

INDEX

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

EXECUTION NO _____

APPEAL NO	INSTITUTION	ORIGINAL INSTITUTION	DECISION	PAGES
12226/2019	-	30-09-2019	31-05-24	61

M. Saqib vs *Prison*

Sr.No.	No of Pages	Documents	Page No
Part-A			
1	01 - 01	Order	01
2	02 - 12	Order sheets	11
3	13 - 13	Wakalatnama	01
4	14 - 36	Memo of Appeal	23
5	37 - 37	Wakalatnama	01
6	38 - 49	Reply	12
7	50 - 61	Notices	12
8	-		
9	-		
10	-		
11	-		
12	-		
Part-B			
1	-		
2	-		
3	-		
Total Pages in Part-A		0	61
Total Pages in Part-B		0	0

Muhamad 10/07/24
Muharir compilation

[Signature]
Incharge Judicial Branch 10/7/24



GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
LAW, PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS &
HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT

70

(Agenda Item No. 10)

No. SOL/LAW/19-23(16)/REV/2023/1496-150;
Dated Peshawar the 22-02-2023

To

1. The Advocate General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Senior Member Board of Revenue, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. The Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Communication & Works Department.
4. The Secretary to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Relief & Rehabilitation Department.

R.F.A alongwith two separate applications condone delay and suspension of duty and sent to Civil Branch for further necessary action. W.F.F. 23/2/23

Subject:

REFERENCE NO. 24 OF 2021 SAID ROMIN VERSUS GOVERNMENT OF KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY AND OTHERS

I am directed to refer to Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (BoR) Revenue & Estate Department letter No.Rev-V/4/C/Shangla/2022/4617-20 and Deputy Commissioner, Shangla letter No.1990-957/HO/DC/SH D-Complex, dated: 15.02.2023 on the subject noted above and to state that a meeting of the Scrutiny Committee has been held on 22-02-2023 under the Chairmanship of Secretary Law Department in order to determine the fitness of the subject case for filing of RFA before Peshawar High Court.

After threadbare discussion on the subject case particularly hearing the stance of Administrative Department, it was decided with consensus by the Scrutiny Committee that the subject case is a fit case for filing of RFA before Peshawar High Court alongwith application for condonation of delay application. However, the Administrative Department was advised to conduct inquiry against the delinquent officers / officials on fault of whom the subject case became time barred.

Therefore, the Administrative Department is advised to approach the office of Advocate General Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through well conversant representative alongwith complete records of the case for doing the needful forthwith, please.

Yours faithfully,

*Mr. Saikat Khan
PLG DfG*

*23-2-23
12-35 P.M*

(TAHIR IQBAL KHATTAK)
SOLICITOR
LAW DEPARTMENT

*Record Forwarded to
23-2-23
12:32 P.M
D. M. M.
SOLICITOR*

Encl: No & Date Even
Copy forwarded to the:

1. Director General PERRA, KPK, Abbottabad.
2. Deputy Commissioner, Shangla.
3. PS to Secretary Law Department.

Needful done

SECRETARIAL OFFICE

SA 1226/2019


31st May, 2024 01. Mr. Yasir Saleem Advocate for the appellant present.
Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents
present. Arguments heard and record perused.


02. Vide our detailed judgment consisting of 07 pages, in
connected Service Appeal No. 1324/2019, titled "Raqibaz
Versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary
Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar and others",
impugned order is set aside and the appeal is allowed as prayed

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

for. Cost shall follow the event. Consign.

03. *Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under
our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 31st day of May,
2024.*


(FARHANA PAUL)
Member (E)


(RASHIDA BANO)
Member(J)

Fazal Subhan PS

2

SA.1226/19

14.05.2024 01. Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Arshad Azam, Assistant A.G alongwith Ghulam Mustafa Law Officer for the respondents present.

SCANNED
K. P. P.
Peshawar

02. Since other similar appeals have been adjourned due to non-availability of learned counsel for the appellants in that appeals, instant appeal is also adjourned but as absolute last chance. In case of failure, no other opportunity will be granted and the case will be decided without the arguments. To come up for arguments on 27.05.2024 before the D.B. PP given to the parties.

(Farceha Paul)
Member(E)

(Rashida Bano)
Member(U)

27.05.2024 *Fazle Subhan, P.S*
1. Junior to learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan learned District Attorney alongwith Ghulam Mustafa, Law Officer for the respondents present.


2. Miss Farecha Paul, learned Member (Executive) is on leave, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 31.05.2024 before D.B. P.P given to parties.

(Rashida Bano)
Member (I)

20.12.2023 1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah learned Deputy District Attorney alongwith Suleman Khan, Law Officer for the respondents present.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant as well as representative of respondent are directed produce job description of the appellant on the next date. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 22.01.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar


(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)


(Rashida Bano)
Member (J)


KaleemUllah


22nd Jan. 2024

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.

2. In view of the order sheet dated 28.11.2023, this case be fixed before a bench of which one of us (Chairman) is not a member. To come up for arguments on 14.05.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar


(Salah Ud Din)
Member (J)


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Mutazem Shah


21.06.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General for official respondents present.

Learned Member (Executive) Ms. Fareeha Paul is on leave, therefore, bench is incomplete. To come up for arguments on 09.10.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

Naeem Amin


(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)


09.10.2023


Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Amir Hayat, Law Officer alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Since connected nature appeals have been adjourned to 20.12.2023, therefore, the appeal in hand is also adjourned for the said date. To come up for arguments on 20.12.2023 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

Naeem Amin


(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)


(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)

20.03.2023

Appellant present through counsel.

Fazal Shah Mohmand, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents present.

Former made a request for adjournment in order to prepare the brief. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 25.05.2023 before D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

(Muhammad Akbar Khan)
Member (E)

(Rozina Rehman)
Member (J)

25th May, 2023

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

1. Learned counsel for appellant present. Mr. Fazal Shah Mohmand, Additional Advocate General for respondents present.
2. This case is adjourned in view of order sheet dated 28.11.2022. To come up for arguments on 21.06.2023 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman


Mutazem Shah

28th Nov. 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak,
Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

This case be fixed before a bench of which one of us
(learned Chairman) is not a member. To come up for arguments
on 01.02.2023 before the D.B.

SCANNED
KEBT
Peshawar


(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

01.02.2023

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad
Riaz Khan Painsakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the
respondents present.

Since connected Service Appeal No. 1554/2019 titled
"Muhammad Zahid Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
through Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar and two
others" has been adjourned to 20.03.2023, therefore, this appeal is
also adjourned to the said date. To come up for arguments on
20.03.2023 before the D.B.

SCANNED
KEBT
Peshawar



(FAREEHA PAUL)
Member(E)



(SALAH-UD-DIN)
Member (J)

13.09.2022

Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Riaz Khan Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he has not made preparation for arguments. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 02.11.2022 before the D.B.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (Executive)


(Salah-Ud-Din)
Member (Judicial)

2nd Nov., 2022

Counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Kabirullah Khattak, Addl. Advocate General for the respondents present.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment in order to further prepare the brief. Last opportunity is granted. To come up for arguments on 28.11.2022 before the D.B.


(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)


(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

28.12.2021


Due to Winter Vacations, the case is adjourned to 12.04 2022 for the same as before.



READER

12.04.2022

Nemo for the appellant. Mr. Suleman, Senior Instructor alongwith Mr. Riaz Ahmed Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present and submitted para-wise comments on behalf of the respondents, which are placed on file.

Previous date was changed on Reader Note, therefore, notice for prosecution of the appeal be issued to the appellant as well as his counsel and to come up for rejoinder, if any, as well as arguments on 24.06.2022 before the D.B.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)


(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)

24.06.2022

Appellant in person present. Mr. Atta Muhammad, Law Officer alongwith Mr. Riaz Ahmed Paindakhel, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

Appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that his counsel is busy in the august Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 13.09.2022 before the D.B.


(Mian Muhammad)
Member (E)


(Salah-ud-Din)
Member (J)

9

03.08.2021

Counsel for the appellant present. Preliminary arguments heard.

Points raised need consideration. The appeal is admitted for hearing subject to all legal objections including that of limitation to be determined during full hearing. The appellant is directed to deposit security and process fee within 10 days. Thereafter, notices be issued to the respondents for submission of written reply/comments in office within 10 days after receipt of notices, positively. If the written reply/comments are not submitted within the stipulated time, or extension of time is not sought through written application with sufficient cause, the office shall submit the file with a report of non-compliance. File to come up for arguments on 28.12.2021 before the D.B.

Appellant Deposited
Security & Process Fee

[Handwritten signature]
63/8/21

Chairman *[Handwritten signature]*


P

2021

29.10.2020

Appellant present in person.

Lawyers are on general strike, therefore, case is adjourned to 14.01.2021 for preliminary hearing, before S.B.



(Rozina Rehman)
Member (J)

14.01.2021

Mr. Ibrar Alám, Advocate, for appellant is present.

In view of the arguments addressed at the bar by the learned counsel representing appellant, it is deemed appropriate to issue pre-admission notice to respondents for 14.04.2021, simultaneously, directing them to submit their reply/comments before S.B.



(MUHAMMAD JAMAL KHAN)
MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

14.04.2021

Due to demise of the Worthy Chairman, the Tribunal is non-functional, therefore, case is adjourned to 03.08.2021 for the same as before.



Reader

11

18.02.2020

Appellant in person present and seeks adjournment as his counsel is not available being indisposed. Adjourn. To come up for preliminary hearing on 01.04.2020 before S.B.



Member

01.04.2020


Due to public holiday on account of COVID-19, the case is adjourned to 24.06.2020 for the same. To come up for the same as before S.B.



Reader

24.06.2020


Appellant in person present and seeks adjournment as his learned counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for preliminary hearing on 20.08.2020 before S.B.



MEMBER

20.08.2020

Counsel for the appellant present. Former requests for adjournment as he has not prepared the brief. Adjourned to 29.10.2020 before S.B.



(Mian Muhammad)
Member(E)





12

Form- A

FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Case No.- 1226/2019

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1-	07/10/2019	<p>The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Saqib resubmitted today by Mr. Ibrar Ahmad Advocate may be entered in the Institution Register and put up to the Worthy Chairman for proper order please.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> REGISTRAR</p>
2-	14/10/19	<p>This case is entrusted to S. Bench for preliminary hearing to be put up there on <u>28/11/19</u>.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> CHAIRMAN</p> <p>Nemo for appellant.</p> <p>Notice be issued to appellant/counsel for preliminary hearing before S.B on 07.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Chairman</p>
	07.01.2020	<p>Mr. Qamar Zaman, Advocate has submitted Wakalatnama executed by the appellant in his favour. The same is placed on record.</p> <p>Requests for time to further document the appeal by placing on record the copy of rejection order of departmental appeal.</p> <p>Adjourned to 18.02.2020 before S.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> Chairman</p>

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar
28.11.2019

A-17

13



27067		
ایڈوکیٹ:	پشاور بار ایسوسی ایشن، خیبر پختونخواہ	
بار کونسل / ایسوسی ایشن نمبر:		
رابطہ نمبر:		

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

بعدالت جناب: سروس ٹریبونل خیبر پختونخواہ ایسٹام

منجانب: <u>محمد نقاب</u>	دعویٰ: <u>سروس ایپل</u>
محمد نقاب	علت نمبر: _____
بنام	مورخہ: <u>07/01/2020</u>
گورنمنٹ آف خیبر پختونخواہ وغیرہ	جرم: _____
	تھانہ: _____

باعث تحریر آنکہ

مقدمہ مندرجہ عنوان بالا میں اپنی طرف سے واسطے پیروی و جواب دہی کاروائی متعلقہ آن مقام لشمارہ کیلئے عمر زمانہ سندھ رائیو کیس کو دیکھ کر مقرر کر کے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کہ صاحب موصوف کو مقدمہ کی کل کاروائی کا کامل اختیار ہوگا، نیز وکیل صاحب کو راضی نامہ کرنے و تقرر ثالث و فیصلہ برحلف دینے جواب دعویٰ اقبال دعویٰ اور درخواست از ہر قسم کی تصدیق زریں پر دستخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا، نیز بصورت عدم پیروی یا ڈگری یکطرفہ یا اپیل کی برآمدگی اور منسوخی، نیز دائر کرنے اپیل نگرانی و نظر ثانی و پیروی کرنے کا مختار ہوگا اور بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکورہ کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو اپنے ہمراہ یا اپنے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار ہوگا اور صاحب مقرر شدہ کو وہی جملہ مذکورہ باختیارات حاصل ہوں گے اور اس کا ساختہ پرداختہ منظور و قبول ہوگا دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ ہر جانہ التوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے ہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ پیشی مقام دورہ یا حد سے باہر ہو تو وکیل صاحب پابند نہ ہوں گے کہ پیروی مذکورہ کریں، لہذا وکالت نامہ لکھ دیا تاکہ سند رہے

المقوم: _____
 الع بد _____
 الع واہ شد _____
 مقام _____
 محمد نقاب (ایبیلنٹ)
 کے لیے منظور ہے۔

نوٹ: اس وکالت نامہ کی فوٹوکاپی ناقابل قبول ہوگی۔


14

The appeal of Mr. Muhammad Saqib son of Niaz Badshah Warder attached to District Jail Kohat received today i.e. on 30.09.2019 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

- 1- Memorandum of appeal may be got signed by the appellant.
- 2- Copies of charge sheet, statement of allegations, show cause notice, enquiry report and replies thereto are not attached with the appeal which may be placed on it.
- 3- Annexures of the appeal are not in sequence which may be annexed serial wise as mentioned in the memo of appeal.

No. 1680 /S.T;

Dt. 1-10-2019.



REGISTRAR
SERVICE TRIBUNAL
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
PESHAWAR.

Mr. Ibrar Ahmad Adv. Kohat.

Respected Sir,

The instant appeal has been hereby returned with corrections as directed by your good self.

Yours truly,



Muddases Iqbal
Advocate

05-10-2019

15

BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Muhammad Saqib¹²²⁶..... Petitioner / Appellant

SEAL NED
K-PT
KOHAT

VERSUS

Govt. Khyber Pakhtun Khwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department
KPK and others

..... Respondents

INDEX

S. No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1	Memo of Appeal		1-4
2	Affidavit & Correct Addresses		5-6
3	Copy of charge sheet	A	7
4	Statement of allegation	B	8
5	Show cause notice	C	9
6	Enquiry report and reply	D	10
7	KPK Service Tribunal appeal No 486/2013 dated 01-09-2015	E & F	11-17
8	Supreme Court Order dated 17-12-2015	G	18
9	Mercy Appeal to KPK CM	H	19-20
10	Wakalatnama		21

Petitioner / Appellant

Through:

Ibrar Alam Advocate
High Court

Muddaser Jalil
Advocate
District Courts Kohat

(17) (2)

**TREATED AS EXTRA ORDINARY LEAVE
(LEAVE WITHOUT PAY)**

PRAYER IN APPEAL:

On acceptance of this appeal the impugned order dated 11-04-2019 may please be set aside and the intervening period (12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016) treated as extra ordinary leave (leave without pay) of the appellants may kindly be counted as active service of appellants along with annual increment. Appellants also seek any other suitable remedy which the hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit.

Respectfully Sheweth:

The appellants submit as under:

1. That earlier the appellant was awarded major penalty of "Dismissal from service" vide order dated 12-12-2012 under KPK Govt. Servants (E&D) Rules 2011 in the backdrop of Bannu Jail break incident occurred on 14/15 April, 2012.
2. That later on, the appellant availed departmental appeal which maintained the penalty and rejected the appeal vide order dated 23-01-2013.
3. That aggrieved from the said order, the appellant approached this forum. The appeal of the appellant was accepted and the case was remanded back to respondents vide its judgment dated 01-09-2015 to initiate fresh departmental proceedings against the appellant and appellant was to be provided with meaningful and adequate opportunity of defence and personal hearing. (judgment of hon'ble Tribunal dated 01-09-2015)

(18) (3)

attached). The same judgment was upheld by Supreme Court vide its order dated 17-12-2015.

4. That in compliance of orders of this tribunal, the respondents conducted denovo formal proceedings against the appellants vide order dated 23-01-2017 after his reinstatement on 20-01-2016.
5. That after completion of proceeding against the appellant, the appellant was awarded major penalty of "Reduction to a lower stage in a time scale for a maximum period of 03 years". Further, the intervening period of appellant, i.e. from the date of dismissal from service of appellant on the basis of an earlier enquiry to the date of reinstatement of appellants in service (from 12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016), was treated as extra ordinary leave (leave without pay.
6. That being aggrieved from the impugned order of respondents dated 11-04-2019, the appellant has approached to this forum on the following grounds:

GROUND:

1. That the order of the respondents dated 11-04-2019 is baseless and illegal therefore not sustainable in the eyes of law.
2. That on one hand the appellant was awarded major penalty of reduction to a lower stage in a time scale of 03 years while on other hand the period during which the appellant was dismissed by the respondents was treated as leave without pay which is against the law.
3. That the period of dismissal (12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016) vide order of respondents dated 12-12-2012 was already impugned and set aside by this Tribunal vide its earlier judgment dated

19 4
01-09-2015 hence dismissal period cannot be treated as leave without pay

4. That this Tribunal vide its judgment dated 01-09-2015 had impugned the order of respondents dated 12-12-2012, which dismissed the appellant, as it had not afforded the appellants fair opportunity of defence and personal hearing. In such like situation, the period of dismissal is not a fault on part of appellant but only the respondents.
5. That impugned order of respondents dated 11-04-2019 is based on malafide hence liable to be set aside.
6. Any other grounds may be taken during the course of arguments with the permission of this tribunal.

THEREFORE, it is humbly submitted that the instant appeal may kindly be accepted and decided in favour of appellant as prayed for.

Through:


Petitioner

Ibrar Alam Advocate
High Court

&


Muddaser Jalil
Advocate
District Courts Kohat

(16)

(1)

BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHWAR

Appeal No = 1226 / 19

Muhammad Saqib S/o Niaz Badshah warder, attached to District Jail KohatPetitioner/Appellant

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal

VS

Diary No. 1327

Dated 30/9/2019

1. Govt. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department KPK
2. Inspector General Prisons KPK
3. Assistant Director for Inspector General prisons KPK
4. Superintendent Circle Headquarter Peshawar.
5. Superintendent Central Prison Bannu.

.....Respondents

APPEAL U/S 4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 READ WITH SECTION 19 OF THE GOVT. SERVANTS (E&D) RULES 2011 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER OF THE RESPONDENTS DATED 11/04/2019 WHEREBY THE APPELLANTS HAVE BEEN AWARDED MAJOR PENALTY OF "REDUCTION TO A LOWER STAGE IN A TIME SCALE FOR A MINIMUM PERIOD OF 3 YEARS" AS WELL AS THE INTERVENING PERIOD i.e. FROM THE DATE OF DISMISSAL FROM SERVICE ON THE BASIS OF AN EARLIER ENQUIRY TO THE DATE OF REINSTATEMENT IN SERVICE (2-12-2012 TO 20-01-2016) OF THE APPELLANTS WAS

Filed to-day
 Registrar
 30/9/19

Registrar
 30/9/19

(20) (5)

BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK PESHAWAR

Muhammad Saqib Petitioner / Appellant

VERSUS

Govt. Khyber Pakhtun Khwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department
KPK and others

..... Respondents


CORRECT ADDRESS

PETITIONER / APPELLANT:

**Muhammad Saqib S/o Naiz Badshah warder, attached to
District Jail Kohat**

RESPONDENTS:

1. Govt. Khyber Pakhtun Khwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department KPK
2. Inspector General Prisons KPK
3. Assistant Director for Inspector General prisons KPK
4. Superintendent Circle Headquarter Peshawar
5. Superintendent Central Prison Bannu.


Petitioner / Appellant

Through:

Ibrar Alam Advocate
High Court 

&


Muddaser Jalil
Advocate
District Courts Kohat

(2) 6

BEFORE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KPK, PESHAWAR

Muhammad Saqib

.....Petitioner/Appellant


VS

Govt. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home and Tribal
Department KPK & others

.....Respondents

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Saqib S/o Niaz Badshah R/o Kabir Kalay Sabir
Abad, Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that
the contents of the instant appeal are true and correct the best of
my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this
Honourable Tribunal.




DEPONENT

Muhammad Saqib

S/o Niaz Badshah

CNIC:14202-3393373-3



ATTESTED
Anwar Zohri Ocate
Oath Commissioner
Peshawar

(22) (7)

CHARGE SHEET

I, **Abid Saeed**, Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as competent authority, hereby charge you **Muhammad Saqib**, as follows:

That you, while posted as **warder at Central Prison Bannu** committed the following irregularities:

You were assigned the duties of Sentry Superintendent inside Main Gate on the night between 14/15-4-2012 duly armed but you showed cowardice and failed to fire and confront militants effectively with the result that there was no enemy loss and the militants took full advantage and succeeded in Bannu Jail break on the above night. Resultantly, 381 prisoners including high profile prisoners were got released, beside other damages .

2. By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of inefficiency/misconduct under rule-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in rule-4 of the rules ibid.
3. You are, therefore required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this **Charge Sheet** to the Inquiry Officer, as the case may be.
4. Your written defence, if any, should reach the Inquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall be taken against you.
5. Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person .
6. A statement of allegations is enclosed.

Abid Saeed
12/1/2017

(CHIEF SECRETARY)
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

23

8

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

I, Abid Saeed, Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as the competent authority, am of the opinion that warder Muhammad Saqib presently attached to High Security Prison, Mardan has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against, as he committed the following acts/ omissions, within the meaning of Rule-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants(Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

While attached to Central Prison Bannu, he was assigned the duties of Sentry Superintendent inside Main Gate on the night between 14/15-4-2012 duly armed but he showed cowardice and failed to fire and confront militants effectively, with the result that there was no enemy loss and the militants took full advantage and succeeded in Bannu Jail break on the above night. Resultantly, 381 prisoners including high profile prisoners were got released, beside other damages.

2. For the purpose of inquiry against the said accused with reference to the above allegations, an Inquiry Officer/Inquiry Committee, consisting of the following is constituted under Rule-10(1)(a) of the ibid rules:-

- i. Mr. Tasheem Khan
- ii. _____

3. The Inquiry Officer/Inquiry Committee shall, in accordance with the provisions of the ibid rules, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record its findings and make, within thirty days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to the punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

4. The accused and a well conversant representative of the department shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Inquiry officer/Inquiry Committee.

Abid Saeed
12/1/2017
(CHIEF SECRETARY)
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

(24) (9) bll

SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I, Muhammad Azam Khan, Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as competent authority, under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011, do hereby serve you, Mr. Muhammad Saqib, Warder (BS-05), Central Prison Bannu, as follows:

1. (i) that consequent upon the completion of inquiry conducted against you by the inquiry officer for which you were given opportunity of hearing by the Inquiry officer on 25.02.2017; and.
- (ii) on going through the findings and recommendations of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee, the material on record and other connected papers including your defence before the inquiry officer;-

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions specified in rule 3 of the said rules.


(d) Inefficiency / misconduct.

2. As a result thereof, I, as competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you the penalty of removal from service under rule 4 of the said rules.

3. You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

4. If no reply to this notice is received within seven days or not more than fifteen days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

5. A copy of findings of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee is enclosed.


(Muhammad Azam Khan)
CHIEF SECRETARY,
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA.



Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Home & Tribal Affairs Department.

ORDER

No. SO(P&R)/HD/8-4/Bannu Jail Break/2018/Vol-I: WHEREAS, Muhammad Saqib Warder (BPS-05) of the Prisons Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, was proceeded against under Rule-3 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 for the charges mentioned in the charge sheets served upon him.

AND WHEREAS, the competent authority i.e the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa appointed Mr. Tasleem Khan (PMS-BS18) Deputy Commissioner Haripur vide order No.SO (Com/Enq)/HD/1-40/2012 dated 23.01.2017 for conducting denovo formal proceedings against the above named accused.

AND WHEREAS, the inquiry officer furnished his findings according to which the charges leveled against the above named accused official stand proved.

AND WHEREAS, the competent authority granted opportunity of personal hearing to the accused under the rules.

NOW THEREFORE, the competent authority (the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) after having considered the charges, evidence on record, the explanation of the accused official, findings of the inquiry officer exercising his powers under rule-3 read with Rule-14 (5) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 has been pleased to award major penalty of "**Reduction to a lower stage in a time scale for a maximum period of three (03) years**" to the above named accused official.

The Competent Authority has further been pleased to order that the intervening period i.e from the date of dismissal from service on the basis of an earlier enquiry to the date of reinstatement in service (from 12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016) in respect of the official is hereby treated as extra ordinary leave (leave without pay).

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Home & Tribal affairs Department

Endst No. SO(P&R)/HD/8-4/Bannu Jail Break/2018/Vol-I:

Dated 11.04.2019

C.c: -

1. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
3. PSO to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. PS to Secretary Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
5. PS to Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
6. District Account Officers concerned.
7. Official concerned.

(Maqsood Hassain)
Section Officer (P&R)

کھنڈر جناب چیف سیکریٹری صاحب صوبہ حیدرآباد کو خواہ

عنوان بہ جواب شہر کار نوٹس

جناب عالی

گزارش ہے کہ مورخہ 15-4-2012 کو میری ڈیوٹی رات 12 بجے سے لیکر 3 بجے تک سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب
 سٹریٹ جنیل سٹون کے رہائشی گھر پر بطور سٹریٹ لائٹنگ کی جگہ پر فائبرنگ کی آواز سننے
 تو میں پہلے سے زیادہ پریشان ہوا۔ اسی دوران سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب بھی گھر سے باہر نکل آئے۔ چونکہ
 اندھیرا اور لوڈ شیڈنگ کی وجہ سے کچھ نظر نہیں آ رہا تھا۔ سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب ڈیوٹی کی طرف
 روانہ ہوئے تو A/S صلاحت خان عظیم سید صاحب سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب کو اپنے کو اسٹریٹ لائٹنگ کے
 کیونکہ واقعہ کی اطلاع دے دی اور انہوں نے اس کے لیے تیس جملے اور ان کو دیکھ لیا تھا
 جہاں تک فائبرنگ کرنے کا تعلق ہے جناب عالی، میری جانب سے رہائشی علاقہ
 کی طرف کوئی جملہ آوری نہیں اور نہ ہی فائبرنگ کی۔ پھر سٹریٹ لائٹنگ کی ڈیوٹی کی جگہ پر موجود
 رہا تا کہ اگر کوئی جملہ آوری کی طرف آئے تو ان کا مقصد یہ کر سکیں
 جناب عالی! میں نے سول نمبر 11540 کی پاسداری کرتے ہوئے اپنے ڈیوٹی کی جگہ پر
 جو تکس کھڑا رہا۔ کہ وہ اپنی جان میں نے تبدیل نہیں کیا ہے اور نہ اپنے ڈیوٹی
 کی جگہ کو چھوڑا ہے۔

لہذا استدعا ہے کہ فائق کو وید لو رکھ دیا جائے آپ صاحب کے پاس سے کہہ کر
 کہ اس شہر کار سے میری کھانسی۔ سائل آپ کے اصرار کی بھرپور توقع رکھتا
 ہے۔


اکبر علی

وارڈر نمبر ناقص

فورم 17-12-5

(27) (14)

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**



Appeal No. 486/2013

Muhammad Saqib S/O Niaz Bad Shah, Ex-Warder, Central Jail
Bannu, R/O Kabir Kaly P.O Sabir Abad Tehsil & District
Karak..... (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through Secretary Home and Tribal Affairs Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Inspector General of Prison, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. Superintendent Circle Headquarters, Prison Peshawar.
4. The Superintendent Central Prison, Bannu.

(Respondents)

Appeal under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974, read with Section 19 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (E&D) Rules, 2011, against the Order dated 12.12.2012, whereby the appellant has been awarded the major penalty of "Dismissal from Service" against which his Departmental Appeal dated 27-12-2012 has also been rejected vide order dated 23.01.2013.

Prayer in Appeal: -

On acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 12-12-2012 and 23-01-2013, may please be set-aside and the appellant be re-instated in service with all back benefits of service.

Respectfully Submitted:

1. That the appellant was appointed as Warder in the Prison Department in the year 2010, and was posted in Bannu Prison. Ever since his appointment the appellant was performing his duties as assigned to him with full devotion and there was no complaint whatsoever regarding his performance.
2. That the appellant while performing his duties in Bannu Jail, in the mid night of 14/15 April, 2012, a good number of militants (more than 300) attacked the Jail with heavy weapons, the appellant along with other jail officials started firing at them, however they out numbered the security staff of the jail and managed in helping the escape of certain condemned prisoners from the Jail. They also damaged part of the Jail premises with their heavy weaponry.

Re-submitted to
and filed


27/2/13

Attested

01.09.2015

Counsel for the appellant (Mr. Ijaz Anwar, Advocate)

and Mr. Ziaullah, Government Pleader with Sheryar, ASI for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused. Vide our detailed judgment of to-day in connected appeal No. 484/2013, titled "Aminullah Versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home & T.As Department, Peshawar etc.", this appeal is also disposed of as per detailed judgment. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record.



ANNOUNCED
01.09.2015

Certified to be true copy

[Signature]
Secretary
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal
Peshawar

[Signature]
Member


[Signature]
Member

800
6
2
8
9-9-2015
9-9-2015
9-9-2015


Attended
[Signature]




Annex -



29
13



Sr. No.	Date of order/proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of Judge/ Magistrate
1	2	3
1.	01.09.2015	<p><u>KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.</u></p> <p>Service Appeal No. 4842013</p> <p>Aminullah Versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Hom & T.A Deptt. Peshawar etc.</p> <p><u>JUDGMENT</u></p> <p><u>PIR BAKHSH SHAH, MEMBER.</u>- Counsel for the appellant (Mr. Ijaz Anwar, Advocate) and Government Pleader (Mr. Ziaullah) with Sheryar, ASJ for the respondents present.</p> <p>2. On the night between 14th and 15th April, 2012 at about 1.30 AM militants reached in about 25 vehicles of different types and attacked the Bannu Central Jail. The militants were armed with automatic weapons. They broke open the main outer and inner gates using RPG and fired at boundary wall watchtower. Having secured entrance, they attacked barracks, broke open locks by firing and asked 382 prisoners to flee and move towards the nearby ping hills in the Frontier Region area. In this incident, the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa vide notification No.SO(Com/Eng)/HD/1-40/2012 dated 16.4.2012 constituted a five numbers enquiry committee headed by Dr. Ehsan-ul-Haq, then Director, Reform Management & Monitoring Unit, Chief Secretary's Office, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The committee submitted its elaborate report comprising of about 19 pages. To make the findings of this enquiry report as basis for departmental proceedings</p>



Attached
[Signature]

(30/11) (14)

against the appellants, the step of regular enquiry was dispensed with and the respective competent authorities straight-away issued show cause notices to the appellants. The appellants belong to various departments of the province. At the end of the day, the appellants were awarded punishment as follows:-

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Appeal No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Designation</u>	<u>Department</u>	<u>Punishment</u>	<u>Date of Order</u>
1.	484/2013	Aminullah	Warder	Prisons	Dismissed	12.12.2012
2.	485/2013	Mir Liaq	Warder	"	"	"
3.	486/2013	M. Saqib	Warder	"	"	"
4.	487/2013	Raqibaz	Warder	"	Reduction	"
5.	488/2013	Saved Khan	Warder	"	Dismissed	"
6.	489/2013	M. Ibrar	Warder	"	"	"
7.	490/2013	Abid Ullah	Warder	"	"	"
8.	491/2013	Asif Ali Shah	Warder	"	"	"
9.	492/2013	Hafiz Mir Hussan Shah	Warder	"	"	"
10.	493/2013	Gul Mir Dali	Warder	"	"	"
11.	587/2013	M. Zahid	Dy.Supt.	"	"	10.12.2012
12.	1261/2012	M. Ghulam	Section Officer	Establishment	"	5.11.2012
13.	1244/2012	Daftar Khan	A.P.A	"	Reduction	17.7.2012

ATTACHED


Attested
[Signature]

3. ^{appeals} The Departmental also failed, hence these appeals. In view of common legal issues of these appeal, the Tribunal would like to decide all these appeals by way of this single judgment.


4. The learned counsel for the appellants contended that the appellants have been victimized, discriminated and made scape-goat for the reasons that the senior responsible officers like Commissioner etc. have been exonerated and the appellants at the lower rung of the ladder

31/04/15

were punished. It was further submitted that the fact finding enquiry was general in nature and not specific against the appellants to find their faults, which never fixed responsibility on the appellants. However, the high ups of the departments concerned in order to save their faces, by adopting method of pick & choose targeting the appellants which is against the norms of justice, fair-play, equal treatment and treatment in accordance with law and rules. The learned counsel for the appellants further maintained that no opportunity of personal hearing was provided to the appellants and while confronting them with imposition of major penalty without any regular enquiry the appellants have been denied opportunity of defence and proper presentation. Lastly it was submitted that all the appeals may be accepted in the interest of justice and the appellants may be reinstated in service to their original position with back benefits.



5. The learned Government Pleader resisted these appeals on the ground that the competent authority was legally empowered to have dispensed with regular enquiry under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (E&D) Rules, 2011. It was next submitted that in the light of the fact finding enquiry, the competent authority was having sufficient materials in its hands which led to the decision to do away with the regular enquiry. It was finally submitted that all the appeals, being without merits, may be dismissed.

Attested


6. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Government Pleader for the respondents at length and have perused the record with their assistance.


27

1 (32) 16

7. Copy of the report of the enquiry committee was found in appeal No. 587/2013, titled "Muhammad Zahid Versus Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and others" annexed by the respondents with its written comments/reply. Admittedly a short cut procedure of issuing show cause notice has been adopted against the appellants. The basis of departmental action against the appellants is the fact finding enquiry. We have gone through this enquiry report and unable to find that the enquiry committee had specifically fixed responsibility on the appellants. The enquiry committee while highlighting lapses on the part of various departments like Tribal Area Administration/FR Bannu, Police Department, Frontier Constabulary, Local Army Command, Civil Administration, Jail Administration, Frontier Reserve Police, Home & T.As Department, Inspector General of Prisons and Intelligence Agencies had put forth a number of recommendations. It is hardly to say that names of the appellants were specified to be responsible for the lapses and thus recommended for departmental action in this enquiry report. After a thorough perusal of the record, a big question mark that comes before the Tribunal would be that in the absence of regular enquiry against the appellants and other specific materials against them, how the alleged misconduct and inefficiency etc. of a particular appellant can be assessed? This observation of the Tribunal is relevant when we see that high and responsible officials of the appellants' departments have been let off and exonerated. This policy seems to be in conflict with findings and requirements of the enquiry report. Moreover, this pick & choose and discrimination on its face is also



Attested



33 17

against Article 25 of the Constitution of the country. To skip over the procedure of regular departmental enquiry, the Tribunal is also of the considered view that adequate and proper opportunity of defence and representation has not been provided to the appellants.

8. In the light of the foregoing discussion, the procedure adopted and the penalties imposed against cannot be appreciated for which reason, the Tribunal is constrained to interfere in the case. Consequently, the impugned orders are set aside, the case is remanded back to the respective respondent/department to initiate fresh departmental proceedings against the appellants strictly in accordance with the law and rules. Needless to mention that adequate and meaningful opportunity of defence and personal hearing be provided to the appellants. The concerned appellants are reinstated into service for the purpose of fresh departmental proceedings. Back benefits etc. will be subject to outcome of fresh proceedings. All the aforementioned appeals are disposed of accordingly. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED
01.09.2015.

Edy Pir Bahadur Shah,
Member
Edy Abdul Latif,
Member

Certified copy
M. P. S. ...
Date: 01.09.2015

2000
12
14
9-9-2015
9-9-2015

Attested & verified
[Signature]

Date:



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN
(APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

18

PRESENT: MR. JUSTICE MIAN SAQIB NISAR
MR. JUSTICE UMAR ATA BANDIAL

CIVIL PETITIONS NO.584-P, 585-P AND 591-P TO 601-P OF 2015

(Against the judgment dated 1.9.2015 of the KPK Service Tribunal, Peshawar passed in S.As. No.1244, 1261/2012, 484 to 493 & 587 of 2013)

1. Government of KPK through Chief Secretary, Peshawar etc.
(in C.Ps.584-P, 591-P to 601-P/2015)
2. Chief Minister through Chief Secretary, Govt. of KPK Peshawar etc.
(in C.P.585-P/2015)

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

- | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Daftar Khan | ...in C.P.584-P/2015 |
| 2. Muhammad Ghulam | ...in C.P.585-P/2015 |
| 3. Aminullah | ...in C.P.591-P/2015 |
| 4. Mir Laiq | ...in C.P.592-P/2015 |
| 5. Muhammad Saqib | ...in C.P.593-P/2015 |
| 6. Raqibaz | ...in C.P.594-P/2015 |
| 7. Saved Khan | ...in C.P.595-P/2015 |
| 8. Muhammad Ibrar | ...in C.P.596-P/2015 |
| 9. Abidullah | ...in C.P.597-P/2015 |
| 10. Asif Ali Shah | ...in C.P.598-P/2015 |
| 11. Hafiz Mir Hussain Shah | ...in C.P.599-P/2015 |
| 12. Gul Mir Dali | ...in C.P.600-P/2015 |
| 13. Muhammad Zahid | ...in C.P.601-P/2015 |

...Respondent(s)

For the petitioner(s): Mr. Waqar Ahmed Khan, Addl. A.G. KPK
(in all petitions) Mr. Shahryar Khan, Asstt. Supdt. Jail

For the respondent(s): Not represented
(in all petitions)

Date of hearing: 17.12.2015

ORDER

MIAN SAQIB NISAR, J.- By virtue of the impugned judgment of the learned Service Tribunal a *de novo* inquiry was directed to be conducted against the respondents. From the facts and circumstances, we find it to be a justified order, as no substantial question of law of public importance in terms of Article 212 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 has been raised. Dismissed accordingly.

Sd- Mian Saqib Nisar, J
Sd/- Umar Ata Bandial, J

Attested & verified.
man.

Certified to be true copy
28/12/2015
Deputy Registrar
Supreme Court of Pakistan,
Peshawar.

Peshawar, the
17th December, 2015
Not Approved For Reporting
Ghulam Raza/*

17/12

بخدمت جناب عزت مآب وزیر اعلیٰ صاحب خیبر پختونخوا ایشاور

عنوان: نظر ثانی اپیل بر خلاف فیصلہ مصدرہ 11-04-2019 جس کی رو سے سائل پیشتر کی سبجکٹ پیٹنٹی یعنی سرویس سے تخریبی برائے 3 سال دے گئی (Lower Stage) نیز دوران برخواستگی تخریب اور دیگر فوائد سے محروم رکھا گیا

پس منظر:

یہ کہ وقوعہ کی رات (14-04-2012) اور (15-04-2012) کو میر سٹریٹ جیل بنوں میں وارڈ (BPS-5) اہلیات تھا۔ اور اسی رات میری ڈیوٹی سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب کے بنگلہ پر اہلور سنتری تھی۔ بنگلہ جیل کے ایک کونے میں واقع ہے۔ اور اس سائینڈ پر کسی قسم کے حملہ آور نہ آئے۔ اور نہ میں نے اس پر فائرنگ کی۔ مگر خطرہ تھا کہ کسی بھی وقت وہ بنگلہ پر حملہ آور ہو سکتے ہیں۔ اور میں بدستور اپنی ڈیوٹی پر پہلے سے زیادہ الرٹ تھا۔ تاکہ اگر کوئی حملہ آور آئے تو میں ان کا مقابلہ کر سکوں۔ اچانک باہر سے سینکڑوں عسکریت پسند طالبان آتشی اسلحہ، ہینڈ گرنیڈ، بموں سے مسلح حملہ آور ہوئے۔ پونکھ رات کی تاریکی اور (بدوران لوڈ شیڈنگ) جیل پر حملہ آور ہوئے۔ اس حملے میں انہوں نے جدید ہتھیاروں، آتشی اسلحہ، راکٹ لائچر سے شیلنگ کی۔ بم بلاسٹ کیے۔ جیل کے فرنٹ لائن بشمول (بیرونی گیٹ اور ڈیوڑھی گیٹ) جیل کے دونوں کینوں کو اڑانے کے بعد تیزی سے جیل میں اندر داخل ہوئے۔ اور ساتھ ساتھ افسران بالانے تمام ضلعی انتظامیہ، پولیس اور دیگر سیکورٹی اداروں سے مدد طلب کی۔ جو کہ جیل سے کچھ فاصلے پر کھپ ہوئے۔ بالآخر بیرونی قوت اپنے مقاصد میں کامیاب ہو کر 02 گھنٹے کارروائی کے بعد واپس ہوئے جیل کو نقصان پہنچایا۔ اور دہشت گرد قیدی عدنان رشید سمیت دیگر خواتین و قیدیوں کو اہلور ڈھال اپنے ساتھ لے گئے۔

Attached Man

مذکورہ واقعہ سے متعلق حقائق جاننے اور ذمہ داری کے لیے صوبائی حکومت نے ایک پانچ رکنی کمیٹی تشکیل دی۔ اور ہر ایک رکن کی جلد از جلد اس معاملہ میں وہ اپنی انکوائری رپورٹ پیش کریں۔ لہذا تشکیل شدہ کمیٹی نے منطوقہ رپورٹ تیار کر کے صوبائی حکومت کو پیش کی۔ جس میں انہوں نے تلے بیان تفصیلات، ذمہ داران سے متعلق اپنی رپورٹ پیش کی۔

مذکورہ رپورٹ میں کمیٹی نے ذمہ داری کا تعین کرتے ہوئے اور ایکٹ جیل خانہ جات کی رو سے جیل رول نمبر 610 کا مکمل متن و تشریح پیش کی۔ اور واضح طور پر لکھا کہ جیل کو تو اندر سے توڑا گیا تھا۔ بلکہ جیل پر بیرونی قوم، عسکریت پسندوں نے باہر سے حملہ کیا تھا۔ اور مذکورہ جیل رول نمبر 610 کے مطابق پولیس، قانون نافذ کرنے والوں کی ذمہ داری بنتی تھی۔ یہ کہ وہ جیل سیکورٹی سے متعلق ضروری اقدام کرتے۔ جس میں پولیس، قانون نافذ کرنے والے ادارے مکمل ناکام رہے۔ اس انکوائری رپورٹ کی روشنی میں پولیس، ضلعی انتظامیہ و دیگر اداروں اور جیل منٹلے کے خلاف کارروائی کی گئی۔ تاہم محکمانہ کارروائی میں بالآخر تمام پولیس ذمہ داران اور ضلعی انتظامیہ بشمول کمشنر بنوں ڈویژن نہ صرف بری ہوئے۔ بلکہ انہیں ترقیاں بھی ملی گئیں۔ جبکہ سائل و دیگر چند جیل سپاہیوں کو (Fact Finding) انکوائری کی بنیاد پر ڈائریکٹ شوکار نوٹس دیا گیا۔ اور نوکری سے برخاستہ کیا گیا۔

سرویس ٹریبونل میں اپیل کرنے پر سائل کی Dismissal آرڈر کو مسترد کرنا بحال کیا گیا۔ تاہم اس میں از سر نو ریکورڈ انکوائری کا حکم صادر فرمایا گیا۔ اپیل فیصلہ سرویس ٹریبونل کے صدرہ 01-09-2015 - بولہ - الف ہے۔

یہ کہ عدالتی فیصلہ کی روشنی میں انکوائری لی گئی۔ جس میں سائل پیشتر کو چارج شیٹ دیا گیا۔ اس چارج شیٹ کا مفصل جواب سائل نے دیا۔ انکوائری آفیسر نے کسی جرم کو ثابت کیے بغیر سائل پیشتر کے خلاف (Major Penalty) کی۔ غرض متعلقہ حکام کو چارج کیا گیا۔ جس کا نتیجہ سائل کو

(25)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR.

In the matter of
Service Appeal No. 1226 of 2019
Muhammad Saqib (Warder)

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar

.....Appellant.

VERSUS

Government of KP and Others

.....Respondents.

INDEX

S.NO.	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	Annex	Page No.
1-	Joint Para-wise Comments	-	1-3
2-	Affidavit	-	4
3-	Statement of allegation/disciplinary action	A	5
4-	Charge Sheet	B	6
5-	Show cause notice	C	7
6-	Reply to show cause notice	D	8
7-	Inquiry Report	E	9-10
8-	Order of competent Authority dated 11.04.2019	F	11

DEPONENT

3A

**BEFORE THE HONORABLE KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR**

In the matter of
Appeal No. 1226 of 2019
Muhammad Saqib

APPELLANT/ PETITIONER

Versus

1. Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department KPK.
2. Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. Assistant Director for I.G of Prisons KP Peshawar.
4. Superintendent Circle Headquarter Peshawar.
5. Superintendent Central Prison Bannu.....**Respondents**

PARA-WISE COMMENTS ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS

Joint Para-wise Comments on behalf of Respondent No. 01 to 05 are as under:-

RELIMINARY OBJECTIONS.

- i. That the appellant has got no cause of action to file the Appeal.
- ii. That the appeal is not maintainable in its present form.
- iii. That the appellant is estopped by his own conduct.
- iv. That the appellant has got no locus standi to file present petition.
- v. That the present appeal is not sustainable by law and rules and also barred by limitation.
- vi. That the appellant has not come to the court with clean hands.
- vii. That the appeal is bad for mis- joinder and non-joinder of necessary and proper parties.

Respectfully Sheweth:

REPLY ON FACT:-

- 1) Admitted and correct. Due to his gross misconduct and negligence, major penalty was imposed on him.
- 2) Admitted and correct as his appeal was examined in the light of available record and rejected in accordance with Law/ Rules.
- 3) Admitted and correct. A fresh denovo inquiry was conducted and in the light of that very inquiry, major penalty of reduction to a lower stage in a time scale for a period of three year was imposed upon him.
- 4) As explained in Para NO.3 above.
- 5) Admitted as correct.
- 6) The appellant has no locus standi and cause of action to file the subject appeal.

REPLY ON GROUNDS:-

1. Not admitted correct. The order of Competent Authority dated 11-04-2019 is not illegal and unlawful because the appellant was found guilty of inefficiency/misconduct because he was assigned the duty of sentry inside main gate in the night of 14/15-04-2012 during Jail Break. He failed to

20

fire and confront militants effectively as the appellant was loaded with weapon. Hence the order of respondents is sustainable, having strong grounds.

2. As stated is incorrect. The petitioner was previously awarded major penalty of dismissal from service on 12-12-2012 upon completion of inquiry constituted vide Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Notification No.SO(Com/Eng)HD/1-40/2012 dated 16-04-2012 comprising 05 members from different Departments. The committee members submitted its facts finding report and appellant was awarded major penalty i.e. removal from service including thirteen (13) No's government servants of different department on the ground that due to his misconduct along with others, the militants took full advantages and succeeded in Bannu Jail break and state sustained loss and 381 prisoners were made their good escape. But later on the appellant approached to honorable Tribunal against his penalty which was decided on 01-09-2015 and the appellant was reinstated into service and also further ordered to initiate fresh departmental proceeding against the appellant. Therefore in compliance to honorable Tribunal Judgment/ order (Statement of allegations with charge sheet **(Annex-A)**) was given on 12-01-2017, denovo inquiry was initiated against the appellant on 25-02-2017 **(Annex-B)** and a fair chance of hearing was given to the appellant and the inquiry officer furnished his findings according to which the charges leveled against the appellant stood proved. Hence, (Show cause Notice **(Annex-C)**) was issued and appellant submitted reply to show cause Notice **(Annex-D)** which was not satisfactory. Hence, major penalty of "Reduction to a lower stage in a time for a maximum period of three (03) years and the intervening period i.e. from the date of dismissal from service on the basis of an earlier inquiry to the date of reinstatement in service (from 12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016) was treated as extra ordinary leave (leave without pay) imposed vide Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa H&TA department order No.SO(P&R)/HD/8-4/Bannu Jail Break/2018/Vol-I dated 11-04-2019 **(Annex-E)**. During the said intervening tenure the appellant had not performed his duties on account of his own misconduct, therefore, this does not come under purview of article 13 of Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan i.e. Double Jeopardy. Hence the punishment awarded to the appellant was purely legal and according to law under E& D Rules 2011 as he proved himself guilty and did not satisfy the inquiry officer twice.

3. Not admitted correct as in the light of fact finding inquiry, having sufficient material evidence on record, the competent authority was legally empowered under the KPK Government Servants (E&D) Rules 2011 to


(4)


impose major penalty of "Removal from Service" upon the appellant along with other government servants which were set aside by this August Tribunal vide its Judgment dated 01-09-2015 and reinstated the appellant and inquiry denova was ordered and back benefits etc were placed subject to the outcome of fresh proceedings.

4. Detail reply have been given in Para-2 ibid.
5. The order on dated 11-04-2019 of the competent authority is according to KPK Government Servants (E&D) Rules 2011 for the charges mentioned in the charge sheet served upon him and he was dealt and proceeded according to said rules and all legal and codal formalities were dully fulfilled during inquiry.
6. Further Grounds may be taken during the arguments with permission of Honorable Tribunal.

PRAYER:


It is humbly prayed that on acceptance of these comments / reply the appeal filed by the appellant may graciously be dismissed with cost being devoid of merit.

1. (Respondent No.01) 
Govt. of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Department KPK

(Respondent No.02) 
Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.

(Respondent No.03) 
Assistant Director for I.G of Prisons KP Peshawar.

(Respondent No.04) 
Superintendent Circle Headquarter Peshawar.

(Respondent No.05) 
Superintendent Central Prison Bannu.

(42)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

In the matter of
Service Appeal No. 1226 of 2019
Muhammad Saqib (Warder)..... **(Petitioner)**

Versus

1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar
2. Inspector General of Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
3. Assistant Director for I.G Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
4. Superintendent Circle Headquarter Peshawar.
5. Superintendent Central Prison Bannu.

..... **(Respondents)**

AFFIDAVIT

I Sulaiman, Senior Instructor/Law Officer, Office of the I.G Prisons Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath on behalf of Respondents No. 1 to 5 that the contents of the Joint Para-wise comments submitted by respective Respondents concerned is correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Service Tribunal Peshawar.

DEPONENT



SULAIMAN

Senior Instructor/Law Officer
Office of Inspectorate General of Prisons
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

43 8

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

1. Abid Sneed, Chief Secretary Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as the competent authority, am of opinion that warder Muhammad Saqib presently attached to High Security Prison, Mardan rendered himself liable to be proceeded against, as he committed the following acts/ omissions within the meaning of Rule-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011.

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

While attached to Central Prison Bannu, he was assigned the duties of Sentry Superintendent inside Main Gate on the night between 14/15-4-2012 duly armed but he showed cowardice and failed to fire and confront militants effectively, with the result that there was no enemy loss and the militants took full advantage and succeeded in Bannu Jail break on the above night. Resultantly, 381 prisoners including high profile prisoners were got released, beside other damages.

2. For the purpose of inquiry against the said accused with reference to the above allegations, an Inquiry Officer/Inquiry Committee, consisting of the following is constituted under Rule-10(1)(a) of the ibid rules:-

i. Mr. Tasbeem Khan

ii.

3. The Inquiry Officer/Inquiry Committee shall, in accordance with the provisions of the ibid rules, provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record its findings and make, within thirty days of the receipt of this order, recommendations as to the punishment or other appropriate action against the accused.

4. The accused and a well conversant representative of the department shall join the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Inquiry officer/Inquiry Committee.

Ali Sneed
12/1/2017
(CHIEF SECRETARY)
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

(M) (7)

CHARGE SHEET

I, **Abid Saeed, Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa**, as competent authority, hereby charge you **Muhammad Saqib**, as follows:

That you, while posted as warden at **Central Prison Bannu** committed the following irregularities:

You were assigned the duties of Sentry Superintendent inside Main Gate on the night between 14/15-4-2012 duly armed but you showed cowardice and failed to fire and confront militians effectively with the result that there was no enemy loss and the militians took full advantage and succeeded in Bannu Jail break on the above night. Resultantly, 381 prisoners including high profile prisoners were got released, beside other damages.

2. By reason of the above, you appear to be guilty of inefficiency/misconduct under rule-3 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011 and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in rule-4 of the rules ibid.
3. You are, therefore required to submit your written defence within seven days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the Inquiry Officer, as the case may be.
4. Your written defence, if any, should reach the Inquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case ex-parte action shall be taken against you.
5. Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.
6. A statement of allegations is enclosed.

(CHIEF SECRETARY)
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

45 (9)
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE

I, Muhammad Azam Khan, Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, as competent authority, under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency and Discipline) Rules, 2011, do hereby serve you, Mr. Muhammad Saqib, Warder (BS-05), Central Prison Bannu, as follows:

1. (i) that consequent upon the completion of inquiry conducted against you by the inquiry officer for which you were given opportunity of hearing by the Inquiry officer on 25.02.2017; and
- (ii) on going through the findings and recommendations of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee, the material on record and other connected papers including your defence before the inquiry officer;-

I am satisfied that you have committed the following acts/omissions specified in rule 3 of the said rules.


(d) Inefficiency / misconduct.

2. As a result thereof, I, as competent authority, have tentatively decided to impose upon you the penalty of Removal from Service under rule 4 of the said rules.

3. You are, therefore, required to show cause as to why the aforesaid penalty should not be imposed upon you and also intimate whether you desire to be heard in person.

4. If no reply to this notice is received within seven days or not more than fifteen days of its delivery, it shall be presumed that you have no defence to put in and in that case an ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

5. A copy of findings of the inquiry officer/inquiry committee is enclosed.


(Muhammad Azam Khan)
CHIEF SECRETARY,
KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA.

کھنڈر جناب چیف سیکرٹری صاحب صورت حدیثہ کھنڈر کھنڈر

عنوان : حوالہ شوکار نوٹس

جناب عالی

گزارش ہے کہ مورخہ 15/4/2012 کو میری ڈیوٹی رات 12 بجے لیکر 3 بجے تک سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب
سینٹرل جیل منور کے رہائشی ٹکڑے پر پھور سٹری ٹیئر رکھی جب میرے فائٹنگ کی آواز سے
تو میں بیدار ہو گیا اور پھر سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب سے پوچھا کہ اس وقت میرے پاس کون سا
انڈھیلا اور لوڈ شیڈنگ کی دکان ہے کچھ لپٹ لیں اور لے آئے گا سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب نے فرمایا
روانہ پورے تو ہم A/D صلات خان معلم سید حسین سپرنٹنڈنٹ صاحب کو اپنے نوٹس کی طرف لے آئے
کیونکہ واقعہ عالی و علمہ ہے اس لیے اس لیے اس کے لیے میں مجھے آدھوں کو دیکھ لیا گیا

جہاں تک فائٹنگ کرنے کا تعلق ہے جناب عالی : میرا صاحب لیس رہائشی علاقہ
کی طرف نہ کوئی مقدمہ اور نہ ہی فائٹنگ ہوئی۔ پھر اس کے لیے ڈیوٹی کی قسم پر موجود
رہا تا کہ اگر کوئی قسم اور کالوں کی طرف آئے تو اس کا مقدمہ کر سکتوں


جناب عالی : میں سائل نمبر 11540 کی پاسداری کرتے ہوئے اپنے ڈیوٹی کی خاطر
جو ٹکس کھڑا رہا اور اسے جان پی کے بدلے نہیں لیا وہی اور نہ ہی ڈیوٹی
کی قسم کو چھوڑا ہے۔

لہذا مندرجہ بالا واقعات کو مدلل و قانع بنا کر آپ سے گزارش ہے کہ
کو اس شوکار سے میری کھنڈر کے سائل آپ سے انصافی کر پورے قریب لیا
ہے۔

تاریخ 17-12-15

APALS

انصافی
وارڈ سپرنٹنڈنٹ



843-781 (1)

47

DEPARTMENTAL / INQUIRY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST MR. MUHAMMAD SAQIB, THE THEN WARDER, CENTRAL PRISON, BANNU PRESENTLY POSTED AT DISTRICT JAIL, KOHAT.

121

Background:

The Competent Authority i.e. Hon'able Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa was pleased to appoint the undersigned as Inquiry Officer under Rule-10 (1) (a) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules-2011, which was conveyed vide Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Home & Tribal Affairs Department, Peshawar order No. SO (Com/Enq)/HD/1-40/2012 dated 23.01.2017 with the mandate to inquire into the following allegations levelled against Mr. Muhammad Saqib, the then Warder, Central Prison, Bannu presently posted at District Jail, Kohat as reflected in Charge Sheet / Statement of Allegations:-

While attached to Central Prison, Bannu, he was assigned the duties of Sentry Superintendent inside Main Gate on the night between 14/15-4-2012 duly armed but he showed cowardice and failed to fire and confront militants effectively, with the result that there was no enemy loss and the militants took full advantage and succeeded in Bannu Jail break on the above night. Resultantly, 381 prisoners including high profile prisoners were got released, beside other damages.

Proceedings:

In pursuance of the direction contained in Para-4 of the Charge Sheet, the accused Official Mr. Muhammad Saqib his written defense vide No. 1026/W dated 17.02.2017 (Copy attached as Annexure-A). The accused Officer was also summoned to appear before the undersigned on 25.02.2017 at 09:30 AM for inquiry proceedings. Mr. Abdul Raziq, Assistant Superintendent, Central Prison Bannu also attended the proceedings as departmental representative as authorized by Superintendent, Central Prison Bannu vide letter No. 996 dated 24.02.2017 under the directive of the Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. The accused Officer attended the office of the undersigned on 25.02.2017.

Statement of the accused Official recorded who stated on oath that he was serving in Prisons Department since 2010, was posted at Bannu Jail 02 months before the occurrence, he was posted as Sentry at the residence of Superintendent Jail, since the residence of Superintendent Jail was under Watch Tower No. 4 that's why he was posted under Watch Tower No. 4 as Sentry whose responsibility was Watch Tower No. 4 & residence of Superintendent Jail while responding the questions asked as under (Original questions and answers are attached as Annexure-B): -

Q: During the attack on 14/15 midnight April, 2012 he was deployed as Sentry Superintendent under Tower No. 4 from 12:00 AM to 03:00 AM for duty with AK-47 and cartridges but he showed cowardice as he did not fire on terrorists and even not tried to prevent them outside the Jail as a result the attackers took away high profile convicted Prisoners from the Jail. Due to his cowardice, the State writ could not be established? What is your stance about it?

Ans: It is correct that he was on duty from 12:00 to 03:00 AM duly armed with AK-47 with cartridges. At about 01:15 AM, the Jail was attacked by the terrorists. He stood at his point. Neither any attacker came to him nor he fired. It is correct that on the night of occurrence Jail Superintendent Mr. Muhammad Zahid was at his residence. He does not know exactly as to when Jail Superintendent, Mr. Muhammad Zahid came out of his residence after start of firing. He however remembered that Jail Superintendent came out of his house after firing alone and went towards Main Gate of the Jail. As far as he knows Assistant Superintendent, Jalat Khan went towards his quarter and during his duty till 03:00 AM he did not come back. He also remembered that Jail Superintendent was alone at that time. It is correct that no official informed Superintendent Jail about the attack and after hearing the firing everybody came to know that Jail has been attacked.

Q2: Could the main gate be seen from the place of his duty, did Warder Ibrar present in picket No. 04 fire? Whether during the emergency one should wait for the attacker to come to him to do something? Should not armed guards or constables wherever deployed try to stop the attackers?

48

845 203 (2)

Ans: From the place of his duty main gate or outer side was invisible. He couldn't say whether Ibrar fired from Watch Tower or not. Since his duty was on the Bungalow, therefore, he did not fire.


Q3: Did he perform his duty according to provisions contained in sub clauses (d) not to leave his post without regular relief upon any pretext whatsoever, (e) not to allow any person to approach his post after dark, without challenging, (g) challenging on a dark night, on hearing voices or the approach of footsteps, if he receives no answer, or an unsatisfactory answer, to call the officer incharge of the picket, or, if necessary, give the alarm, (i) not to allow any person to crowd around him, (j) if he sees a person attempting to escape, to call on him to stand, and if he refuses to do so and there is no superior officer present, to fire on the prisoner, provided, he cannot otherwise prevent the escape, (k) if he is beyond call and has to raise the alarm, to fire a shot in the air as the signal for alarm and (l) if he sees any article in or near the jail likely to facilitate, escape, or if any unusual incident comes under his observation, at once report the matter to the officer incharge of the picket of Rule 1154 of Pakistan Prison Rules? 122

Ans: It is correct that he did not leave his place of duty. Neither he saw any attacker nor fired because he could not asses that the Jail has been attacked therefore, he did not fire.

Heard and accepted.

Findings / Recommendations:

1. Under the Pakistan Prison Rules, he was responsible to run the security affairs of the Central Prison, Bannu strictly in accordance with the laid down provisions but due to his cowardice approach, he failed to confront the militants.
2. His duty was to remain alert and to confront the attackers.
3. He could not defend the charge levelled against him as he was having no concrete evidence in support of his contention.
4. He was not having the required skill / expertise to perform this responsible duty.
5. Despite duly armed, he did not dare to fire a single shot on the crowd of 150 miscreants. As per his version, no miscreants come towards him. Despite of severe firing with heavy machine guns, the accused official took the plea that he was not sure that the Jail had been attacked, fact remains there was hardly 150 meter distance between his place of duty and main gate. The official, in his written reply to the Show Cause Notice admitted that he acted upon sub clause (d) of Rule-1154 but ignore the other sub clauses (e), (g), (i), (j), (k), (l) and Rules-1147 (a) render all assistance in his power in the management of the prison, the maintenance of order and discipline amongst warders and prisoners, the guarding and defending of the prison and all persons and property kept therein or belonging thereto against the use of criminal force by any person, (b) obey the orders of all officers superior to him in rank, (c) comply with all rules, regulations and orders regulating the duties which he is to perform and the manner in which he is to perform them.
6. The charges levelled against the accused official Mr. Muhammad Saqib, the then Warder, Central Prison, Bannu presently posted at District Jail, Kohat have been proved beyond any shadow of a doubt. He therefore, deservers imposition of major penalty under Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules-2011.


 (Tasleem Khan)
 Deputy Commissioner,
 Haripur/Inquiry Officer



49
(8) (10)

Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
Home & Tribal Affairs Department.

Am - C
**SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar**

ORDER

No. SO(P&R)/HD/8-4/Bannu Jail Break/2018/Vol-I: WHEREAS, Muhammad Saqib Warder (BPS-05) of the Prisons Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, was proceeded against under Rule-3 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 for the charges mentioned in the charge sheets served upon him.

AND WHEREAS, the competent authority i.e the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa appointed Mr. Tasleem Khan (PMS-BS18) Deputy Commissioner Haripur vide order No.SO (Com/Enq)/HD/1-40/2012 dated 23.01.2017 for conducting denovo formal proceedings against the above named accused.

AND WHEREAS, the inquiry officer furnished his findings according to which the charges leveled against the above named accused official stand proved.

AND WHEREAS, the competent authority granted opportunity of personal hearing to the accused under the rules.

NOW THEREFORE, the competent authority (the Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) after having considered the charges, evidence on record, the explanation of the accused official, findings of the inquiry officer exercising his powers under rule-3 read with Rule-14 (5) of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government Servants.(Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011 has been pleased to award major penalty of "Reduction to a lower stage in a time scale for a maximum period of three (03) years" to the above named accused official.

The Competent Authority has further been pleased to order that the intervening period i.e from the date of dismissal from service on the basis of an earlier enquiry to the date of reinstatement in service (from 12-12-2012 to 20-01-2016) in respect of the official is hereby treated as extra ordinary leave (leave without pay).


Handwritten signature

Secretary to Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Home & Tribal affairs Department

Endst No. SO(P&R)/HD/8-4/Bannu Jail Break/2018/Vo.-i: Dated 11.04.2019

C.c:-

1. The Inspector General of Prisons, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Accountant General, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
3. PSO to Chief Secretary, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. PS to Secretary Establishment, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.
5. PS to Secretary, Home and Tribal Affairs Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
6. District Account Officers concerned.
7. Official concerned.



Handwritten signature and date 17/4/19

(Maqsood Hassain)
Section Officer (P&R)