# Form-A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Postaration Application No.	602/2024
codit oi	<del>. , .</del>

	Court of	
		Restoration Application No. 682/2024
S.No.	Date of order Proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1.	2.	3
1.	09.07.2024	The application for restoration Execution Petition No.465/2024 submitted today by Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Single Bench at Peshawar on 11.07.2024. Original file be requisitioned. Parcha Peshi given to the
		By the order of Chairman
		REGISTRAR

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

MR. MUHAMMAD NAEEM

VS

**GOVT: OF KP & OTHERS** 

## INDEX

S. NO	DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMENTS	ANNEXURE	PAGE 4
1	Memo of application with official		1-3
2			
3	Copy of order dated 12/06/2024 of this Honourable Tribunal	A	9
4	Wakalat Nama		. J

**PETITIONER** 

Through:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK,
ADVOCATE
SUPREME COURT OF PAKISTAN

PETITIONER

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

RA = 6M.NO. 682	/20	024
In Execution Petition No.	465	/2024
In Appeal No. 762	25/2021	Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunat Diary No. 14085
Mr. Muhammad Naeem, SST (G) (BPS-1 GHS Mandati, District Orakzai	16)	Dated 09/07/24

#### **VERSUS**

- 1. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.
- 2. Director Elementary & Secondary Education, Peshawar.
- 3. Chairman Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Service Commission, Fort Road, Peshawar Cantt.

  RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE ABOVE TITLED EXECUTION PETITION WHICH WAS CONSIGNED BY THIS HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL VIDE ORDER DATED 12/06/2024.

## **R/SHEWETH:**

- 1- That the petitioner filed the above tilted Service Appeal before this Honourable Tribunal against the impugned notification dated 11/06/2021, whereby the withdrawal notification dated 17/04/2019 regarding appointment of appellant as SST(G) (BPS-16) has been restored in utter violation of law and rules.
- 2- That this Honorable Service Tribunal vide judgment/order dated 12/10/2023 decided the service appeal in favor of the petitioner.
- 3- The applicant/petitioner after obtaining the attested copy of the order dated 12/10/2023, submitted the said order before the respondent, which was not complied in its true spirit.



- 4- That thereafter the petitioner filed execution petition mentioned above against the lethargic approach of the respondents by not implementing the judgment dated 12/10/2023 of this Honourable Tribunal.
- 5- That during the pendency of the instant execution petition, the representative of respondent department produced copy of notification dated 10/01/2024 before this Honourable Tribunal, whereby denovo inquiry was ordered and the petitioner was reinstated for the purpose of denovo inquiry with the submissions that denovo inquiry has been concluded.
- 6- That on receipt of aforesaid documents, the learned counsel for the petitioner while stressing upon the action have sought a specific date for handing over copy of inquiry report as well as order passed as a consequence of inquiry report to the petitioner upto 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2024. Copy of order dated 12/06/2024 of this Honourable Tribunal is attached as annexure.
- 7- That as the deadline i.e. 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 ordered by this Honourable Tribunal has since been passed, but no plausible action on the part of respondents has been taken so far, hence the instant application for restoration of the above titled Execution Petition.
- 8- That the petitioner time and again approached the respondents and produced order of Honorable Tribunal, and requested for compliance, but they refused and in this way brought disregard to order of August Tribunal.
- 9- That as the matter pertaining in the instant execution petition has not been redressed by the respondent in true letter and spirit as promised before this Honourable Tribunal, therefore the mentioned execution petition may be restored for the sack of justice.
- 10- That there is no legal bar in restoring the mentioned Wife Petition.
- 11- That other grounds will be raised at the time of arguments with prior permission of this Hon'ble Court.



It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of this application, the above mentioned petition may very kindly be restored OR any other order deemed proper in the matter may be passed.

Applicant/ Petitioner

Through:

NOOR MUHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Mr. Muhammad Naeem (The appellant) do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

DEPONENT

Execution Petition No. 465/2024 titled "Muhammad Naeem versus Government of Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretary Peshawar and others".

<u>ORDER</u>

12<sup>th</sup> June, 2024 Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Learned counsel for the petitioner present.

This application is for execution of judgment dated 12.10.2023 passed in Service Appeal No. 7623/2021 titled "Shakir Ullah Vs. Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa through Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", wherein the Tribunal had directed conduct of de-novo inquiry. Mr. Muhammad Rizwan, Assistant Director is present on behalf of Director Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, who accepted the notice of this application and produced copy of Notification dated 10.01.2024 (which is placed on file of Execution Petition No. 459/2024), whereby de-novo inquiry was ordered and the petitioner was reinstated for the purpose of de-novo inquiry. He also stated that de-novo inquiry had been conducted and concluded. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a specified date might be given to hand over copy of the inquiry report as well as any order passed as a consequence of the inquiry report to the petitioner not later than 25th of this June, 2024. Order accordingly. Consign.

3. Pronounced in open court at Peshawar and given under my hand and seal of the Tribunal on this 12th day of June, 2024.

Kalim Arshad Khan)

Chairman

AT ESTED

Resigiant and the little of th



## **VAKALATNAMA** BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

	r. 0		الما الما الما الما الما الما الما الما
-	RT.	No	_/20 <u>24</u>
M. Ngee	hi avalus	ERSUS	(APPELLANT) _ (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
Gout		<u>LK3U3</u>	(RESPONDENT) _ (DEFENDANT)
<b>Advocate Sup</b> withdraw or	oint and cons r <b>eme Court</b> refer to ar	to appear, ր bitration fo	Mohammad Khattak blead, act, compromise or me/us as my/out ter, without any liability
for his default a Advocate Coun Advocate to de	nd with the ausel on my/o sel on my/o posit, withdraints payable or	ithority to er ur cost. I/v w and recei	ngage/appoint any otherwe authorize the said we authorize the said we on my/our behalf all n my/our account in the
Dated/	/202		محرفی توکزل کرسه <u>LIENT</u>
	·	<u>A</u>	CCEPTED
	·		MOHAMMAD KHATTAK CATE SUPREME COURT
		WALE	D ADNAN
		UMAR	FAROOQ MOHMAND
		MAHM &	OOD JAN
OFFICE:		ABID A	ALI SHAH CATES

### OFFICE:

Flat No. (TF) 291-292 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Deans Trade Centre, Peshawar Cantt. (0311-9314232)