


Form-A
FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Court of _____

Restoration Application No. 1150/2024

| S.No. | Date of order Proceedings | Order or other proceedings with signature of judge |
|-------|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 09.10.2024 | <p>The application for restoration of Service appeal No. 340/2019 received today by registered post through Mr. Fazlullah Khan Advocate. It is fixed for hearing before Division Bench at A.Abad on 30.10.2024. Original file be requisitioned. Counsel for the applicant has been informed telephonically.</p> <p>By order of the Chairman  REGISTRAR</p> |

**BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR**

E.P No. 1150 /2024
IN
S.A No.340/2019

Sheraz Khan, Constable No.494, Police Line Haripur, District Haripur.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar & others.

...RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION

INDEX

| <i>S. #</i> | <i>Description</i> | <i>Page #</i> | <i>Annexures</i> |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1. | Application | 1 to 3 | |
| 2. | Copy of judgment dated 04/06/2024 | 4 - 7 | "A" |
| 3. | Wakalatnama | | |

...PETITIONER

Through

Dated: 1/10/2024


(HAMAYUN KHAN)

&


(FAZLULLAH KHAN)
Advocates High Court, Abbottabad

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE SERVICE TRIBUNAL KHYBER
PAKHTUNKHWA PESHAWAR

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Diary No. 16518
Dated 09-10-2024

E.P No. 1150 /2024
IN
S.A No.340/2019

Sheraz Khan, Constable No.494, Police Line Haripur, District Haripur.

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region at Abbottabad.
3. The District Police Officer, District Haripur.

...RESPONDENTS

**APPLICATION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF
JUDGMENT DATED 04/06/2024 PASSED BY THIS
HONOURABLE TRIBUNAL IN SERVICE APPEAL
NO. 340/2019 TITLED "SHERAZ KHAN V/S THE
INSPECTOR OF POLICE & OTHERS"**

Respectfully Sheweth:-

1. That petitioner filed service appeal No. 340/2019 against the impugned orders dated 28/06/2018 and 13/12/2018 passed by respondent No. 2 & 3.
2. That on 06/04/2024 after hearing of arguments this Honourable tribunal set-aside the impugned orders and partially allowed and modified "*to the extent that period during which the appellant remained out of service shall be treated as lieu of the kind due*". Copy of judgment is attached as annexure "A".
3. That thereafter, petitioner submitted judgment passed by this Honourable court in the office of respondent No. 3 for implementation.
4. That after laps of more than 03 months respondents had not implemented judgment dated 04/06/2024 of this Honourable tribunal till date and refuse implement the same.
5. That respondent No. 3 instead of complying with the direction of this Honourable Tribunal, straightaway refused to comply with the direction of this Honourable Tribunal.

6. That other point would be raised at the time of arguments kind permission of this Honourable Tribunal.

It is therefore, humbly prayed that on acceptance of instant application respondents be kindly be directed forthwith implement the judgment dated 04/06/2024 passed by this Honourable Tribunal in its true letter and spirit.



...PETITIONER

Through

Dated: 1/10/2024



(HAMAYUN KHAN)

&



(FAZLULLAH KHAN)
Advocates High Court, Abbottabad

KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL,
PESHAWAR

A
ANNEXURE

BEFORE: **KALIM ARSHAD KHAN** ... CHAIRMAN
FAREEHA PAUL ... MEMBER (Executive)

Service Appeal No. 340/2019

Date of presentation of Appeal.....07.03.2019
Date of Hearing.....04.06.2024
Date of Decision.....04.06.2024



Sheraz Khan, Constable No. 494, Police Line Haripur, District Haripur.Appellants

Versus

1. The Inspector General of Police, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.
2. The Regional Police Officer, Hazara Region at Abbottabad.
3. The District Police Officer, District Haripur.(Respondents)

Present:


Mr. Mehmood Jan, Advocate.....For the appellant
Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District AttorneyFor respondents

.....
APPEAL UNDER SECTION-4 OF THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA, SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT, 1974 AGAINST THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 28.06.2018 WHEREBY THE INTERVENING PERIOD i.e. WITH EFFECT FROM 06.03.2014 TILL 28.06.2018 HAS BEEN TREATED AS LEAVE WITHOUT PAY AND AGAINST THE APPELLATE ORDER DATED 13.12.2018 COMMUNICATED TO APPELLANT ON 15.02.2019 WHEREBY THE DEPARTMENTAL APPEAL OF THE APPELLANT HAS BEEN REJECTED ON NO GOOD GROUNDS.

JUDGMENT

KALIM ARSHAD KHAN CHAIRMAN: According to the facts gathered from the record, departmental action was taken against the appellant on the allegations that he, while posted as Driver, with CO-III, Haripur, extracted Rs. 10000/-, 18000/- alongwith 02 Motorcycle from Kala Khan contractor and Irshad Khan Shop Keeper by threatening them that Shamraiz S/O Muhammad Zaman R/O Chajian

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar


13/6/24

was involved in case FIR No. 358/13 U/S 411 PPC Police Station Srai Salah and alleged that the motorcycle was suspected of theft. On conclusion of the inquiry, the appellant was awarded major penalty of dismissal from service vide order bearing OB No. 132 dated 06.03.2014. The appellant filed Service Appeal No. 913/2014 before this Tribunal, which was allowed vide judgment dated 18.01.2018 and the department was held at liberty to conduct de-novo proceedings in accordance with law within a period of ninety days of the receipt of copy of the judgment and it was also held that issue of back benefits etc should be subject to final outcome of de-novo proceedings and the rules on the subject. De-novo proceedings was conducted in the matter and the appellant was reinstated in service vide order bearing endorsement No. 3884-86 dated 28.06.2018, however, the period during which the appellant remained out of service was treated as leave without pay. The aforementioned order, dated 08.06.2014, to the extent of treating the absence period as leave without pay, was challenged by the appellant through filing of departmental appeal, which was rejected vide order dated 13.12.2018. The appellant has now approached this Tribunal through filing of instant service appeal for redressal of his grievances.

2. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance through their respective representative and contested the appeal by way of filing written reply, raising therein numerous legal as well as factual objections.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the

ATTESTED


EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

learned Deputy District Attorney controverted the same by supporting the impugned order (s).

4. We have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the appellant as well as learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents and have perused the record.

5. Perusal of the record would show that the appellant was initially dismissed from service vide order bearing OB No. 132 dated 06.03.2014, however, his Service Appeal bearing No. 913/2014 was accepted vide judgment dated 18.01.2018 and the department was held at liberty to conduct de-novo proceedings in accordance with law within a period of ninety days of the receipt of copy of the judgment and it was also held that the issue of back benefits etc should be subject to final outcome of de-novo proceedings and the rules on the subject. De-novo inquiry proceeding was initiated by appointing Mr. Muhammad Sabir Khan, Deputy Superintendent of Police HQrs Haripur as inquiry officer, who conducted de-novo inquiry in the matter. The inquiry officer has given his findings that the appellant had already served his sentence of four years in the same inquiry as he was dismissed by the department and then reinstated by the court, for which the appellant's sentence was already sufficient. He further stated that the appellant and the complainants were residents of the same locality and recommended that the appellant be placed under surveillance for 01 year. Moreover, the inquiry officer has not uttered a single word in the de-novo inquiry that the allegations against the appellant were proved. After the de-novo inquiry proceedings, the District Police Officer, Haripur reinstated the appellant vide order bearing endorsement

ATTESTED

EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar

No. 3884-86 dated 28.06.2018, however, the period during which the appellant remained out of service was treated as leave without pay. The competent Authority was not legally justified in treating the said period as leave without pay for the reason that the inquiry officer has not opined in the de-novo inquiry proceedings that the allegations against the appellant stood proved. Nothing is available on the record, which could show that the appellant had gainfully remained employed in any service during the period of his dismissal from service.

6. Consequently, the appeal in hand is partially allowed. The impugned order bearing endorsement No. 3884-86 dated 28.06.2018 is modified to the extent that the period during which the appellant remained out of service shall be treated as leave of the kind due.

7. Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our hands and the seal of the Tribunal on this 04 day of June, 2024.




KALIM ARSHAD KHAN
Chairman



FAREEHA PAUL
Member (Executive)

ATTESTED



EXAMINER
Khyber Pakhtunkhwa
Service Tribunal
Peshawar
13/6/24

Naem Amin

Date of Presentation of Application 04-6-23
Number of Words 4 p
Copying Fee 20/-
Urgent /
Total 20/-
Name of Copyist _____
Date of Completion 13-6-23
Date of Delivery of Copy 17-6-23

Handwritten signature or name at the top right.

Large, bold, stylized characters, possibly a title or name, located at the top center.

Two horizontal lines with small text or numbers below them, located below the top title.

Handwritten text in the upper middle section, possibly a date or reference number.

Main body of handwritten text, appearing to be a list or a series of entries, located in the center of the page.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the main body of text.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located below the previous line.

Handwritten text line, possibly a name or title, located at the bottom of the page.

Handwritten text on the left margin, possibly a name or title.

Handwritten text on the left margin, possibly a name or title.

Large, bold, stylized characters at the bottom left, possibly a title or name.

Small handwritten text at the bottom center.