# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of		_
Implementation Petitic	on No. 408/202	) /

Implementation Petition No. 408/2024		
S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1 -	2	3
1,	27.05.2 <b>02</b> 4	The implementation petition of Mr. Faridoon
		Khan submitted today by Shahzada Irfan Zia Advocate. It
,		is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at
		Peshawar on 30 .05.2024.Original file be requisitioned
		AAG has noted the next date. Parcha Peshi given to the
		counsel for the petitioner.
	•	By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR
·		
-		

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWASERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 408 /2024

In Service Appeal: 583/2023

Faridoon Khan S/o Younas Khan Ex-Chowkidar, Office of District Health Officer Peshawar R/o Mohallah Kanday Bala Village Pishtakhara Payan Peshawar.

..... Applicant

## **VERSUS**

District Health Officer, G-T Road Peshawar.

.....Respondent

### **INDEX**

S.No.	Description of documents	Annexure	Pages
1.	Copy of petition		1-2
2.	Copy of Judgment	A	3-7
3.	Application of applicant	В	8.
4.	Wakalat Nama		
	وريو المحال		<u> </u>

Applicant /Petitioner Through

> Shahzada Irfan Zia Advocate Peshawar Mobile No. 0300-9345297

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWASERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 408 /2024

Khyber Pakhtukftus Service Tribunal

Diary No. 18991

Despe 27-05-2014

In Service Appeal: 593/2023

Faridoon Khan S/o Younas Khan Ex-Chowkidar, Office of District Health Officer Peshawar R/o Mohallah Kanday Bala Village Pishtakhara Payan Peshawar.

..... Applicant

### **VERSUS**

District Health Officer, G-T Road Peshawar.

.....Respondent

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED 27/02/2024 OF THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

## Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the appellant/Petitioner filed Service Appeal No. 593/2023 before this Hon' able Tribunal which has been decided by this Hon' able Tribunal vide Judgment dated 27/02/2024. (Copy of Judgment is annexed).
- 2. That the Hon' able Tribunal in the Judgment ibid directed the respondent to conduct a proper inquiry into the matter under the rules, by giving full opportunity to the petitioner/appellant to present his case and cross examine the witnesses and complete the exercise with in sixty days of the receipt of the judgment. (Copy of the Judgment is attached as Annexure-A).

- 3. That after getting the copy of the Judgment ibid the applicant filed an application to the respondent for implementation of the Judgment but his request went unheeded. (Annexure-B)
- 4. That a sufficient period has already been lapsed but till date the Judgment of the Court has not been implemented till date. The Judgment was passed by this Court therefore it is open to the court to enforce the same and the respondent is under the legal obligation to implement the Judgment in letter and spirit.

It is therefore requested that on acceptance of this Petition the respondent may kindly be directed to implement the Judgment of this Hon' able Tribunal in letter and spirit.

Dated 27.05.2024

Applicant /Petitioner

Through

Shahzada Irfan Zia Advocate Peshawar Mobile No. 0300-9345297

<u>Certificate</u>: Certified that no such execution Petition has earlier been filed before this court on behalf of applicant on the subject matter.

Advocate

## **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Faridoon Khan S/o Younas Khan Ex-Chowkidar, Office of District Health Officer Peshawar R/o Mohallah Kanday Bala Village Pishtakhara Payan Peshawar (applicant) do here by solemnly affirm and declare on oath that all the contents of the above petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been misstated or concealed from this Hon' able Tribunal.

DEPONENT

1.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL
PESHAWAR

#### Service Appeal No. 593/2023

BEFORE: MRS. RASHIDA BANO

MEMBER (J)

esh<sub>awar</sub>

MISS FAREEHA PAUL

MEMBER(E)

### <u>Versus</u>

1. Province of KPK through Secretary Health Department, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. Director General Health Services, KPK, Peshawar.

3. District Health Officer, GT Road, Peshawar.....(Respondents)

Shahzada Irfan Zia

Advocate ... For appellant

Mr. Muhammad Jan, ... For respondents

District Attorney

 Date of Institution
 20.03.2023

 Date of Hearing
 27.02.2024

 Date of Decision
 27.02.2024

### **JUDGEMENT**

FAREEHA PAUL, MEMBER (E): The service appeal in hand has been instituted under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974 against the order dated 03.11.2022 whereby appointment of the appellant was dispensed with on the pretext of a fake and bogus resignation. It has been prayed that on acceptance of the appeal, the impugned order dated 03.11.2022 might be set aside and respondents might be directed to reinstate the appellant into service with all back benefits, alongwith any other remedy which the Tribunal deemed appropriate.

ргоргаас. - та

Khatar Pakhtukhwa Khatar Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunul

- Brief facts of the case, as given in the memorandum of appeal, are that 2. the appellant was appointed as Chowkidar BPS-3, on the approval of the departmental selection committee under the quota of Retired Class IV Civil Servants vide order dated 04.06.2022, by respondents No. 3. Vide order dated 06.06.2022 passed by respondent No.3, he was directed to perform duties in the office of District Medical Entomologist in dengue emergency. While on official duty, he was informed by the officials of the office of respondent No.3 that his resignation from service was accepted vide order dated 03.11.2022 by respondent No.3. He visited the office of respondent No.3 and vindicated his plea and position that he never tendered any resignation and requested for the copy of the so-called resignation and the impugned order dated 03.11.2022. After some efforts, copy of the impugned order was given to him, without the copy of resignation. He approached the respondent No.2 through his departmental appeal along with application for condonation of delay on 16.12.2022. On his departmental appeal, the respondent No.2 called comments from respondent No.3, but no final order was passed by the authority within the statutory period of 90 days; hence the instant service appeal.
- 3. Respondents were put on notice who submitted their joint parawise comments on the appeal. We heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned District Attorney for the respondents and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant, after presenting the case in detail argued that impugned order dated 03.11.2022 was illegal and void. According to him, the appellant never tendered any resignation from service and the so-

called resignation was fake, fabricated and bogus and manipulated by some official of the office of respondent No.3. He further argued that the appellate authority, on departmental appeal of the appellant, was under legal obligation to give the appellant an opportunity of personal hearing, but no such opportunity was given to him. In order to dig out the truth, competent authority and appellate authority both were duty bound to confirm the authenticity of the resignation through an independent inquiry committee but no such mandatory exercise was undertaken by the authorities, the learned counsel argued. He requested that the appeal might be accepted as prayed for.

5. Learned District Attorney, while rebutting the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant, argued that the appellant was inadvertently appointed under the Retired Son's Quota dated 04.06.2022 by the Respondent Department. After the appointment under the Retired Son's Quota, during the final scrutiny of documents, it was found that the appellant's father was an employee of the Population Welfare Department of the Federal Government of Pakistan and not the Health Department of provincial government. The appellant was informed about his status and in response he submitted his resignation to the respondent No.3 which was witnessed by four persons, amongst whom, one was his close relative, Hashmat Bibi, whose thumb impression was also there on the resignation. Learned District Attorney argued that the appellant admitted his fault and that his resignation was accepted by the Competent Authority. He requested that the appeal might be dismissed.

6. Through this service appeal the appellant has impugned an order of the leaves factoring and the service Tribumphistrict Health Officer (DHO) Peshawar dated 03.11.2022 vide which the

The second

resignation tendered by the appellant had been accepted. According to the appellant, he never tendered that resignation and that it was some manipulation done by someone in the office of DHO Peshawar. Arguments and record presented before us transpires that he was appointed as Chowkidar by DHO Peshawar on 04.06.2022 on retired son quota. As stated by the learned District Attorney, he was appointed erroneously on the said quota as his farther was not an employee of the respondent department. When the error in his appointment was highlighted, he preferred tendering his resignation which was accepted. On the other hand, learned counsel for the appellant insisted that he never tendered his resignation and drew attention to the four witnesses by stating that there was no such example of witnesses for a resignation.

- 7. After hearing the arguments from both the sides, we have arrived at a conclusion that as a controversy has arisen on the resignation of the appellant, it would be in the fitness of the matter to probe into it in order to arrive at an informed decision. The appeal is, therefore, referred to the respondent department to conduct a proper inquiry into the matter of resignation, under the rules, by giving full opportunity to the appellant to present his case and cross examine the witnesses and complete the exercise within sixty days of receipt of this judgment. Cost shall follow the event. Consign.
- 8. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal this 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.

Certified to be ture copy

Member (E)

Khyber Pakhtursinwa Service Tribunal (ICMS)

RASHIDA BANO) Member (J)

\*FuzleSubhan P.S\*

27th Feb. 2024 01. Shahzada Irfan Zia, Advocate for the appellant present.

Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney alongwith Safiullah,

1 Focal Person for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.

- O2. Vide our detailed judgment consisting of 04 pages, the appeal is referred to the respondent department to conduct a proper inquiry into the matter of resignation, under the rules, by giving full opportunity to the appellant to present his case and cross examine the witnesses and complete the exercise within sixty days of receipt of this judgment. Cost shall follow the event. Consign.
- 03. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 27th day of February, 2024.

FARIEHA PAUL).

Member (E) Certified to be ture copy

(RASHIDA BANO) Member(J)

\*Fozal Subhan PS\*

Klybur Profunktiwa Service Tribunal.

Date of Presentation of Application 1

Copying Fee 20

Urgent -

Total 20

Name of Cor

Date of C.

Date of Dear

11-3-2024

The District Health Officer Peshawar

Subject:

Application for implementation of the Judgment of the Tribunal dated 27-2-2024.

Respected Sit,

Kindly final enclosed an attested GPY of the Judgment dated 27-2-2024, Passed in Affect No. 593/2023 titled Faridoon Khan vs Province of KPKetc.

Kindly implement the Judgment Ibid and obliged.

Your's obediently

Dated: 12-3-2024

Faridoon Khau

En. Chowkidar

Cell: 0346-5972100.

Attented !

- v.50 - v.50	43626	ببر پخستونخواه	وی ای <u>ث</u> ن،خسیه	پ <b>ٺ</b> اور بارايس
10-7608 030-9345	ایڈوکٹ: <u>شہر کردہ محمر خا</u> بارکونسل اایسوی ایشن نبر: 	PESHAWAR BAR ASSOCIATION		
0500-9545	رابط نمبر: 47 في	(3)/5		

	ت جناب:
Petitione & : ij	Execution Petition :39,
(Faridoon Khan)	رون. <u>روب کا </u>
	27-5-2024
بنام	
D. H. O, GI Road	·/Z
Peshaway	قمانه:
ریـر آنکـه	باعثت
اسطے پیروی وجواب دہی کاروائی متعلقہ	مقدمه مندرجه عنوان بالامیں اپنی طرف سے و
Shahzada	Irfan Zias Peshawa Eui
	مریک کرے اقرار کیا جاتا ہے کیے صاحب موصوف کو مقد مہ کی ک
	راضی نامه کرنے وتقرِ رخاکت و نیصله بر حلف دیے جواب
	زری پر د تخط کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا ، نیز بھورت عدم ہیردا
	دائر کرنے ایل مگرانی ونظر تانی و پروی کرنے کا مخار ہوا
<b>\\\ // ■名供/好状</b>	
	کاروائی کے واتسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کو آپنے ہمرا مسترک کی مقرر شدہ کو وہی جملۂ ندکورہ مالا اختیارات حاصل ہو ں
7.7	المستعداة
ب سے ہوگا کوئی تاریخ فیتی مقام دورہ یا حد سے	دوران مقدمہ میں جو خرچہ جر جاند الوائے مقدمہ کے سب
رَه كِرِينَ الْبُغِرَا وَكَالْتَ نَامِهِ لَكُهِ دِياً تَاكَهِ سَدِ رَبِي مِنْ مِرِينَ عَلَيْهِ الْمُعَلِّمِةِ عَلَيْهِ عَلَيْهِ مِنْ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ مِنْ اللَّهِ عَلَيْهِ مِنْ اللَّهِ	باہر ہو تو ویل صاحب بابندانہ ہوں سے کہ پیروی آلک
KHYBER P	BAR ASSOCIATION AZ /20 2M . ILEGO IL
. In a	
ه شد العسبد	Polonia de
کے لیے منظور ہے۔ کا کا کا میں	Peshawar.
Accepted by	ah' and a
18fort.	مربدون جان

`)

BC-NO. 10-7608

OM: 0300-9345297