FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	f			
_			 	

Court of	
Appeal	No. 1460/2024
o. Date of order Ord proceedings	er or other proceedings with signature of judge
2	- 3
- 16/09/2024	The appeal of Mr. Khan Wada re-submitted today
	Mr. Taimur Ali Khan Advocate. It is fixed for preliminary ring before Single Bench at Peshawar on 25.09.2024.
Pa	cha Peshi given to counsel for the appellant.
	By order of the Chairman
	RECEIRAR

The appeal of Mr. Khan Wada received today i.e on 29.08.2024 is incomplete on the following score which is returned to the counsel for the appellant for completion and resubmission within 15 days.

1- The authority whose order is challenged has not been made a necessary party...

2- Departmental appeal having no date be dated.

No. 748 /Inst./2024/KPST,

Dt. 12/9 /2024.

High Court at Peshawar.

Respected Six.

1-Removed as the appellant made puties from he aggreened

2- Removed

Resubmitted after compliance.

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 46 /2024

Khan Wada

VS

Police Department

INDEX

S.No.	Documents	Annexure	P. No.
. 1	Memo of Appeal		01-05
2	Affidavit		06
3	Copy of memo/letter dated 22.02.2020	A	07-08
4	Copies of charge sheet, memo/letter dated 27.06.2022 and order dated 21.07.2022	B,C&D	09-13
5	Copies of order dated 28.07.2022 and order dated 14.09.2022.	E&F	14-16
6	Copy of judgment dated 14.09.2023	G	17-21
7	Copies of order dated 14.12.2023 and departmental appeal	H&I	22-26
8	Vakalat Nama		27

THROUGH:

APPELLANT

TAIMUR ALI KHAN
(ADVOCATE HIGH COURT)

Cell# 0333-9390916

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

SERVICE APPEAL NO. 1460 /2024

Khan Wada, Head Constable, PP, Bannu Gate, Police Station, Cantt, Kohat

(APPELLANT)

VERSUS

- 1. The Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.

(RESPONDENTS)

SECTION OF THE KHYBER UNDER 4 PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNALS ACT. **IMPUGNED** ACTION AGAINST THE OF THE RESPONDENTS OF NOT PROMOTING THE APPELLANT TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR (BPS-09) WITH EFFECT FROM 21.07.2022, I.E THE DATE WHEN HIS COLLEAGUES AND JUNIORS WERE PROMOTED TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR (BPS-09) AND AGAINST NOT TAKING ACTION ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPELLANT WITHIN APPEAL OF THE STATUTORY PERIOD OF NINETY DAYS.

PRAYER:

THAT ON THE ACCEPTANCE OF THIS APPEAL, THE RESPONDENTS MAY KINDLY BE DIRECTED CONSIDER THE APPELLANT FOR PROMOTION TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT **SUB** INSPECTOR (BPS-09) WITH EFFECT FROM 21.07.2022, A PSA I.E THE DATE WHEN HIS COLLEAGUES AND JUNIORS WERE PROMOTED TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR (BPS-09) WITH ALL BACK BENEFITS. ANY OTHER REMEDY, WHICH THIS AUGUST TRIBUNAL DEEMS FIT AND APPROPRIATE THAT, MAY ALSO, BE AWARDED IN FAVOUR OF APPELLANT.

ESPECTFULLY SHEWETH: FACTS:

- 1. That the appellant was appointed in the police department as Constable and after completing his due training and courses he was promoted the rank of Head Constable in the year 2016 and since his appointment the appellant is performing her duty with devotion and honesty, whatsoever, assigned to him and no compliant has been filed regarding his performance by his high ups.
- 2. That respondent No.2 through memo dated 22.02.2020 informed all the concerned authorities to provide service record, full service record on enclosed proforma completed in all aspects and no pending Departmental Enquiry Certificates in respect of IHCs (mentioned in the memo/letter) of your respective district/units in connection with their promotion to the rank of officiating ASIs in which the name of the appellant was also included. (Copy of memo/letter dated 22.02.2020 is attached as Annexure-A)
- 3. That the appellant while serving the said capacity, charge sheet was issued to him on 26.05.2022 on some baseless allegations and during the pendency of inquiry proceeding against the appellant, respondent No.2 through memo/letter dated 27.06.2022 informed all the concerned authorities to direct IHCs (mentioned in memo/letter) of your respective districts/units to appear in DPC meeting scheduled to be held on 29.06.2022 in connection with their promotion to the rank of Officiating ASIs in which the name of the appellant was also present and on the recommendation of that DPC 47 IHCs were promoted to the rank of Officiating ASIs vide order dated 21.07.2022, however, the name of the appellant was not present in the promotion order dated 21.07.2022. (Copies of charge sheet, memo/letter dated 27.06.2022 and order dated 21.07.2022 are attached as Annexure-B,C&D)
- 4. That without conducting proper and regular inquiry against the appellant to the dig out the realty about the allegations, the appellant was compulsory retired from service vide order dated 28.07.2022 and his departmental appeal against the order dated 28.07.2022 was also rejected on 14.09.2022. (Copies of order dated 28.07.2022 and order dated 14.09.2022 are attached as Annexure-E&F)
- 5. That the appellant challenged the orders dated 28.07.2022 and 14.09.2022 in this Honorable Service Tribunal in service appeal No.1468/2022. The Honorable Service Tribunal heard and decided the appeal of the appellant on 14.09.2023. The Honorable Service Tribunal accepted the appeal of the appellant, set aside the orders dated 28.07.2022 and dated 14.09.2022 and reinstated the appellant

in service with all back benefits. (Copy of judgment dated 14.09.2023 is attached as Annexure-G)

- 6. That in the compliance of judgment dated 14.09.2023 of this Service Tribunal, the appellant was reinstated into service vide order dated 14.12.2023 and as the appellant was reinstated by this Service Tribunal with all back benefits and as such the appellant is \(\sigma_{ij} \) entitle to be promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 i.e the date when ** his colleagues and juniors were promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09), therefore, the appellant filed departmental appeal on 15.05.2024 for promotion to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 i.e the date when his colleagues and juniors were promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) and the appellant was deprived from promotion, which was not respondent with in the statutory period of ninety days. (Copies of order dated 14.12.2023 and departmental appeal are attached as Annexure-H&I)
- 7. That the appellant has no other remedy except to file the instantappeal in this Honorable Service Tribunal for redressal of his grievance on the following grounds amongst others.

GROUNDS:

- A) That not promoting the appellant in the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 along with his colleagues and juniors and not deciding his departmental appeal within the statutory period of ninety days are against the law, rules principle of natural justice and fair play.
- B) That the appellant was eligible for promotion to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) at the time of DPC scheduled on 29.06.2022 for promotion to the rank of Officiating ASIs and his name was also send to in that DPC for promotion to the rank of Officiating ASIs in the memo/letter dated 27.06.2022 and the basis of that DPC, 47 IHCs were promoted to the rank of Officiating ASIs vide order dated 21.07.2022, however, the appellant was not promoted in the promotion order dated 21.07.2022 along with his colleagues and juniors without giving any reason of not promoting in the order dated 21.07.2022, which is against the norms of justice and fair play.
- C) That the name of the appellant was sent to DPC scheduled on 29.06.2022 and at the time of promotion on 21.07.2022, the appellant was serving in the department, therefore, it was his legal to be promoted to the rank of officiating ASI along with his

colleagues and juniors in the order dated 21.07.2022, but the respondent department not promoted the appellant in order dated 21.07.2022 without giving any reason.

- D) That if the appellant was not considered in the DPC and was not promoted to the rank of ASI on 21.07.2022 due to reason of pending inquiry against the him then the respondent department violated the Superior Courts judgments as per Superior Courts judgments no one can be deprived from his legal right of promotion due to pending inquiry against him.
- E) That if the appellant was not considered in DPC and was not promoted to the rank of officiating ASI on 21.07.2022 due to baseless allegations and pending inquiry against him and later on the appellant was compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless allegations, but that dismissal order dated 28.07.2022 was set aside by this Honorable Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits, which means that there remain no ground to deprive the appellant from his legal right of promotion to the rank of officiating ASI (BPS-09) along with his colleagues and juniors who were promoted to the rank of officiating ASI (BPS-09) on 21.07.2022.
- F) That if the appellant was not considered in DPC and was not promoted to the rank of officiating ASI on 21.07.2022 due to baseless allegations and pending inquiry against him and later on the appellant was compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless allegations, but that dismissal order dated 28.07.2022 was set aside by this Honorable Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits, which means that the appellant was in the same position as was before the penalty order dated 28.07.2022 and it is the legal right of the appellant to be promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 i.e the date when his colleagues and juniors were promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09)
- G) That if the appellant was not promoted due to the reason of baseless allegations and pending inquiry against him and later on he was also compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless allegations, but that dismissal order dated 28.07.2022 was set aside by this Honorable Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits and as per Apex Court judgment that when an official was dismissed/removed or compulsory retired from service and then reinstated into service after not proving allegations

against him, then such official is entitle for all back benefits including promotion and as such the appellant is entitle to be promoted to the rank of officiating ASI w.e.f 21.07.2022 i.e the date when his colleagues and juniors were promoted.

- H) That not promoting the appellant to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 along with his colleagues and juniors will effect his further promotion which will cause irreparable loss to the appellant in the shape of pension and other monetary benefits for no fault on his part.
- I) That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules and has been kept deprived from his legal right of promotion to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 along with his colleagues and juniors.
- J) That the appellant seeks permission of this Honorable Tribunal to advance other grounds and proofs at the time of hearing.

It is, therefore most humbly prayed that on the acceptance of this appeal, the respondents may kindly be directed to consider the appellant for promotion to the rank of Officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022, i.e the date when his colleagues and juniors were promoted to the rank of Officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with all back benefits. any other remedy, which this Honorable Tribunal deems fit and appropriate that, may also, be awarded in favour of appellant

APPELLANT Khan Wada

THROUGH:

(TAIMUR ALI KHAN) ADVOCATE HIGH COUR

SHAKIR ULLAH TORANI ADVOCATE

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

SERVICE APPEAL NO),	/2024
		-

Khan Wada

VS

Police Department

× 2890 - 2

AFFIDAVIT

I, Khan Wada, Head Constable, PP, Bannu Gate, Police Station, Cantilo Kohat, (Appellant) do hereby affirm and declare that the contents of this service appeal are true and correct and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Tribunal.

DEPÖNENT

eregala. Eas.

hum.

The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat.

fu.

- The Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD, Khyber Pakhtuakhwa, Peshawar.
- The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Motorway Police & NHMP, Islamabad.
- The Commundant, 3. Police Training College, Hungu.
- The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Special Branch, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa,
- The District Police Officers, Kohat, Karak, Orakzai & Hangu.
- The Supdi: of Investigation wing, Kohat, Karak and Hangu.
- The Director,
 Police Training School, Kohat

16.31-41 /EC. Dated Kohat the 22 152 /2020.

Subject: -

DPC REGARDING PROMOTION AS OFFG: ASI,

MEMO:

Please provide service record, full service particular on the enclosed pronforms completed in all aspects and No panding Departmental Enquiry Certificates in respect of following IHCs of your respective districts / units in connection with their pomotion to the next rank of Offg: ASIs:-

S.No.	Rank & Name	Present Posting
-1.	IHC Sohail Kamal No. 186	Distt: Kohat
2.	IHC Shaheed-ur-Rehman No. 475	Disti: Karak
1.	(HC Fazal Subhan No. 124	Disti: Karak
4.	IHC Ghulam Shafiq 164	Distt: Karak
5.	1HC Jaffar Khan 445	Distr: Kohat
6.	(HC Haziq Ur Rehman 649/84)	Dist: Karak
7.	IHC Muhammad Hanif 33	Distt: Hangu
8.	IHC Fazai Wahab 240	FRP Karak
9.	IHC Khan Weda 550	Distt: Kohal
10.	IHC Ayaz Ali 80	Distt: Kolsat
11.	ILIC Muhammad Noscer 248	Distt: Karak
12.	IHC Syed Nasir Hussein 309	Investigation Hangu
13.	IHC Aftab Ahmed 744/520	Distr: Kolut
14,	IHC Muhammad Faroog 235	Distr: Karak
15.	THC Adii Hussain 322	Distt; Orakzai
. 16.	IHC Muhammad Ances 375	Distr. Karak
17.	IHC Miraj Muhammad Khan 326	Distt: Karak
18.	IHC Lal Majeed 790	Invest: Koltat
19.	IHC Rauf Khan 595/08	Disn: Kohut
20.	IIIC Mulminimad Hayat 870/222	Distr: Kohut
21.	IHC Eln Ud Din 136/1393	Diste: Kolınt
22,	IHC Muhammad Iqbal 143	Distr: Kolut
23.	IHC Tanveer Aii 13	Investigation Hongu
24.	IHC Tolet Mehmood 18	PTS Kolus



	444.	()
Via	IIIC Mahammad Atif Kazmi 1195	PTC Hangu
26.		Distr: Kohat
 	HC Ihsan Ullah 159/412	Dist: Hangu
29,	IHC Asif Hussain 1125/651	Special Branch
30.	HC Nigali Ali Shah 107/18	Invest: Kohat
31.	HC Muhammad Arif Khan 302	Distt: Karak
32.	IHC Jan Muhammad 463	BDU
33.	HIC Muhammad Anwar 78	Distt: Hangu
34,	IIIC Jehanzeh 1224	Distr: Kohat
35.	IIIC Haider Abbas 260	Distt: Hongu
	HIC Muzhar Hussain 1095	Investigation Kohat
36.	THC Asghar Hussain 331	Investigation Kohat
100	IHC Naimat Ullah 758	Disit: Karak
38.	IHC Syed Mati Ullah Shah 366	PTC Hangu
40.	IHC Razig Khan 264	Distt: Karak
	IHC Zarwali Khan 808	Distt: Kohat
41.	IHC Dilsoz Ahmed 20	CTD
42.	IHC Said Ullah 524	Distt: Kohat
43,	IHC Arab Jan 233	Invest: Kohat
44.	IHC Wascem Abbas 179	NHMP
45.	IHC Ghulam Gliufran 321	Distt: Hangu
46.	IHC Dilfaraz 181	Distt: Karak
47.	IHC Muliammad (mran 139	Invest: Kohat
49.	IHC Muhammad Shoaib 845	Distt: Kohat
50.	IHC Muhammad Wajid 216	Distt: Karak
51.	IHC Muhammad Ilyas 196	Distt: Kohat
52.	IHC Eid Manoor 125	Disn: Hangu
53.	IHC Inayat Ullah 101	Distr: Kohat
54.	IHC Muhammad Suleman 781/22/826	Distt: Kohat
55.	IHC Syed Wajahat Hussain 296 IHC Umar Fareog 16	CTD
56.	INC Mar Pareog 16	Distt: Hangu
57.	IHC Mushtaq Ahmed 685 IHC Badshah Hussain 362	Disn: Karak
58.	IHC Muhammad Riaz 1207	Distt: Hangu
59.	IHC Shafi ur Rehman 783	Distr: Koliat
60.	IHC Shahbaz Khan 306	Dist: Kohat
61.	IHC Mazhar Abbas 1145	· Distt: Hangu
62.	IHC Zaheer ul Islam 25	Distt: Kohat
63.	IHC Awalyas Khon 368	Distt: Karak
64.	IHC Javed Hussain 1208	Distt: Karak
65.	IHC Toor Abbas Khan 131/428	Distt: Kohat
66,	IHC Sajid Ullah 415	CTD
67.	IHC Basharai Ali 128/336	Distt: Karak
68.	IHC Sami ur Rehman 1066	Distt: Hangu
69.	UłC Zafar Igbal 205	Distt: Kohat
70.	IHC Islam Nawaz 09	Invest: Karak
71.	IHC Ijaz Ahmed 92	Disit: Karak
72.	IHC Syed Musarrat Hussain 123	Distr: Hangu
· · · · ·	CTITE OF THE PROPERTY (105500) 123	Distt: Kohat

Note:- This Office may be informed, if any IHCs of your districts/ Units have died or embraced Shahadaat, Retired, reverted or dismissed from service, please.

Regional Police Officer. Kohat Region





Office of the District Police Officer, Kohat

Dated <u>26-5./2022</u>

× 35

CHARGE SHEET

- I, <u>MUHAMMAD SULEMAN</u>, <u>DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER</u>, <u>KOHAT</u>, as competent authority under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Police Rules (amendments 2014) 1975, am of the opinion that you <u>IHC Khanwada No. 550</u> rendered yourself liable to be proceeded against, as you have omitted the following act/omissions within the meaning of Rule 3 of the Police Rules 1975.
 - i. That it has been reported vide daily diary report No. 08 dated 16.05.2022, Police station Jarma, that you were in possession of a case property motor car No. LXJ 417/Lahore seized in case FIR No. 454 dated 29.09.2021 u/ss 419, 420, 471 PPC PS Jarma parked in Police station.
 - ii. That you illegally used the above vehicle for your personal used, while W/IGP Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, had already taken a serious notice of case property vehicles / seized vehicles in illegal usage, which was circulated to all concerned. Thus you willfully violated the lawful orders, misused your authority.
 - iii. That as per daily diary mentioned above you have further handed over the vehicle to one HC Musharaf of FRP (who changed number plate as LED No. 2056) and while trafficking narcotics in the said case property vehicle is arrested by Excise, control of narcotics department at Peshawar vide FIR No. 87 dated 14.05.2022 u/ss 9 D-KP CNSA PS Excise district Peshawar.
- 2. By reasons of the above, you appear to be guilty of misconduct under Rule 3 of the Rules ibid and have rendered yourself liable to all or any of the penalties specified in the Rule 4 of the Rules ibid.
- 3. You are, therefore, required to submit your written statement within 07days of the receipt of this Charge Sheet to the enquiry officer.

Your written defense if any should reach the Enquiry Officer within the specified period, failing which it shall be presumed that you have no defense to put in and ex-parte action shall be taken against you.

4. A statement of allegation is enclosed.

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICER, KOHAT

Kohat Region, Kohat

(10)

منور (بروای) عال اده

lo

- D
 The Deputy Inspector General of Police CTD, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 2) The Deputy Inspector Cicneral of Police Special Branch, Khyher Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3) V The Commandant. Police Training College, Hangu.
- The District Police Officers, Kohat, Karak, Hangu & Kurraar.
- the Superintendent of Police, investigation, Kohat & Hangu
- The Superintendent of Police, FRP Kohat Range, Kohat

No 36 Se. S E. EC. Dated Kohat the 27 1 0 6 /3022.

Subject

DEPARTMENTAL PROMOTION COMMITTEE.

MEMO

Please direct the following IHCs of your respective districts / units to appear in DPC meeting scheduled to be held on 29.06.2022 (Wednesday) in connection with their promotion to the rank of Offg: ASIs:-

8.Na	Name Rank & Number	Place of Postion
1	HIC Abdul Hamged No. 1104	Kohat arstrict
2	HC Abdul Jabar No. 120	CTD/Kohat district
2 3	IHC Khan Gul No. 1349	Karak district
4	1HC Chiragh Shah No. 759	Invest: Kohat
5	IHC Fazal Subhan No. 124	Karak district
Ó	HC Muhammad Rafique No. 24	Kohat district
7	IHC Muhammad Rauf No. 557	Kohat district
8	IHC Kamran Hassain No. 420	Hangu district
9	IHC Qamar Zaman No. 52	PTC Hangu
10	IHC Shahid Saleem No. 146	Hangu district
11	IHC Javed Igbal No. 445	Kohat district
12	IHC Sami Ullah No. 309	Hangu district
13	IHC Salcem Raza No. 1081	Kohat district
14	HIC Sabir Khan No. 22 / 132	Kohat district
15	HIC Nawab Ali No 388 41	CLD Konat
16	IHC Shahid Ali 308	Hangu district
17	HIC Obaid Ullah 289	Kohat district
18	IHC Tasawar Shah 343 / 862	S.B/Kohat district
19	IHC Qasid Ali 292 / 1115	Invest: Hangu
20	IHC Safi Ullah 26	Karak district
21	IHC Abdul Hamid 17 / 604	Kohat district
22	HIC Khaliq Nawaz 935	Kohat district
23	IIIC Munir Hussain 224	Hangu district
24	IHC Irfan 51	Invest: Kohat
25	HIC Mohammad Sharif 1203	Kohat district
26	HIC Habib ur Rehman 14	Kohat district
27	IIIC Ahmad Rehman 303	Hangu district

28	IHC Gul Akbar 341	langu district
29	IHC Muhammad Yasin 456	CTD /Kohat
30	IHC Muhammad Muslim 170	SPL FRP/Kohat disti
31	IHC Ghulam Mustafa 978	CTD/Kohat district
32	IIIC Aziz Ahmed 977	Karak district
33	IHC Syed Nadeem Shah 887	Kohat district
34	HIC Syed Aftab Haider 310	Kurram district
35	HC Sarwar Abbas 179	Hangu District
36	1HC Javed Younis 641/62	Kohat District
37	IHC Syed Irshad Hussain 68	Invest: Hangu
38	tHC Amil Badshah 789	Karak district
30	IHC Noor Mocen 493	Bear at 1822
40	HIC Asmat Ullah 814	Bullion de His
41	HC Jaffar Khan 445	Kohat district
1 42	IHC Fazal Wahab 240	Karak district
43	IHC Sahib Noor 103	Kohat district
44	HIC Muhammad Khan 315	Kohat district
45	IIIC Nasrullah Khan 704	Kohat district
46	1HC Ghulam Farid 517	Karak district
47	IHC Khan Wada 550	Kohat district
48	IHC Wahid Noor 294	Hangu district
49	IHC Sohail Ahmed 478/627	CTD / Kohat district
50	Adhoe ASI Hakim Shah 284/IHC	Kohat district
51	IHC Abdur Razaq 802	Invest: Karak
52	IHC Sargand Ali 208	Hangu district Sa
53	HC Syed Zahir Shah 05	Hangu district

Regional Police Officer.

Kohat Region



ORDER.

On the recommendation of Departmental Promotion Committee, in its meeting held in Region Police Office Koharon 29.06.2022, the following senior most religible HCs on list 1D of this Region, are hereby approved for promotion as Offg: Assit: Sub-Inspectors (BPS-11 rigalns) the existing vincancies of Kohat Region with immediate effect.

S. 1	the contract of the contract o	Posting . No
1	IHC Abdul Jabar No. 120	l crb ["
3	- HC Chiragh Shah No 759	Invest: Kgnat
3	IHC Muhammad Rafique No 24	Hangu district
4 5	IHC Muhammad Rauf No. 557	Kohat district
5	IHC Kamran Hussain No. 420	Hangu district
6.		Hangu district
7.		Kohat district
₿.	IHC Javed Iqual No. 445	Kohat district
9.	IHC Sami Ullah No 309-57	Hangu district
10.	IHC Saleem Raza No. 1081	Invest: Kahat
11.	IHC Sabir Khan No. 22 / 132	Kohat distoct
12.	IHC Nawab Ali No. 355/41	Hangu disariet
13.	IHC Shahid Ali 308	Hangu district
14.	IHC Obaid Ullah 289 •	Kohat district
15.	IHC Tasawar Shah 343 / 862	Special Branch
16.	IHC Qasid Ali 292 / 1115	invest: Hangu
17.	IHC Safi Ullah 26	Karak district
18.	IHC Abdul Hamid 17 / 604 / 916	Kohat district
19.	IHC Khaliq Nawaz 935	Kohat district
20.	IHC Munir Hussain 224	Hangu district
21.	IHC Irfan 51	Invest: Kohai
22.	IHC Muhammad Sharif 1203	Kohat district
23.	IHC Habib ur Rehman 114	Kohat district
24.	IHC Ahmad Rehman 303	Hangu district
25.	IHC Gul Akbar 341	Hangu district
26.	IHC Muhammad Yasin 458	CTD
27.	IHC Muhammad Muslim 170	FRP
<u>28.</u>	IHC Ghulam Mustafa 978	The same of the sa
<u> 29.</u>	THC Aziz Ahmed 977	CTD
30.	IHC Syed Nadeem Shah 887	Karak district
31.	INC Syed Affab Haider 310	Special Branch
32.	THU Sarwar Abbas 179	Kurram district
33.	IHC Javed Younas 641/62	Hangu district
34.	IHC Syed Irshad Hussain 68	Kohat district
35.	IHC Amil Badshah 789	Invest: Hangu
36.	IHC Noor Moeen 493	Karak district
37.	HC Aemat I Was Odd	Karak district
38.	IHC Asmat Ullah 814	Kohat district
39.	IHC Sahib Noor 103	SB
	IHC Muhammad Khan 315	Kohat district
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- Nonat distinct

1

Ho Nasturan Khan 101
HC Ghu am Fand 81
IHC Wania Noor 294 It
IHO Sonali Ahmed 478 a.
Adhed ASI Hakim Shah 284 HT.
IHC Abour Razaq 302
IHC Sargand Air 108
IHC Syed Zanir Shah 05

bothat distract
Range distract
(AD)
Padrat distract
for bounds
Alonge distract
Alonge distract

assume the charge of next higher post and their posture under what he insured separately.

Necessary gazette nostication may by assued accordingly.

(TAHIR AYUB KHAN) PSP Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region.

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL POLICE OFFICER, KOHAT REGION

No/-85/- 63/EC. dated Konar the - 12022

Copy of above is submitted for favour of information to

- 1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, CTD, KP Peshawar.
- 3. The Commandant, Police Training College, Hangu.
- 4. The Commandant, Frontier Reserve Police, KP, Peshawar.
- 5. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Special Branch, KP, Peshawar,
- 6. All Heads of Police Offices, Kohat Region.
- 7. Confidential Clerk, Region Office, Kohat

(TAHIR AYUB KHAN) PSP Regional Police Officer.

好 Kohat Region

3413/32







Tel: 0922-9260116 Fax 9260125



ORDER

This order will dispose of departmental enquiry initiated against IHC Khanwada No. 550 (herein after called accused) under the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Police Rules, 1975 (amendments 2014).

- 2. In essence, the local Police of Police station Jarma had seized a motor car No. LXJ 417 Lahore in case FIR No. 454 dated 29.09.2021 u/ss 419, 420, 471 PPC and safe parked in PS as, case property.
- 3. As report vide DD No. 08 dated 16.05.2022, the said motor car / case property is illegally taken away by accused and handed over to one FRP official named Musharaf. The later replaced the original number plate of the vehicle with No. LED 2056, and arrested by Excise department Peshawar, while he (Musharaf) was trafficking a huge quantity of charas in the said case property vehicle. Therefore, a case FIR No. 87 dated 14.05.2022 u/ss 9 D CNSA PS Excise, Peshawar is registered against Musharaf with seizing of the said motor car.
- 4. For the above, the accused is served with charge sheet alongwith statement of allegations and a regular enquiry is initiated in aid of inquiry officer under the rules ibid.
- 5. In reply to charge sheet, accused stated that he is in possession of case property while he was posted as Moharir PS Jarma and the car was in his use. On conclusion, the enquiry officer has established the charges leveled against accused, held him guilty and recommended for major punishment.
- 6. In pursuance of enquiry report, final show cause notice is issued / served upon the accused and in reply he again admitted illegal taken away and misuse of case property.
- 7. In order to legitimate defense, the accused is heard in O.R held on 15.07.2022, wherein he stated the above and again admitted misuse of his authority and grave misconduct.
- 8. I have gone through the record, which indicates that time and again strict directions have been issued to all concerned for safe custody of all kind of case properties in respective police stations and no one is allowed for personal use of case property. Despite, clear directions by high-ups, the accused willfully violated the lawful orders of his seniors, misused his authority which amounts to his grave misconduct and established against him. Besides, above in these circumstances, involvement and joining hands of accused with arrested accused Musharaf in trafficking of narcotics can not be ruled out.

مرد م میمار کوی موطنسی



Therefore, in view of above, I reached to the conclusion that the charges leveled against accused have been established beyond any shadow of doubt. In addition, accused caused embarrassing situation to the entire department and his retention in a disciplined department is not warranted under the rules and accused deserves for an exemplary punishment. His service record is also in different. However, in view of his length of service, I, Muhammad Suleman, District Police Officer, Kohat in exercise of the powers conferred upon me; punishment of compulsory retirement from service under rule 4 (b) (ii) of the rules ibid is imposed on accused IHC Khanwada No. 550 w/th immediate effect. Kit etc issued to accused be collected.

Announced 15.07.2022

(MUHAMMAD SULEMA

Copy of above is submitted for favor of information to the:-

Regional Police Officer, Kohat, please

Reader/SRC/OHC/Pay officer for necessary action.

(MUHAMMAD SULEMAN) DISTRICT POLICE O

POLICE DEPTT:



KOHAT REGION

7 D. J.

ORDER.

This order will dispose of a departmental appeal, moved by the Ex-IHC Khan Wada No. 550 of district Kohat against the punishment order, passed by DPO-Kohat vide OB No. 221, dated 27.07.2022 whereby he was awarded major punishment of compulsory retirement from service on the allegations of misusing case property i.e. Motorcar and its further delivering to one Musharaf (FRP official) before attending course at Nowshera. The said official while trafficking huge quantity of Chars in aforesaid Motorcar was caught red-handed by the Excise & Narcotics Control Department Peshawar.

He preferred appeal to the undersigned, upon which comments were obtained from DPO Kohat and his service record was perused. He was also heard in person in Orderly Room held in this office on 13.09.2022. During hearing the appellant did not advance any plausible explanation in his defense to prove his innocence.

I have gone through the available record which indicates that the allegations leveled against the appellant have been proved and the same have also been established by the E.O in his findings. Despite of issuing clear cut directives by the high ups not to use case property for personal use but the appellant violated the law / rules and thus tantamount to gross professional misconduct which has earned bad name for whole of the department. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred upon the undersigned, his appeal being devoid of merits is hereby rejected.

Order Announced 13.09.2022

> (TAHIR AYUB KHAN) PSP Region Police Officer,

Kohat Region.

No. 13468

ÆC.

dated Kohat the

/2022

Copy to District Police Officer, Kohat for information and necessary action w/r to his office Letter No. 7943/LB, dated 29.08.2022. His Service Record is returned herewith.

(TAHIR AYUB KHAN) PSP

Region Police Officer,

Kohat Region.

G



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

A southern and a southern a southern and a southern

Service Appeal No. 1468/2022

Date of Institution ... 12.10.2022

Date of Decision...

14.09.2023

Ex-Head Constable, Khanwada No.550, Police Force, Kohat

(Appellant)

VERSUS

The Regional Police Officer, Kohat Region, Kohat and 01 other.

(Respondents)

MR. ASHRAF ALI KHATTAK, Advocate

For appellant.

MR. ASIF MASOOD ALI SHAH, Deputy District Attorney

For respondents.

SALAH-UD-DIN FAREEHA PAUL MEMBER (JUDICIAL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

JUDGMENT:

SALAH-UD-DIN, MEMBER:-

Precise facts giving rise to filing

of the instant appeal are that departmental action was taken against the appellant on the allegations reproduced as below:-

"i. That it has been reported vide daily diary report No. 08 dated 16.05.2022, Police station Jarma, that you were in possession of a case property motor car No. LXJ 417/ Lahore seized in case FIR No. 454 dated 29.09.2021 u/ss 419, 420, 471 PPC PS Jarma parked in Police station.

ii. That you illegally used the above vehicle for your personal use, while W/IGP*Khyber Pakhtunkhwa had already taken a serious notice of case property

vehicles /seized vehicles in illegal usage, which was circulated to all concerned. Thus you willfully violated the lawful orders, misused your authority.

iii. That as per daily diary mentioned above you have further handed over the vehicle to one HC Musharaf of FRP (who changed number plate as LED No. 2056) and while trafficking narcotics in the said case property vehicle is arrested by Excise, control of narcotics department at Peshawar vide FIR No. 87 dated 14.05:2022 u/s 9 D-KP CNSA PS Excise district Peshawar."

- 2. On conclusion of the inquiry, the appellant was awarded major punishment of compulsory retirement from service vide order bearing O.B No. 221 dated 27.07.2022. The departmental appeal of the appellant was rejected by Regional Police Officer Kohat Region vide order dated 13.09.2022, hence the instant service appeal.
- 3. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to regular hearing, respondents were summoned, who put appearance through their representative and contested the appeal by way of filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections.
- 4. Learned counsel for the appellant has contended that the appellant was on Elite Tactical Course at Elite Police Training Centre, Nowshera with effect from 14-03-2022 to 22-05-2022 and was neither in possession of the concerned motorcar nor had he handed over the same to Musharaf, who was arrested in case FIR No. 87 dated 14.05.2022 u/s 9 D-KP CNSA PS Excise District Peshawar, that Dildar HC was serving as Muharar in Police Station Jarma during the relevant

ATT THE TREE TO

days, while the appellant was on training, therefore, he could not be saddled with responsibility of misusing of the concerned motorcar; that the inquiry proceedings were conducted in a slipshod manner and the appellant was not provided any opportunity of personal hearing or self defence; that no one was examined as witness during the inquiry in support of the allegations leveled against the appellant but even then the inquiry officer had wrongly and illegal given findings that the allegations against the appellant were proved; that the impugned orders may be set-aside and the appellant may be reinstated in service with all

- 5. On the other hand, learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents had contended that the motorcar in question was taken into possession as case property in case FIR No. 454 dated 29.09.2021 u/s 419,420,471 PPC PS but the same was handed over by the appellant to one Musharaf, who was arrested for smuggling of Narcotics in the same; that a regular inquiry was conducted in the matter by providing opportunity of personal hearing as well as self defence to the appellant; that the allegations against the appellant, were stood proved in a proper inquiry, therefore, he has rightly been awarded the punishment of compulsory retirement from service.
- Arguments have already been heard and record perused.
- 7. The motorcar bearing registration No. LXJ 417 Lahore was case property of case FIR No. 454 dated 29.09.2021 under sections 419/420/471 PPC registered in Police Station Jarma. It was on 14.05.2022 that the said motorcar was intercepted by officials of Police

J.7.

back benefits.

ATTESTED

Station Excise District Peshawar and Charas weighing 12000/- gram was recovered from the same. The motorcar was allegedly being driven by one Musharaf Khan S/O Azad Gul resident of Said Ali Banda Karak, who was arrested. On receipt of information regarding taking into possession of the motorcar bearing registration No.LXJ 417 Lahore, by officials of Police Station Excise District Peshawar, Dildar IHC Police Station Jarma entered the same in daily diary No. 08 dated 16.05.2022, wherein it was mentioned that the motorcar in question was handed over to the appellant. In view of contents of Daily Diary No. 08 dated 16.05.2022 of Police Station Jarma, disciplinary action was taken against the appellant, which concluded into compulsory retirement of the appellant from service.

8. It is evident from the record that during the relevant days, the appellant was not serving as Muharrar in Police Station Jarma rather he was admittedly on training in Elite Police Training Centre Nowshera with effect from 14.03.2022 till 22.05.2022. Dildar IHC was serving as Muharrar in Police Station Jarma during the relevant days and it was he, who recorded in daily diary No. 08 dated 16.05.2022 that he had handed over the motorcar in question to the appellant. Except bare entry in daily diary regarding handing over the motorcar in question to the appellant, no oral or documentary evidence was procured by the inquiry officer in support of the allegations of handing over the motorcar in question to the appellant. The inquiry officer even did not bother to examine Dildar IHC, whose status was that of a complainant in the matter in question. Even otherwise too, Dildar IHC was not

A DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF

clothed with any legal Authority for handing over the motorcar in question to the appellant and for the sake of arguments, if his assertion is admitted as correct then he was also liable to have been proceeded against for unlawfully handing over the motorcar in question to the appellant. While scanning the record, we have observed that the motorcar in question was case property but the same was not dealt with in accordance with the rules prescribed in Police Rules, 1934. The concerned SHO, who was Incharge of Police Station had also not bothered to observe the relevant rules of Police Rules, 1934 regarding the motorcar in question, which was a case property. The inquiry officer had conducted the inquiry in a slipshod manner and had not even afforded an opportunity to the appellant to record statement in his self defense.

9. In view of the above discussion, the impugned orders are set-aside and the appellant is reinstated in service with all back benefits. Parties are left to bear their own costs. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED 14.09,2023

> (SALAH-UD-DIN) MEMBER (JUDICIAL)

(FAREEHA PAUL) MEMBER (EXECUTIVE)

*Naeem Amin TESTETI

. • umber of Pass

09/10/23

DISTRICT KOHAT

In compliance with the judgment dated 14.09.2023, passed by Khyber P:khtunkhwa Service Tribunal Peshawar in service appeal No. 1468/2022 and consequent upon approval by the competent authority vide CPO letter No., 7072/ Legal dated 06.12.2023, Ex-Head Constable Khan Wada No. 550 is hereby reinstated into service conditionally and provisionally subject to outcome of CPLA. The issue of back benefits is also subject to outcome of CPLA lodged by the Police Department in the Apexicourt

med : 14-12 - 12023

A 2.ISRC, dated Kohat the/

Copy for necessary action to the: -

DSP Legal Kohat with the direction to pursue the case Lobjection petition to the exter of back benefits as directed by AIG Legal CPO Peshawar vide letter quoted above.

Reader / Pay Officer / OHC.

Appellant for information.

V 189

The Worthy Provincial Police Officer, Khyber Pakhimikhwa, Peshawar

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL FOR PROMOTION TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT SUBJECTOR (BES-09) WITH EFFECT FROM 21,07,2022 LES THE DATE ON WHICH THE BATCHMATES AND JUNIORS WERE PROMOTED TO THE RANK OF OFFICIATING ASSISTANT SUBJECTOR (BPS-09)

Respectfully Submitted: -

- That the appellant was appointed in the police department as Constable and after completing his due training and courses he was promoted the rank of Head Constable in the year 2016 and since his appointment the appellant is performing her duty with devotion and honesty, whatsoever, assigned to him and no compliant has been filed regarding his performance by his high ups.
- That the appellant while serving the said capacity, charge sheet was issued to him on 20.05.2022 on some baseless allegations and during the pendency of inquiry proceeding against the appellant, Departmental Promotion Committee meeting was scheduled to be held on 20.06.2022 for promotion to the rank of Officiating ASIs. The name of batchmates and juniors to the appellant were sent to DPC scheduled on 29.06.2022 for promotion to the rank of Officiating ASIs through memo dated 27.06.2022, however, the name of the appellant was not included in the memo dated 27.06.2022 due to pending inquiry against him and on the recommendation of DPC, batchmates and juniors to the appellant were promoted to the rank of officiating ASI vide order dated 21.07.2022.
- 3. That without conducting proper and regular inquiry against the appellant to the dig out the realty about the allegations, the appellant was compulsory retired from service vide order dated 28.07.2022 and his departmental appeal against the order dated 28.07.2022 was also rejected on 14.09.2022.
- 4. That the appellant challenged the orders dated 28.07.2022 and 14.09.2022 in the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in service appeal No.1468/2022. The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal heard and decided the appeal of the appellant on 14.09.2023. The Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal accepted the appeal of the

× 4%, ...

appellant, set much the uniter that 38.07.3032 and dated 14.09.2032 and secondated the appellant in agrees with all back begin the

Pakhinikhwa Nervice Indianal, the appellant was remistated into service vale velocity dated 14.12.2023 and as the appellant was remistated into service vale velocity dated 14.12.2023 and as the appellant was remistated by kindow Pakhinikhwa Service Indianal with all hard benefits and as such the appellant is untille to be promoted to the rank of officiang Assistant Sub-Inspector (IIPS-09) with effect from 21.03.23% of the date when his batchmates and jumps were promoted to the rank of officialing Assistant Sub-Inspector (IIPS-09), therefore, the appellant wants to file this departmental appeal to promote but to the rank of officialing Assistant Sub-Inspector (IIPS-09) was effect from 21.07.2022 along with his batchmates and paniors on the following ordered

ROUNDS:

- Assistant Sub-Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 along with list backmates and juniors is against the law, rules projected of natural justice and fair play.
- 13 : That the appellant was eligible for promotion to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) at the time of DPC scheduled on 20 on 2022 for promotion to the rank of ASIs, but his name was not included in that DPC due to pending inquiry against him on baseless allegations and on the basis of that DPC his batchmates and juniors were promoted to the rank of officiating ASI (BPS-09) on 21,07,2022 and the appellant was then compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless affectation which was set aside by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellam was reinstated into his service with all back benefits. which shows that there remain no ground to deprive the appellant from his legal right of promotion to the rank of officiating ASI (HPS-09) along with his batchmates and juniors who were promoted on 21 07,2022.
- That the appellant was compulsory retired from service on 28,07,2022 on baseless allegations, which was set aside by the Khyber Pakhtankhwa Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14,09,2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits which means that the appellant was in the same position as was before the penalty order dated 28,07,2022 and it is the legal right of the appellant to be promoted to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (HPS-09) with effect from

3 CamScanne



24.07 2022 (c) the date when his batchmates and jumors were promoted to the rank of officiating Sult Inspector (BPS-09)

- That the appellant was not considered in the DPC hold on 29.06.2022 for promotion to the mak of officiating ASI (BPS-09) due to pending inquiry against him on baseless allegations and on the basis of that DPC his batchmates and juniors were promoted to the rank of officiating ASI (BPS-09) on 21.07.2022 and later on he was compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless allegation which was set aside by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits and as such the appellant could not be deprived from his legal right of promotion to the rank of officiating ASI (BPS-09) along with his batchmates and juniors.
- That many officials who were juniors to the appellant were promoted to the rank of ASI in the order dated 21.07.2022 and if the appellant was not departmentally proceeded on baseless allegations, he would be promoted to the rank of ASI along with his batchmates and juniors in the order dated 21.07.2022.
- That the appellant was eligible for promotion to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-69) on 21.07.2022, but he was not ignored from promotion due to pending inquiry against him on baseless allegations and later on he was compulsory retired from service on 28.07.2022 on that baseless allegation which was set aside by the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribanat in its judgment dated 14.09.2023 and the appellant was reinstated into his service with all back benefits and as per superior court judgments that when an official was dismissed/removed or compulsory retired from service and then reinstated into service after not proving allegations against him, then such official is entitle for all back benefits including promotion and as such the appellant is entitle for legal right of promotion from the date when his batchmates and juniors were promoted.
- That not promoting the appellant to the rank of officiating Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21,07,2022 along with his batchmates and juniors will effect his further promotion which will cause irreparable loss to the appellant in the shape of pension and other monetary benefits for no fault on his part.
- 11) That the appellant has not been treated in accordance with law and rules and has been kept deprived from his legal right of promotion to the rank of officinting Assistant Sub Inspector (BPS-09) with effect from 21.07.2022 along with his batchmates and juniors.

A \$ 3/6

× 25% -

anoissimmus ground to signal out no stillound balancaposmos bun dand IIn dliv (90-298) roboged das matrizzz, gathalaffo To stars oil of bolomoriq grow ground line solumbolad sid n of μ Inspector (1925-09) with effect from 21.07.2022, Le the date

Yours obediently

duz mander) yahabillo lo duar odt ot betonaarg ed Abriek gam indlagga off daaqqa lataqaringob zidi ta yonaqqoosa

भा पर स्वता काश्वाकृति दिवयामा भगवा अवस्थानम् ह्या छ।

Dated

PP Banna Gate Police Station Cantt Kolm Klum Wuda, Hend Constable

7 F47 K

VAKALAT NAMA

NO	/20)24	
IN THE COURT OF K.P.	Service	Ozibuno	J Peshawar
Rhan Was	VERSUS		(Appellant) (Petitioner) (Plaintiff)
ouce	artment		(Respondent) (Defendant)
I/We, Khan	mada		
Counsel/Advocate in the above note with the authority to engage/appoint I/We authorize the said Advocate to sums and amounts payable or depos The Advocate/Counsel is also at III proceedings, if his any fee left unpaid	deposit, withdravited on my/our a berty to leave m	ate/Counsel on m v and receive on a ccount in the about ny/our case at a	y/our costs. my/our behalf all ve noted matter.
Dated /2024		() has	,
		(CLIENT)	
		ACCEPTE Jan	
	•	TAIMUR ALI R	HAN

BC-10-4240 CNIC: 17101-7395544-5 Cell No. 03339390916

Advocate High Court