڈو کیٹ ھائی کورد مقرر کیا ہے۔ کہ میں ہرپیثی کا خودیا ہز ریعہ مختار خاص روبر وعدالت حاضر ہوتار ہونگا۔اور بونت یکارے جانے مقدمہ وکیل صاصب موصوف کواطلاع دے کرحاضرعدالت کرونگا ؛ اگر پیشی برمن مظہر حاضر نہ ہوااور مقدمہ میری غیرحاضری کی وجہ سے کسی طور پرمیرے برخلاف ہو گیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمہ دارنہ ہونگے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب موصوف مقام کچہری کی کسی اور جگہ یا کچہری کے مقررہ اوقات سے پہلے یا پیچھے یا بروز تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دار نہ ہو نگے۔اگر مقدمہ علاوہ صدرمقام کچہری کے سی اور جگہ ہاعت ہونے یا بروز تعطیل یا کچہری کے اوقات کے آگے پیچھے پیش ہونے پر من مظہر کوکوئی نقصان پنچے تو اس کے ذیمہ داریااس کے واسطے سی معاوضہ کے ادا کرنے یا مختارانہ واپس کرنے کے بھی صاحب موصوف ذ مه دارنه هو نگے ۔ مجھے کوکل ساختہ پر داختہ صاحب موصوف مثل کر دہ ذات خو دمنظور وقبول ہوگا ۔ اور صاحب موصوف کوعرضی دعویٰ وجواب دعویٰ اور درخواست اجرائے ڈگری ونظر ثانی اپیل دنگرانی ہرتنم کی درخواست پر دستخط و تصدیق کرنے کابھی اختیار ہوگا اور کسی تھم یاڈ گری کے اجراء کرانے اور ہرقتم کے روپیہ وصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرشم کے بیان دینے اورسپر د ثالثی وراضی نامہ فیصلہ برخلاف کرنے اقبال دعویٰ دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔اور بصورت اپیل وبرآ مدگی مقدمه یامنسوخی ڈ گری پکطرفه درخواست حکم امتناعی یا قرقی یا گرفتاری قبل از اجراء ڈ گری بھی موصوف کوبشرطادا ئیگی علیحده مختارانه پیروی کااختیار ہوگا۔اوربصورت ضرورت صاحب موصوف کوبھی اختیار ہوگا یا مقدمہ مذکورہ یا اس کے میں جزوکی کاروائی کے واسطے یا بصورت اپیل ، اپیل کے واسطے دوسرے وکیل یا بیرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اورا پیے مشیر قانون کے ہرا مرد ہی اور ویسے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہو نگے جیسے کے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں۔اوردوران مقدمہ میں جو کچھ ہر جاندالتواء پڑے گا۔اورصاحب موصوف کاحق ہوگا۔اگروکیل صاحب موصوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ بیثی سے بہلے ادانہ کرونگا توصاحب موصوف کو پوراا ختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی نہ کریں اورا لیسی صورت میں میراکوئی مطالبہ کسی قتم کا صاحب موصوف کے برخلاف نہیں ہوگا۔لہذا مخارنا مہلکھ ویا کہ سندر **٧) ملا / 1.06 3 - . . . م**ضمون مختار نامه بن ليا ہے اور انچھی طرح سمجھ **ل**يا ہے اور منظو

Missing Colors

Acopt In

American De la Company de la C

Appellant.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Service Appeal No.908/2014
Noor Islam, Ex- Warder
attached to District Jail Lakki Marwat.....

VERSUS

- 1- Chief Secretary,
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
- 2- Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home and Tribal Affairs Department Peshawar.
- 3- Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

PARAWISE REPLY ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Preliminary Objections.

- i. That the appellant has got no cause of action.
- ii. That the appeal is incompetent and is not maintainable in its present form.
- iii. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to bring the present appeal.
- iv. That the appellant has no locus standi.
- v. That the appeal is bad for mis joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties.
- vi. That the appeal is badly time barred.

ON FACTS

- 1- Pertains to record, hence no comments.
- 2- Pertains to personal / official record of the appellant hence no comments.
- 3- Pertains to record, hence no comments.
- Incorrect, mis-leading. As evident from the inquiry report relevant portion (Annexture-1) and as admitted by the appellant that an unfortunate event took place but very tactfully the appellant keeps mum over the "mis-happen of the event" and its intensity i.e. a notorious Gang leader made his escape from the Lakki Jail. The said escapee was put-in at barrack No.1 and it has been proved in the inquiry report that the escape was made during 12:00 Noon to 03:00 PM on 24-05-2011 (while the appellant was assigned the duty of Sector No. 1 as patrolling officer). The inquiry report further reveals that the Barrack in which the escapee was put-in had no other exit door, windows etc. which proves the fact that the said escape took place from the solitary exit passing through Ihata No. 1, where the appellant was performing as

2

patrolling officer. So for as any other omission/ negligence is concerned, it seems that the appellant did not realize the intensity and severity of the dreadful escape from Lakki Jail. The negligence on the part of appellant duly proved is quite established against him and that is why, he was proceeded against departmentally under the E&D Rules. Copy of charge sheet-statement of allegation inquiry report and final show cause notices are "A, B, C and D".

- Incorrect, misleading. Lame excuse it is fact that (a notorious gang leader) under trial prisoner made good his escape on specific time and day as mentioned in the inquiry report, while the appellant was then performing as Patrolling officer of Ihatta No.1 and this fact is also very clearly established in the inquiry report that the escapee had used the solitary exit passing through said Ihatta where the appellant was incharge Patrolling Officer thereby proving that the sheer negligence falls on the shoulders of the appellant that has facilitated the escape of the escapee and brining a bad name for the entire management of the Lakki Jail and it has also blemished the Prison Department as a whole, and even then the appellant is shedding crocodile tears as if nothing in term of misconduct/negligence is due to him.
- Incorrect, just blemishing the senior seasoned inquiry officer who also having sufficient skill of investigation and proving the facts against the appellant who is denying it through lame excuses. All codal formalities were dully filled in, necessary procedure was properly adopted, ample opportunities provided for the defense of each accused yet the appellant pretending that such and such short comings are there and trying to create dilemma in order to have space to declare himself innocent.
- 7- No comments. However detail reply of the grounds are as under:-

GROUNDS: -

- A. Incorrect, a lawful order issued by the competent authority within the prescribed parameter and competency hence the question of setting aside such order does not arise.
- B. Incorrect, whatever has been discussed in the instant Para, all steps /stages as the Prerequisite of any Departmental inquiry and in the instant case all such stages

3

alongside codal requirements duly followed in and procedure prescribed for the purpose has been adopted in to-to. The plea of the appellant is to deny the reality that took place in the instant case.

- C. Incorrect, lame excuse when the fact established on the part of the appellant within the domain of sheer negligence and high misconduct on his part then how one can deny such hard facts.
- D. Incorrect, its seems that the appellant yet not out of the dogma because a notorious under trial prisoner has escaped from the Jail and yet the appellant is in try to declare himself faultless which again seems the brain child of an immature personality.
- E. Incorrect, departmental presentation properly proceeded but having no sound and strength, worth consideration, that is why it could not be acceded to and accordingly filed by the order of the competent authority. Thus getting no relief and now the appellant is showing his aggrieved sense.
- F. The impugned order is in accordance with Law and Rules.

In view of the above Para-wise Comments, the appeal of Noor Islam Ex-Warder may kindly be dismissed with cost please.

RE

CHIEF SECRETARY Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No.1)

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar (Respondent No.3) THE

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & T.As Department Peshawar. (Respondent No.2)

> SUPERINTENDENT District Jail Lakki Marwat (Respondent No.4

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

VERSUS

- 1- Chief Secretary,
 Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
- 2- Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home and Tribal Affairs Department Peshawar.
- 3- Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

COUNTER AFFIDAVIT ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS NO. 1 TO 4.

We the undersigned respondents do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that the contents of the Para-wise Comments on the above cited appeal are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief and that no material facts has been kept secret from this Honorable Tribunal.

ng

CHIEF SECRETARY Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Respondent No.1)

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

(Respondent No.3)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home & T.As Department Peshawar. (Respondent No.2)

> SUPERINTENDENT District Jail Lakki Marwat (Respondent No.4

Anness-A

CHARGE SHEET

1, Muhammad Shehzad Arbab, Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as competent authority, hereby charge you Noor Islam, as follows:

That you, while posted as warder (BPS-5) at District Jail Lakki Marwat committed the following irregularities:

You were assigned duties at watch Tower No.2 on 24-5-2013 from 12.00 noon to 3.00 p.m. Due to your gross negligence/inefficiency in the performance of your duties, one undertrial prisoner Umar Rauf @ Amri S/O Pir Ghulam escaped from the jail during your duty hours, thus you have violated Rule-1072 &1095(f) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Prison Rules 198:

- By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of inefficiency/misconduct under Rule-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in Rule-4 of the rules ibid.
- You are, therefore required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Inquiry Officer, as the case may be. 4.
- Your written defence, if any, should reach the Inquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall be taken against you. 5.
- Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

on one of the

A statement of allegations is enclosed.

CHIEF SECRETARY, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

I, Muhammad Shehzad Arbab, Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as the competent authority, am of the opinion that Warder Noor Islam (BPS-5) District Jail Lakki Marwat has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against, as he committed the following acts/omissions, within the meaning of Ruio-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

He was assigned duties at watch Tower No.2 on 24-5-2013 between 12.00 noon to 3.00 p.m. Due to his gross negligence/inefficiency in the performance of his duties, one undetirial prisoner namely Umar Rauf @ Amri S/O Pir Ghulam escaped from the jail during his duty hours, thus he has violated Rule-1072 &1095(f) of Khyber Pakh unkhwa Prison Rules 1985.

2.	For the purpose	of inquiry again and		
Inquiry	Officer/Inquiry	Committee	id accused with ref	erence to the above allegations, an
the rule	s ibid:-	committee, consisting of	of the following is	erence to the above allegations, an constituted under Rule-10(1)(a) of
	i Mr	Kalon allal		10(1)(a) of

Mr. Kaleen ulleh

- The Inquiry Officer/Inquiry Committee shall, in accordance with the provisions of the rules 3, ibid, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record its findings and make, within thirty days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.
- The accused and a well conversant representative of the department shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Inquiry officer/Inquiry Committee.

CHIEF SECRETARY, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Anned-C

PRELIMINARY INQUIRY REPORT IN ESCAPE CASE OF UNDE PRISONER UMAR RAUF @ AMRI SON OF PIR GHULAM ON 24-5-2013 FROM DISTRICT JAIL LAKKI MARWAT.

11000

Report:

The instant inquiry was ordered by the competent authority i.e. the worthy Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtun Khwa Province Peshawar vide office Endst: No. 3617-18 dated 24-5-2013.

The undersigned proceeded to District Jail Lakki Marwat on 25-5-2013, inspected the Jail building, the barracks & the Watch Tower # 1 (the alleged place of escape), thoroughly examined the case & probed into the matter, the accused officers/officials were heard in person & their written statements were recorded on the spot. They alongwith some inmates of Lakki Jail were cross-examined & all the relevant staff/inmates furnished their statements which are enclosed herewith alongwith relevant Jail record i.e. Register No. 16, Duty Register, Roznamcha, Duty Roster for 04 & 05/2013, for your kind perusal and ready reference please. Assistant Superintendent Jail Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim was on leave on the day of incident. His duty was allotted to Junior Clerk Mr. Hamayun Gul duly noted by him and approved by the Superintendent Jail (Annexed-A).

Findings:

After thoroughly examining the case and material of record on the ground, it has been observed that there is a vast contradiction in written defense replies and replies furnished during the cross-examination by above mentioned concerned staff which clearly speaks volume of lack of performance in the duties and negligence on the part of Jail administration of District Jail Lakki as it has been observed that some thing is wrong in the bottom. The Jail record i.e. Roznamcha dated 24-5-2013 (the day of occurrence) reveals that the sanctioned strength of warders is 66, 30 warders on duty in one day as stated by Line Muharar of Lakki Jail while some warders were absolutely spare and they if were utilized inside the Jail by assigning them duties it would be better and such untoward incident could be averted but the administration of District Jail Lakki Marwat did not concentrate over it.

It is worth mention that escape is not a desirable practice in Jails & this fact cannot be ignored that administration/especially Superintendent Jail of Lakki Jail badly failed to manage their obligations due to which such undesirable incident occurred. Double duties were at liberty by W & W staff and the Superintendent Jail of Lakki Jail failed to satisfy the undersigned on this important issue which was communicated recently to all Jails of the Province for strict compliance, by the competent authority i.e. the Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtun Khwa Province Peshawar. At the day of escape 08 Warders out of 10 were on double duties & 01 Warder namely Arif performed duty as Sentry Gate from 09:00 A.M to 12:00 Noon & from 12:00 Noon to 03:00 P.M at Watch Tower # 1 (the alleged place of escape).

The undersigned keenly observed the scenario & it has come to the notice that the

2)

was observed over W & W Staff from Jail administration especially Superintendent Jail.

The Registers etc were left unsigned by the Superintendent & Deputy Superintendent Jail. Though no clue was obtained i.e. the said undertrial prisoner made good escape from Jail through watch tower (OR) Main Dewri of Gate, yet it is crystal clear that this could be a conspiracy by the courtesy of Prisons staff with the escapee undertrial prisoner as the statement of Warder Abdullah Parvez (Relief Chakkar) shows that he had strong hold inside the Jail rather he was all in all & thus he took the advantage to make escape from Jail without any hindrance/impediment. Many prisoners, likely escapee, like dangerous prisoners were roaming inside the Jail from one sector to other freely and frequently & no sentry or Numbardar were deputed on the sector entrance/phatak.

The Jail administration could not convinced the undersigned about this ugly incident and they were in dilemma to clear their position in this regard from all aspects.

Mr. Usman Ali Superintendent Jail Lakki failed to timely inform the Home Department, the I.G Prisons about the incident & also has failure in lodging FIR which was required to be lodged immediately while the same was lodged as 09:30 P.M as is evident from the enclosed copy of FIR P.S Lakki Marwat. He also failed to maintain discipline among the W & W staff and Jail inmates. He is unfit for further service as the Prison Service is a Semi-Military service. His replies to the verbal as well as written queries were quite unconvincing/unsatisfactory.

Line Muharar Warder Amir Faraz has also the same position & he was immediately relieved from Lakki Jail to Central Prison Peshawar by the telephonic order of the worthy I.G Prisons on 25-5-2013 as he was found guilty of mis-management amongst the W & W staff and due to his own consent the W & W staff was at liberty to perform double duties. Moreover, the concerned W & W staff at the time of duty from 12:00 Noon to 03:00 P.M on the day of occurrence also failed to foil the escape of said undertrial escapee either through Watch Tower or Main Dewri.

Further, Warder Aftab may be transferred to any far flung Jail of the Province as he is also a suspected person in this matter as is evident from the enclosed statement of Warder Abdullah Parvez (Relief Chakkar). The escapee undertrial prisoner escaped after 2:00 PM when Relief Chakkar (Warder Abdullah Pervaz) handed over the charge to Incharge Chakkar (Head Warder Noor Zaman) with "SAB ACHA" as evident during cross examination by Incharge chakkar, therefore relief is not responsible. Moreover there was no evidence that escapee prisoner escaped from watch tower, however all the staff from 12:00 noon to 3:00 PM on duty tower, Main Gate, patrolling officer etc. are responsibility is on shoulders of Superintendent Jail.

393

In wake of above submissions, the responsibility of such ugly incident is purely on following officers/officials:-

1	Mr. Usman Ali	Superintendent Jail	
2.	Mr. Humayun Gul	J/Clerk	
3.	Mr. Noor Zaman	Head Warder (Incharge Chakka	ar)
4.	W1 01	Patrolling Officer (Sector # 1)	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
5.	TT7 1 ~	Patrolling Officer (Sector # 2)	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
. 6.	Warder Muhammad Arif	Watch Tower # 1	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
7.	Warder Noor Islam	Watch Tower # 2	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
8.	Warder Muhammad Sajid	Talashi Gate	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
9.	Warder Zaib Nawaz	Madadgeer	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
10.	Warder Nasir Mahmood	Sentry Gate	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
11.	Warder Manzoor Khan	Darban Jail	
12.	Warder Amir Baseer Khan	Beat # 2	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
13.	Warder Aseel Janan	Sector # 2	from 12:00 to 3:00 PM
14.	Warder Amir Faraz	Line Muharar	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
15.	Warder Aftab Malife	May be transferred to any or	ther Ioil of the
		and further necessary action	one pair of the province.
please.		incoessary action	as considered suitable

(EHTIZAZ AHMAD JADOON)

Inquiry Officer Superintendent Jail BPS-18 Central Prison Bannu

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL PRISON RANNY

No. 3118/WE

Dated: 26/05 /2013

Forwarded to the Inspector General of Prisons Khyber PukhtunKhwa Province Peshawar for information and necessary action w/r to above quoted order please.

Confide

SUPERINTENDENT CENTRAL PRISON BANNU

(/\

jane.

Annexure C

INQUIRY REPORT

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS INTO THE ESCAPE OF UNDERTRIAL PRISONER UMER RAUF @ AMRI S/O PIR GHULAM FROM DISTRICT JAIL LAKKI MARWAT.

Background

One under trial prisoner named Umar Rauf @ Amri S/O Pir Ghulam Village Esak Khel, Disti. Lakki Marwat escaped from the District Jail Lakki Marwat on 24.05.2013. He was involved in case FIR No. 440 dated 02.09.2009, U/S 302, 324-34 PPC, Police Station Lakki District Lakki and case FIR No. 202 dated 29.11.2008 U/S 302,34 PPC Police Station Lakky, Disti. Lakky Marwat. Hence he was involved in two murder cases. He escaped from the Jail on 24/05/13 in broad day light, at the time in between 1:15 PM to 1:45 PM. No lock, no prison wall, no window, door or any gate was broken. No tunnel was dug; no instruments like hammer, spade, scissor, knife, rope or ladder have been used in this escape. And the prisoner involved in two murder cases escaped by throwing a dust in the eyes of all watch and ward staff of Disti. Jail Lakky in particular, and in the eyes of prison management system, in general.

- Apparently it seems that whole system of watch and ward and prison security arrangements, and the overall frame work of prisons management have become ineffective, corrupt and irresponsive. It seems that a huge old structure is crumbling which may fall at any time. The frequent incidents of Jail break and escape of prisoners from the jails is just a tip of an ice-burg. It is an early warning sign of an impending colossal tragedy.
- The prison authority of District Jail Lakki Marwat have been un-aware about the escape of prisoner for about half an hour and later on when they got wind of this incident they informed the I.G. Prison and Police Department and got the case FIR No. 287 dated 24.05.2013 U/S 222, 223, 224, PPC PS Lakky, Distt. Lakky Marwat registered against the six subordinates officials on duty. They were suspended and a preliminary inquiry by Mr. Ehtesham Ahmad Jadoon, Superintend Jail Bannu was conducted. The inquiry officer involved 15 officers/officials in this inquiry, but astenishingly absolved one Abdullah Pervez (chakkar Relief) actual In charge of inner Jail staff and security from 12.00 to 1500 hours, from all charges. Abdullah Pervaz is an accused nominated in the FIR, and the Inquiry Officer didn't give any solid reason/proof for that, except the statement of Abdullah Pervez himself. Moreover The Inquiry officer didn't find any fault in the role played by sentries of Levy Force who were manning outer towers of Lakky Jail. In prima facie, men of Levy Force, doing duty at that particular time on the outer towers of Lakky Jail are equally guilty. Preliminary inquiry report is (Annex-A).

Proceedings

All relevant record was thoroughly scrutinized, site of escape was inspected, and detailed discussions were held with the prison staff, local Police, IG Prison Office and the concerned prisoners still confined in Lakky Jail, before firming up the recommendations. Moreover, the relevant rules were deliberated upon (Annex-B) and the service record of the accused persons was impulsive charlest.

(1)

accused were called along with their written defense. (Annex-C) They were examined and cross- examined (Annex-D) in presence of departmental representative Mr. Muhtarm Shah, Budget Officer, I.G Prison Office. Accused were personally heard and were given a free chance to put their oral, written or circumstantial evidence/ defense.

Site Inspection

District Jail Lakki Marwat was visited. The entry and exit ways were thoroughly inspected. The total area of Distt Jail Lakky Marwat is 14 kanals and 01 marla, and the total area of inner Jail would be hardly 08 kanals, which is guarded by 20 feet high wall and on the top of this wall, live and bare electric wires run across. It is the area where four barracks for the prisoners, a big kitchen, washrooms, two internal watch towers, a tuck-shop and a reasonable courtyard are situated. An internal wall separates the courtyard in two portions. An Iron gate, in this wall, connects two portions of courtyard. The prisoners of each portion freely come and go to other portion. As informed by Lakky Jail administration, there is no sentry on this gate to limit the movements of prisoners in their respective portions. The total strength of Officials/officer present at the time of occurrence was 48. There is cultivated agricultural land on the eastern, western and the southern side of Lakky Jail. It is an old jail. The newly built Jail in Distt Lakky Marwat is under the physical possession of Army. The outer wall and outer watch towers are guarded by Police and Levy Force. It is a very small Jail and the strength of 48 watch & ward staff, excluding police and Levy personals is more than enough for such a small area.

All the accused, prison staff, and other prisoners were examined and cross-examined but no one admitted to have seen the escape with his own eyes. All the as pure dew.

Individual Responsibility.

1) Mr. Usman Ali, Dy: Supdt: cum Supdt: District Jail Lakki Marwat (BS-17).

He denies the charge No. 1 & 2 as mentioned in his charge sheet reply. The allegation on him is that on the day of incident there were 8 warders out of 10 on was charged with lack of interest in the affair of administration. His written reply is, "it duty hours with their colleagues". It means that all jail warders were competent boss Supdt: Jail gave a tacit approval to this practice. The reply of charge No. 4 by not prevent this incident. The reply of accused officer in response of charge No. 5 is the charge but actually escapee prisoner Umar Rauf was an established Don of the produced about charge No. 6 by Usman Ali.

2) Noor Zaman, Head Warder (BPS-7).

As per his statement, he came into Jail at 08:00 morning performed his duty yang

11:00. He again entered into Jail at about 2.00pm, and came to know about the escape of prisoner Umar Rauf. He remained there in the Jail and made exit at 06.55 pm. His statement is correct as verified and confirmed from Register No. 16 of Distl. Jail Lakki. The escape occurred in between 01.15pm to 01.15pm when Abdullah Pervez (11.00 to 14.00) was actual in charge of the allair. In the inner Jail. So Noor Zaman Flead Warder is innocent in this case. It is further added the said Abdullah Pervez has not been included in this Inquiry by the Inquiry Officer Mr. Ehtizaz Ahmad Jadoon, Suptt. Jail Bannu, without providing any solid reason or defense, except the statement of Abdullah Pervez himself is despite the fact that his name was included in the FIR by Mr. Usman Ali, Supdt Jail, in his earlier report.

3) Humayun Gul, Junior Clerk (BPS-7)

He is a junior clerk by designation. Due to granting three days casual leave from 24.05.2013 to 26.052013 to Mr. Muhammad Ibrahim Asst Suptt; Jail, he was entrusted with his duties. His nature of job is quite different. However his boss entrusted him with the duty of Asstt: Suptt; Jail. He couldn't refuse, and he shouldn't refuse. He is a junior Clerk whose job duty is to deal with files and papers. Dealing with hardened criminals requires particular training skills and strong nerves. It is a total different job. Here much fault lies with his boss who tried to make a lamb a lion by giving him the garb of a lion, and expecting him to act with a force of lion. Here the wrong man was doing the wrong job.

4) · Sher Ali Baz, Warder (BPS-5)

He was patrolling officer in Ihatta No.1 (12:00 to 3:00). The escapee Umar Rauf was confined in Ihatta No. 2. But there is no gate, door or window in Ihatta No. 2. All entry exit ways are located in Ihatta No. 1. The escapee must have used Ihtta No. 1 to escape. Hence patrolling officer at that particular time is direct responsible. Moreover Umar Rauf prisoner was not an ordinary prisoner. He was well known Don of Jail. Being patrolling officer he must have kept a vigilant eye on him specially, but he badly failed. Either he was in connivance with Amri, the escapee, or have slept well during his duty hours 12:00 to 3:00.pm. In both cases he is delinquent character in this story. A witness, in his cross examination, pointed out that said Sher Ali Baz was most upset at 2.00 pm when he entered into jail and saw him.

5) Hamidullah Warder (BPS-5)

He was patrolling officer in Ihatta No.2 (12:00 to 3:00). The escapee Amri was confined in Ihatta No. 2 too. But there is no gate, door or window in Ihatta No. 2. The escapee must have walked through the area, where this warder was doing duty. Hence patrolling officer at that particular time is direct responsible. Moreover Amri prisoner was not an ordinary prisoner. He was well known Don of Jail. Being patrolling officer he must have kept a vigilant eye on him specially, but he badly failed. Either he was in connivance with Amri, the escapee, or has slept well during his duty hours 12:00 to 3:00.pm. In both cases he is delinquent character in this story. Moreover during his cross examination, he admitted that he cannot read his own statement written in Urdu and he is illiterate. He didn't know spelling of a word "English." He further added that he was appointed by ex-Minister Prison.

6) Muhammad Arif Warder. (BPS-5)

He did double duty, first from 9:00 am to 12:00 noon as sentry main gate, and second from 12:00 pm 03:00 pm as Sentry Tower No.1 in place of warder Qayum Nawaz. In his reply he contended that he had simply obeyed the orders and didn't do double at his own will. Internal Tower No.1, where this warder was doing duty, is an alloged place of escape of escaped prisoner. During discussions, it is alloged by his fellow colleagues that he (M. Arii) was in collusion with the escapee, and he facilitated him sale exit through his place of duty i.e. Tower No.1. The accused could not defend the charge in a convincing way. He was either in collusion with the escapee or was full asleep at the tower.

7) Noor Islam Warder(BPS-5)

He also performed double duty, first from 9.00 am to 12.00 noon on a place near Tower No.2 and secondly he was sentry at Tower No.2 from 12:00 noon to 3:00 pm. From this tower the movements of all the prisoners are watched. Moreover all the movements of all the visitors at the main gate of the Jail are also watched from this tower. This warder has badly failed to do his duty in an efficient way. He was either in collusion with the escapee or was full asleep at the tower.

8) Muhammad Sajid Warder(BPS-5)

He was doing his search duty in the main gate from 12.00 noon to 03.00 pm. In case the prisoner escaped from the main gate he is directly responsible in his escape.

9) Zeb Nawaz Warder(BPS-5)

He was doing his duty as Madadgir (Helper) from 12.00 noon to 03.00 pm in the main gate. In case the prisoner escaped from the main gate he is directly responsible in his escape.

10) Nasir Mahmood Warder(BPS-5)

He was doing his duty as sentry at main gate. In case the prisoner escaped from the main gate he is directly responsible in his escape.

11) Manzoor khan Warder(BPS-5)

He was doing his duty as gate keeper at main gate from 12.00 noon to 03.00 pm. In case the prisoner escaped from the main gate he is directly responsible in his escape.

12) Amir Baseer Khan Warder (BPS-5)

He was assigned duties at Beat No. 2 from 12.00 noon to 03.00 pm. In case he kept a vigilant eye on that prisoner who was Don of Lakky Jail and his movements he would not had escaped. Either this warder was in collusion with the escapee or was full asleep during his duty hours. He is directly responsible for the escape.

13) Aseel Janan Warder (BPS-5)

He has wrongly been involved in this case. As mentioned earlier the actual time of escape is in between 01.15 pm to 01.45 pm. When the prisoner has escaped and the Supdt. Jail was busy in registering a case against the accused officials, this warder was called in to perform duty in place of warder Wali Ayaz, and to avert any untoward situation. He came performed his duty and made exit at 06.50 pm. This fact is duly supported by Register No. 16. So he is innocent.

14) Amir Faraz Warder (Line Muharar) (BPS-5)

There are two charges on this accused. Being Line Muharar, he continued the illegal practice of assigning double duties and he was in collusion with the escapee. From the statements of accused and discussions it transpired that he was the de tacto Stiptl; of Lakky Jail. He used to assign duties to warders, recommend leaves for the stull, order opening and closing of prisoners barracks, supervise the management of tuck-shop and prisoners kitchen (langer- khawana), keep custody of keys and locks of jail barracks, manage meeting of prisoners with their visitors etc.

Moreover he belonged to the same village from which the escapee Amri belonged. All witnesses, accused and prisoners confirmed that escapee Amri was very close to Amir Faraz Muharar Line. The accused couldn't defend either charge. The charge of assigning double duties has been proved against him, and the charge of collusion

15) Aftab Malik Warder (BPS-5)

This warder was assigned the duty to run a tuck shop inside the Jail. He has been charged for having close relations with the accused. He admitted in his cross examination that prisoners have cell phones inside the Jail but he never snatched or recovered any cell phone from any prisoner. Having cell phones inside the Jail is impossible without the collusion of Jail Staff. In his written reply he claims to have been out of Jail at the time of occurrence. It is correct as verified from the record. But he could not defend the charge of having close relation and collusion with the escapee. At the time of occurrence his absence from the Jail is an evidence of his collusion with the escapee prisoner. Moreover during discussions with accused and prisoners it came to light that escapee Amri was often seen sitting and having hours long discussions with this warder. The accused badly failed to defend the charge.

Findings of Inquiry

- Usman Ali Dy: Supdt: cum Supdt: is very poor administrator, and a very weak commander to perform his duties in very effective manner. He badly lacks initiatives and quite incapable of shouldering his responsibilities. He didn't know a bitter reality that subordinates often sell their boss, if and when they get a chance to do so. Unfortunately he let himself to be auctioned at the hands of his subordinates.
- Usman Ali gave tacit approval to the warders to perform double duties and to substitute duty hours with mutual consent of each other. Hence he threw away the whole responsibility to run the Jail to his subordinate staff and afforded them an opportunity to make rules / laws for themselves. It seems, he never exerted himself nor invoked any positive action under the rules against the defaulter iii)
- Owing to this slack attitude the prisoner Umar Rauf involved in two murder cases, was first encouraged to become a Don of Distt: Jail Lakki Marwat and than managed to win some warders and other officials and planted a

It is quite evident from the statements, examination and cross-examination of all iv) witnesses and accused that the escapee prisoner was a well known figure and a prominent Don of the prison. He was treated as a VVIP. After lock up time, the lock of Barrack was opened if he (Amri) desired so. It speaks volumes of mismanagement and poor Jail Administration. Jail lower staff deduced that by doing help of Umar Rauf in his escape, they would surely get scot- free and this collusion would not hurt them, because the beneficiary was an influential person, an established Don and VVIP. *y)*

The Jail warders were mostly political appointees. During cross-examination it came to surface that one warder namely Hameed Ullah was quite illiterate. He could not even read his own statement written in Urdu. He did not know the spelling of the word "English". Such appointments, with no regard to merit and qualification, lead to poor administration and ultimate collapse of a system. The loyalties of such appointees can easily be won either through bribes or through their mentors. They are commodities open for sale in an open market Busides. this, such appointment is a big injustice to the deserving, dedicated and

The Jail staff, specially the lower formation, is poorly equipped, poorly paid, politically abused, poorly managed and badly treated. The overall morale of the force is low. The high ups have an empathic attitude towards its genuine problems and issues.

Many warders were on double duty at the time of occurrence. There existed a vii) tacit agreement between the constables/warders and Jail Authorities to substitute duty hours among themselves. The warders benefited from this agreement by enjoying more leisure/leaves and Jail Authorities felt relaxed by not assigning frequent duties, frequent checking and frequent patrolling. Hence there became a mess which resulted in this way.

The culture of double duties is still prevalent in all the Jails of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. It urgently needs to be discouraged and prevented. During visit to Lakki Jail it transpired that most of warders were doing double duties. Double duly devours the energy, initiative and degree of alertness of warders. Hence the quality of vigilance and resultant security level is compromised. This fact has also

been admitted by Supt: Usman Ali in his cross examination.

Two outer towers were manned by sentries of Levy force which is under ix) Administrative control of Deputy Commissioner Lakki Marwat. It is a matter of common sense that this force must have been placed under the executive command of Supdt: Lakky Marwat Jail. But unfortunately Suptt; Jail was not their immediate boss. Their boss i.e. Deputy Commissioner was sitting on the other side of river. So the sentries of such a force were their own bosses. Here the fault lies with high level managers of Prison System. As a result these sentries badly failed to prevent this escape due to two reasons.

Either the sentries on duty on the two outer towers were not present at the

OR the sentries on the outer two towers were also in collusion with the

In both cases they are equally responsible and have played a major role in the

Superintendent Jail could not manage to inform the I.G. Prison well in time. No

224 PPC Police Station Lakki Marwat it transpired that, the written report of escape was delivered to local police station very late; as the FIR was registered al 21:30, while the distance between Lakki Jail and Police Station Lakki is only three furlong. If the time of occurrence is 14:00 hours, it might have been registered at 14:30. But it was registered at 21:30. There is a delay of about full seven hours, which cannot be defended by any way.

Most of prisoners have mobile phones with themselves in Lakky Jail. It is impossible without the connivance of Jail staff,

Recommendations:

- 1) Major panalty of compulsory rethrement may be imposed on Deputy Supdi./Gum
- 2) Noor Zaman Head warder (BPS-7) and, Aseel Janan Warder (BPS-5) may be
- 3) Amir Faraz Line Muharir, (BPS-5) may be compulsory retired from service.
- 4) Hamayun Gul, Junior Clerk (BPS-7), may be given minor punishment of stoppage of
- 5) Minor penalty of stoppage of three increments may be imposed on Nasir Mehmud.
- 6) Major penalty of removal from service may be imposed on following:
 - i) Muhammad Arif Warder BS-5.
 - ii) Aftab Malik, Warder BS-5.
 - iii) Shar Alibaz, Warder BS-5.
 - iv) Noor Islam, Warder BS-5.
 - v) Hamidullah, Warder BS-5
 - vi) Amir Baseer, Warder BS-5. vii) Manzoor Khan, Warder BS-5.
- viii)Zab Nawaz , Warder BS-5.
- ix) Muhammad Sajid, Warder BS-5.
- 7) Formal departmental proceedings may be initiated against Abdullah Pervez Warder
- 8) Formal Departmental Proceedings may be initiated against those men of Levy Force and Police who were on duty at that particular time on 24.05.2013, in Lakky Jail.

KALIMULLAH KHAN BALOCH (PMS BS -18) CONTROLLER/MOUIRY OFFICER Govt: Printing & Stationery Deptt

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Annex-D

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE-

I, Muhammad Shehzad Arbab, Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as competent authority, under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011, do hereby serve you, Noor Islam, Warder (BPS-5) attached to District Jail Lakki Marwat, as follows:

- that consequent upon the completion of inquiry conducted against you by the inquiry officer / inquiry committee for which you were given opportunity of hearing vide communication No.193-207/CP&S, date:20-08-2013; and.
 - on going through the findings and recommendations of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee, the material on record and other connected papers including your defence before the inquiry officer/inquiry committee;-

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts /omissions specified in rule 3 of the said rules.

- (a) Inefficiency / Negligence.
- 2. As a result thereof, I, as competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you the penalty of <u>Yemoval</u> from Mervice under rule 4 of the said rules.
- 3. You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- 4. If no reply to this notice is received within seven days or not more than fifteen days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

A copy of findings of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee is enclosed.

(MUHAMM)

HAMMAD SHEHZAD ARBAI

CHIEF SECRETARY,

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA.

28/12/29



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

091-9210334, 9210406 091-9213445
No. 27751 WE 1Dated 19~05~2013 1-

IMMEDIATE/BY FAX

То

The Superintendent

District Jail Lakki Marwat.

Subject:-

DEPARTMENTAL PROCEEDINGS IN THE ESCAPE OF UNDERTRIAL PRISONER UMAR RAUF @ AMRI S/O PIR GHULAM VILLAGE ESAK KHEL TEHSIL & DISTRICT LAKKI MARWAT CHARGE UNDER SECTION 302/34 PPC FIR NO.509 DATED 29-11-2008.

Memo;

I am directed to refer to the subject and to forward herewith a copy of letter No.582 dated 19-9-2013 received from Mr.Kaleemullah Baloch Controller Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa on the captioned subject (self explanatory) for information and with the direction to inform the below noted accused officer/officials to ensure their presence at District Jail Lakki Marwat on 21-9-2013 at 9.00 AM before the Inquiry Officer in the subject cited case: -

- 1. Mr. Usman Ali, Deputy Superintendent-cum-Superintendent Jail (BPS-17).
- 2. Head warder(BPS-7) Noor Zaman.
- 3. Junior Clerk(BPS-7) Hamayun Gul.
- 4. Warder(BPS-5) Sher Ali Baz.
- 5. Warder(BPS-5) Hamidullah.
- 6. Warder(BPS-5) Muhammad Arif.
- 7. Warder(BPS-5) Noor Islam.
- 8. Warder(BPS-5) Muhammad Sajid.
- 9. Warder(BPS-5) Zaib Nawaz.
- 10. Warder(BPS-5) Nasir Mehmood.
- 11. Warder(BPS-5) Manzoor Khan.
- 12. Warder(BPS-5) Amir Baseer Khan.
- 13. Warder(BPS-5) Aseel Janan.
- 14. Warder(BPS-5) Amir Faraz.
- 15. Warder(BPS-5) Aftab Malik

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ADMN)

FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS, KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR .

ENDST;NO. 27752-54. /.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the: -

1. Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Home and T. As Department Peshawar for information with reference to above please.

2. Mr.Kaleem Ullah, PCS SG Controller Government Printing Press Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar for information.

3. Mr.Mokhtarim Shah, Budget & Accounts Officer of this office is directed to attend the inquiry proceeding at District Jail Lakki Marwat on 21-9-2013 being a departmental representative.

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR(ADMN)
FOR INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS,
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

1100



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME & TRIBAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT



NOTIFICATION

SO(Com/Eng)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013 The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa is pleased to appoint Mr. Kaleem Ullah, PCS SG (BS-18), Controller, Govt. Printing Press, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa as Inquiry Officer to conduct inquiry proceeding against the following Officer / Officials under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Efficiency & Discipline Rules. 2011 in the case regarding "Departmental Proceedings in the escape of Indertrial prisoner Umar Rauf @ Amri S/O Pir Ghulam village Esak Khel Tehsil & District Lakki Marwat charged u/s 302/34 PPC FIR No.509 dated 29/11/2008".

- 1. Mr. Usman Ali, Deputy Supdt:-cum-Supdt: Jail (BPS-17)/
- 2. Noor Zaman, Head Warder (BPS-7)
- 3. Hamayun Gul, Junior Clerk (EPS-7)
- 4. Sher Ali Baz, Warder (BPS-5)
- 5. Hamidullah, Warder (BPS-5)
- E. Muhammad Arif, Warder (BPS-5)
- 7. Noor Islam, Warder (BPS-5)
- 8. Muhammad Sajid, Warder (BPS-5)

- 9. Zaib Nawaz, Warder (BPS-5)
- 10. Nasir Mehmood, Warder (BPS-5)
- 11. Manzoor Khan, Warder (BPS-5)
- 12. Amir Baseer Khan, Warder (BPS-5)
- 13. Aseel Janan, Warder (BPS-5)
- 14. Amir Faraz, Warder (BPS-5)
- 15. Aftab Malik, Warder (BPS-5)

2. The inquiry Officer shall submit his report / findings within the stipulated period.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA HOME DEPARTMENT.

Endst. SO(Com/Eng)/HD/Lakki Jail/2013

Dated Peshawar the 15/08/2013

Copy forwarded to the following:

1. Mr. Kaleem Ullah, PCS SG (BS-18), Controller, Govt. Printing Press, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. Copies of Charge Sheets and statement of allegations against the accused officers/ Officials are enclosed please.

2. The Inspector General Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pesl awar alongwith copies of Charge Sheets / statement of allegations against the accused officers/ officials (in duplicate) to serve upon them and or a copy of each thereof may be returned to this department as token of receipt for record. He is further requested to provide all necessary documents / record pertaining to the subject matter, to the inquiry officer please.

3. PS to Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhiunkhwa.



(BAHSIR / WAD)

SECTION OFFICER (Com/Eng)
Ph. No. 091-9214149