BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

CM NO:

/2024

IN SERVIE APPEAL NO: 87/2023

MUHAMMAD IBRAR

VS

GOVT OF KPK & OTHERS

INDEX

Sr.No	Description & Documemts	Annex	Pages
1.	C M .		1
			<u> </u>
2.	Affidavit		2
3.	Judgment dated 24/1/2024		38
•			+ Sindar

APPELLANT

Dated:15/07/2024

Through

Amjad Hassan Tanoli,

Advocate High Court,

Peshawar.

BEFORE THE SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

CM /2024

In Service appeal No:-87/2023

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

Diary No. 14247

Dated 15-07-7-24

Muhammad Ibrar

VS

Govt of KPK & others.

Application for placing on file the judgment of connected appeals already allowed by this honourable tribunal.

Respectfully sheweth,

- 1) That the above captioned appeal is pending before the this Tribunal ,wherein impugned orders dated 23/8/2023 and 27/8/2023 have already been declared as null and void in connected appeals bearing No: 126/2023,127/2023,128/2023,129/2023,130/20 23,131/2023,132/2023, 133/2023, 134/20233 and 135/2023 vide judgment dated 24/01/2023. (copy of the judgment attached).
- 2) That the instant appeal be treated at par with the above captioned appeal as the impugned orders, question of law and facts are the same before the worthy tribunal.

(2)

It is therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application the documents/judgment mentioned above may please be placed on original file.

Note: Appellant

Through

Amjad Hassan Tanoli Advocate High Court.

Dated: 15/7/2024

AFFIDAVIT

I, AMJAD HASSAN TANOLI Advocate as per instruction and inflation furnished by my client, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed in this regard.

Deponent.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAW CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Service Appeal No.126/2023

BEFORE: MRS. RASHIDA BANO

MEMBER (J)

MR. MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ...

MEMBER (E)

Mr. Muhammad Islam, PHCT (Multipurpose) EPI (BPS-12), O/O the District Health Officer, District Battagram.

.... (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. The Director General Health Services Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,

resnawai.

3. The District Health Officer, Battagram.

. (Respondents)

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak

Advocate 1

For appellant

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

JUDGMENT

Rashida Bano, Member (J): The instant service appeals have been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer copied as below:

"That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated

23.08.2021 and 27.08.2021 may kindly be set aside and the

ATTESTEB

hyber Pot houses



respondents may kindly be directed to restore the appointment order dated 17.08.2021 of the appellant with all back benefits including seniority. Any other remedy which this August Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant."

- 2. Through this judgment we intend to dispose of instant service appeal as well as 8 connected service appeals which are:
 - 1. Service Appeal No. 127/2023
 - 2. Service Appeal No. 128/2023
 - 3. Service Appeal No. 129/2023
 - 4. Service Appeal No. 130/2023
 - 5. Service Appeal No. 131/2023
 - 6. Service Appeal No. 132/2023
 - 7. Service Appeal No. 133/2023
 - 8. Service Appeal No. 134/2023
 - 9. Service Appeal No. 135/2023

In view of common questions of law and facts, the above captioned appeals are being disposed of by this order.

3. Brief facts leading to filing of the instant appeals are that the appellants were appointed against the posts of PHCT vide order dated 17.08.2021. They were serving against their respective seats when in the meanwhile, vide office order dated 23.08.2021, their appointments were cancelled and an inquiry was ordered to be conducted in the matter. Resultantly, all the orders were cancelled vide impugned office order dated 27.08.2021. Feeling aggrieved from the said orders, they filed writ petition before the Peshawar High Court and the Peshawar High Court, vide order dated 15.09.2022 transmitted the writ petition to the respondents for

ATTESTED



treating the same as departmental appeal. But the respondents did not respond to the departmental appeal, hence, the instant service appeals.

- 4. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written reply/comments on appeal. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- 5. Learned counsel for the appellants argued that the impugned orders dated 23.08.2021 and 27.08.2021 were against law, facts and norms of natural justice. He submitted that the respondents had violated Articles-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Further submitted that no inquiry had been conducted before passing of the impugned orders which was against law and rules. Lastly, he concluded that the action taken against the appellants was arbitrary and malafide, therefore, he requested for acceptance of the instant service appeals.
- 6. Conversely, learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that the respondents had treated appellants in accordance with law, rules and principles of natural justice. Further submitted that a fact finding inquiry had been conducted before passing of impugned orders; that the appellants were appointed without conducing of ETEA test. Further submitted that irregularities had been committed in the process of appointments of the appellants due to which their appointments were cancelled. Therefore, he requested for dismissal of the instant service appeals.

ATTESTED

- Perusal of record reveals that appellants applied for their respective posts in consequence of publication published in newspaper for the posts of PHCT (EPI) advertised by respondent No.3. Appellants were considered by the DPC dully constituted and attended by the respondent No.3 as Chairman, Dr. Ahmad Faisal representative of DGHS and Mr. Mustafa Khan representative of DC Battagram as members held on 14.07.2021 upon recommendation of DSC, appellants were appointed vide order dated 17.08.2021 by respondent No.3.
- 8. Appellants assumed charge of their posts on 17.08.2021, 20.08.2021, and 21.08.2021 respectively and started performing official duties but appointment order was withdrawn by Director General Health vide order dated 23.08.2021 followed by order of respondent No.3 dated 27.08.2021 on the grounds of irregularities committed during appointing/recruitment process. Appellant approached worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar by filling writ petition bearing No.956/2021, which was considered as departmental appeals vide order dated 15.09.2022 by considering appellants as civil servants and send it to the respondent to decide it. The order of worthy Peshawar High Court is reproduced as under:

"Since the matter squarely falls within the jurisdiction of service tribunal, as such, jurisdiction of this court is bar under Article 212 of The Constitution of Islamic Republic of

Pakistan."

Ala

EXAMINER
Knyber Pakhtukhws
Service Tribunal

مر الم

In view thereof copy of the memorandum of this writ petitions be transmitted to the respondents/department and be treated as departmental appeals for decision in accordance with law. On the completion of 90 days the petitioners would be at liberty to approach service tribunal subject to all just and legal exceptions. Respondents after receipt of order of Worthy Peshawar High Court alongwith writ petition did not decided the matter within 90 days.

- 9. It is also pertinent to mention here that appointment orders were cancelled as per representative of respondent upon report of respondent No.3 who reported that local MPA Mr. Zubair forcefully snatched entire record of appointments/recruitments of appellants at gun point from him as he wants to get appoint his blue eyed who was not appointed. When respondent No.3 reported incident of snatching of record legal proceeding must be initiated against the said MPA but instead of doing so, appointment orders were concealed without providing opportunity to direct effectees i.e. present appellants which is against the settled norms and rules upon subject and is not sustainable in the eyes of law.
- 10. Moreover it is mentioned in cancellation order dated 27.08.2021 local in-charge are directed not to accept the arrival of any of appointee/candidate but present appellants submit their arrival reports and also assumed charge of their posts. So, when appellants assumed charge then they became civil servants and they will have to be dealt with in

ATTESTED

Service Tribunal

accordance of rules and law, otherwise too Worthy Peshawar High Court consider appellants as civil servant.

- 11. In the circumstance it would be appropriate to provide opportunity to the appellants to defend themselves as they were appointees of the process in which irregularities were allegedly committed. Hence, impugned orders are set-aside with direction to the respondents to associate appellants with the inquiry proceeding by providing opportunity of defense and hearing to them. Appellants who assumed charge of their respective posts are hereby reinstated into service for the purpose of inquiry. Respondent are further directed to conduct and conclude inquiry within 60 days after receipt of copy of this order. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 12. Pronounced in camp court at Abbottabad and given our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of January, 2024.

(MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN)

Member (E)
Camp Court Abbottabad

(RASHIDA BANO)

Member (J)

Camp Court Abbottabad

Certified to be true copy

*M.Khan

EXAMENER Khyher Palatuktas Service Tribunal Peshawar

Date of Presentation of Am Section 19/0	124
Number of Words 6 1	l7 /
Copying Fee 30	· ·
Urgent	,
Total 70/	
Name of Copylest	
Date of Complection of Completion of Complet	3/24
Date of Delivery or 1 /2 /2 /2	