Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

 	_

Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report bef Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.05.2024. Original file	Implementation Petition No. 393/2024						
The implementation petition of Mr. Izhar submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousa Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report bef Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.05.2024. Original file requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman	S.No.		Order or other proceedings with signature of judge				
submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousa Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report bef Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.05.2024. Original file requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman	1.	12	3				
Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.05.2024. Original file requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman	. 1	22.05.2024	The implementation petition of Mr. Izhar Ali				
Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.05.2024.Original file requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman			submitted today by Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai				
requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman			Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before				
the petitioner has been informed telephonically. By the order of Chairman							
By the order of Chairman			requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel for				
			the petitioner has been informed telephonically.				
REGISTRAR	; ,		By the order of Chairman				
	, -		REGISTRAR				
	ĺ						
	•						
		·					

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

EXECUTION PETITION No. 393 /2024 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.1465/2023

Izhar Ali

VS

Govt: of KP etc.

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Memo of Execution Petition		01-02
. 2. .	Copy of Judgment dated 11.3.2024	- A-	03-08
3.	Copy of application 19.3.2024	- B -	09
4.	Vakalat Nama		10

APPLICANT

Izhar Ali

THROUGH:-

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)

Advocate Supreme Court
Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI Advocate, High Court Peshawar.

& Tu.
(HILAL ZUBAIR)
ADVOCATE PESHAWAR.

Room No.FR-08, 4th Floor, Bilour Plaza Peshawar Cantt: Cell # 0312-9103240

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

EXECUTION PETITION No. 393

SERVICE APPEAL NO.1465/2023

Izhar Ali (Ex-Sub Inspector Fire Arms Section FSL Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(APPELLANT)

Service Tribunal

/2024

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 2. The Director Forensic Science Laboratory, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- **3.** The Additional Inspector General of Police Investigation, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

(RESPONDENTS)

EXECUTION PETITION FOR DIRECTING THE RESPONDENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT DATED: 11.03.2024 OF THIS HONORABLE TRIBUNAL IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

- 1. That the applicant/appellant filed Service Appeal No. 1465/2023 in this august Tribunal against the orders dated 12.01.2023 & 16.06.2023 whereby the appellant was removed from Service.
- 2. That the said appeal was finally heard by the Honorable Tribunal on dated 11.03.2024 and the Honorable Tribunal was kind enough (we do not think that disagreement of the appellate authority, with the inquiry report was justified nor is that sustainable. Therefore, on allowing this appeal, se set aside the original as well as appellate order by reinstating the appellate with all back benefits".)(Copy of judgment is attached as Annexure-A).
- 3. That the appellant filed application to the competent authority on dated 19.03.2024 for implementation of the judgment of Honorable Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, in the above mentioned appeal but



in vain. (Copy of the application dated 19.03.2024 is attached as annexure B.)

- 4. That in-action and not fulfilling formal requirements by the respondent after passing the judgment of this august Tribunal, is totally illegal amount to disobedience and Contempt of Court.
- 5. That the judgment is still in the field and has not been suspended or set aside by the Supreme Court of Pakistan, therefore, the respondents are legally bound to pass formal appropriate order.
- 6. That the petitioner has having no other remedy to file this Execution Petition.

It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that the respondents may be directed to obey the judgment dated 11.03.2024 of this august Tribunal in letter and spirit. Any other remedy, which this august Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also be awarded in favor of applicant/petitioner.

APPLICANT

Izhar Ali

THROUGH:

(M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI)
Advocate Supreme Court

Of Pakistan.

(SYED NOMAN ALI BUKHARI)
Advocate, High Court
Peshawar.

& 类元 (HILAL ZUBAIR) ADVOCATE PESHAWAR.

AFFIDAVIT:

It is affirmed and declared that the content of the execution petition is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from the Honorable Tribunal.

Control Country

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

BEFORE: KALIM ARSHAD KHAN ...CHAIRMAN

MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No.1465/2023

 Date of presentation of appeal
 09.10.2023

 Dates of Hearing
 11.03.2024

 Date of Decision
 11.03.2024

Versus

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Peshawar.
- 2. The Director Forensic Science Laboratory, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. The Addl. Inspector General of Police Investigation, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar......(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Muhammad Asif Yousafzai, Advocate......For appellant Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney.....For respondents

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 12.01.2023 WHEREBY, THE APPELLANT WAS COMPULSORY RETIRED FROM SERVICE AND AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 16.06.2023 WHEREBY, THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REJECTED FOR NO GOOD GROUNDS.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: According to the memorandum and grounds of appeal, the appellant was serving as Sub Inspector in the Police Department; that an inquiry was initiated against the appellant and show cause notice was issued to him on 29.09.2022. Resultantly, he, vide order dated 12.01.2023, was compulsorily retired from service. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant filed departmental appeal, on acceptance of which,

he was reinstated into service for the purpose of de-novo inquiry; that the

Attested to be True
Copy

W To

Page 1

4

Janan Habib DSP Admin Investigation Unit CPO, Peshawar, wherein the appellant was exonerated from the charges but the Additional Inspector General of Police, Investigation wrote a letter to the DIG Investigation for comments regarding the role of the appellant. The DIG Investigation instead submitted a report with the subject of fact finding inquiry and in Para-d of finding/recommendation, the DIG Investigation observed as under:

"After going through the inquiry report of FSL Peshawar, Inquiry conducted at concerned district, De-novo Inquiry report, review of the De-Novo inquiry report and hearing all concerned, I came to the conclusion that Technician ASI Kamran Aziz and Ex-Inspector Ayaz/SI Izhar Ali tried to shift responsibilities to prove themselves innocent. Record clearly shows that none of them fulfilled their responsibilities as per rules and with honesty of purpose. I found all the three officials responsible for the mishandling of a sensitive nature case resulting in delaying the dispensation of justice and destroying an impartment piece of evidence. I therefore recommend that suitable punishment may be awarded to Ex-Inspector Ayaz, SI Izhar Ali and Technician Kamran Aziz."

On receipt of the report and not the comments, the Additional Inspector General of Police passed the order dated 16.06.2023, rejecting the appeal of the appellant. The allegations against the appellant were that he had tampered with some record, but there is nothing said by the DIG Investigation to whom the Additional IG Investigation had sent the matter for comments as to what tampering the appellant had done and in which public/official or public document the alleged tampering was made thereby rendering him liable to such disciplinary action. Similarly, there is nothing said about violation of any such instructions of the superiors by the appellant nor any instructions were disclosed or confronted with the appellant. There is a handwritten document placed on file, which appears to

Attested to be True

Page 3

(5)

appellant he was again served with charge sheet/statement of allegations and de-novo inquiry was conducted, wherein, the Inquiry Officer exonerated the appellant from the charges leveled against him, however, the authority disagreed with the recommendations of Inquiry Officer and vide order dated 02.03.2023, the penalty of compulsory retirement from service was maintained, hence, this appeal.

- 2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.
- 3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned District Attorney for the respondents.
- 4. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned District Attorney controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).
- Muhammad Ayaz and the appellant S.I Izhar Ali. The appellant was compulsorily retired from service. He filed departmental appeal before the Additional Inspector General of Police, Investigation, who, on appeal, reinstated the appellant in service for the purpose of de-novo proceedings and treated the intervening period as leave without pay, vide order dated 02.03.2023, where-after, the Director Forensic Science Laboratory Khyber Pakhtunkhwa issued charge sheet on 06.03.2023 followed by summary of allegations and de-novo inquiry was conducted by an Inquiry Committee

teg coby

be some internally used document and never used or made public, wherein, some cutting/overwriting, though made, but that has been explained by the appellant to be correction regarding the bullet allegedly recovered from the dead body of the deceased, whose murder was reported vide case FIR No.220 dated 17.06.2022 U/S 302/324-34-PC, PS Zaida District Swabi. The overwriting shows that initially, the word was written as "unfired" but that was cut and replaced with the word "deformed". The learned District Attorney was confronted as to whether the Inquiry Officer or DIG Investigation, to whom the matter was sent for comments, had perused or referred to or discussed the application of the concerned SHO sent to the Forensic Science Laboratory alongwith the sealed parcels containing the said bullet to ascertain the fact whether it was an "unfired" bullet or a 'deformed" bullet to further enquire the matter whether the appellant could be held liable for any misconduct or not but the District Attorney could not utter a single word in this regard. Besides, there is no application of SHO P.S Zaida, District Swabi, placed on record nor is there any reference/discussion in the inquiry report or for that in the report of DIG Investigation to whom the matter was sent for comments. Be that as it may, copy of the FSL report has been produced during the course of arguments, which shows that sealed parcel No.5 sent by the SHO Police Station Zaid tested District Swabi was containing the "deformed" bullet. This document further establishes that disputed cutting was for making correction and that could not affect any proceeding nor used for any gains. Last but not the least, when the document or paper, apparently shows to be for internal usage or some type of rough work to make analysis and to prepare a report, and was

7

is not approved or found anywhere that the bullet was actually an "unfired" bullet and not a "deformed" bullet while the other documents were containing the mention of "unfired" bullet in order to benefit either of the parties of the criminal case registered vide above FIR or at least it could be said that the alleged tampering, which actually seems to be correction, held the appellant guilty of some misconduct.

- 6. This being so, we do not think that disagreement of the appellate authority with the inquiry report was justified nor is that sustainable. Therefore, on allowing this appeal, we set aside the original as well as appellate order by reinstating the appellant with all back benefits. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.
- Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 11th day of March, 2024.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN Chairman

MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN Member (Executive)

Attested to be True
Copy

Date of Pres.

Number of

Copyring P

lagani...

Name or C

Date of Con-

18/3/29 8/3/25

18/3/24

- 14.12.2023 1. Clerk to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Muhammad Jan learned District Attorney for the respondent present.
 - 2. Lawyers are on strike, therefore, the case is adjourned. To come up for arguments on 11.03.2024 before D.B. P.P given to the parties.

Muhammad Akbar Khan Member (E) Rashida Bano Member (J)

<u>ORDER</u> th Mar, 2024

- Learned counsel for the appellant and Mr. Muhammad Jan, District Attorney for the respondents present.
- 2. Vide our detailed judgment of today placed on file, on allowing this appeal, we set aside the original as well as appellate order by reinstating the appellant with all back benefits. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.
- 3. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 11th day of March, 2024.

(Muhaminad Akbar Khan)

Member (E)

(Kalim Arshad Khan) Chairman

.

Attested to be True Copy

lutuzem Shah*

عذمت هاب دا مركيش مامب م. F.S.L ركوستى كيش ولك درورست عراد روماره حال سرول مرزی و صور الوسم سروی طراسمونی ضبر محدو کوان (1)0 E (bir) 12.1 23 en 43-50/Fsh (1),1) Ples of Energy 11.3.24 Px 1465 6/4 (1-1)100 سانع مرا ما ی سانه روماره کال ارداع سعیر سروی راسونی معمرم نقل در دوست کیاتی ج إستعاصم مردكا أرسوى ك فنعات مطابق ته رسالم سراعا کاساله دواره سوی برحال راه کا منم رعالورسون ما صادر مرما با عاوی 19.3.2024 710 عىن لورش سولى original application Received today on 19.03.2024. V Diasy No.248 - 19.03.2024

VAKALAT NAMA

	NO	/2028+	
IN THE COURT OF _	KD Sorvice	Tribunal	Peshauan
IN THE COURT OF	nav Ali		(Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff)
	<u>V</u> E	<u>CRSUS</u>	(Hammi)
	Police Depth	<u> </u>	(Respondent) (Defendant)
I/We,	rav Ali		
above noted matter, with any other Advocate/Cou	hout any liability for hi insel on my/our costs. I Advocate to deposit, or deposited on my so at liberty to leave m	withdraw and rece	y/our Counsel/Advocate in the the authority to engage/appoint live on my/our behalf all sums the above noted matter. The stage of the proceedings, if his
	s legally necessary to i	nanage and condu	ct the said case in all respects,
AND I/we here or by virtue of this pow	by agree to ratify and cover or of the usual practi	onfirm all lawful actice in such matter.	ets done on my/our behalf under
authorized agent shall	inform the Advocate as f it be proceeded ex-par warded in favour shall	ind make him appo te the said counsel	ing of the case by the Court/my ear in Court, if the case may be shall not be held responsible for counsel or his nominee, and it
Dated/20)23	· .	(CLIENT)
			ACCEPTED Jan'
			M. ASIF YOUSAFZAI) ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT, OF PAKISTAN. (BC No. 10-7327)

Room # FR-8, 4th Floor, Bilour Plaza, Peshawar, Cantt: Peshawar

Cell No. 0302-5548451 0333-9103240 0306-5109438 0310-9503909

& HILAL ZUBAIR Advocate

(S. NOMAN ALI BUKHARI) ADVOCATE HIGH COURT,