# Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

|                             | <br>   |     |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----|
|                             |        |     |
|                             |        |     |
| Implementation Petition No. | 749/20 | 3/4 |
| minimentation relition No.  | /43/ZU | 24  |

|       | · Im                      | plementation Petition No. 749/2024                      |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| S.Na. | Date of order proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge      |
| 1     | 2                         | 3   |
| 1     | 19.07.2024                | The implementation petition of Muhammad                 |
|       |                           | Amir Khan submitted today by Mr. Noor Muhammad          |
|       |                           | Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report |
|       |                           | before Single Bench at Peshawar on 23.07.2024. Origina  |
|       |                           | file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date      |
|       |                           | Parcha peshi given to counsel for the petitioner.       |
|       |                           | By the order of Chairman                                |
|       |                           | REGISTRAR   |
|       |                           | KL <del>uis</del> i KAK                                 |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       | :                         |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           | ·   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       | ,                         |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |
|       |                           |   |

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

| ;, |      | E.P. | NO. | /202 4                |
|----|------|------|-----|-----------------------|
| М. | AMIR | Khan | vs  | GOVT. OF KPK & OTHERS |

## APPLICATION FOR FIXATION OF THE ABOVE TITLED Excution AT PRINCIPAL SEAT, PESHAWAR

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the above mentioned  $F_{ij}$  is pending adjudication before this Hon ble Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
- 2. That according to Rule 5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974, a Tribunal may hold its sittings at any place in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa which would be convenient to the parties whose matters are to be heard.
- 3. That it is worth mentioning that the offices of all the respondents concerned are at Peshawar and Peshawar is also convenient to the appellant/applicant meaning thereby that Principal Seat would be convenient to the parties concerned.
- 4. That any other ground will be raised at the time of arguments with the permission of this Hon'ble tribunal.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this application the  $\mathcal{EP}$  may please be fixed at Principal Seat, Peshawar for the Convenience of parties and best interest of justice.

Appellant/Applicant

Dated: 1917 24

Through

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 749 /2024
In
Appeal No. 130/2023

MR. MUHAMMAD AMIR KHAN

VS

**HEALTH DEPTT:** 

#### **INDEX**

| S. NO. | DOCUMENTS   | ANNEXURE | PAGE |
|--------|---|----------|------|
| 1.     | Implementation Petition with  Affidavit             |          | 1-2  |
| 2.     | Copy of the judgment dated 24/01/2024 & application | "A & B"  | 2-10 |
| 3.     | Vakalat Nama  |          | 11   |

Petitioner Muhammad Amir Khan

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

-1-

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 799 /2024 Service Tribunal

In

Appeal No. 130/2023

Dated 19-07-2024

Dated 19-07-2024

Mr. Muhammad Aamir Khan, PHCT (Multipurpose) EPI (BPS-12),
O/O the District Health Officer, District Battagram.

Petitioner

#### **VERSUS**

- 1- The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2- The Director General Health Services Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3- The District Health Officer, Battagram.

..... RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION-PETITION-UNDER-SECTION 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974, RULE 27 OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 READ WITH SECTIONS 36 AND 51 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE AND ALL ENABLING LAWS ON THE SUBJECT FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 24/01/2024 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

#### **R/SHEWETH:**

- 1- That the petitioner filed service appeal bearing No. 130/2023 before this august Service Tribunal against the impugned orders dated 23/08/2021 & 27/08/2021, whereby the appointment order dated 17/08/2021 has been cancelled.
- 2- That the appeal of the petitioner was finally heard on dated 24/01/2024 and as such the ibid appeal was allowed with the following terms by this august Service Tribunal:
  - "7. In the circumstances, it would be appropriate to provide opportunity to the appellants to defend themselves as they were appointee of the process in which irregularities were allegedly committed. Hence, impugned orders are set aside with direction to the respondents to associate appellants with the inquiry proceeding by providing opportunity of defense and hearing to them. Appellants who assumed charge of their respective posts are hereby reinstated into service for the purpose of inquiry. Respondents are further directed to conduct and conclude inquiry with sixty days after receipt of copy of this order. Costs shall

- 4- That petitioner having no other remedy but to file this implementation petition.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant execution petition the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the Judgment dated 24/01/2024 passed in Appeal No. 130/2023 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

Petitioner
Muhammad Amir Khan

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

### **AFFIDAVIT**

Comm(\$\$)

I, Mr. Muhammad Amir Khan, PHCT (Multipurpose) EPI (BPS-12), (The appellant) do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of this Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

THROUGH:

DEPONENT

"A" -3-

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 130\_/2023

| Mr. Muhammad Aamir Khan, PHCT (Multipurpose) EPI (BPS-12),<br>O/O the District Health Officer, District Battagram.   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| APPELLANT  |  |  |
| VERSUS   |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.</li> <li>The Director General Health Services Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.</li> <li>The District Health Officer, Battagram.</li> </ol> |  |  |
| RESPONDENTS  |  |  |
| APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDERS DATED 23.08.2021 & 27.08.2021 WHEREBY THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 17.08.2021 HAS BEEN  |  |  |
| CANCELLED AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE   |  |  |

#### **PRAYER:**

That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated 23.08.2021 and 27.08.2021 may kindly be set aside and the respondents may kindly be directed to restore the appointment order dated 17.08.2021 of the appellant with all back benefits including seniority. Any other remedy which this August Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant.

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE

STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

#### R/SHEWETH: ON FACTS:

#### Brief facts giving rise to the present appeal are as under:-

- **1-** That appellant is law abiding and peaceful citizen of Pakistan and permanent/bonafide resident of District Battagram.

-4-

### KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAD

CAMP COURT ABBOTTABAD

Service Appeal No 126/2023

REPORE:

MRS. RASHIDA BANO

MEMBER (

MR. MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ...

MEMBER (E)

Mr. Muhammad Islam, PHCT (Multipurpose) EPI (BPS-12), O/O the District Health Officer, District Battagram.

(Appellant)

#### **VERSUS**

1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Health, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

2. The Director General Health Services Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3. The District Health Officer, Battagram.

. (Respondents)

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak

Advocate

For appellant

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

Date of Institution 13.01.2023
Date of Hearing 24.01.2024

#### <u>JUDGMENT</u>

Rashida Bano, Member (J): The instant service appeals have been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer copied as below:

"That on acceptance of this appeal the impugned orders dated

23.08.2021 and 27.08.2021 may kindly be set aside and the

ATESTED

respondents may kindly be directed to restore the appointment order dated 17,08,2021 of the appellant with all back benefits including seniority. Any other remedy which this August Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the appellant."

- 2. Through this judgment we intend to dispose of instant service appeal as well as 8 connected service appeals which are:
  - 1. Service Appeal No. 127/2023
  - 2. Service Appeal No. 128/2023
  - 3. Service Appeal No. 129/2023
  - 4. Service Appeal No. 130/2023
  - 5. Service Appeal No. 131/2023
  - 6. Service Appeal No. 132/2023
  - 7. Service Appeal No. 133/2023
  - 8. Service Appeal No. 134/2023
  - 9. Service Appeal No. 135/2023

In view of common questions of law and facts, the above captioned appeals are being disposed of by this order.

3. Brief facts leading to filing of the instant appeals are that the appellants were appointed against the posts of PHCT vide order dated 17.08.2021. They were serving against their respective seats when in the meanwhile, vide office order dated 23.08.2021, their appointments were cancelled and an inquiry was ordered to be conducted in the matter. Resultantly, all the orders were cancelled vide impugned office order dated 27.08.2021. Feeling aggrieved from the said orders, they filed writ petition before the Peshawar High Court and the Peshawar High Court, vide order

dated 15.09.2022 transmitted the writ petition to the respondents for

Palinen Paline treating the same as departmental appeal. But the respondents did not respond to the departmental appeal, hence, the instant service appeals.

- 4. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written reply/comments on appeal. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents and have gone through the record and the proceedings of the case in minute particulars.
- 5. Learned counsel for the appellants argued that the impugned orders dated 23.08.2021 and 27.08.2021 were against law, facts and norms of natural justice. He submitted that the respondents had violated Articles-4 & 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Further submitted that no inquiry had been conducted before passing of the impugned orders which was against law and rules. Lastly, he concluded that the action taken against the appellants was arbitrary and malafide, therefore, he requested for acceptance of the instant service appeals.
- 6. Conversely, learned Deputy District Attorney submitted that the respondents had treated appellants in accordance with law, rules and principles of natural justice. Further submitted that a fact finding inquiry had been conducted before passing of impugned orders; that the appellants were appointed without conducing of ETEA test. Further submitted that irregularities had been committed in the process of appointments of the appellants due to which their appointments were cancelled. Therefore, he

requested for dismissal of the instant service appeals.

HYAMMUER ON NO. Service Tribunal

7. Perusal of record reveals that appellants applied for their respective posts in consequence of publication published in newspaper for the posts of PHCT (EPT) advertised by respondent No.3. Appellants were considered by the DPC dully constituted and attended by the respondent No.3 as Chairman, Dr. Ahmad Faisal representative of DGHS and Mr. Mustafa Khan representative of DC Battagram as memebers held on 14.07.2021 upon recommendation of DSC, appellants were appointed vide order dated 17.08.2021 by respondent No.3.

8. Appellants assumed charge of their posts on 17.08.2021, 20.08.2021, and 21.08.2021 respectively and started performing official duties but appointment order was withdrawn by Director General Health vide order dated 23.08.2021 followed by order of respondent No.3 dated 27.08.2021 on the grounds of irregularities committed during appointing/recruitment process. Appellant approached worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar by filling writ petition bearing No.956/2021, which was considered as departmental appeals vide order dated 15.09.2022 by considering appellants as civil servants and send it to the respondent to decide it. The order of worthy Peshawar High Court is reproduced as under:

"Since the matter squarely falls within the jurisdiction of service tribunal, as such, jurisdiction of this court is bar under Article 212 of The Constitution of Islamic Republic of

Pakistan."

In view thereof copy of the memorandum of this writ petitions be transmitted to the respondents/department and be treated as departmental appeals for decision in accordance with law. On the completion of 90 days the petitioners would be at liberty to approach service tribunal subject to all just and legal exceptions. Respondents after receipt of order of Worthy Peshawar High Court alongwith writ petition did not decided the matter within 90 days.

5

- Quancelled as per representative of respondent upon report of respondent No.3 who reported that local MPA Mr. Zubair forcefully snatched entire record of appointments/recruitments of appellants at gun point from him as the wants to get appoint his blue eyed who was not appointed. When respondent No.3 reported incident of snatching of record legal proceeding must be initiated against the said MPA but instead of doing so, appointment orders were concealed without providing opportunity to direct effectees i.e. present appellants which is against the settled norms and rules upon subject and is not sustainable in the eyes of law.
- 10. Moreover it is mentioned in cancellation order dated 27.08.2021 local in-charge are directed not to accept the arrival of any of appointee/candidate but present appellants submit their arrival reports and also assumed charge of their posts. So, when appellants assumed charge then they became civil servants and they will have to be dealt with in

FERNINGER OF MAN SERVING THOMAS PROBLEM TO THE PROBLEM OF THE PROB

accordance of rules and law, otherwise too Worthy Peshawar High Court consider appellants as civil servant.

- 11. In the circumstance it would be appropriate to provide opportunity to the appellants to defend themselves as they were appointees of the process in which irregularities were allegedly committed. Hence, impugned orders are set-aside with direction to the respondents to associate appellants with the inquiry proceeding by providing opportunity of defense and hearing to them. Appellants who assumed charge of their respective posts are hereby reinstated into service for the purpose of inquiry. Respondent are further directed to conduct and conclude inquiry within 60 days after receipt of copy of this order. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.
- 12. Pronounced in camp court at Abbottabad and given our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of January, 2024.

(MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN) Member (E)

Camp Court Abbottabad

(RASHIDA BANO) Member (J)

Camp Court Abbottabad

•M.Khan

EXAMINER
Nicher Pakhtukhwa
nurvice Tribunai
Pushawar

| Date of Presentation of | Applicants                              |
|-------------------------|---|
| Number of Words         | , |
| Copying Fee _ So        | •                                       |
| Urgeni —                | /                                       |
| Total                   |   |
| Name of Copy            | . 09-7-23                               |
| Date of Complectio      | 28-7-3                                  |
| Posts of Delivery of C  | Copy                                    |

The District Health Officer District Battagram.

13 15 20211 B'-10.

Through Proper Channel

Subject:-

REPRESENTATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT DATED 24/01/2024 of the honourable services tribunal, kp peshawar in SERVICE APPEAL NO 126/2023 TO SERVICE APPEAL NO 135/2023.

#### Respected Sir

It is stated that the applicants was appointed as PHCT/JCT in the Health 1. Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide order dated 17/08/2021.

That the applicants were serving against their respective seats in the ·\* meanwhile, vide office order dated 23/08/2021 appointments were cancelled and an inquiry was ordered to be conducted in the matter. Resultantly, all the orders were cancelled vide impugned order Office Order dated 27/08/2021.

That the applicants feeling aggrieved from the above mentioned impugned 3. orders filed Writ Petition before the Peshawar High Court. Abbottabad Bench the Peshawar High Court, Abbottabad Bench vide order dated 15/09/2022 transmitted the Writ Petition to the department, treating the same as departmental appeal, but the department did not respond the departmental appeal,

That feeling aggrieved, the applicants filed Service Appeals No 126/2023 to 4. 135/2023, which was accepted vide order dated 24/01/2024 and the impugned orders are set aside with the direction to the respondents to associate appellants with the inquiry proceeding by providing opportunity of defense and hearing to them. Respondent are further directed to conduct and conclude inquiry within 60 days after receipt of copy of order.

> It is, therefore, most humbly requested that on acceptance of this representation, the judgment dated 24/01/2024 of Services Tribunal KP, Peshawar in Service Appeal No 126/2023 to 135/2023 may please be implemented in letter and spirit.

Dated:- 1/ /03/2024

**Applicants** Muhammad Islam

Arshad Aziz

(1) Service Appeal No 1/26/2023

Muhammad Fawad Khan Dentis

(3) Service Appeal No 128/2023.

Muhammad Amir Khan

(5) Service Appeal No 130/2023.

Ijaz Ali shah t

(7) Service Appeal No 132/2023.

Ubaid ullah

(9) Service Appeal No 134/2023,

(2) Service Appeal No 127/2023,

Saced Khan

(4) Service Appeal No 129/2023,

Syed Mocen Shah

(6) Service Appeal No. 131/2023,

Inam Ullah

(8) Service Appeal No 133/2023.

Muhammad Usman

(10) Service Appeal No 135/2023

Attested

### **VAKALATNAMA** BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

| <u>resn/</u>  | AVVAR.   |
|---|--|
| <u> </u>  | No/20 <u>\</u>   |
| I/We_M_A_JULY  Do hereby appoint and constitute  Advocate Supreme Court to a  withdraw or refer to arbitra  Counsel/Advocate in the above n  for his default and with the author  Advocate Counsel on my/our  Advocate to deposit, withdraw a | (APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)  SUS  (RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)  The Noor Mohammad Khattak appear, plead, act, compromise, ation for me/us as my/our action for me/us as my/our action for me/us any liability ority to engage/appoint any other cost. I/we authorize the said and receive on my/our behalf all posited on my/our account in the |
| above noted matter.  Dated//202   | CLIENT  ACCEPTED  NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK  |
| & OFFICE:   | MALEED ADNAM  UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND  MAHMOOD JAN  ABID ALI SHAH ADVOCATES  |

OFFICE:
Flat No. (TF) 291-292 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor,
Deans Trade Centre, Peshawar Cantt. (0311-9314232)