FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court or	Court of				
----------	----------	--	--	--	--

	W	•
Appeal No.		528/2024

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2	3
1-	04/04/2024	The appeal of Mr. Ihsan Ullah presented today by Mr. Kabir Ullah Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on
	:	.0804-2024 Parcha Peshi given to the counsel for the
		appellant.
		By the order of Chairman
	. ,	REGISTRAR
		REGISTRAIC
	· 	
	٠	
		1
	• .	

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. <u>538</u> /2024

Ihsan Ullah Constable

VERSUS

IGP of Police KPK & others

INDEX

S#	Description of Documents	Annexure	Pages
			1 ages
i.	Grounds of Petition.		1.6
2.	Affidavit.	•	7
3.	Addresses of parties		8
4.	Condonation of delay		9-10
5.	Copy of charge sheet	"A"	11-12
6.	Copy of show cause notice and reply	"B & C"	13-11-
7.	Copy of impugned order	"D" .	17
8.	Copies of departmental appeal &	"E & F."	
: .	rejection order		18-19
9.	Copy of rejection order	"G" ·	
10.	Wakalatnama		

Through

Dated: 03/04/2024

Kabirullah Khattak

The souller

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.



BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

In Re S.A No. 538 /2024

Ihsan Ullah Constable No.3526 Posted Police Station Sarband Peshawar.

Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. The Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.
- 2. Capital Police Officer Peshawar.
- 3. Superintendent of Police Saddar Division CCP Peshawar.

Respondents

APPEAL U/S-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 06/09/2022 WHEREBY THE MAJOR PUNISHMENT OF REDUCTION TO THE LOWER STAGE TIME SCALE OF PAY WAS AWARDED TO THE APPELLANT AGAINST WHICH THE **APPELLANT** FILED DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL ON 08.09.2022 WHICH WAS REJECTED ON 09.12.2022 IN UTTER VIOLATION OF LAW. HE THEN FILED REVISION PETITION ON 12.12.2022 BUT THE SAME WAS ALSO REJECTED ON 22.03.2024 ON THE SAME RESULT.

PRAYER:

ON ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL THE IMPUGNED ORDERS DATED 06.09.2022, 09.12.2022 & 22.03.2024 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENTS MAY VERY GRACIOUSLY BE SET ASIDE AND THE APPELLANT MAY KINDLY BE RESTORED ON HIS ORIGINAL POST WITH ALL' BACK WAGES AND BENEFITS.

ANY OTHER RELIEF DEEMED

APPROPRIATE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES

OF THE CASE NOT SPECIFICALLY ASKED

FOR, MAY ALSO BE GRANTED TO THE

APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth.

- That the appellant was initially appointed as constable at the year 2008 and after appointment he performed his duty with full devotion and hard work and no complaint whatsoever has been made against the appellant.
- 2 That while performing his official duty at PS Sarband a charge sheet along with statement of allegation dated 04.04.2022 was issued against

the appellant. He submitted reply and denied the allegations. But copy of reply of charge sheet was not kept by the appellant. (Copy of charge sheet is attached as annexure "A").

- against the appellant on 22.08.2022 which was properly replied by the appellant. Whereby the appellant denied all the allegations leveled against him. (Copy of show cause notice and reply are attached as annexure "B & C").
- 4. That on 06.09.2022 the impugned order has been issued against the appellant whereby major punishment of reduction to lower stage time scale of pay has been imposed against the appellant. (Copy of impugned order is attached as annexure "D").
- 5 That the appellant submitted Departmental appeal on 08.09.2022 which was rejected on 09.12.2022 on no good grounds. (Copies of departmental appeal & rejection order are attached as annexure "E & F").
- 6 That the appellant submitted revision petition on 12.12.2022 against the appellate order which was rejected on 22.03.2024 but unlucky copy of

revision petition was not kept by the appellant. (Copy of rejection order is attached as annexure "G).

7. That feeling aggrieved the Appellant prefers the instant service appeal before this Hon'ble Tribunal on the following grounds inter alia:

GROUNDS:-

- A. That the impugned orders dated 06.09.2022, 08.09.2022 & 22.03.2024 are come under the definition of void order because has been passed without fulfilling the codal formalities.
- B. That there is no prove or evidence regarding the alleged allegation leveled against the appellant.
- C. That no departmental and regular inquiry has been conducted against the appellant which is mandatory before imposing of major punishment.
- D. That no statement of witness has been recorded and no opportunity of cross examination has been provided to the appellant.

- E. That no complaint has been made against the appellant.
- F. That the punishment awarded to the appellant is not mentioned in any major punishment of police rules 1975.
- G. That there is no lower rank/ post on constable in police rules 1975.
- H. That the competent authority was not empowered to award such a penalty for indefinite period by virtue of FR-29.
- I. That there is no concreted and trust worthy evidence against the appellant regarding the alleged involvement with narcotics suppliers and illegal activities. Therefore the impugned order is not sustainable in the eyes of law.
- J. It is a well settled maxim no one can be condemned unheard because it is against the natural justice of law in this respect the appellant relied upon a judgment reported on 2008 SCMR page 678.



K. That any other ground not raised here may graciously be allowed to be raised at the time of arguments on the instant service appeal.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 06.09.2022, 09.12.2022 & 22.03.2024 passed by the respondents may very graciously be set aside and the appellant may kindly be restored on his original post with all back wages and benefits

Any other relief not specifically asked for may also graciously be extended in favour of the Appellant in the circumstances of the case.

Through

Kabir Ullah Khattak

APPELLANT

&

Roeeda Khan

Advocates, High Court

Peshawar.

Dated: 03/04/2024

NOTE:-

As per information furnished by my client, no such like appeal for the same petitioner, upon the same subject matter has earlier been filed, prior to the instant one, before this Hon'ble Tribunal

Advocate

(7)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

	In	Re	S.A	No.		/20	24
--	----	----	-----	-----	--	-----	----

Ihsan Ullah Constable

VERSUS

IGP of Police KPK & others

AFFIDAVIT

I, Ihsan Ullah Constable No.3526 Posted Police Station Sarband Peshawar, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare that all the contents of the instant appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or withheld from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT

Identified by:

Roeeda Khan

Advocate High Court

Peshawar.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR -

, ,		
In Re S.A No.	/	'2024

Ihsan Ullah Constable

VERSUS

IGP of Police KPK & others

ADDRESSES OF PARTIES

PETITIONER.

Ihsan Ullah Constable No.3526 Posted Police Station Sarband Peshawar.

ADDRESSES OF RESPONDENTS

- 1. The Inspector General of Police KPK Peshawar.
- 2. Capital Police Officer Peshawar.
- 3. Superintendent of Police Saddar Division CCP Peshawar.

Through

Roeeda Khan

Advocate, High Court

Peshawar.

Dated: 03/04/2024

BEFORE THE HON'BLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

				•	
In	Re.	S.A	No.		2024

Ihsan Ullah Constable

VERSUS

IGP of Police KPK & others

APPLICATION FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY (if any)

Respectfully Sheweth,

Petitioner submits as under-

- 1. That the above mentioned appeal is filing before this Hon'ble Tribunal in which no date is fixed for hearing so far.
- 2. That the appellant submitted revision petition on 12.12.2022 against the appellate order which was rejected on 22.03.2024 but unlucky copy of revision petition was not kept by the appellant.

Grounds:

A. That the impugned orders are void order and no limitation run against the void orders.

B. That there are number of precedents of the Supreme Court of Pakistan which provides that the cases shall be decided on merits rather than technicalities.

It is, therefore, requested that the limitation period (if any) may kindly be condone in the interest of justice.

Appellant

Through

Date: 03.04.2024

Kabir Ullah Khattak

&

Roeeda Khan

Advocates, High Court

Peshawar

08-E M 06/09/2022 NO OFFICE OF THE
SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
SADDAR, CCP, PESHAWAR

DATE: 04-04 /2022

DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST FC IHSAN ULLAH NO. 3526 OF PS SARBAND.

I, Farhand Khan (PSP), Superintendent of Police, Saddar Division, Peshawar, as competent authority, am of the opinion that FC Ihsan Ullah has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against as he has committed the following acts/omissions within the meaning of Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

- i) As per report of SHO PS Sarband, that while he posted in PS Sarband, he has links with narcotics suppliers and involved in illegal activities.
- That all the above acts amount to gross misconduct, negligence, inefficiency and malafide on his part for which he is liable for punishment as defined in Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975.
- iii). For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of said accused with reference to the above allegations. DSP Saddav is deputed as the Inquiry Officer.
- iv) The Inquiry shall be conducted in accordance with the provision of the Rules to provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused officer, record its finding within 15 days of the receipt of this order & make recommendations as to punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.
- v) The accused shall join the proceeding on the date and time and place fixed by the Inquiry Officer.

Superintendent of Police Saddar Division CCP, Peshavar,

Copy of above is submitted to the LO for initiating proceeding against the defaulter under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975.

2- FC Ihsan Ullah is directed to submit the reply to the charge sheet and summary of allegations.

A Call limb Con Marine Con Con State of the Post of th

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SADDAR, CCP, PESHAWAR /PA DATE: 04-04

CHARGE SHEET.

- I, Farhan Khan (PSP), Superintendent of Police, Saddar Division, Peshawar, as competent authority, hereby charge you FC Ihsan Ullah No. 3526 of PS Sarband as follow:-
- As per report of SHO PS Sarband, that while you posted in PS Sarband, you have i) links with narcotics suppliers and involved in illegal activities.
- This amounts to gross misconduct, negligence and malafide on your part for which you ii) are liable for punishment as defined in Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975.
- By the reasons of the above, you appeared to be guilty of misconduct under Police Disciplinary Rules, 1975 and have rendered yourself-liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the said Rules.
- You are therefore, required to submit your written defense within seven days of the receipt of this charge sheet to the Inquiry Officer/Committee.
- Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person? 3.

4 A Statement of allegation is enclosed.

> Superintendent of Police Saddar Division. CCP, Peshawar.

B" 13

SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SADDAR, CCP, PESHAWAR 1 PAGE 1915

O MIE MA DATE 22 -08 202

FINAL SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

1. Superimendent of Policel Sudday, Capital City Police Postavals as authority funder the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules 1971s as to 1971s as

- (i) That consequent upon the completion of enquiry conducted as a congain officer for which you were given apportunity of heating
- (ii) On going through the findings and recommendation of the least material on record and other connected papers produced before the U.O.

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts on, and Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 of the said Ordinance.

"That as reported by SHO PS Surband, you while posted in PS Surband, the latential marketics suppliers and involved in illegal activities. Uniquety as a misconduct on your part and against the discipling of one 10 sec.

- 2. As a result thereof, I, as competent authority, have tentauxery's a vicinity of major punishment under Police Disciplinary at dec 17.8 (38.8).
- A gurare, therefore, required to show cause as to why the objected perobe imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be beind suppressed
- If no reply to this nonce is received within 7 days of as delivery, a temperatures, it shall, be presumed that you have no defence to pur mound in the partie action be taken against you.

The copy and he finding of the auquiry officer is and affor

(Aq Aq Hussain) Superinfendent of Police Saddar Division, CCP, Peshawa

Comparish Sp Sudday deted Peshawar the 2.2 -0 & 3.4.

OFFICE OF THE Superintendent Of police Saddar, CCP, Peshawar



No. 14/E/PA

Dated 22.08.2022

Final Show Cause Notice

1. Superintendent of Police, Saddar, Capital City Police, Peshawar as competent authority, under the provision of Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 do hereby serve you FC Ihsan Ullah No.3526 of Capital City Police, Peshawar as follows.

- (i) That consequent upon the completion of enquiry conducted against you by the enquiry officer for which you were given opportunity of hearing.
- (ii) On going through the findings and recommendation of the enquiry Officer, the material on record and other connected papers produced before the E.O.

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions specified in Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 of the said Ordinance.

"That as reported by SHO PS Sarband, you while posted in PS Sarband had links with narcotics suppliers and involved in illegal activities. This act amounts to gross misconduct on your part and against the discipline of the force".

- 2. As a result thereof, has competent authority, have tentative decided to impose upon you the penalty of major punishment under Police Disciplinary Rules 1975 for absence.
- 3. You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
- 4. If no reply to this notice is received within 7 days of its delivery, in normal course of circumstances, it shall, be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case as ex-parte action be taken against you.
- 5. The copy of the finding of the enquiry officer is enclosed.

(Aqeeq Hussain)
Superintendent of Police
Saddar Division CCP, Peshawar

('C') (5)

ن در در المراقع الم

المراجعة ال المراجعة المراجعة

المراق ا

بساب سالول

بحوالہ فاکل شوکاز نمبری۔۔۔۔۔مور خد 22.08.2022 معروض خدمت ہوں کہ جملہ الزامات من گھڑت، غلط اور خود سانعۃ ہے اور اس میں کوئی حقیقت نمیں ہے مزید سے کہ ایس۔ ان امات نگانا اور خود سانعۃ کانا میں کوئی حقیقت نمیں ہے مزید سے کہ ایس۔ ان امات نگانا میں کوئی حقیقت نمیں ہوتا ہے اسلئے SHO صاحب نے توالزامات لگائے ہیں لیکن کس قشم کی ثبوت ساتھ نمیں لگایا ہے جس سے سے ثابت ہوتا ہے کہ الزامات میں صداقت نمیں ہے اور سراسر غلظ ہیں۔

میرے ذاتی موباکل کے CDR ہے بھی داضح ہے کہ میراکس بھی مشکوک شخص سے رابطہ نہ ہے جو کہ میرے بے گناہی کیلئے کانی کیلئے کانی ہے۔ نیز محرّم SHO صاحب نے جو شکایت میرے خلاف کیا ہے اسکے ساتھ کسی قسم کی دستادیزی ثبوت لف نہیں ہیں ہیں ایک بولیس ابلکار موں اور اپنی ڈیو ٹی سے خوب داقف ہوں اور شر پہند اور غیر اخلاقی سر گرمیوں میں مبتلا افراد کے خلاف اپناڈیو ٹی ایک جہاد سمجھ کر کر رہا ہوں۔ میر اتو کیا میرے خاندان کے افراد کے موبائیل نمبرات کے CDR بھی نکالے اگر اس نمبرات کا بھی کسی غیر سے ردابط ثابت ہوئے تو بھی گنہگار ہوں۔

یہاں بھی یہ وضاحت ضروری سمجھتا ہوں کہ بمطابق باب 16 فقرہ 13اور باب 16 فقرہ 16 اور باب 16 فقرہ 1934 کے مطابق بر معنوانی میں ملوث المکار کا با قاعدہ ریکارڈ بتایا جاکر پر سئل فائل کر یکٹر رول اور فوجی مثل پر لا یا جاتا ہے جس کی مصدقہ نقل متعلقہ پولیس المکار کو مجموایا جاتا ہے لیکن اللہ تعالیٰ کے کرم سے ایسا کوئی ریکارڈ موجود نہیں ہے اسلئے الزام کی کوئی حقیقت نہیں ہے۔ مزید وضاحت مجمی کروں چارج شیف سے رنگی اور اسلسلہ میں صوبائی حکومت اور دیگر قوامین کے روسے گمنام درخواست یا شکایت کا نوٹس نہیں لینا چاہئے۔

پولیس رولز 1934 کے حوالے سے پولیس اہلکار کی ذمہ داری ہے کہ دہ جرائم کے نظامی ویشی بنائے اور اس حوالہ سے یہ فرض بنا ہے میں قسیہ طور پر کہتا ہوں کہ میں نے ہر لمحہ پوری بوری پوری کوشش کی ہے کہ اس بنیادی ذمہ داری کو پورا کروں اور جرائم کے خلاف لڑوں۔ متعدد موقعوں پر میں نے اپنے جان کو ہھیلی پر رہ کھ کر اپنی لزندگی کو خطرے میں ڈال کر جرائم پیٹے افراد کے خلاف کوئی بھی کٹر ادھوری نہیں چیوری ہے ہر موقع پر دیدہ دلیری سے کاروائی کی ہے اور غیر تانونی کاروائیوں سے اجتماب کیا ہے۔ جب سے میں نے محکمہ پولیس میں شولیت اختیار کی ہے اپنی فرائض منعنی نہایت دیا انتخار کی سے اور غیر تانونی کاروائیوں سے اور اسکا ثبوت میرے سروس ریکارڈ سے مل سکتا ہے۔ اور انجی تک نہ کوئی انکوائری ہو جگ ہے اور نہ بجھے کوئی سے اور قسیہ کہتا ہوں کہ الزام متذکر دمین کوئی حقیقت نہیں ہے۔

جناب والا! نونس میں لانا چاہتا ہوں کہ الزام بالا کی تائید میں کوئی بھی مضبوط یا ہم شہادت موجود نہیں ہے اور حسب روئے قانونی کوئی اہمیت نہ ہوئے کی وجہ سے ساعت کے قابل نہیں ہے اگر میرے خلاف چارج شیٹ میں لگائے ہوئے الزام کی ٹوئی تصدیق یا تسلی درکار ہو تواس سلسلہ میں کسی فرد سے بچھے کچھے کی جاست کوئی بھی شہوت و شہادت سے بچ چھے کچھے کی جاستی ہے۔۔۔۔۔ حقائل کی روشن میں لگایا ہو الزام خلاف انساف اور ناجائز ہے جس سے براہ راست کوئی بھی شہوت و شہادت و ستیاب نہیں ہے۔ استدعاہ کہ میرے خلاف جاری فائل شوکاز بغیر کسی مزید کاروائی کے خارج کیا جائے کوئی بھی کاروائی / سزامیرے ملاز مت اور خاندان کیلئے نا قابل تا فائل تا قابل تا فائدان کیلئے نا قابل تا اللی تعدان کا باعث ہوگا۔ مزید میری معطلی بھی ختم کرنے کی استدعاہ۔

العارض وتابع فمرمان

كنسمبل احسان الله نمبري 3526 يوليس لائن پشادر



OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, SADDAR, CCP, PESHAWAR

NO. 14/E /PA.

DATE: 06/09_/2022

ORDER.

Under purview of Police Rules of 16.1(2), Police Rules 1975 minended 2014 and Police Act. 2017. Article 141(3), this order will dispose the departmental proceedings carried out against constable Ilisan tillul. No. 3526 of PS Sachand, Peshawar.

- Reportedly while he was posted to PS Sarband Barn Ondeem Check Post and links with narcotics suppliers and involved in illegal netlyltles/graff) endon.
- * He was charge sheered vide this office No. 14/E/PA dated 04.04.2022 and departmental enquiry was entrusted to DSP Saddar. Enquiry officer submitted his report vide his office No. 08/E/PA DSP Saddar circle dated 15.08.2022; the E.O recommended him for appropriate punishment.
- ◆ Final Show Cause Notice vide this office No.1471/PA dated 22.08.2022 was issued and served upon him and hearing chance in O.R for defence provided to the accused Police official.
- He replied to final Show Cause Notice, which is placed in enquiry proceedings file and found outsatisfactors. He also appeared before this office but failed in his defence, allegations proved against hum.
- In his service, he was suspended twicely by SPs Cantt and Saddar on similar charges and he had managed his posting to Check Posts Karkhano and Bara Qadeem (03 times) which showed that he is interested to get black money from the narcotics smugglers.
- Keeping in view his links with narcotics suppliers, involved in illegal activities and he has been found guilty of the charges therefore. Constable them 411Inh No. 3526 salary reduction is hereby ordered as time scale constable and re-instated from suspension with immediate effect.

(Encl: 23 pages)

(Aqeeq√lussain) Superintendent of Police, Saddar Division &CP, Peshawar,

OB No. 27 69 dated 06 09 2012

Copy to:

- 1. Hie Capital City Police officer Peshawar.
- 2. The Senior Superintendent of Police Operations, CCP Peshawar
- 3. The Superintendent of Police Headquarters, CCP-Peshawar.
- 4. The SDPO Budhber/SHO PS Sarband.
- 5. Pay Officer/OASI/CRC/ FMC for necessity action.

كروت مار ليل الله العلم المسر لشادد

06/ 69 14/E/pA (5,3) 7/3/ 2/3 Jel. 2 @ (m) / (Time Scale) - e Com Josh (Jele Jele) - Time Scale) مسائل 800 ما صرف - إذر منك ليشاد ع فنلف عمل مات ، وليات ادر خال مزرلون میس این کول کای در شی امرادی مرمی شناسی ار ۱ مانداری - 4/20 9 /5 /m mohure

Le PIUL Dulis Eluc Suppliere stant po film & cos 1-3 com. Oci i E sas so belles Folma Suppliers White so builde Os Etc. 2) sich felem Tein son son son har sinich Consider on 1.6 راحل ما حدل (اقا)،

سائل لعرفود با فامر لفيل به الرساكي لذرى نفريا ١١١/١١ سال (از جونائي جونال فريس المراء ت لعلق رئي المراج الدا والمل لوزي الله نخذ الا مس لهي مسرك لو - بكون لرسان باب ماعلاج معالم مدكا در

1805/ 08/ 190

المعران المسال ا



OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER. PESHAWAR

ORDER.

This order will dispose of the departmental appeal preferred by Constable History Uliah No. 3526 who was awarded the major punishment of Preduction to the lower stage time scale of pay" under KP PR-1975 (amended 2014), by SP/Saddar Penhavar Side OE Sci. L. C. stated 06-09,2022.

Shorts facts leading to the instant appeal are that me detailing conscaple with Bara Ondoem PS Surband was proceeded against departmentally on the Cruz-links with notorious drug dealers of the area by receiving grantication from the

- Peshawar, SDPO/Saddar Peshawar was appointed as inquity officer to scrutinize the condition accused official. The inquiry officer after conducting proper inquiry submitted in constant which he was recommended for appropriate punishment. The competent authority findings of the inquiry officer issued him Final Show Cause Notice to which the same was found unsatisfactory, hence awarded the above major punishment.
- perused: During personal hearing the appellant failed to submit any places to the defence. He was given ample opportunity to prove his innocence but he failed to produce the proof. Therefore, his appeal, for setting aside the punishment awarded to in a transfer proof personal proof is the proof of the p

CAPITAL CITY POLICIA OFFICE PERMANAN

dated Peshawar the

09/12 12023

No. 466-874.41A

opies for information and necessary action to the:-

SP/Saddar-Reshawar ADVILLECTP Beslinwa

a control of the sample of the

A HE INSIDE FOR

OFFICE OF THE CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR



ORDER

This order will dispose of the departmental appeal preferred by Constable Ihsan Ullah No.3526 who was awarded the major Punishment of "reduction to the lower stage time scale of pay" under KP PR-1975 (amended 2014) by SP/Saddar Peshawar vide OB No.2269, dated 06.09.2022.

- 2- Shorts facts leading to the instant appeal are that the defaulter constable while posted at PP Bara Qadeem PS Sarband was proceeded against departmentally on the charges that he had links with notorious drug dealers of the area by receiving gratification from them.
- He was issued proper Charge Sheet and Summary of Allegations by SP/Saddar Peshawar. SDPO/Saddar Peshawar was appointed as inquiry officer scrutinize the conduct of the accused official. The inquiry officer after conducting proper inquiry submitted his findings in which he was recommended for appropriate punishment. The competent authority in light of the findings of the inquiry officer issued him Final Show Cause Notice to which he replied but the same was found unsatisfactory, hence awarded the above major punishment.
- He was heard in person in O.R and the relevant record along with his explanation perused. During personal hearing the appellant failed to submit any plausible explanation in his proof. Therefore, his appeal for setting aside the punishment awarded to him by SP/Saddar Peshawar is hereby rejected/filed.

(MUHAMMAD IJAZ KHAN) PSP CAPITAL CITY POLICE OFFICER, PESHAWAR

No. 4051/57 / PA,

dated Peshawar the

09/12/2022

Copies for information and necessary action to the:

- 1. SP/Saddar Peshawar.
- 2. AD-IT CCP Peshawar.
- PO CRC and QASI
- 4. FMC along with complete fouji missal.
- 5. Official concerned.





OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR.

ORDER

This order is hereby passed to dispose of Revision Petition under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rule-1975 (amended 2014) submitted by FC Ihsan Ullah No. 3526. The petitioner was awarded major punishment of reduction to the lower stage time scale pay by SP Saddar vide OB No. 2269, dated 06.09.2022 on the allegations that he while posted at PP Bara Qadeem PS Sarband was proceeded against departmentally on the charges that he had links with notorious drug dealers of the area by receiving gratification from them.

The Appellate Authority i.e. CCPO Peshawar rejected his appeal vide Order Endst: No. 4051-57/PA, dated 09.12.2022.

Meeting of Appellate Board was held on 01.03.2024 wherein petitioner was heard in person.

The petitioner contended that he is innocent.

Perusal of enquiry papers revealed that the allegations leveled against the petitioner has been proved. The petitioner failed to submit any cogent reason in his self-defense. The Board sees no ground and reasons for acceptance of his petition, therefore, his petition is hereby rejected.

Sd/-

ÄWAL KHAN, PSP

Additional Inspector General of Police, HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

No. S/ 603- 608 /24. dated Peshawar, the 22-03- /2024

Copy of the above is forwarded to the:

- Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar. One Service Roll. One Enquiry File and One Fauji Missal containing Enquiry File of the above named FC received vide your office Memo; No. 367/CRC, dated 06.01.2022 is returned herewith for your office record.
- 2. Superintendent of Police, Saddar Peshawar.
- 3. AlG/Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4. PA to Addl: IGP/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5. PA to DIG/HQrs: Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 6. Office Supdt: E-IV CPO Peshawar.

(FARHAN ICHAN) PSP, QPM AIG/Establishment, For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwu, Peshawar.

(John - 15-2024 laste Per 3) & Just

ا مرا ا

مقدمه مندرج عنوان بالامين الني طرف سے واسطے پيروي وجواب دہي وکل کاروائي متعلقه

The lesson server

النامقام القرناآ امقرركر كے اقراركيا جاتا كے كے صاحب موصوف كومقدمه كى كل كاروائى كا كابل اختيار ہوگا۔ نيز وكيل صاحب كوراضي نامه كرني كتفرر ثالث وفيصله برحلف ديئج جواب وبي اورا قبال دعوي أور بصورت وگری کر نے اجراء اور وصولی چیک و روپیے ارعرضی دعوی اور درخواست ہرفتم کی تصدیق زرایں پر وستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم بیروی یا ڈگری میکطرف یا انتیل کی برا مدگی اور منسوتی نیز دائر کر نے ایل گرانی ونظر نانی و پیروی کرنے کامخیاج ہوگا۔ از بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ نہ کور کے کل یا جڑوی کاروائی کے واسلے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بچائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور صاحب فررشدہ کو بھی وہی جملہ ندکورریا اختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اوراس کا ساختہ پر داختہ منظور وقبول ہو گا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہر جاندالتوائے مقدمہ ہول کے سب ہے وہو کا ۔ کوئی تاری ٹیٹی مقام دورہ برہو یا حدے باہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب یابند ہول گے۔ كه پيروي مذكوركريل المذاه كالت ناملكھديا كيسندر ہے۔

تقام ليكاهد