Form- A FORM OF ORDER SHEET

COURT OF				
Implementation Petition No.	1226/2024			

S.No.	Date of order proceedings	Order or other proceedings with signature of judge
1	2	3
1	17.10.2024	The implementation petition of Mr. Ihsanullal submitted today by Mr. Javed Iqbal Gulbela Advocate. I is fixed for implementation report before Single Bench a Peshawar on 25.10.2024. Original file be requisitioned
		AAG has noted the next date. Parcha Peshi given to
		counsel for the petitioner.
٠		By order of the Chairman REGISTRAR
	•	
		•
	·	
:		
	-	

BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No.1228
In Service Appeal No.596/2018 /2024

Ihsan Ullah

VERSUS

Director E&SE, KP

INDEX

S#	Description of Documents	Annexure	Page#
1.	Grounds of Execution Petition	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,2
2.	Affidavit		. 3
3.	Copy Judgment & Order dated.31-05-2024	"A"	4-7
4.	Wakalat Nama, & Application por implementation of order	″ ይ "	8-9

Dated: 15/10/2024

Through

(JAVED TOBAL GULBELA)
Advocate, Supreme Court of Pakistan.



BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. 1226 /2024

In Service Appeals No.596/2018

Khyber Pakhtukhwa Service Tribunal
Diary No. 16892
Diary No. 10
Dared 17-10-24

Ihsan Ullah S/o Saeed Ullah (SST)GHSS Topi Swabi R/o Neki Khel, Tehsil Topi, District Swabi.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Director Elementary and Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

.....Respondent

EXECUTION PETITION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGMENT AND ORDER DATED 31-05-2024 OF THIS HON'BLE TRIBUNAL IN APPEAL No. 596/2018, AND FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT OF COURT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE RESPONDANT FOR WILLFUL DEFAULT AND DISOBEDIANCE TO THE REVERNED JUDGEMENT AND ORDER OF THIS HONRABLE TRIBUNAL.

Respectfully Sheweth,

- 1. That the petitioner had earlier filed their Service Appeal bearing No.596/2018 which was graciously allowed by this Hon'ble Tribunal vide judgment and order dated.31-05-2024.s(copy Judgment & Order dated.31-05-2024 is annexed as annexures "A")
- 2. That while allowing the Service Appeal of the petitioner, this Hon'ble Court very graciously held that, "For what has been discussed above, we are unison to hold that appellant was entitled to be appointed as SET along with above mentioned two SETs on 03-02-2000. Therefore, respondents are directed to anti-dated his appointment to 03-02-2000 instead of 01-09-2003. Cost shall follow the events."
- 3. That inspite of clear cut directions of this Hon'ble Court the respondents have not implemented the reverend judgement and order of this Hon'ble Tribunal and have simply resorted to correspondences just to prolong the issue in hand and bluff and beguiled the petitioner as well as misled this Hon'ble Tribunal.



- 4. That besides the above this sort of approach on part of respondent and dealing with the reverend judgement of this Hon'ble Tribunal such callus ally definitely amounts to willful disobedience to this Hon'ble Tribunal which under no canon of law can be justified.
- 5. That thus by not implementing the judgement and order of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the respondent has rendered himself liable to be proceeded against and prosecuted for contempt of this Hon'ble Tribunal.

It is, therefore, requested that on acceptance of this application, the Contempt of Court proceedings may graciously be initiated against the respondent and be punished accordingly.

It is further prayed that the respondent be directed to implement the judgment and order dated 31-05-2024 of this Hon'ble Tribunal passed in Service Appeals No.596/2018 in its true spirit, instantly.

Dated: 15/10/2024

Petitioner/Appellant

Through

Javed Inbal Gulbela, Advocate, Supreme Court

Pakistan,

Saghir Iqbal Gulbela

(AHC)

Muhammad Arif Mohmand

Advocate, Peshawar

&

Syed Jazib Manzar Junior Advocates.



BEFORE THE HON'BLE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR

Execution Petition No. /2024 In Service Appeal No.596/2018

Ihsan Ullah

VERSUS

Director E&SE, KP

AFFIDAVIT:

I, Ihsan Ullah S/o Saeed Ullah (SST)GHSS Topi Swabi R/o Neki Khel, Tehsil Topi, District Swabi, do hereby affirm and declare on oath that all contents of this petition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and believe and nothing has been concealed from this Hon'able Tribunal.

Deponent.

CNIC:16202-8279124-9 Mob:0314-9866227

Identified By:

JavedIqbal Gulbela

(ASE)

Costh Costh



BEFORE THE KHYBER PAKHTUNKHWA SERVICE TRIBUNAL PESHAWAR NEUDAN

Service Appeal No.596/2018

BEFORE: MRS. RASHIDA BANO

.. MEMBER (J)

MISS FAREEHA PAUL

MEMBER (E)

Mr. IhsanUllah S/o SaeedUllah R/o NekiKhel, Tehsil Topi, District Swabi.

(Appellant) "H

esh_{awar}

VERSUS

1. Secretary, Elementary & Secondary Education, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

2. The Director, E&SE Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Peshawar.

3. District Education Officer (Male), Swabi.

(Respondents)

Mr. Asad Zeb Khan

Advocate

For appellant

Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah

Deputy District Attorney

For respondents

 Date of Institution
 19.04.2018

 Date of Hearing
 31.05.2024

 Date of Decision
 31.05.2024

JUDGMENT

RASHIDA BANO, MEMBER (J): The instant service appeal has been instituted under section 4 of the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal, Act 1974 with the prayer copied as below:

"On acceptance of this service appeal, the respondents may kindly be directed to promote the appellant alongwith all other consequential relief including arrears and seniority, etc."

EXAMINER
NITHER PARTICULAR
Service Tribunat
Peshawar
11-10-24

- Department as PST in the year 1982. He was promoted to the post of CT vide order dated 15.01.1998. Seniority list for appointment as SETs was prepared wherein the name of appellant was shown at serial No.3. Respondents vide order dated 23.05.1995 issued appointment/promotion of trained teachers by ignoring the name of the appellant and juniors to him were promoted. Later on, he was promoted to the post SET in the year 2003 instead of 1991. Respondents issued seniority list for the year 2017-18 on 01.01.2018. Feeling aggrieved, appellant filed department appeal, which was dismissed vide impugned order dated 19.03.2018, hence the present service appeal.
- 3. On receipt of the appeal and its admission to full hearing, the respondents were summoned. Respondents put appearance and contested the appeal by filing written reply raising therein numerous legal and factual objections. The defense setup was a total denial of the claim of the appellant.
- 4. We have heard learned counsel for the appellants and learned Deputy District Attorney for the respondents.
- 5. The learned counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts and grounds detailed in the memo and grounds of the appeal while the learned Deputy District Attorney controverted the same by supporting the impugned order(s).
- 6. Perusal of record reveals that appellant through instant appeal wants to ante-date his appointment order as SET in year 2003 to year 1995 when his colleagues were promoted as SET. Record reveals that appellant joined education department way back in the year 1982 when the appellant was appointed as PST and promoted to the post of CT on 15.01.1998. Appellant



was awarded professional degree of M.A Education by the University of Sindh in the session of 1990-91. List for appointment as SETs was prepared wherein, the appellant was shown at serial No.3, who was eligible for promotion to the post of SET's.

- Respondent No.2 issued appointment/promotion orders of certain trained graduates as SETs vide order dated 23.05.1995, by dropping the name of the appellant and the colleagues of the appellant shown in finalized list of promotion were promoted. The department on the basis of notification 1992 and 1999, declared the M.A Education as non-professional degree, against which certain candidates challenged the plea of the government before the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar and the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to allow the said writ petition by declaring M.A Education as equivalent to M.Ed and that the said degree is professional degree.
- 8. Appellant was not promoted to the post of SET as he possessed degree of M.A Education which was declared non-professional degree. Appellant alongwith other also approached worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar by filling writ petition bearing No.76 of 1999 which was decided vide order dated 15.09.1999 by holding M.A Education equivalent to M.Ed and petitioners who hold degree of M.A Education was held entitled to apply, contest/compete in the ensuing test and interview for the post of Senior English Teacher (SET). After declaring M.A Education equivalent to M.Ed by High Court respondent was required to consider appellant for appointment to post of SET because he was eligible for his appointment to the post of SET in 1995 due to his M.A Education was declare non-professional degree. Therefore, respondents did not



consider appellant till 24.07.2003. As per verdict of worthy Peshawar High Court, Peshawar ensuing test/examination and consideration by DSC was held in February 2000 notification of which was issued on 03.02.2000, respondent will have to appoint appellant from 03.02.2000 alongwith Tajamul Shah and Muhammad Islam; by not doing so, they discriminate appellant which is unjustified.

- 9. For what has been discussed above, we are unison to hold that appellant was entitled to be appointed as SET alongwith above mentioned two SETs on 03.02.2000. Therefore, respondents are directed to anti-dated his appointment to 03.02.2000 instead of 01.09.2003. Cost shall follow the events.
- 10. Pronounced in open court in Peshawar and given under our hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 31th day of May, 2024.

(Farceha Paul Member (E)

(RashidaBano) Member (J)

M.Khan

Certified to	be ture copy
EXA	WINER

Khyber Fakhtunkhwa Service Tribunal. Peshawas

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa/Sarvige	Date 11-10-29
Application No.	Jamius Man
Name of Applicant	4-1
Humber of Words/Pages	>0 -
Copying Fee	3/-
Urgent/Ordinary	23 -1 (
Total	zeesting 1
Name & Sign of Copyist	11-10-24
Trate of Completion of Copy	11-10-24
Date of Delivery of Copy	of the state of th



Ann-B

The District Education officer (Male),

Swabi

Subject: -PETITION FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF KP SERVICE TRIBUNAL JUDGEMENT DATED 31.05.2024 REGARDING ANTI-DATION OF HIS APPOINTMENT AS SET FROM 03.02.2000 INSTEAD OF 01.09.2003 WITH ALL FINANCIAL BACK/CONSEQUENTIAL BENFITS

Respected Sir,

With profound regards it is submitted that: -

That the petitioner has been retired from service on reaching superannuation as SET Teacher of Elementary & Secondary Education Department KP during pendency of subject appeal. During his service, the petitioner approached the Hon, able Khyber Pukhtunkhawa Service Tribunal through Appeal No.596/2018, for anti-dation of his appointment as SET Teacher from the date he was entitled which was decided.

That the petition of the petitioner has been decided on 31.05.2024 in his favour with the directions of Hon, able KP Service Tribunal which are reproduced as below:-

"For what has been discussed above, we are unison to hold that the appellant was entitled to be appointed as SET along with above mentioned two SETs on03.02. 2000. Therefore, the respondents are directed to anti-date his appointment to 03.02.2000 instead of 01.09,2003.

That till date the decision of the Hon, able Tribunal has not been implemented in its true spirit, it is therefore, very humbly requested to anti-date his appointment as SET from 03.02.2000 instead of 01.09.2003 with all consequential/back financial/service benefits. (Copy of the judgement dated 31.05.2024 in Appeal No.596/2018 of KP Service Tribunal is enclosed herewith for implementation please).

Yours Sincerely

Ihsan Ullah Rtd SET Teacher

G.H.S.S Topi (Swabi)

Mohallah Neki Khail Village Topi Tehsil Topi District Swabi

Kliyber Pukhtunkhawa

WhatsApp (0346 9824713)

Cell # 0314 9866227

Dated: 16,09,2024

Copy to: -

- 1. Secretary E & S.E Department Khyber Pukhunkhwa Peshawar.
- 2. Director E & S E Deptt KP Peshawar

(For same cause of action please).



﴿ و كالت نامــه ﴾	
بعدال في من سرس مؤمولي لسام	
منجاب استعارت وموئ على المستعارة المراق المستعارة المستع	
ارخ تارخ	
مادى	=
باعث تسمرير آنك مقدرمه مندرجه بالاعوان في طرف به واسط بيروى وجوابدى مندرجه بالاعوان في طرف به واسط بيروى وجوابدى مندرجه بالاعوان في طرف المناسم المنا	
کو بدیں شرط وکیل مقرر کیا ہے۔ کہ میں ہر پیٹی کا کودیا ہزر بعیر مختار خاص روبروعدالت حاضر ہوتا رہونگا۔اور بوتت پکارے	
م جانے مقدر مدوکل صاحب موصوف کواطلاع دے کرحاضر والت کرونگا، اگر پیٹی پرمن مظہر حاضر نہ ہوا اور مقدمہ میری غیر	
عاضری کی وجہ ہے کسی طور پرمیرے برخلاف ہوگیا تو صاحب موصوف اس کے کسی طرح ذمددارند ہو سنتے۔ نیز وکیل صاحب	
موصوف صدرمقام کچبری کی کسی اور جگه یا کچبری کے مقررہ اوقات ہے پہلے یا پیچھے یابروز تعطیل پیروی کرنے کے ذمہ دارنہ	}
ہو کئے۔اگرمقدمہ علاوہ صدرمقام کچبری کے کسی اور جگہ اعت ہونے یابروز تعطیل یا کچبری کے اوقات کے آگے چیچے پیش	ľ
ہونے پرمن مظہر کو کو کی نقصان مہنچ تو اس کے ذمہ داریااس کے واسطے سی معاوضہ کے اداکرنے یا مختارانہ واپس کرنے کے بھی	
صاحب موصوف ذمه دارنه موسل _ جميم كوكل پرداخة صاحب موصوف مثل كرده ذات خود منظور وقبول موكا _ اورصاحب كو	4
عرضی دعویٰ و جواب دعویٰ اور درخواست جرائے وگری ونظر تانی ایل وگرانی برسم کی درخواست پردستخط وتصدیق کرنے کا بھی	
اختیار ہوگا ادر کی تھم یا ڈگری کے اجراء کرانے اور ہرتم کے روپیوصول کرنے اور رسید دینے اور داخل کرنے اور ہرتم کے	
بیان دینے اور سپر وٹالٹی وراضی نامہ فیصلہ پرخلاف کرنے اقبال دعویٰ دینے کا بھی اختیار ہوگا۔ اور بصورت ایل و برآ مدگی	Ħ
ی محقد مه یا منسوخی دُکری بیمطرفه درخواست عکم امّنای یا قرتی یا گرفتاری قبل ازاجراه دُگری بھی موصوف کو بشرط اوا میکی علیحد و مختارا	Ħ
ے۔ نہ پیروی کا اختیار ہوگا۔ اور بصورت ضرورت صاحب موضوف کو بھی اختیار ہوگا یا حقد مہ ندکورہ یا اس کے کسی جزوکی کا روائی کے	\$.
واسطے یا بصورت اپل ، اپل کے داسطے دوسرے وکیل یا ہرسٹر کو بجائے اپنے یا اپنے ہمراہ مقرر کریں اور ایسے مثیر قانون کے	J E
ہرامردہی اورویے ہی اختیارات حاصل ہو لکتے جیسے کے صاحب موصوف کو حاصل ہیں۔ اوردوران مقدمہ میں جو کچھ ہرجانہ	4
ہر اور مردروی مردروی مدین ورف میں مردے یے سے است و موسوف کو پوری فیس تاریخ بیش سے پہلے اوا نہ کرونگا تو	=
ہ واو پرے اے اور میں عب و وق وال میں اور اے مروسی میں اور ایک صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کی قتم کا صاحب موصوف م صاحب موصوف کو پوراا ختیار ہوگا کہ مقدمہ کی بیروی نہ کریں اور ایک صورت میں میرا کوئی مطالبہ کی قتم کا صاحب موصوف	7
معاطب و وف و و وارا معیار او المصدر الم میرون مرس اور این ورت من برا ون معاب ن معاطب و وف عدا می این معاطب و و کے برخلاف نبیس موگا۔ لہذا محار نام الکھ دیا کہ مندر ہے۔	
ے برطاف بیل ہوہ ۔ بہدا خار مامہ تھور یا کہ سمدار ہے۔	

۔۔ مضمون مخارنامدی لیا ہے اور انھی طرح مجھ لیا ہے اور منظور ہے۔

الم عنام المحالی کے اللہ منظور ہے۔

الم عنام محالی کے اللہ منظور ہے۔