


with law and subject to law of limitation and jurisdiction. Costs shall follow the event. Consign.

6. *Pronounced in open Court at Peshawar and given under our 5hands and seal of the Tribunal on this 14th day of October, 2024.*



(Rashida Bano)
Member (J)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Mutazem Shah

06th June, 2024

1. Junior to counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Arshad Azam, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

2. Junior to counsel for the appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that learned senior counsel for the appellant is busy in Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 06.09.2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Fareeha Paul)
Member (Executive)



(Kalim Arshad Khan)
Chairman

Naeem Amin

06.09.2024

01. Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Asif Masood Ali Shah, Deputy District Attorney for the respondents present.

02. Learned counsel for the appellant requested for adjournment on the ground that he is not feeling well today. Adjourned. To come up for arguments on 14.10.2024 before the D.B. Parcha Peshi given to the parties.

SCANNED
KPST
Peshawar



(Fareeha Paul)
Member (E)



(Aurangzeb Khattak)
Member (J)

Fazle Subhan, P.S

ORDER

14th Oct. 2024

Kalim Arshad Khan, Chairman: Learned counsel for the appellant present. Mr. Naseer Ud Din Shah, Assistant Advocate General for the respondents present.

2. Appellant's case as reflected from the record is that he was serving as SST (IT) BPS-16 in the Education Department at GHS Toru, Mardan; that during service, he applied for Ex-Pakistan Leave for higher study on 01.09.2010 and due to alleged urgency, he left the country prior to sanction by the authority; that upon arrival back to Pakistan, he approached the department for rejoining his service but the department refused to submit his arrival report; that feeling aggrieved, he filed departmental appeal but the same was not responded, hence, the instant service appeal.

3. Arguments heard. Record perused.

4. At the very outset, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the appellant was not being allowed to submit arrival report nor was given any adverse order to know about his fate, therefore, if a direction is given to the respondents to hand over any adverse order, if any made by them.

5. The appeal in hand stands disposed of with the observations that on handing over adverse order, if any, the appellant will be at liberty to challenge that but in accordance

