BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

PESHAWAR.

In Re:

Service Appeal No. 601/2024

Muhammad Afaq Ex-ASI.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

INDEX

S.No.	Description of Documents	Annex	Pages
1.	Rejoinder		1-10
2.	Affidavit		11
3.	Copy of "Murasila", Recovery Memo, Site Plan, FSL and Arrest Card	A&B	12-19
4,	Copy of Challan bearing endorsement of DPP	. C	20-21
5.	Copy of charge and order of trial Court	D&E	22-26

Appellant

Through

Dated: 05/09/2024

Zahoor Islam Khattak

8

Muneeb ur Rehman Advocates High Court, Peshawar. Cell No. 0346-9083579

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,

PESHAWAR.

In Re:

Service Appeal No. 601/2024

[•] Pakhtukhw 420 Diary No. 15 Dated 06.09.2024

Muhammad Afaq Ex-ASI.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

REJOINDER ON BEHALF OF APPELLANT.

Respectfully Sheweth:

PRELIMINARY OBJECTION:

Almost all the eight preliminary objections raised by respondents are evasive and vague. There is no cavil with the preposition that police officers are civil servants for invoking jurisdiction of Service Tribunal in Service Appeals. Appellant while serving police establishment as Assistant Sub Inspector (ASI) was rendered to disciplinary action on the basis of hallowed charges which culminated in passing the impugned order of his removal from service. Appellant after exhausting the departmental remedies, filed the instant Service Appeal Under Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Act, 1974. Théréfore appellant has got every right and cause of action to file the Service Appeal. The appeal is maintainable and proper parties have been made. The respondents have wrongly raised the conventional objection of estopple, and not coming to the tribunal with clean hands.

IN RESPONSE TO THE COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON FACTS:

- 1. That the respondents have admitted Para-I of the appeal, therefore needs no reply.
- 2. That the respondents have admitted Para-2 of the appeal but has wrongly criticized and attached the conduct of appellant beyond the charges leveled in the charge sheet which small malafide on the part of respondents.
- 3. That respondents have admitted that on 19/03/2023 appellant while posted as ASI in Police Station (PS) Doaba District Hangu/ during patrol duty booked Hayat Ullah (Complaint Maker) on charges of Commission of the offence of rash and

negligent riding and possession of narcotics. The said Hayat Ullah did not oblige the signal of Police and made abortive attempt of fleeing away by rashly and negligently riding the Motorcycle but the police party headed by appellant made hot pursuit of Hayat Ullah and recovery of 120 grams chars gardah was made from his possession. Appellant drafted "Murasila" for registration of criminal case against Hayat Ullah. Appellant also secured into possession the narcotics recovered from Hayat Ullah vide recovery memo. (Copy of "Murasila" and Recovery Memo are enclosed as annexure "A" & ""B" respectively). The "Murasila" was transmitted to Police Station and the Muharrar Staff incorporated the contents of Mursila into FIR No. 160/2023. (Copy of F.I.R is already enclosed as annexure "B" with main appeal). Investigation in the case was entrusted to Fazal Badshah Officer Incharge investigation (OII). He after conducting necessary investigation, handed over the case file to SHO for onward submission to Trial Court for favour of trial of the accused. SHO submitted challan and put it in Court through District Public Prosecutor (DPP). (Copy of Challan bearing endorsement of DPP is

Ŧ

enclosed as annexure "C"). Hayat Ullah after grant of bail, submitted false complaint against appellant that appellant has not shown recovery of 500 Appellant Rivals. proceeded was against departmentally which culminated in passing the impugned order. Oii, SHO and DPP admitted and endorsed the action of appellant against accused Hayat Ullah but the Departmental Inquiry Officers wrongly accepted the complaint of Hayat Ullah accused. Trial Court also enclosed the action of appellant against Hayat Ullah as the Court framed charge against him and summon the prosecution witnesses but in the meanwhile APP plead request before Court for withdrawal of the case Under Section 494 Cr.PC as there were technical lacunas in the case. (Copy of charge and order of trial Court are enclosed as annexure "D" & "E"). it is crystal clear that the complaint was made by accused person against police officer (appellant) who had booked the accused in narcotics case. Therefore, the complaint was after thought story and was made with sole aim to render appellant to disciplinary action and create doubt in the criminal case.

That respondents have wrongly contended that Case FIR No. 160/2023 mentioned above was maliciously registered by appellant. This is on the record that Oii, SHO, DPP and Trial Court admitted the case. In case it was maliciously registered then why cancellation report was submitted in the case.

4.

- 5. That respondents have not answered this para therefore needs no reply, however, appellant have produced duties of the matter in Para-3 of the replication.
- 6. That respondents have failed to properly answer Para 6 of the appeal. The case registered on the basis of "Murasila" report of appellant was admitted by Oii, SHO, DPP and Trial Court. Appellant was wrongly punished on the basis of false complainant of accused persons lodged for creating doubt in the criminal case and case of agony and injury to appellant.
- 7. Incorrect, the defense of appellant was not considered. Hayat Ullah made complaint against

appellant with ulterior motive of tranship the image of appellant and creating doubt in the case.

- 8. That the reply of respondents in to Para-8 of the appeal is wrong inquiry officer neither examined OII nor SHO. Furthermore, Inquiry Officer did not consider the evidence of Lal Mir, Eid Manoor, Shoukat Aman and Lal Muhammad which were favourable to appellant. He relied upon the evidence of won over constable.
- Needs no reply as respondents have admitted this Para.
- Needs no reply as respondents have admitted this Para.
- 11. Incorrect, appellant has got good cause of action and has advanced plausible and solid grounds of the appeal.

IN RESPONSE TO COMMENTS OF RESPONDENTS ON GROUNDS:

A. That comments of respondents are conventional.The respondents did not consider the opinion of OII,

SHO, DPP and trial Court, hence the impugned orders illegal and unlawful.

- B. That Comments of respondents are evasive. The impugned orders have been based on defective inquiry according detail explanation with regard to defects in the inquiry proceedings have already been produced in preceding paras especially in Para 8 of the replication.
- That respondents have completely misconstrued, C. misconceived and misinterpreted Para-C of the grounds of appeal. Respondents have wrongly held appellant involved in departmental charges and criminal charges. Admitted by appellant was proceeded against departmentally but in the criminal case appellant was complainant and Hayat Ullah (complaint maker) was charged. The case law referred to by respondents is not applicable in the case of appellant, therefore respondents have concealed the actual facts and has made attempt of misleading the Hon'ble Tribunal.
- D. That respondents have submitted reply without examining record of the criminal case under review.
 The criminal Case FIR No. 160/2023 mentioned

above was registered on the basis of "Murasila" drafted by appellant. The case was admitted by OII, SHO, DPP and trial Court therefore question of malicious registration of case does not arise. The contention of respondents is contradictory to their own record and conduct.

Ε.

That the reply of respondents is incorrect. appellant was removed from service on the basis of complaint lodged by Hayat Ullah accused who was booked by appellant in criminal case on charges of commission of the offences of rash and negligent riding and possession of 120 Grams Chars "Gardah". The lawful action taken by appellant against the said complaint maker was reported true by OII during investigation, SHO while submitting challan against the accused (Complaint maker) and DPP who put in Court the case.

- F. That respondents have offered no comments in response to this para, therefore needs no reply.
- G. That respondents have offered no comments in response to this para, therefore needs no reply.

H.

I.

T

That the comments of respondents in response of Para "H" of the grounds of appeal are not tenable. appellant has already submitted details of the defects of the inquiry proceedings in the preceding Para's of the rejoinder, therefore no need of its replication.

That comments of respondents in response to Para "i" of the grounds of appeal are not sustainable. Appellant has not treated in accordance with law. Appellant was punished on the basis of complaint lodged by accused who was involved in narcotics case. Respondents challenged the case to Court and punished appellant who was material witness in the case registered against complaint marker. The credit of material witness in the criminal case was impugned by respondents by issuance of impugned orders and thus created doubt in the criminal case.

J. That respondents have furnished hallowed comments. Appellant was removed from service for commission of no wrong and misconduct. Appellant filed the service appeal after exhausting departmental appeal therefore appellant has got

every right and cause of action to file the instant service appeal.

/0)

comments of respondents K. That the are not sustainable appellant recruited was against Shuhada Son's quota and the monthly salary was the only source of income of the entire family. Furthermore, the father of the appellant embraced "Shahadat" in line of duty in the year 2003 and by the time grant of monthly salary to the wards of Shaheed till age of superannuation followed by pension benefits was not included in the Shaheed Package. Therefore, appellant family have no source of income and are dependent on material cum paternal uncles.

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that the appeal of the appellant may be accepted with all back and

consequential beck benefits.

Appellant

Through

Zahoor Islam Khattak

& Ward Rehman Muneeb ur Rehman Advocates High Court, Peshawar.

Dated: 05/09/2024

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

In Re:

Service Appeal No. 601/2024

Muhammad Afaq Ex-ASI.....(Appellant)

VERSUS

AFFIDAVIT

I, **Muhammad Afaq** (Ex-ASI) (Appellant), do hereby solemnly affirm and declare on oath that the contents of the accompanying **Rejoinder** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from

this Hon'ble Tribunal. /g ' FSHA

11

DEPONENT Muhammad Afaq (Ex-ASI) CNIC No. 14203-6119715-7

Ex/01/20 12-16 ener a on a con a con a con 1655 - Jeline oron for Brand in the second of or inder of strong by and strong of the strong in the states supported in the work of the work of the states of the sta Brown from the second with the second of the second in a construction of the second of the secon month of the stand read or many of entitles to alm and a condition 1 PS (P) or HER 0- 660 . 600 5716 rain 05 17/ 500 10 EE 61 600 01171 5 1 260006 6 6861 600 0hillig (4) with 6 Jamp (1)

01/20 5. 5, 81 Dappy A son the man of the son of the s son of conto m 113

COPYING AGENCY HANDE 1/2 0 TE CH KILLED A MAN A $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}}$ for any sol - 61 1. 1.5. Deal 1550000 gott no al. 1ª A a b d l 6 Now Minding only 705 junta sitelen com 1/37 ng and p. 1, 01012 Airi-A. viralal an such 1/16 Ville Earl 080985868 North 0460 1 de la cale de sul a la sul a ริ่งไ No mon gain of the of the second of the seco Friend the or one the sunt de 6101 Ochronols, No of horas on on marging 6280 TSNJJ6 SANCON 01 261 0 10000

Alal/En The log of the of the state of the sol the sol of the s All the construction of th The work of the property is the work of the second of the and the weeks and the second of the second o 5/000 S. D. S.N.

THE CASE MANATO 500/20/6/ 13 00000-51-10 000000 1025.1: 91

	Forensic Science Labora Police Investigation, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Certification Report of test or analysis by the Government Analysis For Narcotics & Psychotropic Substances (Form-il)
	(Admissible Evidence under Section 510 Cr. PC and u/s 34/35/47 CNSA 199
aboratory No. CE	<u> </u>
eivedONE	Sealed Parcels marked as1
ring3	Seals in the marks ofAK
	Seals in the marks of Ak
	Sears in the marks orAk
mFC NO:80 No. ¹⁶⁰ dated_19	In case:- 9-3-23 U/S 9CCNSA/279 PPC P/SDOABA District HANGU
mFC NO:80 No. ¹⁶⁰ dated_19	
mFC NO:80 No. <u>160</u> dated_19 'he seals on the parce tained.	<u>9-3-23</u> U/S <u>9CCNSA/279 PPC</u> P/SDOABA District HANGU cels were found intact. The seals were opened in our presence wh
mFC NO:80 No. <u>160</u> dated_19 The seals on the parce tained. PARCEL NO.	In case:- 9-3-23 U/S 9CCNSA/279 PPC P/SDOABA District HANGU cels were found intact. The seals were opened in our presence wh NET WEIGHT/VALUME OF THE SUSPECTED SAMPL
mFC NO:80 No. <u>160</u> dated_19 he seals on the parce tained. PARCEL NO.	In case:- 9-3-23 U/S 9CCNSA/279 PPC P/SDOABA District HANGU cels were found intact. The seals were opened in our presence wh NET WEIGHT/VALUME OF THE SUSPECTED SAMPL

<u>OPINION:-</u> The Samples in question were Subjected to Physical and chemical test/analysis revealed that

IT WAS CHARAS IN P.NO.1.

itendent of Polico Investigation Bearus Hangu

GOVERNMENT ANALYST FOR NARCOTICS, OSL PESHAWAR TO GOVERNMENT OF THYBER PAKHTUNKHWA

No.

5357 /FSL, dated 10 / 4 /203

The opinion of Govt Analyst for Narcotics is forwarded to the _SP/INV: HANGL

NOTE:- This report carries emboss Mark.

Director

Forensic Science Laboratory Police Investigation, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar Ph: 091-9217394 Fax: 091-9217251

CS & PD NN 3754467 NARTOCS OPISON FORM IN NEW WORK FOLDER 13.09.2022

			•
		· · ·	•
	TEST/ANALYSIS PROTOCOLS		2
SAMPLE NO			
PHYSICAL APPEARANCE:	Brower Solid		• •
CHEMICAL TESTS:	•		
TEST APPLIED	PROTOCOLS	RESULTS	
Duquenois-Levine	Take reasonable quantity of the suspected material in test tube and Add Reagent 6_A shake for few seconds then Add reagent 6_B and wait for color change if change occurred then add reagent 6_c and see lower layer if purple color appearance occurred then positive result indicated or vise versa.	Positive .	· .
THIN LAYER CHROMATC	DGHRAPHY (TLC):		
Dissolving solvent:	Methanol	• • •	
Mobile phase:	Hexane : Ethyl Acetate (9:1)		
TLC Plate:	Merck	· · ·	
UV:	254 nm	۔ ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	
Results	Positive	·	•

REFERENCE:

2

[5

7.18

Rapid testing method of drugs of abuse (UNODC) Recommended Scientific Working Group for the Analysis of Seized Drugs (SWGDRUG)

ĩ 70 CHEMICAL ÉXPERT,

1 **1** 1 1 44515 :08V 63284 ki (*) of Werld Sopples

ET.A. ωÛ

GOVT. ANALYST AOR NARCOTICS

0-<u>1</u>-d The second second of the second secon КОРУНС А СЕМСУ НАИСИ ASTRONA DELLUM 9M 2 2- 5 gol 82/2 1917 - 30 - 3 Xoft W Sin My ger on Arging the on the second of the second of the second of nd: marmoral a readord TEMA (bi) St

LAS COCIE il and call しん しったっ Vict & Ses مختركيفيت بأرم 1-1l'and Jer 110/2 C2 120, σ Ĉ P-T-0 200 1 Sar 11 Bu io 62 CAL CAJOR o la ri G S لې کا r S r L () E () AS Anita Stor Carl Sol Jeller is the ansa (2) roman and · oligh fer MIHC Stops تفصيل كوابان ģ (S)6 7 n gingshard 279 Soci Dunn Soci Dunn Soci Fed Sun Pol--/208 0-5-5 (210 4 SI 51 84 5-13,60 To so STS 84 (: ; ; ; 103 ملز **ب**ان ملز ω زيرويت 6 لمزمان جوگرفآریز ہوئے ہوں۔بشمول مفروران N 160- $\hat{}$

o she all . 02 560/20/0 °, Dapa Rotter B alt Cr Ò 5 <u>(</u> 5 5 24 24 24 J02 2 Sugar 1. C'' 1 FSL of 1 رال 1 46 (1) 0 10:07 PUT IN COURT Bistrict Public Presecutor 10 5-300 2 CS-4 570 10 757 MA Hangu her in - Enge 50 6(0 / * * 6 n si. N CZS)

IN THE COURT OF HAYAT GUL MOHMAND, SESSIONS JUDGE/JUDGE SPECIAL COURT, HANGE

FORMAL CHARGE

CNSA No.348/III-N of 2023

FIR No.160 dated 19.03.2023 under Section 9 COSA Police Station Doaba, District Hangu.

I, Hayat Gul Mohmand Sessions Judge/Judge Special Court Hangurdo hereby charge you accused:

Hayat Ullah S/o Mir Azam Khan aged about 25 years R/o Sangroba Qaum Wazir post office Sadda Gula Shang Wazir, Tehsil FR Kurram District Kurram as follows: -

Firstly, that on 19.03.2023 at 11:40 hours, at Tora Wari road near Algadda, falling within the criminal jurisdiction of Police Station Doaba, you accused was found driving your Motorcycle bearing Registration No.F5591/Hangu rash and negligently and thereby endangered human life. Thus, you accused thereby committed an offence punishable u/s 279 PPC. Within the cognizance of this Court.

Secondly: That on the same date, time and place, local police during body search from side pocket of your shirt recovered one plastic bag of white colour containing chars gardah which on weighing on spot through digital scale were found 120 grams. Thus, you accused thereby committed an offence punishable u/s 9 (c) CNSA. Within the cognizance of this Court

And I hereby direct that you accused shall be tried by this Court on the said charge.

RO & AC 27.06.2023

Sessions Judge/JSC, Hangu

Aver

^{ān}9#

Note: The charge has been read over and explained to accused. Q. Have you heard and understood the charge?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you want to plead guilty or claim trial? A. I do not plead guilty and claim trial.

Hayat Ullah..... (Accused)

Sessions Judge/JSC Hangu

CERTIFICATE u/s 364 (2) Cr.P.C

That charge has been framed in my presence, hearing and on my dictation. The

same is read over and explained to accused in his native language.

at Gul Mohmand

Sessions Judge/JSC Hangu

Cratified 18 COPYING AGENCY HANGE

- { mt and put here and FORM " all'a la pa ORDER SHEET ORM OF ther Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate of Order or and that of parties or counsel where necessary . rocecdings 2 on bail with counsel present. Mr. Accused 27.06.2023 Muhammad Haseeb APP for the State present. Formal charge framed against accused to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. PWs complainant/seizing officer and marginal witnesses be summoned for 19.07.2023. Havat Gul Mohmand Sessions Judge/JSC Hangu Accused on bail present. Mr. Shahzad Ahmad 19.07.2023 APP for the State present and submitted application for discharge of accused u/s 494 Cr.P.C on the basis of lacking of evidence. Arguments heard and available record perused. This is a case registered against accused Hayat Ullah S/o Mir Azam Khan R/o Sangroba Quam Wazir Sadda, Gula Shang Wazir, Tehsil FR Kurram, District Kurram for rash and negligent driving of motorcycle bearing Registration No.F-5591/Hangu, recovery of 120 grams chars gardah, Pakistani Currency Rs. 8000, Qatar Riyal two notes of 10 riyal, one note of 5 riyal and one bearing license driving foreign country No.29858602086 vide FIR No.160 dated 19.03.2023 して食汁存肥得

Ĉ)

KPK-I (Criminal) No.209

٠,

GS&PD KPK, ---3 FS 2000 Pads of 100---10 10.2003 (10)/Dist-10

ant Martin The

FORM "A" FORM OF ORDER SHEET

¢.

Court of Sessions Judge, Hangu

	-
Case No	

		1 I A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Serial No. of Order/ Proceedings	 Date of Order or Proceedings 	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
1	2	3
	<u>Contd;06</u> 19.07.2023	U/ss 279 PPC and 9-(c) of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
	÷ .	CNSA, 2019 P.S. Doaba, Hangu.
	1.	Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor has
		submitted application for withdrawal from prosecution
		against accused u/s 494 Cr.P.C on the grounds that
		directions regarding digital evidence in light of
		judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of Pakistan has not
		been implemented i.e video recording of spot
		proceeding, CDR data regarding presence of Seizing
Х.		Party at the spot etc. That seizing officer is below the
		rank of sub inspector who is not authorized officer in
		Control of Narcotics Substance Act 2019, for making
		recovery of narcotics. That no private previous criminal
· · ·		history of accused is placed on file. That no private
		person has been associated with recovery proceedings.
· ·		That accused has not confessed his guilt before the
		competent court. That in the peculiar facts and
		circumstances, mere continuation with trial would be a
		futile exercise, sheer wastage of time. That there is no
· .	Sessions J	probability of conviction of accused in instant case on
	101 Alingwight	the basis of available evidence. Hence discharge of
	12 L	accused was prayed for.
	E Star	

CTRIMICO VI COPYING AGENCY HANGE

 $\mathbf{\tilde{c}}$

(25)	·
te of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrater and that of parties or counsel where necessary
2	3 1
Contd;6	After hearing arguments, I have come to the
9.07.2023	conclusion that complainant in recovery memo, it has
н Х	been mentioned that one monogram of A.K was kept
	inside parcel No.1 containing 05 grams chars. The
	keeping of one monogram of A.K in parcel No.1 is not
	proved from FSL report as there is no mention that the
	same contained one monogram in the name of A.K.
t,	There is no CDR report of cell number of

Serial No. of Order/

Proceedings 1

Da

accused to show as to whether actually accused was arrested at the place mentioned in the FIR or otherwise. Moreover, CDR of complainant and other marginal witnesses have not been placed on file. Thus, instant case lacks digital evidence in shape of video recording of spot proceedings, capturing photographs of accused and recovered contraband, CDR report of seizing party and accused showing their presence on spot.

There is no admission or confession on part of accused facing trial. No previous conviction history of accused in such like cases is available on file.

Therefore, in view of the above while concurring with application of learned APP, accused Hayat Uffah stands acquitted. He is on bail; his bail bonds stand cancelled and his sureties are discharged from their liabilities. Case property i.e. motorcycle Pakistani No.F-5591/Hangu, Registration bearing.

CERNIN COPYING AGENC

Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistra Date of Order or Serial No. of Order/ Proceedings Proceedings 2 1 Currency Rs. 8000, 25 Qatar Riyal and one foreign Contd;6 19.07.2023 country driving license bearing No.29858602086 has already been returned to accused vide order No.3 dated 04.04.2023 by learned Additional Sessions Judge-III, Hangu. Surcties to that extent also stand discharged from liabilities of bonds. Case property i.e. 120 grams chars be destroyed after expiry of appeal/revision. File be consigned to record room after its completion and compilation. Announced Hayat Gul Mohmand 19.07.2023 Sessions Judge/JSC Hangu SERVICE. EZ ALCIPAZ COP MEDICENCY HANGE