Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of	•

Implementation Petition No. 1053 /2024

S.Νο.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1 -	2	3
1.	10.09.2024	The implementation petition submitted today by
-		Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak Advocate. It is fixed for
	·.	implementation report before Single Bench at Peshawar
	:	on 23.09.2024. Original file be requisitioned. AAG has
: •	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	noted the next date. Parcha Peshi given to counsel for
		the petitioner.
	7 74 (1987)	By order of the Chairman
,		Note that
	•	REGISTRAR
	,	(1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
	-	
,		

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICED TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

Fρ	-	
	NO.	 /2024

The Gan Ullah

VS

GOVT OF KPK & OTHERS:

APPLICATION FOR FIXATION OF THE ABOVE TITLED APPEAL AT PRINCIPAL SEAT, PESHAWAR.

Respectfully Sheweth:

- 1. That the above mentioned appeal is pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Tribunal in which no date has been fixed so far.
- 2. That according to Rule 5 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal Rules 1974, a Tribunal may hold its sittings at any place in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa which would be convenient to the parties whose matters are to be heard.
- 3. That it is worth mentioning that the offices of all the respondents concerned are at Peshawar and Peshawar is also convenient to the appellant/applicant meaning thereby that Principal Seat would be convenient to the parties concerned.
- 4. That any other ground will be raised at the time of arguments with the permission of this Hon'ble court.

It is therefore prayed that on acceptance of this application the appeal may please be fixed at principal seat Peshawar for the Convenience of parties and best interest of justice.

Applicant

Dated: - 01/9/2024

Through

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

Execution Petition No. 165 / 2024 In Appeal No. 2405/2023

MR. IHSAN ULLAH

VS

EDU: DEPTT:

INDEX

S. NO.	DOCUMENTS		ANNEXURE	PAGE
1.	Implementation Petition Affidavit	with	***************	1-2
2.	Copy of the judgment 16/02/2024	dated	"A"	3-10
3.	Copy of application		"B"	11-12
4.	Vakalat Nama			13

Petitioner Ihsan Ullah

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR.

In Appeal No. 2405/2023

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Seevice Tribunal

Diary No. 15645

Mr. Ihsan Ullah, PST BPS-12, GPS Khando Kass, District Dir Upper.

Dated 10-09-2024

VERSUS

- 1- The Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar
- 2- The Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar
- 3- District Education Officer (Male) District Dir Upper.

..... RESPONDENTS

EXECUTION PETITION UNDER SECTION 7(2)(d) OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974, RULE 27 OF THE KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL RULES 1974 READ WITH SECTIONS 36 AND 51 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE AND ALL ENABLING LAWS ON THE SUBJECT FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 16/02/2024 IN LETTER AND SPIRIT.

R/SHEWETH:

- 1- That the petitioner filed service appeal bearing No. 2405/2023 before this august Service Tribunal, against the impugned seniority list dated 31/12/2022, whereby the seniority of the appellant has been determined on the basis of merit position and not on the basis of Clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 21/03/2018 and Sub Section (2) of the Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the E&SE Department appointment and Regularization Act, 2017.
- 2- That the appeal of the petitioner was finally heard on dated 16/02/2024 and as such the ibid appeal is allowed as follows:
 - "11. In view of the above discussion, it is directed that the inter-se-seniority of those PSTs, who were regularized through notification bearing Endorsement No 1561-67-F. No 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated 21/03/2018, shall be determined on the basis of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of regularization

- 3- That after obtaining copy of the judgment dated 16/02/2024 the same was submitted with the respondents for implementation of his grievance coupled with an application, but the respondents/ department failed to do so, which is the violation of the judgment supra. Copy of application is attached as annexure.

 B
- 4- That petitioner having no other remedy but to file this implementation petition.

It is therefore, most humbly prayed that on acceptance of the instant execution petition the respondents may kindly be directed to implement the Judgment dated 16/02/2024 passed in Appeal No. 2405/2023 in letter and spirit. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deems fit that may also be awarded in favor of the petitioner.

Petitioner
Ihsan Ullah

THROUGH:

NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT

AFFIDAVIT

I, Ihsan Ullah, (appellant) do hereby solemnly affirm that the contents of this Execution Petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief and nothing has been concealed from this Honorable Court.

ĎEPONENT

-A- 3

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR

APPEAL NO. 2405 /2023

Mr. Ihsan Ullah, PST BPS-12, GPS Khando Kass, District Dir Upper.

5...



VERSUS

1- The Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Peshawar

2- The Director Elementary & Secondary Education Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar

3- District Education Officer (Male) District Dir Upper.

.....RESPONDENTS

SERVICE APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED SENIORITY LIST DATED 31/12/2022, WHEREBY THE SENIORITY OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN DETERMINED ON THE BASIS OF MERIT POSITION AND NOT ON THE BASIS OF CLAUSE 9 OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF REGULARIZATION NOTIFICATION DATED 17/03/2018 AND SUB SECTION (2) OF THE SECTION 4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA EMPLOYEES OF THE E&SE DEPARTMENT APPOINTMENT AND REGULARIZATION ACT, 2017 AND AGAINST NO ACTION TAKEN ON THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD.

Prayer:-

That on acceptance of the instant service appeal, the impugned seniority list dated 31/12/2022 may kindly be corrected/rectified to the extent that the appellant's seniority may be determined on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 17/03/2018 as well as Sub Section (2) of Section 4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of E&SE Department (Appointment & Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 by placing the name of the appellant at correct seniority



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICES TRIBUS

Service Appeal No. 2361/2023

BEFORE: MRS. RASHIDA BANO

MEMBER (J)

MISS FAREEHA PAUL

MEMBER (É)

Muhammad Iqbal PST, BPS-12, GPS Bedamai, District Dir Upper

(Appellant)

cshawar

VERSUS

- 1. Secretary Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 2. Director, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
- 3. District Education Officer, (Male), District Dir-Upper.

. (Respondents)

Mr. Noor Muhammad Khattak

Advocate

For appellants

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

Date of Hearing......16.02.2024

JUDGMENT

RASHIDA BANO. MEMBER (J): The instant service appeal has been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer as copied below:

"On acceptance of instant appeal, the impugned seniority list dated 31.12.2022 may kindly be corrected/rectified to the extent that the appellant's seniority may be determined on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and conditions of Regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub Section (2) of Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of E&SE AD Department (Appointment & Regularization of Services) Act,

Act,

Peshawar

2017 by placing the name of the appellant at correct seniority position with all consequential benefits. Any other remedy which this august Tribunal deem fit may also be awarded in favour of appellant."

- Through this single judgment, we intend to dispose of the instant service appeal as well as connected service appeals, which are mentioned below as in all these appeals common questions of law and facts are involved.
 - Service Appeal No. 2362/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2363/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2364/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2365/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2366/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2367/2023
 - 7. Service Appeal No. 2368/2023

 - Service Appeal No. 2369/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2370/2023
 - 10. Service Appeal No. 2371/2023
 - 11. Service Appeal No. 2372/2023
 - 12. Service Appeal No. 2373/2023
 - 13. Service Appeal No. 2374/2023
 - 14. Service Appeal No. 2375/2023
 - 15. Service Appeal No. 2376/2023
 - 16. Service Appeal No. 2377/2023
 - 17. Service Appeal No. 2378/2023
 - 18. Service Appeal No. 2379/2023

 - 19. Service Appeal No. 2380/2023
 - 20. Service Appeal No. 2381/2023
 - 21. Service Appeal No. 2382/2023
 - 22. Service Appeal No. 2383/2023
 - 23. Service Appeal No. 2384/2023
 - 24. Service Appeal No. 2385/2023
 - 25. Service Appeal No. 2386/2023
 - 26. Service Appeal No. 2387/2023
 - 27. Service Appeal No. 2388/2023
 - 28. Service Appeal No. 2389/2023
 - 29. Service Appeal No. 2390/2023
 - 30. Service Appeal No. 2391/2023
 - 31. Service Appeal No. 2392/2023
 - 32. Service Appeal No. 2393/2023
 - 33. Service Appeal No. 2394/2023
 - 34. Service Appeal No. 2395/2023
 - 35. Service Appeal No. 2396/2023
 - 36. Service Appeal No. 2397/2023.
 - Service Appeal No. 2398/2023
 - Service Appeal No. 2399/2023
 - 39. Service Appeal No. 2400/2023
 - 40. Service Appeal No. 2401/2023
 - 41. Service Appeal No. 2402/2023

6

42. Service Appeal No. 2403/2023

43. Service Appeal No. 2404/2023

44. Service Appeal No. 2405/2023.

45. Service Appeal No. 2406/2023

46. Service Appeal No. 2407/2023

47. Service Appeal No. 2408/2023

48. Service Appeal No. 2409/2023

49. Service Appeal No. 2410/2023

50. Service Appeal No. 2411/2023

51. Service Appeal No. 2412/2023

52. Service Appeal No. 2413/2023

53. Service Appeal No. 2414/2023

54. Service Appeal No. 2415/2023

55. Service Appeal No. 2416/2023

56. Service Appeal No. 2417/2023

57. Service Appeal No. 2418/2023

58. Service Appeal No. 2419/2023

59. Service Appeal No. 2420/2023

Brief facts giving rise to the service appeals are that, the appellants were initially appointed as PSTs on contract basis vide Notificationdated: 05.03.2016, 07.03.2016 and 03.05.2016 by the DEO (M) Dir Upper. During course of their service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointmentand Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 was promulgated and in light of the same, their services were regularized vide Notification bearing Endorsement No. 1561-67/No. 158/DEO(M)ADO (P) PES/Estab dated 21.03.2018 with effect from the date of their initial appointment. The seniority list of the appellants, as well as other adhoc appointees whose services were regularized on the strength of Regularization Act, 2017 vide order dated 21.03.2018, was drawn on the basis of score obtained by them in test conducted by National Testing Service (NTS). The appellants are of the view that their inter-se-sentority was required to have been fixe daTe-wise in view of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of the regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary & Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017, therefore, they filed objection on the seniority list on 17.08.20/19,

> Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal

however the same was not responded. The appellants, thereafter, submitted departmental appeal on 26.07.2023 but the same was also not responded, constraining them to file appeals before this Tribunal for redressal of their grievance.

- 4. Respondents were put on notice who submitted written replies/comments on the appeal. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant as well as the learned Deputy District Attorney and perused the case file with connected documents in detail.
- treated in accordance with law and rules on the subject and as such respondents violated Article 4 and 25 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. He further argued that impugned seniority list issued by the respondents, whereby the seniority of the appellant has been determined on the basis of merit position and not on the basis of clause 9 of the terms and condition of Regularization of Notification dated 21.03.2018 and Sub-Section 2 of the Section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the E&SE Department Appointment and Regularization Act, 2017 are against the law, facts, norms of natural justice and material on the record, hence not tenable and liable to be modified. He further argued that appellants are fully entitled for seniority, being eligible in all respect, therefore, he requested that instant appeal might be accepted.
- 6. Conversely learned Deputy District Attorney contended that the appellants have been treated in accordance with law and rules. He further contended that after promulgation of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointmentand Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 appellants have been placed in the TTESTED seniority list on the basis of merit accordingly as per section 17 (part-vi)

8

Seniority of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion and Transfer) Rules, 1989. Furthermore, terms and condition No.9 of the regularization notification dated 21.03.2018, is for those candidates who are having same merit position in their first appointment order.

Perusal of record reveals that the appellants were appointed as PSTs 7. (BPS-12) on contract basis vide Notification dated 05.03.2016, 07.03.2016 and 03.05.2016. During the course of their service, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 was enacted vide Notification bearing Endorsement No.1561-67-F.No. 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated 21.03.2018, their services regularized with effect from the date of their initial appointments. Clause-9 of Terms and Conditions as mentioned in the afore-mentioned regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 is regarding determination of seniority, which is reproduced as below:-

"Their Seniority shall be determined on the basis of their continuous service in cadre, provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees is the same, the employees older in age shall rank senior to the younger one."

8. Moreover, the criteria for determination of inter-se-seniority of the employees regularized under Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 has been provided in Sub-Section 2 of Section-4 of the said Act, which is reproduced as below:

"4. Determination of seniority.---(1)The employees whose services are regularized under this Act or in the process of attaining service at the commencement of this Act, shall rank

ATTESTED

junior to all other employees belonging to the same Cadre, who are in service on regular basis on the commencement of this Act, and shall also rank junior to such other persons, if any, who, in pursuance of the recommendation of the Commission made before the commencement of this Act, or to be appointed to the Cadre, irrespective of their actual date of appointment.

- The seniority inter-se of those employees, whose services are regularized under this Act within the Cadre, shall be determined on the basis of their continuous service in Cadre:
- Provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees is the same, the employee older in age shall rank senior to the younger one."
- It is also worth mentioning that according to Section-5 of the Khyber. Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017, the provisions of the said act shall have an overriding effect and the provisions of any such law or rules to the extent of inconsistency with the said Act shall cease to have effect.
- In view of Clause-9 of regularization notification bearing Endorsement 10. No. dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017, the inter-se-seniority of the regularized PSTs was to be determined on the basis of their continuous service in cadre provided that if the date of continuous service in the case of two or more employees was the same, the employee older in age shall rank senior to the younger one. While going through the impugned seniority list attached by the appellants with their appeals, the inter-se seniority of the PSTs regularized through Notification dated 21.03.2018 has been determined, on the basis of score obtained by them in written test conducted through NTS, which was not a correct criteria for determining their

inter-se-seniority. The NTS score was relevant for appointment and not for determining inter-se-seniority of the regularized PSTs.

- 11. In yiew of the above discussion, it is directed that theinter-se seniority of those PSTs, who were regularized through notification bearing Endorsement No. 1561-67-F.No. 158/DEO(M)/ADO(P)Estb dated 21.03.2018, shall be determined on the basis of Clause-9 of terms and conditions of regularization Notification dated 21.03.2018 as well as Sub-Section-2 of Section-4 of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Employees of the Elementary and Secondary Education Department (Appointment and Regularization of Services) Act, 2017 by placing the names of the appellants at correct seniority positions with all consequential benefits. The appeals are allowed in the said terms. Costs shall follow the events. Consign.
- 12. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 16th day of February, 2024.

(FAREEHA PAUL) Member (E)

(RASHIDA BANO)
Member (J)

*Kaleemultah

EX MINER MENTER PESHAWAF

ATTESTED

The of Presentation of App	lication 21-8-14
Number of Words	
Copying Fee 35/	*
Urgent	
Total 35/	* 1- 10 - 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Name of Copying	
Tote of Complection of L.	22-8-24
of Delivery of Copy	22-8-24

ا ا بخدمت جناب ڈسٹر کٹ ایجو کیشن آفیبرصاحب (مردانہ) شلع دیر بالا

عنوان: درخواست بمرادعمل درآمد کرنے تھم و فیصله محرره 16/02/2024 جاری کرده ازال خیبر پختونخواه سروس ٹرابیونل، پشاور

جناب عالی! مؤدبانہ گزارش کی جاتی ہے کہ ہم نے (محمد اقبال و 59 دیگر) سکور ہیں سینیار ٹی اور پچھلے 99 اسا تذہ کرام جو سکور ہیں سینیار ٹی پر 30/05/2022 کو پر وموٹ ہو چکے تھے سروس ٹر ابیونل خیبر پختونخواہ میں چیلنے کر سے مرکے لحاظ سے سینیار ٹی اور پر وموثن ، بقایا جات اور 99 پر وموٹ شدہ اسا تذہ کرام سے سینیار ٹی کی استدعا کی تھی ۔ جس پر معزز عدالت سروس ٹر ابیونل خیبر پختونخواہ نے ہمارے حق میں فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ محررہ فیصلہ ساتے ہوئے سینیار ٹی ، پر وموثن اور بقایا جات و بے کا تھم جاری کیا ہے ۔ (تھم و فیصلہ میں ٹر ابیونل خیبر پختونخواہ لف ہمرا درخواست ہذا ہے)۔

اس لئے آپ کی خدمت اقدس میں التماس کی جاتی ہے کہ ہمیں سینیارٹی اور بقایا جات تھم و فیصلہ محررہ 16/02/2024 سر وس ٹرابیوٹل خیبر پختو نخواہ کی روشنی میں دینے کے احکامات صادر فرمائے جائے۔

مين نوازش ہوگی۔ المرقوم: 14/05/2024

الـــــعارض

محمدا قبال و59 دیگر درخواست کنندگان کے دستخط درخواست کے ساتھ لف ہیں



ASSUM SE LOW CO as again Jest Jest The selection of apholow Hans 220 J. W. 255 421091-32 00 Dlu William 1 S Bornato 5 month 295 1-12 cm Ceapo 2 85 Wal 3 52 11/24 -St. Eliab Showing the Marian St. SIEGUIN 22 L 201248 TO STON 2.15 N. de sais Housell -200 00 00 \$4.00 m/ 181 ENCIN ساراسه المه 201 2 May we was the to the same of the sa Willia Hill maker and Sura 40 The much B 8/10 Jeogh नी किए Alex Me Afox Den \$ 19 -18x W/(W/142 /NETWY Varysy مثالعله لاع (Trap) 181 DINISO Brown 4192 44 21 W/ W ما و مرما 112/0/21 26 danishus 28 21 Walle MAND Pulm, 29 INION The same Wer 2 3 UG 23 26° 83 سا شدند عد ALLO LOS LOS AS SE JOHN 21 m/2/m 1 60(h) 4 ofth 797 PM 48 2 (4. 0) C Shulle 22 24 1/200 J. 10 com 2/ 33 Grand Contraction of the Contrac appen 20 Jend 12 25 DE 1915 KWS Monde 25 month 25 E -41/8/01 KINDOM 2 10 /01 J 8544 I Exall design beginst Wischmy 29 - soll

BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL, PESHAWAR.

<u>; 5511;</u>	ATTOMICS.
_EP	No/20_2 ¹)
Ihrah Illah VER	(APPELLANT) (PLAINTIFF) (PETITIONER)
Edr dath 1/W/ 14/45	(RESPONDENT)(DEFENDANT)
withdraw or refer to arbitr Counsel/Advocate in the above r for his default and with the author Advocate Counsel on my/our Advocate to deposit, withdraw a	appear, plead, act, compromise, ation for me/us as my/our noted matter, without any liability prity to engage/appoint any other cost. I/we authorize the said and receive on my/our behalf all eposited on my/our account in the
Dated/202	Hustand allowol
	<u>CLIENT</u>
	ACCEPTED A
	NOOR MOHAMMAD KHATTAK ADVOCATE SUPREME COURT
	WALEED ADNAN UMAR FAROOQ MOHMAND
&	KHANZAD GUL
OFFICE:	ABID ALT SHAH ADVOCATES

OFFICE:

Flat No. (TF) 291-292 3rd Floor, Deans Trade Centre, Peshawar Cantt. (0311-9314232)