### FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		•	

	Case No	/0/2 /2021
S.No.	Date of order	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
	proceedings	January Spirator Conjuge
1	2	3
1-	13/01/2021	The appeal presented today by Mr. Akhunzada Asad Iqb
•		Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put to the Learne
		Member for proper order please.
		100 M
		REGISTRAR
-		This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be p
	*	up there on <u>2/3/2/</u>
•		
		MEMBER(J)
12 A	  3.2021	Due to general strike on the call of Khyber
JZ.U	5.2021	Pakhtunkhwa Bar Counsel, learned counsel for
-		
		appellant is not available today, therefore, the appeal
		is adjourned to 27.07.2021 on which date file to come
•		up for preliminary arguments before S.B.
		(MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN)
		MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL	NO.	 /2020

UZMA BEGUM

VS

**EDUCATION DEPTT:** 

#### **INDEX**

S.NO.	DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Memo of appeal		1-3
2	Notification	. A	4
3.	Pay Slips	B&C	5-6
4.	Departmental Appeal	D D	7
5.	Service Tribunal Judgment	E	8-9
6.	Vakalat Nama		10

**APPELLANT** 

THROUGH:

AKHUNZADA ASAD IQBAL

ADVOCATE.

CELL NO.

SYED SAUD SHAH

schweate High Court

Note: Sir,

Spare copies will be submitted After submission of the case.

1

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. /1/2/2020

Mrs. **UZMA BEGUM, SET** (BPS-16) GGHS KANDARO BALAMBAT DIR LOWER Diary No. 267

Dated B/1/2026

.APPELLANT

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 5- The Director of (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar 6-Dist education officer DN lower

APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE OF THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

#### **PRAYER**

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

## R/SHEWETH ON FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant is serving in the Elementary & Secondary Education Department as **SET (BPS-16)** quite efficiently and up to the entire satisfaction of their superiors.
- 2. That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the Civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD(PRC)1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the

- 5. That some of teachers of different pay scale approached to this august Tribunal in different service appeals which allowed by this august tribunal vide its Judgment No 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department Dated 11-11-2019...... E.
- 6. That the appellant also prayed to be treated through the principals of consistency for allowing such relief which was granted in appeal No. 1452/2019 titled Maqsad Hayat versus Education Department in Judgement Dated 11.11.2019.
- 7. That where after the appellant waited for the statutory period of ninety days but no reply has been received from the respondents. That appellant feeling aggrieved and having no other remedy filed the instant service appeal on the following grounds amongst the others.

#### **GROUNDS:**

- A- That the action and inaction of the respondents regarding deduction of conveyance allowance for vacations period/months is illegal, against the law, facts, norms of natural justice.
- B- That the appellant have not been treated by the respondent Department in accordance with law and rules on the subject noted above and as such the respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.
- C- That the action of the respondents is without any legal authority, Discriminatory and in clear violation of fundamental rights duly conferred by the Constitution and is liable to be declared as null and void.

- D- That there is clear difference between leave and vacation as leave is applied by the Civil Servant in light Government Servant. Revised Leave Rules, 1981 while the vacations are always announced by the Government, therefore under the law and Rules the appellant fully entitled for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations period.
- E-That the Government Servants Revised Leave Rules, 1981 clearly explain that the civil servants who avail the vacations are allowed only one leave in a month whereas, the other civil servants may avail 04 days leave in a calendar months and the same are credited to his account and in this way he may avail 48 days earned leave with full pay, whereas the Government servants to avail vacation such as appellant is allowed one day leave in a month and twelve (12) days in a year and earned leave for twelve days in a year are credited to his account and there is no question of deduction of conveyance allowance for vacation period, the respondents while making the deduction of conveyance allowance lost sight of this legal aspect and illegally and without any authority started the recovery and deduction of conveyance allowance from appellant.
- F- That as the act of the respondents is illegal, unconstitutional, without any legal authority and not only discriminatory but is also the result of malafide on the part of respondents.
- G- That appellant has the vested right of equal treatment before law and the act of the respondents to deprive the petitioners from the conveyance/allowance is unconstitutional and clear violation of fundamental rights.
- H- That according to Government Servants Revised leave Rules, 1981 vacations are holidays and not leave of any kind, therefore, the deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations is against the law and rules.
- I- That according to Article 38 (e) of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the state is bound to reduce disparity in the income and earning of individuals including persons in the services of the federation, therefore in light of the said Article the appellant fully entitle for the grant of conveyance allowance during vacations.
- J- That the petitioners seeks permission of this Honorable Court to raise any other grounds available at the time of arguments.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted as prayed for under the golden principals of consistency.

APPELLANT Wima Begum UZMA BEGUM

THROUGH:

AKHUNZADA ASAD IQBAL ADVOCATE



## FINANCE DEPARTMENT (REGULATION WING)

NO. FD/SO(SR-II)78-52/2012 Dated Peshawar the: 20-12-2012 45

#### From

The Secretary to Govt. of Khyther Pakhtunkhwa, Finance Department.

Peshawar.

#### Tö:

- All Administrative Sectionaries to Govt. of Kityber Pakhtunkhwa.

  The Senior Member, Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 3. The Secretary to Governor Knyber Pakhtunkowa
- 4 The Secretary to Chief Minuster, Khyther Pakhininkhwa.
- 5. The Secretary, Provincial Assembly, Khyber Pakintuckhwa
- All Heads of Attached Departments in Knytter Pakhiunkhwa.
- 7 All District Coordination Officers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- 8. Ali Political Agents / District & Sessions Judges in Khyber Pakitiunkhwa.
- 3. The Registrial Peshawar High Coopl. Peshawar.
- 10. The Chairman, Public Service Compession, Khyber Pakhiumbhwa.
- The Chairman, Services Tribunal, Knyoor Pakhtenahwa.

Subject

REVISION IN THE RATE OF CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE FOR THE CIVIL EMPLOYEES OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT BPS 1-19

Dear Sin

The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has been pleased to enhance / revise the rate of Conveyance Allowance admissible to all the Provinced Civil Servants, Govt: of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (working in BPS-1 to BPS-15) whelf from 1° September, 2012 at the following rates. However, the conveyance allowance for employees in BPS-16 to BPS-19 will remain unchanged.

SNO	BPS	EXISTING RATE (PM)	REVISED RATE (PM)
1.	1-4	Rs.1,500/-	R5.1,700/-
<u>2.</u>	5-10	Rs.1,500/-	Rs.1,840/-
<u>.</u> 3.	11-15	Rs.2,000/-	Rs. 2,720/-
4	16-19	Rs.5,000/-	Rs.5,000/-

2. Conveyance Allowance at the above rates per month shall be admissible to those BPS-17, 18 and 19 officers who have not been sanctioned official vehicles.

Yours Faithfully,

(Sahibzada Sacod Ahmad)

Secretary Finance

Endst: NO. FD/SO(SR-II)/8-52/2012

Duted Pediawar the 2013 December, 2017

#### A Copy is forwarded for information to the:-

- 1. Addaumlant General Kinyber Pakhtunchera, Peshewar
- Secretaries to Government of Punjob, Sinch & Salachesian, Finance Department.
- 3 All Autonomous / Sent Autonomous Becies in Kinyopi Pakhtunkhwa

ATTESTED

#### Dist. Govt. NWFP-Provincial District Accounts Office Dir at Timargar Monthly Salary Statement (August-2020)





#### Personal Information of Mr UZMA BEGUM d/w/s of BACHA RAHMAN

Personnel Number: 00936950

Date of Birth: 17.11.1998

CNIC: 1530206344830

Entry into Govt. Service: 26.08.2019

NTN:

Length of Service: 01 Years 00 Months 007 Days

**Employment Category: Active Temporary** 

Designation: SENIOR ENGLISH TEACHER

80001513-DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KHYBE

DDO Code: DA6189-HEAD MISTRESS GGHS KANDARO BALAMBAT DIR LOWER

Interest Applied: Yes

Payroll Section: 001 GPF A/C No: 936950 GPF Section: 001

Cash Center:

GPF Balance:

13,360.00

Vendor Number: -

Pay and Allowances:

Pay scale: BPS For - 2017

Pay Scale Type: Civil

**BPS: 16** 

Pay Stage: 0

	Wage type	Amount		Wage type	Amount
0001	Basic Pay	18,910.00	1000	House Rent Allowance	2,727.00
1210	Convey Allowance 2005	5,000.00	1924	UAA-OTHER 20%(16 G/NG)	1,500.00
<u> 1974</u>	Medical Allowance 2011	1,136.00		Adhoc Relief All 2016 10%	1,588.00
2224	Adhoc Relief All 2017 10%	1,891.00	2247	Adhoc Relief All 2018 10%	1,891.00
2264	Adhoc Relief All 2019 10%	1,891.00			0.00

#### **Deductions - General**

	Wage type	Amount		Wage type	Amount
3016	GPF Subscription	-3,340.00	3501	Benevolent Fund	-800.00
3990	Emp.Edu. Fund KPK	-150.00	4004	R. Benefits & Death Comp:	-650.00

#### **Deductions - Loans and Advances**

Loan	Description	Principal amount	Deduction	Balance
Doductions Inc.	. •			<u> </u>

**Deductions - Income Tax** 

Payable:

0.00

Recovered till August-2020:

0.00

Exempted: 0.00

Recoverable:

0.00

Gross Pay (Rs.):

36,534.00

Deductions: (Rs.):

-4,940.00

Net Pay: (Rs.):

31,594.00

Payee Name: UZMA BEGUM Account Number: 12621-00-7

Bank Details: THE BANK OF KHYBER, 080105 I.B.Br. Timergara Main Bazar I.B.Br. Timergara Main Bazar, Lower Dir

Leaves:

Opening Balance:

Availed:

Earned:

Balance:

Permanent Address:

City: KHWAR TIMERGARA

Domicile: NW - Khyber Pakhtunkhwa

Housing Status: No Official

Temp. Address: City:

Email:

The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

Subject:

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ACTION OF THE CONCERNED AUTHORITY BY ILLEGALLY AND UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYANCE ALLOWANCE DURING WINTER & SUMMER VACATIONS.

#### Respected Sir,

With due respect it is stated that I am the employee of your good self Department and is serving as SET (BPS-16) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors. It is stated for kind information that Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. Later on vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees working in BPS-I to 15 were enhance/revised while employees from BPS-15 to 19 have been treated under the previous Notification by not enhancing their conveyance allowance. Respected Sir, I was receiving the conveyance allowance as admissible under the law and rules but the concerned authority without any valid and justifiable reasons stopped/deducted the payment of conveyance allowance under the wrong and illegal pretext that the same is not allowed for the leave period. One of the employee of Education Department in Islamabad filed service appeal No.1888 (R)CS/2016 before the Federal Service Tribunal, Islamabad regarding conveyance allowance which was accepted by the Honorable Service Tribunal vide its judgment dated 03.12.2018. That the august K.P.K service tribunal also allowed the restoration of the conveyance allowance in its judgment dated 11.11.2019 in appeal No 1452/2019 titled Magsad Hayat versus Education Department. Copy attached. That I also the similar employee of Education Department and under the principle of consistency I am also entitled for the same treatment meted out in the above mentioned service appeal but the concerned authority is not willing to issue/grant the same conveyance allowance which is granting to other employees. Copy attached. I am feeling aggrieved from the action of the concerned authority regarding deduction of conveyance allowance in vacations period/months preferred this Departmental appeal before your good self.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this Departmental appeal the concerned authority may very kindly be directed the conveyance allowance may not be deducted from my monthly salary during the winter & Summer vacations.

Dated: **3** / 10/2020

**Your Obediently** 

UZMA BEGUM

TUNKHWA SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1452 /2019 Mr. Magsad Hayat, SCT (BPS-16), GHS Masho Gagar, Peshawar..... **VERSUS** 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 2- The Secretary (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 3- The Secretary Finance Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 4- The Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. 5- The Director (E&SE) Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. APPEAL UDNER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED OF THE RESPONDENTS BY ILLEGALLY UNLAWFULLY DEDUCTING THE CONVEYINCE ALLOWANCE THE APPELLANT DURING WINTER & VACATIONS AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT WITHIN STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS. PRAYER: That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may

That on acceptance of this appeal the respondents may kindly be directed not to make deduction of conveyance allowance during vacations period (Summer & Winter Vacations) and make the payment of all outstanding amount of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted of Conveyance allowance which have been deducted which previously with all back benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in the suggestion of the appellant.

R/SHEWETH:

-17 180 1.66.

1- That the appellant is serving in the elementary and secondary education department as Certified Teacher (BPS-15) quite efficiency and up to the entire satisfaction of the superiors.

2- That the Conveyance Allowance is admissible to all the civil servants and to this effect a Notification No. FD (PRC) 1-1/2011 dated 14.07.2011 was issued. That later ion vide revised Notification dated 20.12.2012 whereby the conveyance allowance for employees

A-

W

Appeal No. 1452/2019 Marbad Hayat vs Gost

11.11.2019

Counsel for the appellant present.

Learned counsel referred to the judgment passed by learned Federal Service Tribunal in Appeal No. 1888(R)CS/2016 which was handed down on 03.12.2018. Through the said judgment the issue of payment of Conveyance Allowance to a civil servant during summer and winter vacations was held to be within his entitlement and the deduction already made from him was to be reimbursed. Similar reference was made to the judgment by Honourable Peshawar High Court passed on 01.10.2019 in the case of appellant.

Learned counsel, when confronted with the proposition that the issue, in essence, was dilated upon by the Federal Service Tribunal and, more particularly, by the Honourable Peshawar High Court in the case of appellant, stated that in case the respondents are required to execute the judgment of Peshawar High Court, the appellant will have no cavil about disposal of instant appeal.

The record suggests that while handing down judgment in the Writ Petition preferred by the appellant, the Honourable High Court not only expounded the definition of "Pay" as well as "Salary", but also entitlement of a civil servant for the Conveyance Allowance during the period of vacations. It is important to note that the respondents were represented before the High Court during the proceedings.

In view of the above noted facts and circumstances and in order to protect the appellant from a fresh round of litigation which may protract over a formidable period, the appeal in hand is disposed of with observation that the judgment of Honourable Peshawar High Court passed in Writ Petitions including W.P. No. 3162-P/2019 shall be honoured and implemented by the respondents within shortest possible time. The appellant shall, however, be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law in case his grievance is not redressed by the respondents within a

reasonable time.

Careffeel :

Perinamar

File be consigned to the record.

ANNOUNCED

A11

Chairmán .

### <u>VAKALATNAMA</u>

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

		OF 2020	· .
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			• •
		(APPELLANT)	(· .
UZMA BEGUM	% * <u>*</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del>
OZMA BEGOM	:	(PLAINTIFF)	e e
		(PETITIONER)	١
	<u>VERSUS</u>		
	<u> </u>	(RESPONDENT)	
Education Department		(DEFENDANT)	
and with the authority to engage cost. I/we authorize the said Adbehalf all sums and amounts pay noted matter.	vocate to deposit, wit	thdraw and receive on my	our/
Dated//			
		CLIENT	
	Δ	ACCEPTED KHUNZADA ASAD IOBAL	San
	•	ADVOCATE	B
	3	SALAATO (SALD SHI	<u>6</u> 94
	Á	drescate High	Montat