

Service Appeal No. 15295/2020 "Atiq Ur Rehman Vs. Education Department"


ORDER


5<sup>th</sup> July, 2024

**Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman:** Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney present.

2. Prayer of the appellant in this appeal is that the respondents were not observing 40% promotion quota to the post of Junior Clerk. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the appellant further pointed out that the seniority list was also not properly prepared as in the seniority list produced by the Department, there is doubling in the name of Ali Ahmad son of Nasir Ahmad which appears both at Serial and seniority No.114 and 115. Similarly, Mr. Khurshid at serial and seniority No.180 and 181. Similarly, like the persons at serial No.308 and 309 were appointed on 28.04.2022 but how their names were entered in between the people appointed in the year 1997, is also pointing towards the fact that list was not properly prepared. Therefore, learned counsel for the appellant says that the appellant would be satisfied if the matter is sent to the department with direction to them that they should prepare the seniority list and also thereafter observe 40% quota as provided under the rules. Disposed of accordingly. Consign.

3. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 5<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2024.*

  
(Rashida Bano)  
Member (J)

  
(Kalim Arshad Khan)  
Chairman

\*Mutazem Shah \*