

01.08.2024

Learned counsel for the appellant present and argued that appellant was transferred vide order dated 19.03.2024. That all of a sudden, respondents withdrew the transfer order of the appellant during ban period without any cogent reason. He further argued that during this short span of time appellant was transferred thrice which is against the transfer/posting policy. Feeling aggrieved, he filed departmental appeal, which was rejected vide order dated 20.06.2024 and communicated to the appellant on 24.06.2024. Points raised need consideration. Appeal in hand is admitted for regular hearing subject to all just and legal objections. Appellant is directed to deposit security fee within seven days, thereafter, notices be issued to the appellant for submission of written reply. Respondents be summoned through TCS the expenses of which be deposited by the appellant within three days. To come up for written reply/comments on 15.08.2024 before S.B. P.P given to learned counsel for the appellant.

2. Alongwith the appeal there is an application for suspension of operation of orders dated 23.04.2024 and 20.06.2024. Notice of the application be issued to the respondents. In the meanwhile, operation of orders dated 23.04.2024 and 20.06.2024 suspended, if not acted upon earlier.



(Rashida Bano)
Member (J)