


Form- A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Implementation Petition No. 1080/2024

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	20.09.2024	<p>The implementation petition of Mr. Muhammad Ajmal received by register post through Muhammad Aslam Tanoli Advocate. It is fixed for implementation report before touring Single Bench at A.Abad on - 21.10.2024. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has noted the next date. Counsel for the petitioner has been informed telephonically.</p> <p>By order of the Chairman</p> <p> REGISTRAR</p>

**BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR**

Execution Petition No... 1080/2024

Muhammad Ajmal Inspector presently posted in Investigation Wing at Mansehra..

.....(Petitioner)

VERSUS

1. District Police Officer, Mansehra.
2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

EXECUTION PETITION IN SERVICE APPEAL NO. 944/2023

INDEX

S/No.	Description of documents.	Annexure	Page No.
1.	Execution Petition		01-04
2.	Judgment dated 24-04-2024	"A"	
3.	Application dated 28-06-24 & letter dated 01-7-2024	"B&C"	
4.	Wakalatnama		


PETITIONER

THROUGH


(MUHAMMAD ASLAM TANOLI)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT
AT PESHAWAR

Dated: -09-2024

BEFORE HONOURABLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA
SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal

Execution Petition No...1080/2024

Distt. No. 15960

Dated 20-09-24

Muhammad Ajmal Inspector, presently posted in Investigation Wing at District Mansehra.

.....(Petitioner)

VERSUS

1. District Police Officer, Mansehra.
2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
3. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar.

EXECUTION PETITION UNDER SECTION-7(2)-(d) OF KPK SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 IN SERVICE APPEAL NO.944/2023 FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF JUDGMENT/DECISION DATED 24-06-2024 OF THIS HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL.

PRAYER: ON ACCEPTANCE OF INSTANT EXECUTION PETITION THE REpondENTS MAY GRACIOUSLY BE DIRECTED TO IMPLEMENT THE JUDGMENT/DECISION DATED 24-06-2024 OF THIS HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL PROVISIONALLY/CONDITIONALLY SUBJECT TO OUTCOME OF CPLA BEING FILED BY THE RESPONDENTS.

Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That petitioner/appellant filed subject service appeal before this Honorable Service Tribunal against the order dated 18-02-2022 of KPK Provincial Police Officer Peshawar whereby while ignoring the petitioner his juniors were promoted to the rank of DSP B-17 and order dated 27-04-2022 whereby petitioner's representation was rejected.
2. That this Honorable Tribunal on acceptance of subject service appeal issued judgment/decision dated 24-04-

2024 with the order that "For what has been discussed above, we are constrained to allow this appeal, set aside the impugned appellate order directing the respondents to consider the appellant for further promotion to the rank of DSP, according to the seniority already fixed from the date of transfer to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police and on the basis of which he had also been promoted to different lists/posts from the post of S.I. to Inspector but very strangely, for unknown reasons, he was deprived of promotion to the post of DSP on totally irrelevant considerations. Consign".

(Copy of judgment/decision dated 24-04-2024 is attached as Annexure- "A").

3. That on receipt of attested copy of the judgment/decision dated 24-04-2024 of this Honorable Tribunal, the appellant verbally requested the respondents' time & again and even through written request dated 28-06-2024 submitted to the KPK PPO Peshawar through proper channel by the Superintendent of Police, Investigation, Mansehra vide letter dated 01-07-2024 for implementation of the judgment/decision but of no avail. **(Copies of application dated 28-06-2024 and covering letter dated 01-07-2024 are attached as Annexure- "B & C").**
4. That respondents instead of implementation of the judgment/ decision of this Honorable Tribunal verbally pretending that they have decided to file a CPLA before the Apex Supreme Court of Pakistan and petitioner's case of promotion as DSP B-17 has been delayed illegally.

5. That despite petitioner's incessant approaches and submission of above written request to respondents, he has not been granted the decided rights/benefits of his service. Owing to this petitioner/appellant fell constrained to file instant execution petition.
6. That there is no stay order from the Apex Supreme Court of Pakistan against the judgment/decision dated 24-04-2024 of this Honorable Tribunal and in such a position respondents are legally bound to implement the said judgment/decision in its letter and spirit. Hence this Execution Petition on the following:

GROUND

- A) That as this Honorable Service Tribunal in its judgment/decision it is mentioned that "For what has been discussed above, we are constrained to allow this appeal, set aside the impugned appellate order directing the respondents to consider the appellant for further promotion to the rank of DSP, according to the seniority already fixed from the date of transfer to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police and on the basis of which he had also been promoted to different lists/posts from the post of S.I. to Inspector but very strangely, for unknown reasons, he was deprived of promotion to the post of DSP on totally irrelevant considerations. Consign".

- B) That there is no stay order from the Apex Supreme Court of Pakistan against the Judgment/decision dated 24-06-2024 of this Honorable Tribunal and the same is in field. Respondents are legally bound to comply with the said judgment/decision.
- C) That departmental authorities/respondents are reluctant to pay any heed to the judgment/decision dated 24-06-2024 of this Honorable Tribunal hence instant execution petition.
- E) That instant Execution Petition is well within time and this Honorable Tribunal has got every jurisdiction to entertain and adjudicate upon the same.

PRAYER:

It is, therefore, humbly prayed that this Honorable Tribunal may graciously be pleased to accept this Execution Petition and issue necessary orders/directions to the respondents to implement the judgment/decision dated 24-04-2024 of this Honorable Tribunal in its true letter and spirit. <

THROUGH


PETITIONER


(MUHAMMAD ASLAM TANOLI)
ADVOCATE HIGH COURT
AT ABBOTTABAD


Dated: -09-2024

AFFIDAVIT

I, Muhammad Ajmal petitioner do hereby undertake/solemnly affirm that the contents of foregoing petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed or suppressed from this Honorable Tribunal.

Dated -08-2024


DEPONENT



**KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR
AT CAMP COURT, ABBOTTABAD**



BEFORE: **KALIM ARSHAD KHAN** ... CHAIRMAN
MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN ... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No. 944/2022

Date of presentation of Appeal.....13.06.2022
Date of Hearing.....24.04.2024
Date of Decision.....24.04.2024

Muhammad Ajmal, Inspector No.721/P Police Training Center,
Manshra.

.....(Appellant)

Versus

1. Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region, Abbottabad.
3. District Police Officer, Manshra....(Respondents)

Present:

Mr. Muhammad Aslam Tanoli, Advocate.....For the appellant
Mr. Shoaib Ali, Assistant Advocate GeneralFor respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST NOTIFICATION NO.CPO/E-IPROMOTION/332 DATED 18.02.2022 OF THE PPO KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR WHEREBY APPELLANT'S JUNIOR HAS BEEN PROMOTED TO THE RANK OF DSP (BPS-17) IGNORING THE PETITIONER WITHOUT ANY REASON AND ORDER NO. CPO /CPB/196 DATED 27.04.2022 WHEREBY HIS REPRESENTATION HAS BEEN REJECTED.

*Attended
H
sev*

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: Appellant's case is briefly

as per the averments of the Appeal, is that he was inducted in the Sindh Police Force in the year 1987 and was later on transferred to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police vide order dated 03.12.2013; this vide Notification dated 05.11.2014 the appellant was ordered to be brought

ATTENDED
[Signature]
27/1/24
Peshawar

[Signature]

SCANNED
1.0.11.2024
Peshawar

Page 1

on promotion list "1" as recommended by the Departmental Selection Committee held on 16.10.2014 and his name was placed above the name of S.I Azmat Ali No.K/200; that seniority lists were issued from time to time and the appellant was promoted to the rank of Inspector; that his name was still above the name of Azmat Ali (his junior colleague); that vide order dated 18.02.2022, his colleagues including his junior colleague Azmat Ali were promoted while the appellant was not considered for promotion.

2. Feeling aggrieved of the impugned promotion order dated 18.02.2022, the appellant filed departmental appeal on 18.03.2022, which was filed on 09.05.2022. Therefore, he filed the instant service appeal.

3. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned Assistant Advocate General for respondents.

4. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned Assistant Advocate General controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).

ATTESTED

Attest
Kyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

Attested




5. Prayer of the appellant in this appeal is that orders dated 18.02.2022 and 27.04.2022 of the Provincial Police Officer/Inspector General of Police Khyber Pakhtunkhwa might be set aside/modified to the extent of appellant and he might be promoted as DSP (BPS-17) w.e.f 18.02.2022 when his junior was promoted according to seniority with grant of all consequential service back benefits.

6. There is no dispute that name of the appellant was existing in the seniority list of both above the name of Azmat Ali promoted vide Notification dated 18.02.2022 (impugned herein). It is also undisputed that after absorption of the appellant from Sindh Police to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police vide orders dated 03.10.2013 of the Sindh Police and 26.12.2013 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police, his services were to be governed on the terms & conditions enumerated in both the letters, which terms & conditions were accepted by him. The first term and condition, as enumerated in both the above two letters, is as:

"That the appointment will be treated as fresh appointment for the purpose of seniority and accordingly he will get seniority from the date of joining the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police and will be placed at the bottom of the officials of his rank."

7. After absorption of the appellant in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police, his name was brought in the relevant list of Sub Inspectors vide Notification dated 05.11.2014 at Serial No.7 and next below him were S.I Azmat Ali No.K/200 and others. Similarly, the appellant was promoted and then confirmed as Inspector vide Notification dated 10.04.2016. In the revised seniority list of Inspectors, and Sub Inspectors as it stood on 22.06.2018, name of the appellant figured Serial No.210 while on 211, the name of Azmat Ali No. K/200

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Registrar

Attested


existed. This shows and proves that appellant had been senior to Azmat Ali in all the seniority lists since his absorption in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police till promotion of the latter on 18.02.2022. The only reason of not considering the appellant in the promotions made on 18.02.2022, stated by the respondents in their reply as well as the impugned letter, whereby, the departmental appeal of the appellant was in a way rejected, was stated to be that the appellant had been transferred from Sindh Police to Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police on the condition that he would be at the bottom of seniority and would not claim "any further seniority". Portion of the order dated 27.04.2022 that "appellant will not claim further seniority" is nowhere existent in the terms & conditions of letters of either of the Sindh Police or of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police. The said portion is also against the provisions of Rule 8 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989, which deals with the inter-provincial transfer of the civil servants serving either under Federal or Provincial Governments. It, being relevant to the case in hand is reproduced:

"8. Inter-Provincial Transfer:-(1) Persons holding appointment in BPS 1 to 15 under Federal Government and other Provincial Government may, in deserving cases, be transferred to equivalent posts under these rules:-

Provided that:-

- (i) the Federal Government or the Government of the Province concerned, as the case may be, has no objection to such a transfer;
- (ii) the person seeking transfer possesses the requisite qualification and experience and the post to which his transfer is intended can, under the rules, be filled by transfer;
- (iii) the person concerned holds appointment to the post in his parent Department on regular basis;

ATTESTED
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


Attested

- (iv) the person concerned is a bona fide resident of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa.
- (v) a vacancy exists to accommodate the request for such a transfer; and
- (vi) Provided further that in most deserving cases, the merit of which shall be determined on case to case basis and the decision of the Competent Authority in that behalf shall be final, Government may allow transfer of a civil servant in BPS-16 and above, subject to the aforesaid conditions.
- (2) A person so transferred shall be placed at the bottom of the cadre strength which he joins for the purpose of determining his seniority vis-à-vis other members borne on the cadre.
- (3) It will be the sole discretion of the appointing authority to accept or refuse a request for transfer under this rule and any decision made in this behalf shall be final and shall not be quoted as precedence in any other case."


8. The issue of transfer from other provinces and absorption in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police was also discussed in Writ Petition No.1587-P of 2022 titled "Shah Mumtaz & others versus the Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa & others" and in the judgment so delivered on 29.08.2023, the Peshawar High Court also discussed the judgments relied upon by the respondents in the cases of "Ali Azhar Khan Baloch & others versus Province of Sindh & others" reported as 2015 SCMR 456 and Contempt of Court Proceedings against the Chief Secretary, Sindh & others reported as 2013 SCMR 1752. Peshawar High Court in the judgment rendered in the above writ petition, has found that the judgments of the Supreme Court were rendered in completely different situations, which was not the case before the Peshawar High Court, so is the situation in this appeal also.

9. For what has been discussed above, we are constrained to allow this appeal, set aside the impugned appellate order directing the respondents to consider the appellant for further promotion to the rank of DSP, according to the seniority already fixed from the date of his

ATTESTED



Attested





transfer to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police and on the basis of which, he had also been promoted to different lists/posts from the post of S.I to Inspector but very strangely, for unknown reasons, he was deprived of promotion to the post of DSP on totally irrelevant considerations. Consign.

10. Pronounced in open Court at Abbottabad and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 24th day of April, 2024.

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Chairman
Camp Court Abbottabad

MUHAMMAD AKBAR KHAN
Member (Executive)
Camp Court Abbottabad

Attestation Seal

Certified to be true copy

31/5/24

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar.

Date of Presentation of Application: 03/5/24

Number of Pages: 67

Copying Fee: 30/-

Urgent: 30/-

Total: 30/-

Name of Copier: _____

Date of Completion of Copy: 03/5/24

Date of Delivery of Copy: 03/5/24

بخدمت جناب انسپکٹر جنرل آف پولیس خیبر پختونخواہ پشاور

عنوان درخواست برائے پروموشن DSP

جناب عالی!

گزارش خدمت ہیکہ معزز عدالت سروس ٹریبونل نے میرے پروموشن کرنے کے

حق میں فیصلہ دے دیا ہے۔ جس پر تاحال عمل درآمد نہیں ہوا۔ اٹھارہ لاکھ روپے

لہذا بذریعہ درخواست گزارش ہیکہ معزز عدالت سروس ٹریبونل کے فیصلے کی

روشنی میں مجھے DSP پروموشن کرنے کے احکامات جاری فرما کر شکریہ کا موقع دیں۔

المرقوم: 28-06-2024

ارض

انسپکٹر مجرا جمل نمبر H/72 متعینہ Investigation ونگ تھانہ شی ماںسہرہ

[Handwritten Signature]

[Handwritten Signature]
28/06/2024

Attested
[Handwritten Signature]



OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
INVESTIGATION, MANSEHRA
Ph: No: 0997-920106, Fax: No: 0997-920016
sspinvmsa@gmail.com


No. 1413 /Inv: Dated Mansehra the 01/10/2024.

To **The Regional Police Officer,
Hazara Region Abbottabad.**

Subject: **APPLICATION**

Memorandum:

Enclosed kindly find herewith an application submitted by Inspector Muhammad Ajmal No.H/72 of Investigation Wing Mansehra, for favour of consideration, please.


Superintendent of Police,
Investigation Mansehra

etc
sre

Attested
[Signature]

