


conduct shows that they are least interested in pursuance of this case, however, in order to reach proper conclusion of the matter, we deem it appropriate that, as requested by the District Accounts Officer, Bajaur, an inquiry by the department was necessary, therefore, while disposing of this appeal, we direct respondents No.1 & 2 to conduct inquiry regarding the issue of salaries/appointment. Where-after, appropriate speaking order shall be passed within 60 days of the receipt of this order. The issue of back benefits shall be subject to the outcome of inquiry. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.

6. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 4th day of July, 2024.*



(Rashida Bano)
Member (J)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Mutazem Shah

Service Appeal No. 1814/2022 titled "Mst. Bushra Parviz Vs.
Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa "

ORDER

4th July, 2024

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney present.

2. Appellant's case in brief is that she was appointed as Lady Health Visitor vide order dated 28.05.2015 and was regularly receiving her salaries till May, 2022 but after that, her salary was stopped. Feeling aggrieved, he filed departmental appeal for release of her salaries, but the same was not responded, hence, the instant service appeal

3. Arguments heard. Record perused.

4. Perusal of record reveals that the office of the Accountant General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, vide letter No.Admn-II/Dis/A.G Office/2020-21/08 dated 16.02.2021 addressed to the Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar, wrote for verification of employees in order to inquire regarding their appointments, wherein, the appellant's name was also included. But the office of Director General Health Services Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar failed to submit reply in this regard. Besides, the District Accounts Officers, Bajaur had also intimated the matter to the respondent department for conducting inquiry into the matter.

5. Furthermore, there is no reply on behalf of the authorities of the appellant because they were not before the Tribunal. Their