Service Appeal No. 88/2025 Ghani Ur Rehman, LHC, Belt No.274, Police Force, Karak

..... Appellant

### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

.... Respondents

### **AUTHORITY LETTER**

Mr. Malak Jan Inspector Légal Karak, is hereby authorized/nominated to submit para-wise comments/reply in the instant service appeal in the Hon'ble Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar and also to defend instant service appeal on behalf of Respondent's No.1 to 3.

District Police Officer,

Karak

(Respondent No.3)

(KHAN KHAIL KHAN) Provi:

Incumbent

Regional Police Officer,

Kohat

(Respondent No.2)

(SHER AKBAR) PSP,S.ST

Incumbent

DIG/ Legal, CPO

For Inspector General of Police,

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 1)

( DR MUHAMMAD AKHTAR ABBAS)

Incumbent

Service Appeal No. 88/202 Ghani Ur Rehman, LHC, Belt No.274, Police Force, Karak

			,	
			Appellan	ľ

### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

...... Respondents

### **INDEX**

S.NO	DESCRIPTION	ANNEXURE	PAGE
			NO.
1.	Para wise comments/reply	-	1-4
2.	Copy of Standing Order No.3/2011	Α	5-8
3.	Copy of Notification No.2806-8/EC dated 22.03.2012	. В	9
4.	Copy of Order of Supreme Court of Pakistan dated 23.09.2014 ( Legible copy)	С	10-12
5.	Copy of Standing Order No.14/2014	D	13-15
6.	Copy of Lower Course Result for the term ending 10.03.2019	E	16-19
7.	Copy of CPO Peshawar Order No.9015-22/E-IV	F	20
8.	Copy of Order No.1212-14/EC dated 12.04.2023	G	21
9.	Copy of order No.11655-56/EC of Regional Police Officer	Н	22
10.	Copy of High Court Judgment dated 20.02.2024	, I	23-26
	1		

12-06-2-24

Respondents
Through
Representative

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Service Appeal No. 88/2024 Ghani UrRehman, LHC, Belt No.274, Police Force, Karak Diary No. 13459

..... Appellant

### **VERSUS**

Inspector General of police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

..... Respondents

### PARAWISE COMMENTS BY RESPONDENTS NO.1, 2 & 3

### RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:-

### PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS:-

- i. That the appellant has got no cause of action to file the instant appeal.
- ii. The appellant has got no locus standi to file the instant appeal.
- iii. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct to file the instant appeal.
- iv. That the appeal is bad in eyes of law and not maintainable.
- v. That the appellant has not approached to this honorable Tribunal with clean hands.
- vi. That the appeal is barred by law and limitation.
- vii. That similar nature case has recently been dismissed by the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court.
- viii. That case of the appellant also attracts principle of res-judicataas same question of law has been decided by the august apex court.

#### **FACTS:**

1. Correct only to the extent that the appellant passed B-1 examination in the year2012. However, rest of the appellant's contention regarding selection to the Lower Course at Police Training College, Hangu is denied as incorrect and misleading. Fact of the matter is that as per spirit of the Standing Order No. 03/2011(copy attached as Annexure-A), the candidates passing B-1 exam were to be examined in the Departmental Selection Board at Regional level as per criteria contained in the Standing Order ibid before enlistment on promotion List-B. The appellant's case thus was examined in the light of the said criterion. However, being declared not fit for inclusion of his name on promotion List-B as per the criterion, he was not notified on the Promotion List-B vide Regional Police Officer, Kohat Notification No. 2806-8/EC dated 22.03.2012 (copy attached as Annexure-B). As per sub-



- rule 11 of rule 13.7 A of Police Rules 1934 (amended 2017), seats are allocated for districts according to total strength of Head Constables of the concerned district.
- 2. Pertains to this Hon'ble Court consolidated judgment dated 28.01.2014 which, however, was assailed before the august apex court by filing CPLA. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan vide its order dated 23.09.2014(copy attached as Annexure-C) remitted the case to the respondent department with certain direction in the following terms;

"We accordingly propose to dispose of these petitions with the observation that the persons, who after age relaxation, are qualified to appear in the A-1 and B-1 examination shall be eligible to undergo the training and for that purpose, a Committee shall be constituted by the competent authority who shall determine their merit alongwith other candidates strictly in terms of the Standing Order/ PPB as mentioned above".

In the light of the judgment ibid, the matter was discussed in the 13<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board meeting dated 12.02.2014. Vide the said PPB meeting, it was decided to arrange special B-1 for the overage candidates who were selected to the Lower College Course subject to allotment of extra seats for them. Additionally, vide the Standing Order No. 14/2014(copy attached as Annexure-**D**), upper age limit for appearing in B-1 exam was also relaxed from 33 to 40 years with unlimited chances till that. Consequently, the appellant appeared in the B-1 exam consecutively from the year 2014 to 2017 getting benefit of such relaxation. Thus, directions of the hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan were duly complied in true letter and spirit. However, this was the case of the appellant who for the said period failed to qualify B-1 exam, one of the pre-requisites for selection to the Lower Course. It was only in the year 2019 that the appellant qualified B-1 exam, selected to the Lower Course and passed same for the term ending 10.03.2019(copy of the result is attached as Annexure-E).

- · 3. Incorrect as already explained vide above para.
  - 4. Correct to the extent of COC No. 625-P/2017 filed before the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Peshawar. However, same was disposed of vide the Hon'ble High Court order dated 23.10.2018 in the light of vide CPO Peshawar Order No. 9015-22/ E-IV dated 22.10.2018(copy attached as Annexure-F) wherein one extra seat for Lower School Coursein respect of the appellant was allotted by the respondent department. Rest is already explained vide para-2 above.
  - 5. Already explained vide above para.
  - 6. Already explained vide above para.
  - 7. The appellant has not affixed any document as to attest to the departmental appeal filed by the appellant which he has to prove before this Hon'ble Tribunal. Moreover, the appellant's name was brought on Promotion List C-1as per rule 13.8 of Police Rules, 1934after passing Lower Course for the term ending 10.03.2019(result already attached as Annexure-E) and thus, his seniority was fixed by the District Police Officer, Kara vide Order No.1212-14/EC



- dated 12.04.2023 as per the merit of the term ending 10.03.2019 as per law long with his colleagues (copy of the order is attached as Annexure-G).
- 8. Pertains to the judgment dated 22.11.2020 of this Hon'ble Tribunal passed in the Service Appeal No. 7240-P/2020 wherein the case was remitted to the respondent department with directions to decide the case by issuing a speaking order. Accordingly, speaking order was issued by the Regional Police Officer, Kohat vide Order Endst: No. 11655-5/ EC dated 07.11.2023 (copy of the order is attached as Annexure-II) in the light of adjustment of seniority of the appellant with his colleagues as per the merit of the term ending 10.03.2019 as per law vide District Police Officer, Karak Order dated 12.04.2023 highlighted in the para-7 above.
- 9. Pertains to the Execution Petition No. 494/2023 filed by the appellant. However, same was disposed of by this Hon'ble Tribunal vide its order dated 04.12.2023 in the light of the speaking order dated 07.11.2020 as mentioned in the preceding para. Moreover, the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Peshawar vide its judgment dated 20.02.2024has also dismissed similar nature case of Constable Muhammad Tariq of the same district Karak wanting benefits of the Hon'ble High Court judgment dated 28.01.2014, later on assailed by the respondent department (copy of the judgment dated 20.02.2024 is attached as Annexure-1).
- 10. Incorrect and misleading as the appellant's seniority has been adjusted as per law in the light of directions of this Hon'ble Tribunal and the matter is laid to rest also attracting the principle of res-judicata. Moreover, the appellant has not exhausted legal remedies available to him in law in the shape of departmental appeal against the impugned order dated 07.11.2023 as also reflected vide this Hon'ble Tribunal order dated 04.12.2023 wherein case of the appellant was disposed of once for all. Thus, at this score too, case of the appellant is pre-mature, not maintainable in the eyes of law and thus is liable to be dismissed on the following grounds;

### **GROUNDS:**

A. Incorrect and misleading as the appellant on one hand quotes rule 13.8 of Police Rules, 1934 and on other hand, seeks refuge of the judgment dated 28.01.2014 of the Hon'ble Peshawar High Court Peshawar by wrongly interpreting same. However, as already explained above, the judgment ibid was assailed before the august apex court by filing CPLA. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan vide its order dated 23.09.2014 had remitted the case to the respondent department with certain directions. Accordingly, in the light of the judgment ibid, the matter was discussed in the 13<sup>th</sup> Police Policy Board meeting dated 12.02.2014. Vide the said meeting, it was decided to arrange special B-1 for the overage candidates who were selected to the Lower College Course subject to allotment of extra seats for them. Additionally, vide the Standing Order No. 14/2014, upper age limit for appearing in B-1 exam was also relaxed from 33 to 40 years with unlimited chances till

that. Consequently, the appellant appeared in the B-1 exam consecutively from the year 2014 to 2017 getting benefit of such relaxation. Thus, the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan were duly complied in true letter and spirit. However, this was the case of the appellant who for the said period failed to qualify B-1 exam, one of the pre-requisites for selection to the Lower Course, It was only in the year 2019 that the appellant qualified B-1 exam, selected to the Lower Course in the same year and passed same for the term ending 10.03.2019. Accordingly, his seniority was fixed as per the merit of the term ending 10.03.2019 with the colleagues with whom he passed the Course by the respondent No.3 (DPO Karak) as per rule quoted by the appellant wherein also colleagues of the appellant stand to be those with whom he has qualified the Lower Course i.e. of the term ending 10.03.2019 and not of the year 2012. It is very astonishing and surprising that the appellant has qualified the Lower Course in the year 2019 and he is claiming seniority of the year-2012. His claim is devoid of rules and has no legal footing and no constitutional right of the appellant has been violated.

- B. Incorrect. Seniority of the appellant has been fixed with his colleagues as per the merit of the term ending 10.03.2019 as per law vide District Police Officer, Karak Order No.1212-14/EC dated 12.04.2023. Moreover, record indicates that all proceedings were open. Moreover, the appellate authority heard the appellant in person before passing the impugned order dated 07.11.2023 as per law, are lawful, bonafide, satisfy ends of law and justice, thus not open to be called in question.
- C. Incorrect as already explained vide above para. Moreover, the appellant has neither been discriminated nor deprived from his due promotion as alleged by the appellant. The appellant's claim of seniority from the year-2012 is not tenable in the eyes of law/rules.
- D. The respondent department may also be allowed to adduce additional grounds at the time of hearing before this Hon'ble Tribunal.

### Prayer:-

In the light of above facts and circumstances, it is therefore requested that the appeal of the appellant, being devoid of merits, may kindly be dismissed with costs, please.

District Police Officer,

Karak

(Respondent No.3)

(KHAN KHAIL KHAN) Provi:

Incumbent

Regional Police Officer.

Kohat

(Respondent No.2)

(SHER AKBAR) PSP,S,ST

Incumbent

DIG/ Legal, CPO

て.

For Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

(Respondent No. 1)

( DR MUHAMMAD AKIITAR ABBAS)

# (5)

# STANDING ORDER NO. 3/2011 Promotion of Constable to the rank of Head Constable

In supersession of all standing orders on the subject, this standing order is issued to regulate promotion of constable to the rank of Head Constable in the province of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

### 1. Object

The object of this standing order is to prescribe and rationalize the criteria and syllabi for constables for promotion to the rank of Head Constable. Another object is to ensure transparency in the process of bringing names of the constables on promotion list A, B & C.

### 2. Applicability

B-1 examination of 2012, marking system etc will be conducted on old pattern, but B-1 examination of 2013 and subsequent selection to lower school courses of 2013 will be conducted according to principles laid down in this standing order. Portion of this standing order relating to professional courses will be effective from the day after the B-1 exam 2012.

### 3. Distribution of posts of HC

Posts of head constables in a district shall be distributed as under for the purpose of promotion.

- 90 % of the total available seats/posts of head constables in a district shall be filled through departmental promotion of constables on the basis of merit position obtained in B-I examination, subsequent selection for lower school and successful completion of lower school course.
- ii. 10 % of the total available seats/posts of head constables shall be filled through promotion of constables on part B of list C:

Promotion lists A, B & C shall be maintained by the concerned DPOs in a district in the following manner.

#### 4. List-A

### Eligibility for list A examination

Constables fulfilling the following criteria are eligible to appear in this examination.

- a. Constables who have completed at least three years of police service starting from recruit training.
- b. Constables who have not been awarded a major punishment within the last two years.
- Constable who are medically and physically fit.

A-I examination, for bringing successful candidate on list-A, shall be held simultaneously in each district in the 1<sup>st</sup> month of a calendar year. This examination shall be conducted by Commandant PTC Hangu who will declare results by the end of January of each year. Qualifying marks shall be 50 % and the syllabus may include questions based on normal duties of a police constable and basic law which relates to the duties of a constable. Examination will be based on multiple choice questions (MCQ). There will be 100 MCQs, each carrying one mark, and time allowed will be one hour.



### List B

List B shall be maintained by each DPO in two parts.

- Constable considered suitable as candidates for lower school course at PTC Hangu.
- B. Constables considered suitable for drill and other special courses at PTC Hangu. Constables whose names are to be brought on part A of list B shall be made on the basis of merit determined by the Departmental Selection Board through B-I exam, marks obtained in courses etc.

### Eligibility for B-1 examination

Constables fulfilling the following criteria are eligible to compete for list B.

- Constables who have completed at least two years service on list A.
- Constables who have not been awarded any major punishment within the last two years ., b. preceeding the B-I examination.
- Constables who have availed three attempts for B-I examination are not eligible.
- Maximum age limit for the candidates will be thirty three years.
  - Constables must be medically & physically fit.

Written lest of B-I examination will be conducted by Education Testing and Evaluation Agency (ETEA) each year at Peshawar in the month of February. Selection of constables for list B who will undergo lower school course in both the sessions at PTC Hangu shall be made on the basis of merit list prepared by the Departmental Selection Board. Departmental Selection Board established at regional level and Capital City Police area shall conduct interview of the passed candidates, award marks in other categories mentioned in this standing order and will draw final merit list for bringing the names of Constables on list B. Names on list B shall not exceed the number of seats allotted to each district for lower school course. The process of selection of constables on list B shall be finalized each year before 31" of March. Composition of Departmental Selection Board will be as under;

i.	CCPO/Regional	DIG
----	---------------	-----

Chairman

DPO concerned /SSP Coord Pesh íi.

Member

SP (not posted any where in the concerned region)

Member

Note: Name of the SP shall be notified each year by the PPO.

### Test & marking system

Total marks

100 (hundred)

Written test

75 (seventy five) marks

ŧί. Professional courses

6 Marks.

iii. .Professional abilities 10 (ten) marks

iv, Interview 10 (ten) marks

X cc-1, cc-pd cc-m

05 (five) marks

9

### Arrangements for the written test

Written test for B-1 examination conducted by ETEA will consist of stream MATA was carrying one mark. These MCQs will be based on the syllabus mentioned below. You have written test will be announced within twenty four hours after conducting test. Date of that was venue shall be notified well in time by the CPO.

#### Syllabor

- Knowledge of basic law, regulations and police duties of constables and hearl constables.
- b. Basic knowledge of arms & ammunition and other police equipments.
- c. Basic knowledge of terrorist organizations operative in the country.
- d. Basic knowledge of methods & techniques adopted by terrorists.
- e Basic knowledge of explosives and other such substances.
- f. Basic knowledge of civil, judicial and police administrative setups in a district.
- g. General knowledge of the country in general and the province of K.P in particular.

#### Professional courses

To rationalize professional courses of the constables in various institutions, the old method of awarding marks to individual courses is done away with and replaced by a system based on duration of the course. Any course of less than 14 days will not earry any mark. Names of the institutions which are conducting courses are given below and courses only run by these institutions shall be considered for awarding marks. All courses of one subject but under different names shall be treated as one course (e.g. civil defense courses under different names). Training imparted by foreign trainers in Pakistan or abroad will be considered for awarding marks according to duration of the training/course.

- 1. Police training college Hangu and other such training institutions in the country
- 2. Government run Elite training centers in Pakistan
- 3. IB Training school at Simly
- 4 Civil Defense department (federal & provincial)
- 5. Traffic school for police
- 6. Army training centers
- 7. Special branch
- 8 Recognized forensic science laboratories
- Any other professional pullee course run by the provincial or federal government institutions.

Duration of the course	Marks
Fifteen to thirty days	l (onc)
More than one month and upto two months	2 (two)
Moe than two months and up to three months	) (thice)
More than three months and upto four menths	4 (four)
Exceeding four months	5 (five)

Page J of 4

Inspector legal Karak

moger incomesses lemma "A" thru year and shall not exceed six) lastructors in training institutes incremental marks (two per continuous calendar le in parade in recruit course 1 (one) for each rectuit training center under PTC Ben makanan 3 (three) (PTC, Elite, ATS) Secure autom m Holders of 2" at 1" president 1'3 (tytee & two tesketively)  $m = \infty$ ()[52 (daruct) 11-33 (Jppd) 570 1:33 (out) 1/33 (mysis) & Nio. m (un) THE WINDS इत्राधिक किर्णक्षणा 17.11.12

Marks carried in the above two categories i.e professional courses and professional 300

abilities shall not exceed 10 in each category.

Alaska carned through all types of commendation certificates shall not exceed 2.

preceeding the year of examination shall be taken into account while awarding marks. K 1634 of to todinocod "IE at qu olebibaco oft ye bossed yllenit, ecemes gainist.

recruits result. Marks obtained under the category of best marksmanship shall be notified by PPO for each course for conducting test at PTC before the declaration of Best marksman shall be declared by a professional team of Elite instructors/examiners

availed only once.

under Police Rules 19.22.

with the exclusion of those covered

and are considered eligible for promotion to head constable. This list shall be made according contain the names of all constables who have passed the lower school course at PTC Hangu Ilin A neg amol beditzeag on the presented in two parts on the presented form. Part A will **DIST** 

selection tempered by seniority. Promotion of lower school passed constables to the rank of head constables shall be made by to the order of ment determined by PTC Hangu in the lower school course examination.

promoted to the rank of head constables against the 10 % quara of the posts of head constables Constables on part B of Ital B who have qualified drill and other special courses shall be

mod tot boytagar

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar. Provincial Police Officer. (илимимир Акцак кнам нотт) Inspector legal

ŧ

POLICE DEPT

FOR PUBLICATION IN THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR FOLIO CAZETTE PART-IFORDER BY THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

KOHAT REGION, KOHAT

### MOTIFICATION

No \_\_\_\_\_/EC. PROMOTION LIST 'B-t' .- In accordance with Provincial Police Officer, KPK Peshawar Standing Order No.1/2004, the following Constables of Karak District Police are hereby brought on promotion list B-I on the basis of seats for Lower School, course allotted to Karak District Police, during the year 2012;-

	Name, Rank and Number	Torms
1	Constable Muhammad Ali No. 189/3792	1 Tum
2	Constable Amjid Kamal No. 513	-dt -
3.	Constable Sardar Nawaz No. 68/58 E	-dt
4	Constable Khalid Rehman No. 1167 EF/850	-dı-
5.	Constable Saif ur Rehman No. 709	-011-
5.	Constable Muhammad Adit No. 298	2 Term
7	Constable Amjid Ali No. 508	-0-)-
8.	Constable Wasifullah No. 838/1288	-d۶-
Э.	Constable Hazratullah No. 673	-62-
10.	Constable Faiz Ahmed No. 505	-d>-

Formladion

Dicti:Felia Officer

OBNO 228

(MOHAMMAD IMTULE SHAH)

PSP, QPM

Dy: Inspector Genera of Police, Kohat Region, Rehat

No. 2806-08/EC, dated Kohat the -23/3 /2012

Copy of above is submitted to the :-

- The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar for favour of information please.
- 2. The Addi: Inspector General of Police, KPK, Peshawar Two spare copies of the notification are enclosed herewith for publication in the KPK Police Gazette Part-II.

3 The Commandant, PTC Hangu for information pleases.

The District Police Officer, Karak for Information and necessary action. The Service Records of the (25) Constables, received with your Memo: No.1523/EC dated 08.03.2012 and Memo: No. 1563/EC, dated 09.03.2012 are returned herevith.

(MOHAMMAD IMTL! Z SHAH)

F:3P, QPM

Dy: Inspector General of Police,

Inspector

Karar

#### ILL THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALI.

MR. JUSTICE EJAZ AFZAL KHAN

MR. JUSTICE DAZI FAEZ ISA

C. Pp. No. 23-P. 46-P to 48-F. 56-P. 103-B. 113-P. 120-F. 177-P. 177-P. 187-I to 191-P. 195-P to 497-P. 213-P. 264-P to 265-P and 274-P of 2014 for appeal goalst the judgment dolled 3f lo.2013. 12.11 2013. 21 (1.12): 12.12. 12.12. 13.12. 14.12. 2013. 12.11.2014. 26.1.2014. 11.12.2014. goaled by the Pethowor High Court Fathor on the Pinch 256-P. 2614-P. 2616-P. 261

Inspector General of Police, Pernawar and others

Govt. at RfK thr. Chief Secy and others

Provincial palice Officer, Govt of KPK Reshawar and others

Govt of KfK thr. Secy Hame and Tibbal Affairs and others

In 11.5.5. Gowl. of KRK thr. Chief Secy Peshawar and others.

Jin 2: F 1 lov SesPy 13 Pention is

<u>versus</u>

Lichty peliburias.

តាត្រូវបាញ្ញា Ali

Mr. Wagar Ahmad Khan, Addi 🙈 👵 Mr. M. Younis, S. P. Legiot (CPO, Posts) lanis Khan, OSP (Legal) Abbailabad M. Ismoil DSP. Karak

eje rasanndants. (, i ) [pvoc')

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Khan, ASC, Jin 177-188-P. 90-P. 191-P. 196-P to 199-P or 2139 6 3014

्राधान्त्रसम्बद्धाः । तत्र सर्वतारम्बद्धाः । विकासन् । तत्र

Date of hearing:

23 09,2014

Inspector legal Karak

# Legible Copy IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN (APPELLATE JURISDICTION) PRESENT:

MR. JUSTICE ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALI.
MR.JUSTICE EJAZ AFZAL KHAN.
MR.JUSTICE QAZI FAEZ ISA.

# C.Ps NO.21-P,46-P to 48-P,56-P,105-P,113-P,120-P,176-P,177-P,187-P to 191-P, 195-P to 199-P, 213-P,264-P to 266-P and 274-P of 2014.

(On appeal against the judgment dated 31.10.2013, 12.11.2013, 21.11.2012, 10.12.2013, 11.12.2013, 12.11.2014, 28.01.2014, 11.03.2014 passed by the Peshawar High Court Peshawar in W.P Nos. 2565-P, 2614-P, 2616-P, 2885-P, 758-A,520-D, 2967-P, 2765-P, 2615-P,2910-P,3104-P,3117-P, 3201-P, 3282-P, 3284-P,2892-P, 3173-P,3228-P, 3289-P, 3226-P,2991-P, 2909-P, 3130-P, 1909-P,2698-P of 2013).

Inspector General of Police, Peshawar & Others

Govt: of KPK through Chief Secretary and Others

Provincial Police Officer, Govt: of KPK Peshawar & Others

Govt: of KPK through Secretary Home & Tribal Affairs and Others

Govt: of KPK through Chief Secretary Peshawar and Others

### <u>Versus</u>

Fakharul Islam and Others Shah Wali Khan and Others Nasir Mehmood and Others Burain Abbas Mazhar Ali Khan and Others Inavat Ullah and Others Sajid Alam Soleman Shah and Others Mehboob Alam and Others Muhammad Jasim Hazrat Ali and Others Sajid lobal and another Ghani ur Rehman Muhammad Tariq Nageeb Ullah Ibrar Hussain Yaqoob Khan Rasheed Ahmad Wahid Gul

Riaz Khan Aziz ur Rehman Allow Mom Inspector legal Karak

(1) · .

SELECTIVATELS:

Annèa "F"

P-213

stage

ATIMATE TATICES TATALLES. All the rebove billed politicis for leave to copped days out of mobiledgments of intentical reduce section was notificated to the politic section 2 in was notificated at 2014 in 2014 in 2014 in 2015. Topology 200-0. 200-0

The pullbonars in view of fully 13 / of Pasca Plant 1534, while entitled to be given ineference in the matter of notice that undergoing training of the requisite course flaggare of their ages, but jurious to them were streamed frence and only inclosion of rules apparent in their costs, but informationally limitiment is disc evident on the other hand. Incloses of politionars are smaller to the cases of those inclinears, who have been given taken by the Court is the reported mentioners with inclinear, and the propriet, that demands giving shall relief to politioners.

in Accourage, toose will implicant are not safed in the climate to the final property all angle in which of a better to the final training College for the received course without for and without loss of time the order of the costs.

The ligarined Addit A. G. Kirk has appeared better the Court and made retaining to the standing profes dated 11.03.2014 to make that this standing older has further addressed the issue of uge relativistic. In policy by the applicant before the thigh Court the Therefore Jubinstit to the politioners have no prevancy argument the execution of the posting the impactor submits that, however, while posting the impactor submits that, however, while posting the impactor submits that, however, while posting the impactor submits that however, while posting the impactor submits that the Peshawar High Court have a triggered to be specified and opposite the safety that the standard and opposite the standard of the safety that is a safety to the safety that we have submitted with the standard of all those a whole is the last the many space sudgments are impacted any to the extension of the safety that the safet

Attend

Inspector legal Karak

interi

. 1

### CP No.21-P/2014 etc

### **ORDER**

ANWAR ZAHEER JAMALI:- All the above titled petitions for leave to appeal arise out of eight judgments of identical nature passed in Writ Petitions No.2565-P, 2614-P, 2616-P, 2885-P,758-A,520-D, 2967-P,2765-P,2615-P,2919-P,3104-P,3117-P,3281-P, 3284-P,2892-P,3173-P,3228-P,3289-P,3226-P,2991-P,2999-P,3030-P,1909-P,2698-P of 2013) whereby the grievance agitated by the petitioners before the Peshawar High Court as regards their issue of age relaxation was redressed by the court substantially in the following terms:-

1. The petitioners in view of Rule 13.7 of Police Rules1934, were entitled to be given preference in the matter of selection for undergoing training of the requisite course because of their ages, but juniors to them were selected hence not only violation of rules is apparent in their cases but discriminating treatment is also evident on the other hand. The cases of petitioners are similar to the cases of those petitioners, who have been given relief by this court in the above mentioned writ petitions and the propriety thus demands giving similar relief to petitioners.

Accordingly these writ petitions are accepted with directions to respondents to immediately arrange the sending of petitioners to Hangu Training College for the requisite course without fail and without loss of time. No order as to costs".

2. The learned Addl A,G KPK has appeared before the Court and made reference to the standing order dated 11.03.2014 to show that this standing order has further addressed the issue of age relaxation agitated by the petitioners before the High Court. He, therefore, submits that to this extent now the petitioners have no grievance against the impugned judgment. He further submits that, however, while passing the impugned judgments learned Division Benches of the Peshawar High Court have not taken into account the spirit and application of Standing Order No.3 of 2011 which provides for the mode of eligibility for examination etc. He submits that petitioners will be satisfied with the disposal of all these petitions in the terms that the impugned judgments are modified only to the extent that after the age relaxation allowed by the Peshawar High Court as affirmed by the

department vide Police Fallicy board decision dated 12/02/01/2 11/6 selection of the condidate for A1 and 81 examination will be made on men--, per chlerio laid por in PPB 12 of 2014 and that for this purpose a Committee will be consided to the all examine the ment list dated 11.7 2012 oliesh os o who<u>le</u>

In reply to the above. Mr. Ghulam Natir Knap. ASC has intoled. 3 that the selection of remaining candidates should be taken up on their apthe bass of the mentilists already prepared of the departmental level in [19]. 2013. We are not much impressed with his submission for the reason that the tot of condidates who are to be selected for the forthcoming training programme is to be prepared by the department on an annual basis. There remains no doubt in this regard especially in the light of the statement to this effect made by the learned Addl. A. G. that after the decision on the issue or age, elaxands, the ment culend in terms of standing order by end charge I) Ha (13) b) 2014 will be followed strictly across the board and for that prepare reatment to all the peliliones before the High Court end others in similar noth an will be just four equitable and without any discrimination.

We decordingly propose to dispose of these petitions on the observation that the persons, who other age relaxation are qualified to opped in Al and all examination shall be eligible, to undergo the training and for thoughtse o Committee shall be constituted by the competent Thomas should determine their methologically condiciones sincily

leim, of the standing order/P.P.B as marifiched above

wol the above, the delayin the filing of three resilion, is these petitions are conveiled into appeal and disposed opplications, Hencefoll, if the other pending pellilonari harein hove

terre indicomer belong the proper torum. All Anister K

Cadima Vi

for legal Karak

### Legible Copy

### CP No.21-P/2014 etc

Department vide Police Policy Board decision dated 12.02.2014. The selection of the candidate for A1 and B1 examination will be made on merit as per criteria laid down in PPB 13 of 2014, and that for this purpose a Committee will be constituted who will examine the merit list dated 11.02.2013 ofresh as a whole.

- 3. In reply to the above Mr. Ghulam Nabi Khan, ASC has insisted that the selection of the remaining candidates should be taken up on merit on the basis of the merit lists already prepared of the departmental level in July,2013. We are not much impressed with his submission for the reason that the list of candidates who are to be selected for the forthcoming training programme is to be prepared by the department on an annual basis there remains no doubt in this regard especially in the light of the statement to this effect made by the learned Addl A.G that after the decision on the issue of age relaxation, the merit criteria in terms of standing order by PPB Order No.13 of 2014 will be followed strictly across the board and far that purpose treatment to all the petitioners before the High Court and others in similar position will be just fair equitable and without any discrimination.
- 4. We accordingly propose to dispose of these petitions with the observation that the persons, who after age relaxation are qualified to appear in A1 and B1 examination shall be eligible to undergo the training and for that purpose a Committee shall be constituted by the competent authority who shall determine their merit alongwith other candidates strictly in terms of the standing order /P.P.B as mentioned above.
- 5. In view of the above, the delay in the filing of three petitions is condoned and all these petitions are converted into appeal and disposed of as such alongwith other pending applications. Henceforth, if the respondents on the petitioners herein have any other grievance they may agitate the same before the proper forum.

1SLAMABAD 23.09.2014

10





# OFFICE OF THE THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA Central Police Office, Peshawar

#### STANDING ORDER NO. 14/2014

### Upper Age Limit for A-1 and B-1 Exams and Selection Criteria for the Lower Course

This Standing Order is issued under Article 10(3) of Police Order 2002 in pursuance of the Police Policy Board decision taken in its 4<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> January 2014.

- 2. Aim:- Presently, the upper age limit for A-1 and B-I Examination is very low due to which a large number of Constables cannot avail the opportunity of further promotion and get retired as Constables. This state of affairs also results in creating frustration amongst the Constabulary, affecting their performance for the rest of their career. Similarly, there are a number of anomalies in the selection criteria for Lower School Course. This Standing is aimed at streamlining and addressing these two issues.
- 3. Upper age-limit for A-1 and B-1 Exams:- The upper age limit for appearing in A-1 and B-I Examinations shall be as under:

a) A-I Exam:

37 years;

b) B-I Exam:

40 years.

- The cut-off date for calculating the upper age limit for either of the above Examinations shall be the 31<sup>st</sup> day of the month of December falling before the respective Examination.
- 5. There shall be no bar on the number of attempts for a candidate to appear either in A-I or B-I Examination within the prescribed age limit.
- 6. Both A-1 and B-1 Examinations shall be conducted through EATA or any other well-reputed testing agency approved by the Inspector General of Police.
- 7. The entire process of both A-1 and B-1 Examinations shall be completed before the  $15^{\rm th}$  day of March every year.
- 8. A Constable shall be eligible to appear in A-1 Examination if he has completed three years service, counted from the date of enlistment, and has qualified Recruit Course.
- 9. Qualifying marks for A-1 and B-1 Examinations shall be as under:

a) A-1 Examination: Not less that 40% of the total;

b) B-1 Examination: Not less than 50% of the total.

NOM Inspector legal 33

- 9.1 The Inspector General of Police may, by a general or special order, raise the minimum qualification marks for A-1 or B-1 Examination from time to time if so required due to any reason.
- 9.2 B-1 qualified Constables shall be selected for the Lower Courses of the same year in the order of merit of B-1 Examination against the available number of Lower Course seats. The B-1 Examination merit list shall not be valid for the next year.
- 10. Constables qualifying A-1 Examination shall stand eligible for appearing in B-1 Examination, subject to the fulfillment of other requirements.
- 11. A candidate shall be eligible to appear in B-1 Examination, subject to fulfilling other requirements, if he has earned minimum 6 marks, at any stage after recruitment, in the "Graded Professional Courses" or any other course so determined by the Inspector General of Police. However, a candidate obtaining 4 marks in the "Graded Professional Courses" or any other course so determined by the Inspector General of Police shall be eligible to appear in B-1 Examination conducted during the year 2015.
- 12. Selection Criteria for Lower School Course: Those Constables who qualify B-1 Examination shall be eligible for the Lower School Course on the basis of seniority and order of merit.
- 13. The number of seats for the Lower School Course shall be fixed every year by the Inspector General of Police on the recommendation of the following committee.
  - a) The Additional IGP Headquarters;
  - b) The DIG Training;
  - c) The AIG Establishment. .
- 14.1 The above Committee shall also allocate seats for the Lower School Course to each District in accordance with the ratio of the total strength of Constables in that District worked out against the total number of seats.
- 14.2 No seats of the Lower School Course shall be allocated to any Police unit except Districts.
- 15. A consolidated seniority list of all Constables entisted in a District, or having domicile of the District in case of Constables serving in FRP, shall be maintained in that District which shall include seniority-wise names of all Constables of the District whether such Constables are serving in that District or they have been transferred to or working in other Police Units, e.g. Special Branch, FRP, CTD, Investigation, Elite Force, Range Officer, CPO, etc.
- 15.1 The concerned Heads of District Police shall select B-1 qualified candidates for Lower Course strictly in accordance with the seniority and merit position as reflected in the consolidated seniority list mentioned in section 15 above; whether the Constables are, at the

34

MICM Inspector legal Karak

(15)

ime of selection, serving in that District or have been transferred to or working in any other police Unit, e.g. Special Branch, FRP, CTD, Investigation, Elite Force, Range Officer, CPO, etc.

- 6. Power to remove difficulties:- If any difficulty arises in giving effect to this order, the Provincial Police Officer may by notification make such provisions as deemed appropriate.
- :7. Amendment:-. All previous Standing Orders on the subject, to the extent of the provisions of this order, shall stand amended.

(NASIR KHAN DURRANI) Provincial Police Officer Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

### No:- 920-990/GB dated Peshawar the 17th October 2014

Copy of the above is forwarded for information and necessary action to:

- 1. All Heads of Police Offices in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa;
- 2. PRO to PPO;
- 3. Registrar CPO.

(MUBARAK ZEB) PSP DIG Headquarters Khybe) Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

່າ ໄດ້ພ Inspector lega, Karak

25

Ph # 0925-621886 Fax # 0925-620886



### Office of the Commandant, Police Training College, Hangu.

#### FOR PUBLICATION IN KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA POLICE GAZETTE PART-II POLICE TRAINING COLLEGE HANGU.

### NOTIFICATION Dated /3 /03/2019.

\_/S/RESULT: The result of the following Officers, of your Distt:/Units, who appeared in the final examinations of Lower College Course, held at PTC Hangu for the term ending 10.03.2019, is hereby announced and given below. Their Order of Merit is noted against each. The Original Performance Reports/History sheets, of the Passed Candidates shall be issued and sent separately for necessary action. The receipt may be acknowledged please.

S#.	Comp#.	andidates of Lower College C	Belt#.	District.	Merit No	
	L-11152	Majid Khan	. 41	Swabi	1	
2	L-11340	Shams Ur Rehman	593	Tank	2	
3	L-11499.	Sami Ur Rehman	4640	FRP Abbottabad	3	
1	L-11257	Muhammad Salman Khan	. 1625	FRP HQ Pesh:	4	
5	L-11298	Abdul Wahab	1403	Elite Mardan	5	
5	L-11272	Muhammad Zubair	2491	Mardan	6	
7	L-11180	Rahmat Ali	2852	Swat	7	
;	L-11521	Sana Ullah	2629	Charsadda	8	
l	L-11530	Amir Khan	2799	Swat	9	
0	L-11224	Aftab Ahmad	: 2742	Mardan	10	
Ī	L-11489	Abdullah 🗒 .	4158	FRP-Swat	11	
2	L-11324	Bakht Ali	287	Dir Lower	12	
esu	it of re-appea	red candidates of Lower Coll	<u>ege Course'</u>	Ferm Ending 31.10.2018		,
3	L-10962	Naseer Khan	- 2483	CCP Pesh:	13	
esu	it of Lower C	ollege Course Term Ending 1	5 <u>.03.2019</u>			
1	L-11194	Cheragh Ud Din	3238	Elite Chitral	14	
5	L-11330	Raza Ullah	(H9229	FRP D.L.Gian	14	
;	L-11153	Murad Ali	513	Swabi	15	
•	L-11315	Riaz Ahmad	5205	Elite Chitral	16	
l I	L-11394	Muhamamd Amin	2579	MW Mardan	17	
ı	L-11151	Imran Khan	891	Swabi	18	
ı	L-11107	Rauf Man	37	PTC Hanga	19	50010
	111428	Roman Ali	2177	Dir Lower	20 ∫	//7 17
	L-11566	Shakir Ullah	88	PTC Hangu	21 — ا	
	L-11544	Ashiq Ali	1036	CTD Nowshera	22	~~
	L-11485	Suleman	126	Swabi	-22 (	
	L-11337	Mustafu Amin	. 459	Chitral	23 🐣	* 4
	L-11299	Zuhaib Hassan	72	Kolint	24	
		Sher Alam	888	FRP HQ Pesh:	25	•
	L-11545	Aman Úllah	727	Kohistan	25	
	111506	Adnanyla.	≠ 5013	. Elite Pesh:	26	
	L-11483		298	Anti Corp Toor Gha		-, -
	L-11279	Khayal Nawab	1228	Mansehra	28	
	L-11300	Umer Khan	1366	Chitral	29	•
	L-11379	Tariq Ur Rehman	1500 1 1526	FRP HQ Pesh:	29	
	L-11177	1 tit Cod' Stime		CCP Pesh:	30	
	L-11160	Contract Constitution .	:, 2707		31	•
	L-11487	Shakir Ahmad Liaqat Ali Shah	5315 417	Elit Swat Battagram	32	

Karak

N					ight of the file of
/	<u>                                     </u>	The state of the s			
		10 (A)			:
S#	Comp#.	Name!	<b>.</b>		
/91	L-11215	Juhar Alimer	Belt#. 2466	<u>District.</u> A Elite Dir Upper	<u>-1erit No</u> 68
/92	L-11274	Atta Ullah Khan	79	Battagram	68
93	L-11492	Muhammad Siyab	3430	FRP Swat	69
% 94 95	L-11113	Faheem Ullah	846	Nowshera	70
95	L-11412 L-11362	Fordad Alam	4307	Etite Chitral	71
97	L-11362	Alunad Hussain	2729	Swat	72
98	L-11210	Muhammad Jan Wazir Ahmad	2783	Swat	72
99	L-11590	Nizam Ud Din	0 859 1165	Chitral Eiite Buner	72 72
100	L-11382	Ikhlas Ud Din	1174	Chitral / '	73
101	L-11129	Shahid Khan	482	Nowshera	73
102	L-11568	Abdullah	2383	Dir Lower	74
103	L-11246	Shams Uz Zaman	309 1	Karak	74
104	L-11532	Naveed Khan	5260	CCP Pesh:	75
105	L-11458	Janished Usman	660	Karak	76
106	L-11277	Shahid Ullah	FRF 5289 ~	FRP Kohat	76
107 108	L-11569 L-11370	Azeem Khan Muhammad Zaman	1506 566	Dir Lower	77 77
109	L-11275	Saeed Ullah	FRP 5304 -	Elite Pesh:FRP Kohat	78
110	L-11567	Sardar Ayub	. 1211	PTC/Chitral	79 ·
111	L-11185	Azeem Said	1014	Swabi	79
112	L-11306	Asad Nawaz	738	Haripur	80
113	L-11431	Mehammad Shafqat	1219	CTD Hangu	81
114	L-11317	Mahboob Ali	2085	Swat	81
115	L-11273	Qayum Khan	671	Dir Upper	81
116	L-11335	Zeeshan Haider	FR 5821 2	FRP Kohat	81
117	L-11179	Jawad Ali	5278	Elite Swat	82
118	L-11109	Sami Ullah	426	Shangla Chitral	82 82
119	L-11417	Hafeez Ul Amin	1078 . 1386	Abbottabad	83
120	L-11130	Majid Hussain	. 1910	Dir Lower	84
121	L-11401 E511237	Khalil Ur Rehman Ghani Ur Rehman	74.0	and the second s	Erm 85n
123	L-11291	Asghar	2440	Dir Lower	85
124	L-11507	Abdul Qadir	0 496	Kehistan	86
125	L-11504	Muhammad Israr	6483	FRP Bannu	86
126	L-11191	Noor Ul Qamar	.+'949	Buner	87
127	L-11441	Muhammad Kashif M	lanzoor 439	Abbottabad	88
128	L-11486	Akhtar Zada	1422	Dir Upper	88
129	L-11594	Laiq Zada	5696	Elite Dir Upper	88
130	1,-11533	Shakir Muhammad	1337	Chitral	89
131	L-11132	Mir Alam Khan	1862	FRP HQ Pesh:	90
132	L-11587	Behramand	2256	Elite Pesh:	91 91
133	L-11601	Noman Sadiq	50 .	Dir Upper	92
134	L-11290	Muhammad Ibrahim	698	Dir Lower	93
135	L-11408	Saleh Muhammad	. 654	Chitral	93 94
136	L-11255	Syed Bilal Shah	. 1431	Elite Bannu	94
137	L-11189	Yasir Ali ý 👸	804	Buner	95
138	L-11548	Asif Ali	, 648	Swabi Elite Dir Lower	96
139	L-11421	Gulzar ∧hmad	5400		97
140	L-11497	Khan Sher	·630	Shangla Dir Lower	97
141	L-11223	Hassan Said	2492	Dir Lower	98
142	L-11316	Umar Ali	1922	Swat	98
143	L-11427	Muhammad Nawaz	290	Dir Lower	99
144	L-11514	Sheraz Ali	. 60	Traffic Pesh:	99
145	L-11208	Khalid Wahid	1791	D.I.Khan	100
146	L-11119	Fakhre Alam	, 2192	Nowshera	100
147	L-11423	Aman Ullah	5550	Elite Dir Lower	101
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1146	FRP HQ Pesh:	
Resul	t of re-app	Asit Janji eared candidate of Lowe	r College Course	CTD Lakki Mar	wat 102
149	L-10977	Abdur Rehman	9 , 112	CID ESKNI MIGI	
Page 3					

Allew Inspector legal Karak

Comp No: Name cult of failed re-appeared candidate of Lower College Course Term Ending 31.10.2018 L-10806 1298 Dir Upper Result of failed re-appeared candidate ower College Course Term Ending 20.06,2018 PPC, PR, MJ, Ist, AC, FP Sartaj Ali Kohat 1131

> Dr. Masood Saleem (PSP) Commandant, Police Training College, Hangu.

No. 883 - 927/S dated Hangu, the *13* /03/2019.

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- The Addl IGP HQrs Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
- The Addl: IGP/Commandant FRP HQrs, Khyeber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- The Addl: IGP/Commandant Elite Force, Khyeber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- The Addl: IGP/SB, Khyeber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- The Capital City Police Officer, Peshawar.
- The DI, sG of Police Bannu, D.I.Khan, Kohat, Mardan, Malakand and Hazara Regions.
- The DI, sG Training, Traffic and CTD, Kryber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 9. The Commandant Campus Peace Corps University of Peshawar.
- 10. The DPO's, Abbottabad, Bannu, Battagram, Buner, Charsadda, Chitral, D.I.Khan, Dir Lower, Dir Upper, Hangu, Haripur, Karak, Kohat, Kohistan, Lakki Marwat, Mansehra, Mardan, Nowshera, Swabi, Swat, Shangla, Tank & Toor Ghar.
- 11. The S. sP FRP/SPL Bannu, Peshawar, D.I.Khan, Hazara, Malakand and Kohat.

12. The Office Superintendent PTC Hangu.

Dr. Masödd Sideem (PSP)

Commandant,

Police Training College, Hangu.

Asuad

Prepared

Checked and found correct

Karak



### ANCE REPORT

Starting Date

College Course

District/Unit

07.11.2018

Lower

:Karak

:274

Belt No. Education

PPWP

PFW/QS

**Ending Date** 

: 10.03.2019 : Ghani Ur Rehman

Name

:1.-11247

Comp# Company

:Suleman

Merit

:85

Term Qu		10.03.				
Subjects	"Marks obtained	Marks allotted	<del></del>	Marks obtained	Marks allotted	Remarks
P	aper No: I	J	Parade	25	/50.00	Declared Passed
PPC	1		PT	15	/30,00	
PR	· ·		FC	26	/50.00	
MJ/AC	254	/400.00	DPA	42	/70,00	
		]	A.Fire SMG	200	/200.00	
Ist/I <sup>:</sup> P	aper No: II	<u> </u>	G3.l'ire	70	/100.00	
	1	<u>'                                    </u>	ΛC	69	/110.00	•
CrPC	.]	,	R.On P.O's	16	/30.00	
LSL	200	/400.00	Ambush	14	/30,00	
FCT	288	/400.00	Naka Bandi	16	/30.00	

/800 542 Total Overall %age is: 69.00

493

/700.00

1035 / 1500,00 Grand Total

Leave: Iday, M. Rest:Nil, Absence:Nil, Punishment: Nil,Reward:Nil

Naka Bandi

Total

Checkedyahid found correct

Incharge Secrecy

Inspector legal

Karak

Controller of examinations For Commandant, Police Training College, Hangu.



No: 9015-22 /E-IV,

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KITYBER PAKITUNKHWA CENTRAL POLICE OFFICE, PESHAWAR.

Peshawar the 22 /10/2018

### ORDER

In compliance with the order dated 28.01.2014 passed by Peshawar High Court Peshawar in Writ Petition No. 3117-P/2013 and subsequent COC 625-P/2017, one extra scat for Lower School Course is hereby allotted to the petitioner namely Ghani-ur-Rehman No. 274 of District Karak provisionally subject to the outcome of CPLA. His seniority will be reckoned with his colleagues who will be undergoing the Lower School Course with him. The timings of course shall not violate seniority of others.

dated

-Sd/-SALAH-UD-DIN KHAN Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

### Endst: No. and dated even

Copy forwarded to the:-

1. Registrar, Peshawar High Court Peshawar.

2. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kohat Region, Kohat.

2. Commandant Police Training College Hangu with the direction to make entry in his service record.

4. PSO to worthy Inspector Géneral of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

5. Assit: Inspector General of Police, Legal, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

6. District Police Officer Karak with the direction to make entry in his service record.

7. Supdt: of Police, Courts and Litigation, CPO Peshawar.

M M inspector legal Karak (ZAIB ULLAII KHAN)PSP
AIG/Establishment,
For Inspector General of Police,
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Peshawar.

### ORDER

(2j)

In compliance with the W/DIG HQrs KP Peshawar vide letter No. 387/Legal dated 02 02 2023 received under the W/RPO Kohat Endst; No. 2238/EC dated 20 02 2023, the Lower School Course seniority of LHC Ghani Ur Rehman No. 274 is hereby fixed below LHC Zeeshan Haidar No. 5821/FRP and above LHC Altaf Ahmad No 5103/FRP as per term ending i.e. 10.03.2019 and merit with immediate effect.

District Police Officer, Karak

### OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER KARAK

No. 1212 - 14 IEC dated Karak the 12 / 34 12023

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to:-

The Dy Inspector General of Police, HQrs Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar w/r to his letter quoted above, please

The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region Kohat w/r to his letter quoted above, please

The Registrar, KP Service Tribunal, Peshawar w/r to Service Appeal No 7240/2020

4 LHC Ghani Ur Rehman No 274

District Police Officer, Karak

Meul Mu Inspector legal Karak

ORDINA. This order will dispose of the departmental representation of LHC Ghani ur Rehman No. 274 of district Karak. The brief, yet revealed, facts of the matter are that the petitioner LHC Ghanir ur Rehman No. 274 filed service appeal in the Service Tribunal KP Peshawar for revision of his seniority. The Services Tribunal KP Peshawar remitted the departmental representation / appeal to this office with the direction to decide the same through a speaking order strictly in accordance with law and rules.

The appellant passed B-I examination in the year 2012 but due to allocation of 10 seats for district Karak, he was not selected for Lower School Course as he stood at S No. 12. The petitioner, alongwith others, filed a writ petition in Peshawar High Court vide No. 3117-P/2013. for selection to Lower School Course, which was allowed in 2013. The said judgment was challenged by the department through CPLA in Apex Court. In the meanwhile, CPO Peshawar increased the age limit for B-I examination from 33 to 40 years with unlimited attempts for B-I. After this extension in age, the petitioner continuously failed in the exam of B-I since 2014 to 2017. Later, he filed a CoC No. 3117-P/2013, in the aforementioned Writ Petition in Peshawar High Court. In pursuance thereof, the CPO Peshawar allotted one extra seat to him for Lower School Course with the conditions that his seniority will be reckoned with his colleagues who will be undergoing the Lower School Course with him. The timing of course shall not violate seniority of others.

The appellant was called and heard in person on 06.11.2023. The relevant record was perused which transpired that he passed Lower College Course in the year 2019. After passing Lower School Course, his name was brought on list C-1 alongwith other colleagues who had passed Lower School Course in the term ending 10.03.2019. Moreover, his seniority has been fixed by the DPO Karak vide order No. 1212-14/EC dated 12.04.2023 with his colleagues. The contention of the petitioner for granting him ante dated seniority from the year 2012 will amount to our of turn promotion which have been declared null and void by the Supreme Court of Pakistan.

Foregoing in view, the representation of the petitioner is hereby dismissed, being without substance and merit.

<u>Order Announced</u> *06.11.2023* 

> Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region

No. <u>11685-St</u>EC, Dated Kohat the  $\frac{7}{1}$ /2023

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to Inspector General of Police, Knyber Pakhunkhwa Peshawar.

District Police Officer, Karak.

Kohat Region

### JUDGMENT SHEET

# IN THE PESHAWAR HIGH OURT, PESHAWAR,

**IJUDICIAL DEPARTMENT** 

### COC No.863-P/2019 in W.P No.3281-P/2013.

Muhammad Tariq Vs Dr. Muhammad Naeem Khan, IGP KPK & others

For petitioner:

Mr. Ashrif Ali Khattak, Advocate

For Respondents:

Mr. Kamran Murtaza, AAG.

### **JUDGMENT**

MUHAMMAD FAHEEM WALI, J.- Through the instant petition, petitioner, has sought the following relief:

It is, therefore, prayed that on acceptance of this petition, the respondents/contemnors may kindly the worthy implement directed .be order/judgment of this Honorable Court dated 28.01,2014 and create extra seat for lower school course in the forthcoming training at PTC Hangu treating the petitioner at par Ghani ur Rahman and Faizullah and contempt of court proceedings may also be initiated against the respondents/contemnors to punish them according to law.

2. In essence, grievance of the petitioner is that he, being qualified, remained unsuccessful to be selected for Lower School Course; resultantly, he filed a Writ Petition No. 3281-P/2013, which was allowed by this Hon'ble Court, directing the respondents to include his name in the list of the candidates for the next Lower

(24)

School Course; however, on reluctance of respondents; he, filed this petition.

- 3. Respondents were put on notice to file reply which they have submitted, wherein, they have opposed the stance taken by the petitioner.
- 4. Arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AAG were heard and record perused.
- 5. The record would show that petitioner seeks implementation of the Order of this Court dated 28.01.2014 with direction to the respondents to create an extra seat for Lower School Course in the next coming training programme at PTC, Hangu by treating him at par with Ghani ur Rehman and Faizullah with further prayer to punish respondents by initiating contempt of court proceeding against them under the relevant law. Since, the petitioner prays for implementation of the Judgment passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.2698-P/2013, whereby through a consolidated Judgment, a number of writ petitions has been given alike treatment including the writ petition filed by him in Writ Petition No.3281-P/2013, therefore, it would not be out of place to reproduce its operative part as mentioned in Para No.6 of the Judgment:-

"Accordingly, for the reasons stated hereinabove, all the writ petitions are allowed and the respondents are directed to include the names of the petitioners in the list of candidates of their respective Districts, for the forthcoming course,



(25)

scheduled to be commenced with effect from 01.04.2014, as the current course, which commenced from 04.10.2013 is about to conclude just after a month."

6. The respondents, being aggrieved of the aforesaid Judgment knocked at the doors of the Apex Court, whereby, petitions for leave to appeal were converted into appeal and disposed of with the following observation:-

"that the persons, who after age relaxation are qualified to appear in A1 and B1 examination shall be eligible to undergo the training and for that purpose a Committee shall be constituted by the competent authority who shall determine their merit along with other candidates strictly in terms of the Standing Order/P.P.B No.13 of 2014."

- 7. For the purpose of explanation, P.P.B No.13 of 2014 is Police Policy Board's decision dated 12.02.2014 which is the criteria following which, the selection of candidates for A1 & B1 examination is made on merit.
- 8. Considering the above yardstick, the respondents were required to follow the aforesaid judgments in its true letter and spirit and in this regard, perusal of the record reveals that the petitioner has been provided more than ample opportunities to pass the requisite exams but it appears that the petitioner despite having been provided numerous opportunities, has not appeared in the B1 exam in the year 2014 thus declared absent. Though, he appeared for the aforesaid

(26)

test in the year 2015, but failed but failed to qualify the same. The clock does not stop here and the history kept on repeating itself, as the petitioner has regularly taken up the exams conducted for the B1 course but did not make any impact and failed to pass the requisite exam held for the purpose since the year 2016 till filing the instant contempt of Court petition.

- 2. Conjoint effect of the aforesaid discussion leads us to a conclusion that the department had been constantly providing opportunities to the petitioner to qualify the requisite test and thus, be able to upgrade his career but unfortunately, he has not been able to clear the requisite examination on regular basis, the responsibility whereof cannot be shouldered by the respondents nor they have apparently violated any of the orders passed by this Court, thus, no case of contempt for court has been made out against the respondents.
- 10. For what has been discussed above, the petition in hand being meritless stands dismissed.

Announced: 20.02.2024

JUDGE

B) Mr. Justice Ijaz Anwar and Mr. Justice Muhammad Faheem Wali, Hon'ble Judges

Amjad Ali,SSS)

Service Appeal No. 88/2023<sub>1</sub> Ghani Ur Rehman, LHC, Belt No.274, Police Force, Karak

..... Appellant

### <u>VERSUS</u>

Inspector General of police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others

..... Respondents

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Khan Khail Khan District Police Officer, Karak do hereby solemnly affirm on oath that the contents of Para-wise comments on behalf of respondent's No. 1 to 3 are correct to the best of my knowledge/ belief. Nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Service Tribunal.

It is further stated on oath that inthis
appeal, the answering respondents have neither
been placed expanse nor their defense
has been stonet 877/Cost.

(K

District Police Officer, Karak

(Respondent No.3)

(KHAN KHAIL KHAN)Provi: Incumbent



1 2 JUN 2024