

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR

BEFORE: **AURANGZEB KHATTAK** ... MEMBER (Judicial)
FAREEHA PAUL ... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No. 1799/2022

Saeed Ullah S/O Aziz Ullah, Resident of Malik Shahi Jani Khel, Mamoon Khel, Bakha Khel District Bannu, Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS-Noral Sardi Khel, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1800/2022

Rizwan Ullah S/o Abdul Ur Rahim Khan, Resident of Jani Khail, Mamoon Khail, Bakha Khail District Bannu, Presently posted as a Arabic Teacher (AT BPS-15), at GMS-Khawaja Dher Khail, Bannu.**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1801/2022

Shariat Ullah S/o Ghaffar Khan, Resident of Post Office Bakka Khel, Village Takhti Khel Wazir, Tehsil & District Bannu presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15) at GPS Ghaffar Sardi Khel, Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1802/2022

Khalij Ur Rehman S/o Nasr Ullah Jan, Resident of Handi Khel, Jani Khel, Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS-Kala Khan, District Bannu.**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1803/2022

Muhammad Ayaz S/o Nekam Khan Resident of Wali Noor Mama Khel, Jani Khel, Post Office Jani Khel, District Bannu, presently posted as a Arabic Teacher (AT BPS-15), at GMS-Wali Noor Jani Khel, District Bannu.**Appellant**

Amir
10/09/2024

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khatij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Service Appeal No. 1804/2022

Akber Nawaz S/o Gull Muhammad, Present resident of Village Takhti Khel Wazir, Tehsil & District, Bannu. Presently posted as Arabic Teacher (AT BPS-15), at GMS, Akhyajan Bakka Khel, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1805/2022

Munir Ahmad S/o Hussain Ahmad, Resident of Manja Mammoo Khel, Jani Khel, Tehsil & District Bannu. Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15) GPS Ghulam Machi Khel, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1806/2022

Abdullah S/o Khan Zaman, Resident of Wali Noor Jani Khel, District Bannu, Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS, Nawaz Nasri Khel, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1807/2022

Muhammad Hanif Khan S/o Inayat Ullah Khan Resident of Jani Khel, Malkhel, Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS-Dilawar Khan Sardi Khel, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1808/2022

Muhammad Saeed Khan S/o Inayat Ullah Khan, Resident of Mali Khel, Jani Khel, District Bannu, Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS-Satti Kali, District Bannu.....**Appellant**

Service Appeal No. 1809/2022

Noor Ullah S/o Sahib Khan, Present resident of Mureep Khel, Bakka Khil Wazir, P/O Bakka Khil, District, Bannu. Presently posted as a Theology Teacher (TT BPS-15), at GPS-Pir Khan Bakka Khel, Sub Division Wazir Bannu.....**Appellant**

Versus

1. The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar.

*Amir
10/09/2024*

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Farecha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

2. The Secretary Elementary and Secondary Education Department Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
3. The Director Elementary and Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
4. The District Education Officer (DEO), Near Bannu Town Ship, Bezankhel Road, Bannu.(Respondents)

Date of presentation of Appeals.....15.12.2022
 Date of Hearing.....10.09.2024
 Date of Decision.....10.09.2024

Present:

Mr. Sajjad Ahmad Mehsud, AdvocateFor appellants
 Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District AttorneyFor respondents

CONSOLIDATED JUDGMENT

AURANGZEB KHATTAK, MEMBER (JUDICIAL): This consolidated judgment is directed to dispose of all the eleven service appeals captioned above, as common questions of law and facts are involved in all the appeals.

2. The appellants had participated in the recruitment process published on 23.10.2012 in the Daily MASHRIQ for the post of Theology Teachers and Arabic Teachers. Following their successful completion of the selection process, a merit list was prepared. Appointments were made for candidates from FR Lakki, Bajaur and Orakzai in 2013 but the appointments of the appellants were delayed until 2015 & 2017. The appellants namely Saeed Ullah, Shariat Ullah, Khalij Ur Rehman, Munir Ahmad, Abdullah,

M.A.S.
 10/09/2024

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

Muhammad Hanif Khan and Noor Ullah were appointed as Theology Teachers (BPS-15) vide order dated 21.09.2017, the appellant Muhammad Saeed Khan was appointed as Theology Teacher (BPS-15) vide appointment order dated 07.08.2015, while appellants namely Rizwan Ullah, Muhammad Ayaz and Akber Nawaz were appointed Arabic Teachers (BPS-15) vide order dated 21.09.2017. The appellants challenged their appointment orders with the prayer for giving effect to their appointments since the date when their other batch mates were appointed pursuant to advertisement published on 23.10.2012 in the Daily MASHRIQ. The appellants Abdullah, Akber Nawaz, Munir Ahmad, Saeed Ullah, Khalij Ur Rehman, Muhammad Ayaz, Muhammad Hanif Khan, Noor Ullah, Rizwan Ullah and Shariat Ullah and Muhammad Saeed Khan filed their separate departmental appeals on 19.08.2018, 17.09.2018, 18.09.2018, 19.09.2018 and 20.11.2018 respectively, which were not responded. The appellants there-after in the year 2019 filed Writ Petition No. 91-B/2019 before the Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench, Bannu which was dismissed vide order dated 16.11.2022. They have now approached this Tribunal through filing of all the captioned service appeals for redressal of their grievance.

*Amir C
10/09/2024*

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khatij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Amir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khatak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Farceha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

3. The respondents were summoned, who contested the appeals by way of filing their respective written replies/comments.

4. The learned counsel for the appellants contended that the appointments of the appellant were unjustly delayed while their batch mates from other regions were appointed in the year 2013, which is unlawful and discriminatory. He next contended that since the appellants were selected through the same advertisement, test, and interview process, therefore, their seniority should align with those appointed on 22.04.2013, 24.05.2013, and 30.04.2013. He further contended that the actions of the respondents violated their constitutional rights to be treated according to law, citing the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants Act, 1973, which dictates seniority based on the selection process and merit order. He next argued that the appellants faced a delay of approximately three and five years, with negative impacts on their careers, therefore, they seek the rectification of their seniority with retrospective effect and associated benefits.

5. Conversely, learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents contended that the office of FR Bannu has its own appointment procedures, distinct from other regions like Bajaur and Orakzai, which justifies the delay in processing the appointments of the appellants. He next contended that multiple

MS-2
10/09/2024

Service Appeal No. 1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No. 1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

legal and administrative barriers, including writ petitions which imposed a status quo on appointments, prevented timely processing of FR Bannu candidates. He further contended that Federal Government imposed ban on recruitments further complicated the timeline, postponing any potential appointments until lifted in 2014. He next argued that the seniority and back benefits are determined from the date of charge assumption post-appointment, as per Government Servants (Efficiency & Discipline) Rules, 2011. He further argued that due to the bifurcation of FR Lakki and FR Bannu post-merger into Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, necessitated distinct seniority lists for each area. He also argued that due to documents verification issues and complaints resulted in further delays, eventually leading to some appointments being declared null and void, affecting timelines for others awaiting appointments. In the last he argued that the departmental appeals as well as services appeals filed by the appellants before this Tribunal are badly barred by time, hence liable to be dismissed on this score alone.

6. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

7. The perusal of the record reveals that appellants participated in a recruitment process initiated through an advertisement dated

10/09/2024
[Handwritten signature]

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others". and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

October 23, 2012, published in Daily MASHRIQ. This

advertisement sought candidates for the positions of Theology and

Arabic Teachers in BPS-15. Following their participation, a merit

list was prepared, leading to the appointment of various

candidates in the year 2013 for multiple regions, including FR

Lakki, Bajaur, and Orakzai. However, the appointments of the

appellants were delayed and their appointments were ultimately

issued. The appellants namely Saeed Ullah, Shariat Ullah, Khalij

Ur Rehman, Munir Ahmad, Abdullah, Muhammad Hanif Khan,

and Noor Ullah were appointed as Theology Teachers (BPS-15)

vide order dated 21.09.2017, appellant namely Muhammad Saeed

Khan was appointed as a Theology Teacher (BPS-15) vide order

dated 07.08.2015 and appellants Rizwan Ullah, Muhammad Ayaz,

and Akber Nawaz were appointed as Arabic Teachers (BPS-15)

vide order dated 21.09.2017. The appellants challenged the dates

of their appointments, seeking to have aligned retrospectively with

those of their batch-mates, who appointed through an

advertisement dated October 23, 2012, published in Daily

MASHRIQ. They filed departmental appeals after a considerable

delay of about one year in the year 2018, which are time barred.

However, the departmental appeals of the appellants were not

responded within the statutory period of 90-day period, therefore,

10/09/2024

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

as per law, upon non-response to the departmental appeals, the appellants were required to have filed service appeals before this Tribunal within the subsequent 30 days but they filed their appeals in the year 2022 after about 04 years. The appellants did not file the service appeals within the stipulated time and approached the Peshawar High Court, Bannu Bench through Writ Petition No. 91-B/2019, which was dismissed vide order dated 16.11.2022. The appellants have now filed the above mentioned captioned service appeals on 15.12.2022, which are badly time-barred. It is well settled law that time spent in pursuing remedy before the wrong forum cannot be condoned. Reference is made to the case law cited in PLD 2016 Supreme Court 872, which solidifies this position. Furthermore, the appellants were required under the law to explain the delay for each day beyond the prescribed period, a burden they have not met. The precedent set by the Supreme Court of Pakistan in a case law cited in 1987 SCMR 92 strongly supports dismissing cases based on limitation grounds without delving into their merits. The appellants have not provided any satisfactory explanation for the significant delay in filing the appeals. Their conduct, characterized by prolonged inactivity, indicates indolence, which the law does not favor. This lack of diligence justifies adherence to the limitation period without


10/09/2024

Service Appeal No.1799/2022 titled "Saeed Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", and Service Appeal No.1800/2022 titled "Rizwan Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1801/2022 titled "Shariat Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1802/2022 titled "Khalij Ur Rehman Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1803/2022 titled "Muhammad Ayaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1804/2022 titled "Akber Nawaz Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1805/2022 titled "Munir Ahmad Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1806/2022 titled "Abdullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1807/2022 titled "Muhammad Hanif Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", Service Appeal No. 1808/2022 titled "Muhammad Saeed Khan Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others" and Service Appeal No. 1809/2022 titled "Noor Ullah Versus The Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, through its Chief Secretary, Civil Secretariat, Peshawar and others", decided on 10.09.2024 by Division Bench comprising of Mr. Aurangzeb Khattak, Member (Judicial) and Miss. Fareeha Paul, Member (Executive), Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Peshawar.

exceptions. The Tribunal finds that the appeals are time-barred, with no sufficient grounds for condoning the delay provided by the appellants.

8. Consequently, all the above-mentioned captioned service appeals are hereby dismissed on the ground of limitation. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

9. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 10 day of September, 2024.



AURANGZEB KHATTAK
Member (Judicial)



FAREEHA PAUL
Member (Executive)

ORDER

10th Sept, 2024

1. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Abdul Samad, Senior Certified Teacher alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present. Arguments heard and record perused.
2. Vide our judgment of today placed on file, the appeal in hand as well as connected Service Appeals No. 1800/2022 to 1809/2022, are hereby dismissed on the ground of limitation. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.
3. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 10 day of September, 2024.*


(Fareeha Paul)
Member (Executive)


(Aurangzeb Khattak)
Member (Judicial) 10/09/2024.