



20<sup>th</sup> Sept, 2024

Appellant in person present. Mr. Muhammad Pervaiz, Senior Research Officer alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel is not available today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 30/09/2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (Executive)

  
(Aurangzeb Khattak)  
Member (Judicial)


\*Naeem Amin\*


30<sup>th</sup> Sept, 2024

Appellant in person present. Mr. Abdur Reman, SRO and Mr. Naseeb Khan, SO alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

Appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that his counsel has not turned up from D.I.Khan. Final opportunity is given for arguments. The Appellant is directed to either submit written arguments or ensure that his counsel appears in person on the next date of hearing before this Tribunal. As an alternative, the Appellant may facilitate the participation of his counsel through video link for the arguments. To come up for arguments on 04/10/2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED  
KPST  
Peshawar

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (Executive)

  
(Aurangzeb Khattak)  
Member (Judicial)

\*Naeem Amin\*

ORDER  
04.10.2024


None present on behalf of the appellant. Mr. Abdur Rehman, Senior Research Officer alongwith Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.


On the previous date of hearing, the appellant was present in court and sought an adjournment on the grounds that his counsel had not arrived from D.I. Khan. It was directed to the appellant to either submit written arguments or to ensure the appearance of his counsel and he was also provided an alternative option, allowing the appellant to facilitate his counsel's participation through video link for the purpose of presenting arguments.

Today, despite repeated calls at different intervals until the court's rising, none appeared on behalf of the appellant.

In light of the above, it is evident that the appellant has failed to prosecute the appeal effectively. Therefore, we find no further grounds to proceed with this matter in the absence of representation. Accordingly, the appeal in hand is dismissed in default for want of prosecution. File be consigned to the record room.

ANNOUNCED  
04.10.2024

  
(Fareeha Paul)  
Member (Executive)

  
(Aurangzeb Khattak)  
Member (Judicial)