Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	

Implementation	Petition	No.	740,	/2024
-		-		

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge			
1	2	3			
1	18.07.2024	The implementation petition of Mr. Nasir Iqbal			
-		submitted today by Mr. Ashraf Ali Khattak Advocate. It is			
,	,	fixed for implementation report before Single Bench a			
		Peshawar on 19.07.2024. Original file be requisitioned.			
		AAG has noted the next date. Parcha peshi given to			
		counsel for the petitioner.			
		By the order of Chairman			
		REGISTRAR			
		AND THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONT			
٠		Section (Continued in the Continued in t			
		46-7 题等3-44-			
	,				
		·			
_					
		·			

BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

E.P EM No. 740 /2024

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 269/2023

Decided on 22.05.2024

Nasir Iqbal.....Appellant

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer & others...Respondents

INDEX

S.No	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Application for implementation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1-3
2.	Affidavit		, <u> </u>
3.	Copy of the Judgment and Order dated 22.05.2024	A	5-9
4.	Wakalat Nama	.:	10

Appellant / Applicant

Through

Dated: 18.07.2024

July Sin

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan

BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

E.P. No. 740/2024

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 269/2023

Decided on 22.05.2024

Placed 18-07.2

Nasir Iqbal, Ex-Constable No. 117, Police Force, Karak.

....Appellant

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.
- 3. The District Police Officer Karak.

.....Respondents

APPLICATION FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 22.05.2024 IN THE CAPTIONED SERVICE APPEAL OF THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the above noted Service Appeal was pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal and was decided vide Judgment and order dated 22.05.2024.

- 2. That vide judgment and order dated 22.05.2024 this Hon'ble Tribunal while allowing the Appeal of the Appellant, set aside the impugned order and reinstate the Appellant in Service from the date of his dismissal with all back benefits. (Copy of the Judgment and Order dated 22.05.2024 is attached as Annexure A)
- 3. That the Judgment and Order of this Hon'ble tribunal was duly communicated to the Respondents by the Petitioner and submitted an Application implementation of the Order of this Hon'ble Tribunal. Thereafter the Petitioner is continuously approaching the Respondents for the implementation of the Judgment and Order dated 22.05.2024, however they are reluctant to implement the same. (Copy of the Application is attached as annexure B)
- 4. That the Respondents are legally bound to implement the judgment of this Hon'ble Tribunal dated 22.05.2024 in its true letter and spirit without any further delay, which has already been delayed due to the malafide intention of the Respondents.
- 5. That the valuable rights of the Petitioner are involved in the instant case and the Respondents are violating the legal and fundamental rights of the Petitioner by not reinstating the appellant with all back benefits.

6. That other grounds will be raised at the time of arguments with prior permission of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

On acceptance of this Application, the Order and Judgment dated 22.05.2024 of this Hon'ble Tribunal may Kindly be implemented in its true letter and spirit. And the Respondents may graciously be directed to reinstate the Appellant with all back benefits.

Appellant / Applicant

Through

Dated: 18.07.2024

74-21:00

ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan

BEFORE THE LEARNED SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

CM No. ____/2024

In the matter of

Service Appeal No. 269/2023

Decided on 22.05.2024

Nasir Iqbal......Appellant

VERSUS

The Provincial Police Officer & others...Respondents <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Nasir Iqbal, Ex-Constable No. 117, Police Force, Karak, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Application** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'ble Court.

DEPONENT



Service Appeal No. 259/2023 rated "Static brood versus The Inspector General of Politics Performence Feshiower and others" dended on 22.05.2024 by Division Bonch con Parkitanian Archael Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Mahammad Akbar Khan, Member 2015. Salon Archael Khan, Chairman, and Mr. Mahammad Akbar Khan, Member 2015. Rayber faknankhwa Service Trebunal, Peshawar.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

BEFORE:

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN. MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN

... MEMBER (Executive

Service Appeal No.269/2023

Date of presentation of Appeal......02.02.2023 Date of Decision......22.05.2024

Police Force, Ex-Constable No.117, lqbal,(Appellant)

The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

2. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.

3. The District Police Officer, Karak (Respondents)

Mir. Ashraf Ali Khattak, AdvocateFor the appellant Present: Mr. Muhammad Jan, District AttorneyFor respondents

> APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED FINAL ORDER DATED 06.01.2023 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.2 ON DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF APPELLANT; PREFERRED AGAINST IMPUGNED ORIGINAL ORDER OB NO.584 DATED 08.11:2022 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.3.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN, CHAIRMAN: Brief facts of the case

are that appellant was appointed as Constable on 05.08.2009; that he was charge sheeted on the basis of alleged involvement in extra departmental activities as well as lodging of FIR No.338 U/S ALS Khyper Pakhtunkhwa Police Act, 2017 at Police Station Sarband, which was replied by him; that an inquiry was conducted against him, wherein, the inquiry officer recommended the appellant for

ATTSTE

6

major punishment, that show cause notice was issued to the appellant, resultantly, he was dismissed from service vide impugned order dated 08.11.2022.

- 2. Feeling aggrieved, he filed departmental appeal but the same was rejected vide order dated 06.01.2023, therefore, he filed the instant service appeal:
- On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.
- 4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned District Attorney and learned counsel for private respondents.
- 5. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned District Attorney, controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).
- 6. True that departmental proceedings and criminal proceedings could run simultaneously but it is equally true that in case, where the department has not proceeded in accordance with the prescribed procedure for conducting inquiry, in that situation, the inquiry cannot be made basis for penalizing a civil servant. In this case, although, an inquiry was conducted by the SDPO Banda Daud Shah, Karak, but in the inquiry proceedings the appellant was not confronted with any material with which he was charged nor any

ATTE

independent witness was recorded in support of the allegations against the appellant. It is strange enough to note that the inquiry officer had cross-examined the appellant instead of examining some independent witness and providing opportunity of cross examination to the appellant. The inquiry proceedings are thus not sustainable, nor is the consequent punishment maintainable.

Therefore, on allowing this appeal, we set aside the impugned order and reinstate the appellant in service from the date of his dismissal with all back benefits. However, the absence period of the appellant shall be treated as leave of the kind due.

Costs shall follow the event, Consign.

Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 22nd day of May, 2024.

> LIM ARSHAD KHAN . Chairman

MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN

Member (Executive)

Date of Presentation of Application

Number of Words

Name of Copy

Date of Complection

ATTS TE

R/Sir.

It is submitted that Ex-Constable Nasir Iqbal No. 117 has preferred an application alongwith enclosures that he was dismissed from service. After that he submitted an appeal to high-ups however, his appeal was rejected. In this regard he approached to the Service Tribunal Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar vide service appeal No. 269/2023. Later on the said Tribunal decided his appeal and announced his order in favour of applicant on 22.05.2024 (copy enclosed.) vide which the honorable Service Tribunal conveyed the following remarks, which is repreduced below:-

"Our detailed judgment of teday placed on file, on allowing his appeal, we set aside the impugned order and reinstate the appellant in service from the date of his dismissal with all back benefits. However, the absence period of the appellant shall be treated as leave of the kind due".

In the light of Service Tribunal order sheet the Ex-constable named above has moved the enclosed application for reinstalement in service.

. Submitted for favour of perusal and order, please.

Word W. S. War Hee was obert

EC/Karak 16/24

Per Law Market BARAK

ATTSTEL

يعدالر Khyber Pakhtankhwa Service Tribunal Perhauter Applicant Masir laboral ختلامه دعوی 7. Provincial Police باعث تحربرآ نكه منفدمه مندرج عنوان بالامين ابي طرف سے واسطے بيروي وجواب دہي وکل کاروائي متعلقه آن مقام بشرا ورب كياع <u>اشرم على حملاً</u> مقرد کر کے اقراد کیا جاتا ہے۔ کہ صاحب موصوف کومقدمہ کی کا کا کا کا کا اختیار ہوگا۔ فیز وكيل صاحب كوراضى نامه كرنے وتقرر ثالت و فيصله برحلف دييئے جواب دہى اورا قبال دعوى اور بسورت ومرك كرف إجراءاورصولي چيك وروبيارع منى دعوى اوردرخواست برسم كى تقديق زرایں پردستخط کرانے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ نیز صورت عدم پیردی یا ڈگری میطرفہ یا پیل کی برا مدگی اورمنسوخی نیز دا نزکرنے اپیل نگرانی ونظر تانی و بیروی کرنے کا اختیار ہوگا۔ أُزّ بصورت ضرورت مقدمہ مذکور کے کل یا جزوی کاروائی کے واسطے اور وکیل یا مختار قانونی کواہیے ہمراہ یا اینے بجائے تقرر کا اختیار موگا _ا در مها حب مقرر شده کوئیمی و بی جمله ند کوره باا ختیا رات حاصل مول محےاوراس کا ساخته برواخته منظور قبول ہوگا۔ دوران مقدمہ میں جوخر چیدد ہر جاندالتوائے مقدمہ کے سبب سے وہوگا۔ کوئی تاریخ بیتی مقام دورہ پر ہویا عدہ ہا ہر ہوتو وکیل صاحب پابند ہوں ہے۔ کہ پیروی Attested & Accepted - Lacepted Attested As hvert Au Aduosode بمقام کے لئے منظور ہے۔