# Form- A

# FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of\_\_\_

# Implementation Petition No. 1051 /2024

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
 1.	2	3
1	10.09.2024	The implementation petition submitted today by
⊥	ε.	Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for
		implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar
	· .	on 23.09.2024. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has
•	•* : .* . ••.	noted the next date. Parcha Peshi given to counsel for
		the petitioner.
		By order of the Chairman
		RICIS PAR
	- -	The subscription of the second s
		and the second
	 1	
		s
ļ		

# BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICED TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

NO. \_\_\_\_ /2024

San U

GOVT OF KPK & OTHERS:

# APPLICATION FOR FIXATION OF THE ABOVE TITLED APPEAL AT PRINCIPAL SEAT, PESHAWAR.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the above mentioned appeal is pending adjudication before this. Hon'ble Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
- 2. That according to Rule 5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974, a Tribunal may hold its sittings at any place in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa which would be convenient to the parties whose matters are to be heard.
- 3. That it is worth mentioning that the offices of all the respondents concerned are at Peshawar and Peshawar is also convenient to the appellant/applicant meaning thereby that Principal Seat would be convenient to the parties concerned.
- 4. That any other ground will be raised at the time of arguments with the permission of this Hon'ble court.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this application the appeal may please be fixed at principal seat Peshawar for the Convenience of parties and best interest of justice.

Applicant

Dated: - \_\_/\_\_/2024

Through

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

## BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. <u>/0</u>5/\_\_/2024 In Appeal No. 2401/2023

#### MR. MUHAMMAD RAUF VS EDU: DEPTT:

#### PAGE S. NO. DOCUMENTS ANNEXURE Implementation Petition with 1. Affidavit 1-2 judgment Copy of the dated ·**``A**″ 2. 3-10 16/02/2024 Copy of application **"B**" 3. 11-12 Vakalat Nama 4. 13

dir.

INDEX

Petitioner Muhammad Rauf

#### THROUGH: NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

### Execution Petition No. <u>/057</u>/2024 In Appeal No. 2401/2023

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal Diary No. 15643

Mr. Muhammad Rauf, PST BPS-12, Dated <u>10-09-2024</u> GPS Sadiqa Banda, District Dir Upper. **Petitioner** 

#### VERSUS

- 1- The Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 2- The Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3- District Education Officer (Male) District Dir Upper.

RESPONDENTS

#### EXECUTION PETITION UNDER SECTION 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974, RULE 27 OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 READ WITH SECTIONS 36 AND 51 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE AND ALL ENABLING LAWS ON THE SUBJECT FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 16/02/2024 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

#### **R/SHEWETH:**

- 1- That the petitioner filed service appeal bearing No. 2401/2023 before this august Service Tribunal, against the impugned seniority list dated 31/12/2022, whereby the seniority of the appellant has been determined on the basis of merit position and not on the basis of Clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 21/03/2018 and Sub Section (2) of the Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the E&SE Department appointment and Regularization Act, 2017.
- 2- That the appeal of the petitioner was finally heard on dated 16/02/2024 and as such the ibid appeal is allowed as follows:

"11. In view of the above discussion, it is directed that the inter-se-seniority of those PSTs, who were regularized through notification bearing Endorsement No 1561-67-F. No 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated 21/03/2018, shall be determined on the basis of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of regularization 

- 4- That petitioner having no other remedy but to file this implementation petition.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant execution petition the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the Judgment dated 16/02/2024 passed in Appeal No. 2401/2023 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

> THROUGH: NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

Muhammad Rauf

#### <u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

I, Muhammad Rauf, (appellant) do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of this Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.



ONÈNT

**BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNA** 

-" [[

PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 2401 /2023

Mr. Muhammad Rauf, PST BPS-12, GPS Sadiqa Banda, District Dir Upper.

#### VERSUS

- 1- The Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 2- The Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

3- District Education Officer (Male) District Dir Upper.

......RESPONDENTS

APPELLANT

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED SENIORITY LIST DATED 31/12/2022, WHEREBY THE SENIORITY OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DETERMINED ON THE BASIS OF MERIT POSITION AND NOT ON THE BASIS OF CLAUSE 9 OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF REGULARIZATION NOTIFICATION DATED 21/03/2018 AND SUB SECTION (2) OF THE SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA EMPLOYEES OF THE E&SE DEPARTMENT APPOINTMENT AND REGULARIZATION ACT. 2017 AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD.

#### Prayer:-

That on acceptance of the instant service appeal, the impugned seniority list dated 31/12/2022 may kindly be corrected/rectified to the extent that the appellant's seniority may be determined on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 21/03/2018 as well as Sub Section (2) of Section 4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of E&SE Department (Appointment & Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 by placing the name of the appellant at correct seniority

#### BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUNALPESHAWAR.

Service Appeal No. 2361/2023

MRS. RASHIDA BANO BEFORE: MISS FAREEHA PAUL MEMBER (J) MEMBER (E)

Muhammad Iqbal PST, BPS-12, GPS Bedamai, District Dir Upper (Appellant)

#### VERSUS

- 1. Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer, (Male), District Dir-Upper.

(Respondents)

Tribu

c e

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate

For appellants

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

Date of Hearing......16.02.2024 Date of Decision......16.02.2024

#### JUDGMENT


RASHIDA BANO, MEMBER (J): The instant service appeal has been instituted

under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer as copied below:

"On acceptance of instant appeal, the impugned seniority list dated 31.12.2022 may kindly be corrected/rectified to the extent that the appellant's seniority may be determined on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub Section (2) of Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of E&SE Department (Appointment & Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 by placing the name of the appellant at correct seniority position with all consequential benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deem fit may also be awarded in favour of appellant."

2. Through this single judgment, we intend to dispose of the instant service appeal as well as connected service appeals, which are mentioned below as in all these appeals common questions of law and facts are involved.

> 1. Service Appeal No. 2362/2023 Service Appeal No. 2363/2023 Service Appeal No. 2364/2023 Service Appeal No. 2365/2023 5. Service Appeal No. 2366/2023 Service Appeal No. 2367/2023 7. Service Appeal No. 2368/2023 Service Appeal No. 2369/2023 Service Appeal No. 2370/2023 10. Service Appeal No. 2371/2023 11. Service Appeal No. 2372/2023 12. Service Appeal No. 2373/2023 13. Service Appeal No. 2374/2023 14. Service Appeal No. 2375/2023 15. Service Appeal No. 2376/2023 16. Service Appeal No. 2377/2023 17. Service Appeal No. 2378/2023 18. Service Appeal No. 2379/2023 19. Service Appeal No. 2380/2023 20. Service Appeal No. 2381/2023 21. Service Appeal No. 2382/2023 22. Service Appeal No. 2383/2023 23. Service Appeal No. 2384/2023 24. Service Appeal No. 2385/2023 25: Service Appeal No. 2386/2023 26. Service Appeal No. 2387/2023 27. Service Appeal No. 2388/2023 28. Service Appeal No. 2389/2023 29. Service Appeal No. 2390/2023 30. Service Appeal No. 2391/2023 31. Service Appeal No. 2392/2023 32. Service Appeal No. 2393/2023 33. Service Appeal No. 2394/2023 34. Service Appeal No. 2395/2023 35. Service Appeal No. 2396/2023 36. Service Appeal No. 2397/2023. 37. Service Appeal No. 2398/2023 38. Service Appeal No. 2399/2023 39. Service Appeal No. 2400/2023 40. Service Appeal No. 2401/2023 41. Service Appeal No. 2402/2023



42. Service Appeal No. 2403/2023 43. Service Appeal No. 2404/2023 44. Service Appeal No. 2405/2023. 45. Service Appeal No. 2406/2023 46. Service Appeal No. 2407/2023 47. Service Appeal No. 2408/2023 48. Service Appeal No. 2409/2023 49. Service Appeal No. 2410/2023 50. Service Appeal No. 2411/2023 51. Service Appeal No. 2412/2023 52. Service Appeal No. 2413/2023 53. Service Appeal No. 2414/2023 54. Service Appeal No. 2415/2023 55. Service Appeal No. 2416/2023 56. Service Appeal No. 2417/2023 57. Service Appeal No. 2418/2023 58. Service Appeal No. 2419/2023 59. Service Appeal No. 2420/2023

Brief facts giving rise to the service appeals are that, the appellants 3. were initially appointed as PSTs on contract basis vide Notificationdated: 05.03.2016, 07.03.2016 and 03.05.2016 by the DEO (M) Dir Upper. During course of their service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointmentand Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 was promulgated and in light of the same, their services were regularized vide Notification bearing Endorsement No. 1561-67/No. 158/DEO(M)ADO (P) PES/Estab dated 21.03.2018 with effect from the date of their initial appointment. The seniority list of the appellants, as well as other adhoc appointees whose services were regularized on the strength of Regularization Act, 2017 vide order dated 21.03.2018, was drawn on the basis of score obtained by them in test conducted by National Testing Service (NTS). The appellants are of the view that their inter-se-sentority was required to have been fixe daTe-wise in view of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of the regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary & Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act,2017, therefore, they filed objection on the seniority list on 17.08.2019,

 $v \cdot a$ .

ESTED

bunal

ſ.

however the same was not responded. The appellants, thereafter, submitted departmental appeal on 26.07.2023 but the same was also not responded, constraining them to file appeals before this Tribunal for redressal of their grievance.

4. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Deputy District Attorney and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.

5 Learned counsel for the appellant argued that appellants have not been treated in accordance with law and rules on the subject and as such respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He further argued that impugned seniority list issued by the respondents, whereby the seniority of the appellant has been determined on the basis of merit position and not on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and condition of Regularization of Notification dated 21.03.2018 and Sub-Section 2 of the Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the E&SE Department Appointment and Regularization Act, 2017 are against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and material on the record, hence not tenable and liable to be modified. He further argued that appellants are fully entitled for seniority, being eligible in all respect, therefore, he requested that instant appeal might be accepted.


6. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney contended that the appellants have been treated in accordance with law and rules. He further contended that after promulgation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointmentand Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 appellants have been placed in the ATTESTED seniority list on the basis of merit accordingly as per section 17 (part-vi) Seniority of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989. Furthermore, terms and condition No.9 of the regularization notification dated 21.03.2018, is for those candidates who are having same merit position in their first appointment order.

Perusal of record reveals that the appellants were appointed as PSTs 7. (BPS-12) on contract basis vide Notification dated 05.03.2016, 07.03.2016 and 03.05.2016. During the course of their service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 was enacted Endorsement No.1561-67-F.No. vide Notification bearing and 21.03.2018; their services 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated were regularized with effect from the date of their initial appointments. Clause-9 of Terms and Conditions as mentioned in the afore-mentioned regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 is regarding determination of seniority, which is reproduced as below:-

"Their Seniority shall be determined on the basis of their continuous service in cadre, provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees is the same, the employees older in age shall rank senior to the younger one."

8. Moreover, the criteria for determination of inter-se-seniority of the employees regularized under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 has been provided in Sub-Section 2 of Section-4 of the said Act, which is reproduced as below:-

"4. Determination of seniority.---(1)The employees whose services are regularized under this Act or in the process of attaining service at the commencement of this Act, shall rank



junior to all other employees belonging to the same Cadre, who are in service on regular basis on the commencement of this Act, and shall also rank junior to such other persons, if any, who, in pursuance of the recommendation of the Commission made before the commencement of this Act, or to be appointed to the Cadre, irrespective of their actual date of appointment.

(2) The seniority inter-se of those employees, whose services are regularized under this Act within the Cadre, shall be determined on the basis of their continuous service in Cadre:

Provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees is the same, the employee older in age shall rank senior to the younger one."

9. It is also worth mentioning that according to Section-5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017, the provisions of the said act shall have an overriding effect and the provisions of any such law or rules to the extent of inconsistency with the said Act shall cease to have effect.

10. In view of Clause-9 of regularization notification bearing Endorsement No. dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017, the inter-se-seniority of the regularized PSTs was to be determined on the basis of their continuous service in cadre provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees was the same, the employee older in age shall rank senior to the younger one. While going through the impugned seniority list attached by the appellants with their appeals, the inter-se seniority of the PSTs regularized through Notification dated 21.03.2018 has been determined, on the basis of score obtained by them in written test conducted through NTS, which was not a correct criteria for determining their **ATTESTED** 

A) with

inter-se-seniority. The NTS score was relevant for appointment and not for determining inter-se-seniority of the regularized PSTs.

11. In yiew of the above discussion, it is directed that theinter-se seniority of those PSTs, who were regularized through notification bearing Endorsement No. 1561-67-F.No. 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated 21.03.2018,shall be determined on the basis of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education. Department (Appointment and Regularization<sup>1</sup> of Services) Act, 2017 by placing the names of the appellants at correct seniority positions with all consequential benefits. The appeals are allowed in the said terms. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.

12. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 16<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2024.


(FAREENA PAUL Member (E)

(RASHIDA BANO) Member (J) ATTESTER

Tohn

10

Date of Presentation of Application 2 Number of Words -Copying Fee Urgent. Total. Namp CC. Photo .



عنوان: درخواست بمرادعمل درآمد کرنے تحکم و فیصلہ محررہ 16/02/2024 جاری کردہ ازاں خیبر پختو نخواہ سروس ٹرابیونل، پیثادر

جناب عالی! مؤدبانہ گزارش کی جاتی ہے کہ ہم نے (محمد اقبال و 59 دیگر) سکور میں سینیار ٹی اور پیچلے 199 ساتذہ کرام جو سکور میں سینیارٹی پر 2022/2005 کو پر دموٹ ہو چکے تھے سروس ٹر ایونل نصبر پختو نخواہ میں چیلنج کر تے عمر کے لحاظ سے سینیارٹی اور پر دموش ، بقایا جات اور 99 پر دموٹ شدہ اساتذہ کرام سے سینیارٹی کی استدعا کی تھی ۔ جس پر معزز عدالت سروس ٹر ایونل خیبر پختو نخواہ نے ہمارے حق میں فیصلہ سناتے ہوئے سینیارٹی ، پر دموش اور بقایا جات دینے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ 16/02/2024 سروس ٹر ایونل خیبر پختو نخواہ لف ہم ادر خواست ہذا ہے)۔ اس لئے آپ کی خدمت اقد س میں التماس کی جاتی ہے کہ میں سینیار ٹی اور بقایا جات تھا۔ فیصلہ محررہ 11/2/2024 سروس ٹر ایونل خیبر پختو نخواہ کی روشن میں دینے کے احکامات صادر

عين نوازش ہوگی۔ المرقوم:14/05/2024

\_\_\_\_یش محمدا قبال و59 دیگر درخواست کنندگان کے دستخط درخواست کے ساتھ لف ہیں

ATTESTED

فرمائے جائے۔

37 0 0 × 1 m meron as mon 187 - Angri Cle man al Harrie of ingr aphologi بسيان عط 42 MD ST 25 0 9 D (m 220 J.W. 8 Por whole U in il S The sure S 5521-Julu Ceep D The main of · 52 mor? 25 Th proz singer prime in the Zantys The is st in stan TR Print the sine and Answer of STRE HOW 752 00 00 D strapmir BI Frin will we have DZ WIZ TEL DER MANON متروغ (بيمو ماري متروغ (بيمو ماري mappett All will we have the trozta 1205th PA NICO Stell-Ment 13. sh istron. Alector Alax Bee \$ 10 - Tor will will ly. thank !! Wang St En operin TEI DISNIER Strap ( Brown Brown the cille 571 mr 10 1151 - 18 578 ap é aira any 85 swinning el alorte MINE Pulue, 58 17/1910 ذرافه في ع -stop) UL 2 21° 83 ما شنا عل www.confran 21 quèlu SE jor N Nans To por 12 ofth tas mileral the court Bruthe 55 7 1/ mg ن رو فلا ما 33 Gert wisde كالأسعان يح DE 131 Promowhend 25 2 1 (m) 4 SAP Jusselli z STATION OF STATE E IONOIT TETENPERFITO potento rectime 29 - Ale

	(13)			
<u>VAKALATNAMA</u>				
<b>BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL</b>				
PESHAWAR.				
EPNo	12024			
M.Rauf	(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)			
VERSUS				
Edu dept	(RESPONDENT) (DEFENDANT)			
I/WeRay				

Do/ hereby appoint and constitute **Noor Mohammad Khattak Advocate Supreme Court** to appear, plead, act, compromise, withdraw or refer to arbitration for me/us as my/our Counsel/Advocate in the above noted matter, without any liability for his default and with the authority to engage/appoint any other Advocate Counsel on my/our cost. I/we authorize the said Advocate to deposit, withdraw and receive on my/our behalf all sums and amounts payable or deposited on my/our account in the above noted matter.

Dated.\_\_\_\_/202

<u> ČLIENT</u>

ACCEPTED

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

WALEED UMAR FAROOQ MOHM ADVOCATES

&

**OFFICE:** Flat No. (TF) 291-292 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Deans Trade Centre, Peshawar Cantt. (0311-9314232)