_FORM OF ORDER SHEET

.

Court of____

Appeal No._____

1562/2024

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge		
1	2	3		
1-	20/09/2024	The appeal of Mr. Habib Ullah received today by		
		registered post through Muhammad Aslam Tanoli Advocate.		
		It is fixed for preliminary hearing before touring Single Bench		
		at A.Abad on 21.10.2024. Counsel for the appellant has been informed telephonically.		
		By order of the Chairman		
		REGISTRAR		
	· · ·			
	۰,۰			
	· · · · ·			

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

A. NO. 1562/2024

Habibullah Khan Sub. Inspector police, presently posted at District Haripur. (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. District Police Officer, Haripur

SERVICE APPEAL

S/No.	Description of documents.	Annexure	Page No.	
<u></u>	Memo of appeal		<u>01-07</u>	
2.	Charge Sheet & its reply.	<u>"A&B"</u>	08-12	
<u> </u>	Copy of BBA Order.	" <u>C</u> "	13	
<u> </u>	Copy of Punishment Order	<u>"D"</u>	14	
5.	Departmental Appeal,	"E"	15-18	
<u></u> 6.	Appeal Rejection Order	"F"	19	
	dated 22-08 2022			
7.	Wakalatnama	K:11 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

APPELLAN1 (Muhammad Aslam Tanoli) Advocate High Court

Through

Abbottabad It _____

(Hamayun Khan) Advocate High Court Abbottabad

Dated:

-09-2024

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVCE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Appeal No. 1562 / 2024

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunut

Diary No. 15957 Daved 20-09-2024

Habibullah Khan Sub. Inspector Police, presently posted at District Haripur. (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. District Police Officer, Haripur.

2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

.....(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST ORDER DATED 19-06-2024 OF THE DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER HARIPUR WHERB APPELLANT HAS BEEN AWARDED THE PENALTY OF REDUCTION IN RANK FROM INSPECTOR TO SUB. INSPECTOR AND ORDER DATED 22-08-2024 OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD WHEREBY APPELLANTS DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL HAS BEEN REJECTED.

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT SERVICE APPEAL BOTH ORDERS DATED 19-06-2024 AND 22-08-2024 OF THE RESPONDENTS MAY GRACIOUSLY BE SET ASIDE AND APPELLANT BE REINSTATED IN HIS RANK OF INSPECTOR FROM THE DATE OF REDUCTION WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. Floato day 19/24

That appellant while posted as SHO Police Station Makhanial (District Haripur) was served upon with a Charge Sheet dated 06-06-2024 by the District Police Officer Haripur which , the appellant replied in detail and denied the allegations mentioned therein being incorrect and baseless. (Copies of Charge Sheet & its reply are attached as Annexure-"A&B").

 That in fact a murder case took place in the area of his Police Station and an FIR No.143 dated 01-06-2024 u/s-302/109/34 PPC was registered wherein 03 accused were

ユ

٠.

charged. The complainant reported the matter to the police after 04 hours of occurrence. As the area was hilly and it took some time to reach place of occurrence. In the meanwhile, accused of the FIR made their escape good. One of the 03 accused took BBA while others absconded by leaving the jurisdiction of PS.

- 3. That charges against the appellant are that "one of three accused succeeded in acquiring copy of FIR through which he managed his BBA while the other 02 absconded". So far as question of obtaining copy of FIR is concerned the same can be obtained anywhere from Illaga Magistrate or DPO Office as after recording FIR copies are sent to the court of Illaga Magistrate as well as to DPO Office. It cannot be said that accused had obtained copy of FIR from Police Station. However, there is no arrangement of making photo copy of any document in the vicinity of PS Makhanial. Later on another accused Nazar Mohammad also obtained BBA (Transit Pre-arrest Bail) from the court of Sessions Judge, Abbottabad vide order dated 27-06-2024, similarly 02 accused were on BBA and one of them had been arrested on cancellation of BBA while the other one on BBA was also expected to be arrested on cancellation of BBA. (Copy of BBA order is attached as Annex-"C").
 - That on 19-06-2024 appellant replied the charge sheet in detail denying the allegations being incorrect, false and baseless.
 - 5. That on receiving the so called Inquiry Report from Inquiry, the District Police Officer Haripur vide order dated 19-06-2024 straightaway reduced the appellant in rank from Inspector

to Sub Inspector without issuing Show Cause Notice and providing opportunity of personal hearing. (Copy of dismissal order dated 19-06-2024 is annexed as "D").

- 6. That no proper departmental inquiry was conducted. Neither Final Show Cause Notice nor inquiry report, if any, was issued to appellant. Even the appellant was not provided with the opportunity of personal hearing and he was awarded major punishment of reduction in rank from Inspector to Sub Inspector in utter violation of law, departmental rules & regulations, facts and principle of natural justice.
- 7. That allegations are incorrect and appellant is totally innocent he has discharged his official duties with devotion, dedication and honesty. The detail of places appellant raided for the arrest of absconded accused have been explained in Para-3 of his reply to charge sheet, but still he was awarded punishment of reduction in rank from Inspector to Sub Inspector without any cause, proof and justification. There is nothing wrong on the part of appellant.
- 8. That appellant aggrieved of the punishment order passed by the District Police Officer Haripur preferred a departmental appeal before the Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad which was rejected/filed vide order dated 22-08-2024. (Copies of Departmental Appeal and its rejection Order are attached as Annexure-"E&F"). Hence instant service appeal on the following as well as other grounds:

GROUNDS:-



- A) That both the impugned orders dated 19-06-2024 and 22-08-2024 of the respondents are illegal, unlawful against the facts, departmental rules and regulations and principle of natural justice hence are liable to be set aside.
- B) That no proper departmental inquiry was conducted. Final Show Cause Notice was not issued. Copy of inquiry report, if any, was never provided to the appellant. No witness against appellant was produced before inquiry officer. Chance if cross-examination was not afforded. Even opportunity of personal hearing was not granted to the appellant and he was condemned unheard in serious violation of principle of natural justice.
 - C) That respondents have not treated the appellant in accordance with law, departmental rules, regulations and policy on the subject and have acted in violation of Article-4 of the constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973 and unlawfully issued the impugned orders which are unjust, unfair hence not sustainable in the eyes of law.
 - D) That appellate authority has also failed to abide by the law and rejected the departmental appeal without taking into consideration the grounds adduced in his memo of appeal by appellant. Thus act of respondent is contrary to the law as laid down in the KPK Police Rules 1934 read with section 24-A of General Clauses Act 1897 and Article-10 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973.



That the allegations leveled against appellant in the charge sheet as well as in punishment orders are incorrect, false and fabricated based on surmises and conjectures which remained unproved and unsubstantiated till to this day. Nothing adverse could be brought on record against the appellant to prove the allegation against him.

F) That instant service appeal is well within time and this honorable Service Tribunal has got every jurisdiction to entertain and adjudicate upon the lis.

PRAYER:

E)

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant service appeal both the orders dated 19-06-2024 and dated 22-08-2024 of the respondents may graciously be set aside and appellant be re-instated in his rank of INSPECTOR from the date of reduction with all consequential service back benefits. Any other relief which in the circumstances of the case is deemed fit by this honourable Tribunal may also be granted.

Through

Appellant M-Ale

(Muhammad Aslam Tanoli) Advocate High Court Abbottabad

(Hamayun Khan) Advocate High Court Abbottabad

VERIFICATION

It is verified that contents of instant service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal,

Appellant

Dated: -09-2024



BEFORE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Habibullah Khan Sub. Inspector, presently posted at District Haripur. (Appellant)

VERSUS

1. District Police Officer, Haripur.

2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

.....(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

AFFIDAVIT

The bibullah Khan, appellant do hereby solemnly declare and official on oath that contents of instant service appeal are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing as been suppressed from this Honorable Tribunal.

Deponent/Appellant

Dated:

-09-2024

Identified By

Through

(Muhammad Aslam Tanoli) Advocate High Court Abbottabad

M

to de

(Hamayun Khan) Advocate High Court Abbottabad

Appellant

Dated: -09-2024

BEFORE HONORABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Habibullah Khan Sub. Inspector, presently posted at District Haripur. (Appellant)

<u>VERSUS</u>

- 1. District Police Officer, Haripur.(Respondents)
- 2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.

(Respondents)

SERVICE APPEAL

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

It is certified that no such appeal prior to this one on the subject has ever been filed in this Honorable Service Tribunal or any other court.

Dated; -09-2024

Appellant

Anna A

CHARGE SHEET

I, <u>Suleman Zaffar. (PSP)</u> District Police Officer, Haripur as the competent authority, hereby charge you <u>Inspector Habibullah Khan No.H/47</u> as enclosed statement of allegations.

You appear to be guilty of misconduct under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Efficiency and Discipline Rules 1975 (amended 2014) and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the said Rules.

(2)

(3)

(1)

You are, therefore, required to submit your written defense within 07 days of the receipt of this charge sheet and statement of allegation to the Enquiry Officer as the case may be.

Your written defense, if any, should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it will be presumed that you have no defense to put in and, in that case, ex-parte action will follow against you.

Intimate whether you desire to be heard in person or otherwise.

(5)

(4)

A statement of allegations is enclosed.

Suleman Zaffar, (PSP) District Parice Officer Haripur

報告記は今代の目

Hested K.11

DESCRPLENARY ACTION

I, Suleman Zaffar. (PSP), District Police Officer, Haripur as the competent authority is of the opinion that you <u>Inspector Habibullah Khan No.El/47</u> while posted at SHO PS Makhniyal have rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against you as you have committed the following acts/omissions within the meanings of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Efficiency and Discipline Rules 1975 (amended 2014).

STATEMENT OF ALLEGATION

"It has been observed with great concern that Case FIR No. 143, dated 01.06.2024, under sections 302, 109/34 PPC, was registered in PS Makhnial. After registration of the case, instead of making serious efforts for the arrest of the accused, a copy of the FIR was provided to the accused party. Resultantly, one accused got BBA from the court while other managed to escape after the commission of the offense. You did not make serious efforts for arrest the accused and recovery of weapon of offense. This indicates your negligence, dishonesty and lack of interest in the discharge of official duties, which amounts to gross misconduct on your part in terms of KPK Police Efficiency & Discipline Rules 1975" (amended 2014).

> For the purpose of scrutinizing the conduct of the said accused officer with reference to the above allegations, the following Enquiry officer is appointed to probe the charges.

Mr. Jamil-Ur-Rehman, SP/Investigation, Haripur

The Enquiry Officer shall in accordance with the provisions of these Rules provide a reasonable opportunity of hearing to the accused, record findings, and make within 15 days of the receipt of this order, a recommendation as to punishment or the appropriate action against the accused.-

(4)

(3)

.(2)

The accused and a well-conversant representative of the department shall attend the proceedings on the date, time and place fixed by the Enquiry Officer.

> Suleman Zaffar, (PSP) District Police Officer Haripur

No: 219-20/PS-I dated Haripur the 66/06/2024. Copy of above is submitted to: -

- 1) Enquiry Officer for initiating proceedings against the said accused under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Efficiency and Discipline Rules 1975 (amended 2014).
- 2) Inspector Habibullah Khan No.H/47 with the direction to submit his defense within 7 days of the receipt of this statement of allegations and also to appear before the Enquiry Officer on the date, time and place fixed for the purpose of departmental proceedings.

ples 1)

District Polise Officer Hariour

The Attered 500000 aller the sold we show and marine Impen- vaparin In ENPSOR FOR HAL- MORE MARCE MES The contraction of the contracti 2 S- CANSIN JE S- NOJE FRIME -2-1-Pr of 019ND cfs - 40 200 50/50/50 AND WE BE ALLE A LIGH A SUN A SUMA F- Francis Brancon and a rate in AT WWW BUILDED IN WE DE JAN SU-Y constant in the constant of the second of the second Ormon State - For a for the state 1, 1 Mersue Engine ag terie of a single # 88 Mar Higi Colo - 6-10-94 5 - 8-10 Port 50 Sullar with the file with a set in the set in the set Tracing and co city 2 mg croi cim InD-In Eria Doris Cord Doros $m_{1} = m_{1} = m_{1$ ~ []:- FI-/1: 3 [] min pro: 1006-90-90 (8; may

C Mithink ane sitt 5.5002 ساز المحاف المن المراج المراج الم to in all the stand of the stand of the stand STR. CON-MART HANK - Swingering Briggeling Minister Aran Frings 8- 500 50 L N. 2 (98 - 0) 510 - 886 10 - 846 19 -(Star of the star S- EDI TES FORDER DE GON ANT UN GON AND THE (0, 2) <u>151</u> 2- Susadous de ser ser sub cubicado en 192 Imagine 5, 1, 5, 5, 5, - 0, 201 0, 7, 7, 2, -E - 2 00 contra allo a cionan Decuero 50 to 1, 5700 4451 9981 -Flock 21 min Pilore - Flock of Shi 2 - 1 min an in a min a strict and seron 3 2 - 1000 AASI and DigET war well at Friday Bond Ford M. J. Lhl mamp- 17610.10/161.2003 Por 50.5 al): 7: For 2 6 5 7 5 9 - Maller 6 7 9 6 6 7 10

8- سه ورف عرب / محترى اطلر المرضر حامی طرح ما می طرق منور دارها بی فلا طلاطی اللہ حاج کو جرب اس خان دی احقاق تنسن ملزما محتحدين لمقت لل طابر من مرزم فران الفرق مر 8- بهم مرجم ما ير توششون من معص بوی فری کوری - قسی فرط و اور سری - تو فرج - مر کوشش کو قت جمز ارتش آسر می ارتساع کو کا . ا بعره من توقى أ ت او الا ندری سے را کی جو ۔ اف ان وہی کار طوق م دا- ما مو اور من رسارد في - الم واحق در المرس فرا ي معلم من او ان عالد مرطف من انحراض اس دادر لحدا لقار فوازاتها ملحان اا- بربر المرفاني ورك ورفية سا- تو الخرب ن طار - تعارد 2990 امن كارترى مان د - حرب الما طلم مردر في ترولها المراج هم الحراج فعب خارج الحد بالعزير ما تطالى د اف جفت مع مار من من فرماتی طرح 196<u>5</u>24 High Hills Stor The find 0300-5842438 5 0333-846153 Attested Kill

Nazar Muhammad VS The State

27.06.2024 01

Transit pre-arrest bail application submitted through Malik Amjad Ali Advocate. Be registered. 2. Petitioner/accused Nazar Muhammad son of Mir Alam resident of Presently Residing in District Abbottabad resident of Post Office Lora Kohala Bala Tehsil Khanpur District Haripan along with counsel present and heard. Apprehends arrest in case FIR No. 143 dated 01.06.2024 registered under sections 302/109/34 PPC of PS Makhniyal District Haripur and seeks pre-arrest transit bail.

Amerz C.

3. At present, the record is not available before the Court. However, the petitioner contends *malafide* and false implication. The contents of the petition are supported by an affidavit duly attested. Thus, in the absence of record, petitioner/accused is admitted to transit pre-arrest bail, subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.90,000/- with two sureties, each in the like amount to the satisfaction of this Court, for transit period till his appearance before the Court of competent jurisdiction i.e., learned Sessions Judge, Hariput on 02.07.2024. The accused and their sureties are also bound to furnish proof of appearance of accused before the competent court, to this Court. Copy of this ordealong with one copy of bail bonds/relevant documents be sent to learned Sessions Judge, Hariput for information This order will remain valid till the date fixed.

4. Copy of this order be also forwarded via email by office to all the District & Sessions Judges/Zilla Qazis. Kn/ber Pakhtunkhwa for information. Moharrar is disected to do the needful.

5. File to come up for submission of proof of appearance on $\frac{O \ge 1 > 1/2024}{2024}$.

xx SDXX

(MUHAMMAD SHOAIB) Sessions Judge, Abbottabad

Page 2 of 2

		(III)
and the	المعجمة بمناسبة	-111

Annex-1D

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER HARIPUR @ 0995-920100/01, 4:-0995614714, 2:-dpoharipur1@gmail.com

ORDER Inspector Habibullah Khan No.H/47, while posted as SHO PS Makhnial, wis observed with great concern that case FIR No.143 dated 01.06.2024 u/s 302,109/34 was registered in PS Makhnial. After registration of case, instead of making serious the for the arrest of accused, a copy of the FIR was provided to the accused party. Resultantly, one accused got BBA from the court while other managed to escape after the commission of offence. The delinquent officer did not make serious efforts for the arrest accused and recovery of weapon of offence. This indicate his negligence, dishonesty adlack of interest in the discharge of official duties, which was gross misconduct on his part in terms of the KPK Police Efficiency & Discipline Rules 1975" (amended 2014).

To probe the allegations of misconduct Mr. Jameel-Ur-Rehman Manustigation, Haripur): was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide this office memo-239-20/PS-I dated 06.06.2024. The inquiry officer conducted proper departmental and submitted his findings, vide his office Memo No.51/PA dated 19.06.2024. the charges of gross misconduct against the accused officer were proved. Therefore, the " sincer recommended him for the "Major Punishment".

Having perused the relevant record and reply of the defaulter official the a misconduct against delinquent officer stands proved. Therefore, I, Suleman PSP, District Police Officer, Haripur being competent authority under the Pekhtunkhuen, Police Efficiency & Discipline Rule 1975 (amended 2014), am fully that Inspector Habibullah Khan No.H/47, has committed gross misconduct. he is awarded Major Punishment of "Reduction in Rank from Inspector to With immediate effect.

Allested Mill -

468

LIZ-et-2024

Suleman Zásfar, (PSP) District Poine Officer, lariour

DISTRICT POLICEOFFICER HARIPUR

0995-920100/01, 0995614714. dpoharipur1@gmail.com

ORDER

10

Inspector Habibullah Khan No. H/47, while posted as <u>SHO PS</u> <u>Makhnial</u>, was observed with great concern that case FIR No. 143 dated 01/06/2024 U/s 302, 109/34 PPC was registered in PS Makhnial. After registration of case, instead of making serious efforts for the arrest of accused. Copy of the FIR was provided to the accused party resultantly, one accused got BBA from the court while other manage to escape after the commission of offence. The delinquent officer did not make serious efforts for the arrest of accused and recover of weapon of offence. This indicate his negligence, dishonest and lack of interest in the discharge of official duties, which was gross misconduct on his part in terms of <u>the KPK Police Efficiency & Discipline Rules 1975 (amended 2014).</u>

To probe the allegations of misconduct <u>Mr. Jameel-ur-Rehman SP</u> <u>Investigation, Haripur</u> was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide this office memo No. 219-20/PS-I dated 06/06/2024. The Inquiry Officer conducted proper departmental inquiry and submitted his finding, vide his office Memo No. 51/PA dated 19/06/2024. The charges of gross misconduct against the accused officer were proved. Therefore, the inquiry officer recommended him for the <u>"Major</u> <u>Punishment</u>".

Having perused the relevant record and reply of the defaulter official the charges of misconduct against delinquent officer stands proved. Therefore, I <u>Suleman Zaffar [PSP]</u>, District Police Officer, Haripur being competent authority under the <u>Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Police Efficiency & Discipline Rule 1975</u> (amended 2014) I am fully satisfied that Inspector Habibullah Khan No. H/47, has committed gross misconduct therefore he is awarded Major Punishment of <u>"Reduction in Rank from Inspector to Subinspector</u> with immediate effect

No. 468 Dated: 19/06/2024



Suleman Zaffar (PSP) District Police Officer Haripur

BEFORE THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER HAZARA REGION ABBOTTABAD

Anex - F

(Departmental Appeal by Habibullah Khan Sub Inspector Police No. H/47)

DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL AGAINST ORDER OB NO. 468 DATED 19-06-2024 PASSED BY DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER HARIPUR WHEREBY APPELLANT HAS BEEN "REDUCED IN RANK FROM INSPECTOR TO SUB INSPECTOR".

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL ORDER DATED 19-06-2024 OF DPO HARIPUR MAY KINDLY BE SET ASIDE AND APPELLANT BE RE-INSTATED IN HIS RANK OF INSPECTOR FROM THE DATE OF REDUCTION WITH ALL CONSEQUENTIAL SERVICE BACK BENEFITS.

Respected Sir,

1.

2.

With most reverence the following few lines are submitted for your kind consideration and favorable order:-

That appellant has served the police department for about 34 years. Appellant a ways performed his assigned duties with zeal, zest, devotion, dedication and honesty to the entire satisfaction of his officers and never provided a chance of reprimand. Appellant has meritorious service record at his credit.

That appellant while posted as SHO Police Station Makhanial (District Haripur) was served upon with a Charge Sheet dated 06-06-2024 by the District Police Officer Haripur which the appellant replied in detail and denied the allegations asserted therein being incorrect and baseless. (Copies of Charge Sheet & its reply are attached as "A&B").

「「日本」となっていていていていていていた。

3.

4.

That in fact appellant while performing his official duties as SHO Police Station Makhanial a murder case took place in the area of his Police Station registered vide FIR No.143 dated 01-06-2024 U/s-302/109/34 PPC wherein 03 accused were charged. The complainant reported the matter to the police after 04 hours of occurrence. As the area is hilly and it took time to reach place of occurrence. Accused of the FIR made their escape good in this time. One of the 03 took BBA while others resorted to absconded by leaving the jurisdiction of PS.

That charges against the appellant are that "one accused succeeded in acquiring copy of FIR through which he managed his BBA while the other 02 absconded". So far as question of obtaining copy of FIR is concerned the same can be obtained anywhere from Magistrate Office or DPO Office as after recording FIR within 24 hours its copies are sent to office of the Area Magistrate as well as to DPO Office. It cannot be said that copy of FIR was delivered to accused from Police Station. However, there is no arrangement of making photo copy of any document in the vicinity of PS Makhanial. Now one another accused Nazar Mohammad has also obtained BBA (Transit Pre-arrest Bail) from the court of Sessions Judge, Abbottabad vide order dated 27-06-2024, its means 02 accused are on BBA of whom one accused has been arrested on cancellation of BBA while the other one accused on BBA will also be arrested on cancellation of BBA. (Copy attached).

Attested

(11)

That on 19-06-2024 appellant replied the charge sheet in detail denying the allegations being incorrect, false and baseless.

That on receiving the so called Inquiry Report from Inquiry Officer, the District Police Officer Haripur vide order dated 19-06-2024 straightaway reduced the appellant in rank from Inspector to Sub Inspector withpit issuing Show Cause Notice and providing opportunity of personal hearing. (Copy of dismissal order dated 19-06-2024 is attached as "D").

inquiry was departmental That no proper conducted. Neither Final Show Cause Notice nor inquiry report, if any, was issued to appellant. Even the appellant was not provided with the opportunity of personal hearing and he was awarded major punishment of reduction in rank from Inspector to serious violation of law. Inspector in Sub departmental rules & regulations, facts and principle of natural justice.

That appellant is totally innocent and has discharged his official duties with devotion, dedication and honesty. The detail of places appellant raided for the arrest of absconded accused have been explained in detail in Para-3 of his reply to charge sheet, but still he was awarded punishment of reducing in rank from Inspector to Sub Inspector without any cause or justification. There is nothing wrong on the part of appellant.

Attated

8.

5.

6.

7.

(1B)

That if the appellant is provided with a chance of personal hearing he will really prove himself as innocent by explaining all the facts and circumstances of the matter.

In view of the aforementioned facts it is earnestly requested that order dated 19-06-2024 of the District Police Officer, Haripur may kindly be set aside and appellant be re-instated in his rank of INSPECTOR from the date his reduction with all consequential service back benefits. Appellant shall pray for your good health and long life. Thanking you sir in anticipation.

مل<u>و</u> ترفیکار 03 20 01

9.

Yours Obedient Servant

(Habibullah Khan) Sub Inspector No. H/47 Presently posted At Police Lines Haripur

Alerad

Dated:09-07-2024



L 19)

ORDER

Hus order will dispose of departmental appeal under Rule 11-A of Khyber Pal-hunkawa Police Rules, 1975 submitted by SI-Habib Ullah No. 1147 of district Haripur against the order of punishment i.e. reduction in rank from Inspector to Sub-Inspector awarded by DPO, Haripur vide OB No. 468 dated 19-06-2024.

80: 44.56

"Brief facts leading to the punishment are that the appellant while posted SHO PS Makhnial, it was observed with great concern that Case FIR No143, dated 01-06-2024, under sections 302,109/34PPC, was registered at PS Makhnial. After registration of ease, instead of making serious efforts for the arrest of the accused, a copy of the FIR was provided to the accused party. Resultantly, one accused got BBA from the court while other managed to escape after the commission of the offense. He did not make serious efforts for the arrest of the accused and recovery of weapon of offense. This indicates his negligence, dishonesty and lack of interest in the discharge of official duries."

The appellant was issued charge sheet along with summary of allegations and SP, Investigation, Haripur was deputed to conduct departmental enquiry. The FO held the appellant responsible of misconduct. Consequently, DPO Haripur awarded him major punishment of reduction in rank from Inspector to Sub-Inspector, Hence, the appellant submitted this present appeal.

After receiving his appeal, comments of DPO Haripur were sought and examined/perused. The undersigned called the appellant in Orderly Room and heard him in person and provided him reasonable opportunity to defend the charges leveled against him. However, he failed to advance any convincing justification in his defense. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon the undersigned under Rule 11-4 (a) of Khyber Pakhtunkawa Police Rules, 1975 the instant appeal is hereby *rejected/filed* with immediate effect.

TAHIBATUB KITAN (PSP) Regional Police Officer Hazara Region, Abbottabad

AL POLICE OF

- A11111 1 1 A11 A1 - 0992-9310021-22 - 0992-9310023

ra pohazara e gibadatom

DA11 D: 24 08'2024

ILVZAICA RE

121

ノドへ

No. 4457

- PA, dated Abbottabad the QQ + S + -12024.

Cc.

District Police Officer, Haripur for information and necessary action with reference to his office Memo No. 4853 dated 12-08-2024. Service Roll, Service Book and Fuji Missal of the appellant are returned herewith for office record.

Altested A. Kill -



)

L L

ر سنا کے پر

